



PEACH BOTTOM—THE POWER OF EXCELLENCE

D. M. Smith  
Vice President

**PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANY**

PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION  
R. D. 1, Box 208  
Delta, Pennsylvania 17314  
(717) 456-7014

April 9, 1990

Docket No. 50-277

Document Control Desk  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, DC 20555

SUBJECT: Licensee Event Report  
Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station - Unit 2

This LER concerns the discovery of excessive as found Primary Containment leakage rate.

|                  |   |
|------------------|---|
| Reference:       | Docket No. 50-277   |
| Report Number:   | 2-90-003  |
| Revision Number: | 00  |
| Event Date:      | 03/10/90  |
| Report Date:     | 04/09/90  |
| Facility:        | Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station<br>RD 1, Box 208, Delta, PA 17314 |

This LER is being submitted pursuant to the requirements of 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(v) and 50.73(a)(2)(ii).

Sincerely,

cc: J. J. Lyash, USNRC Senior Resident Inspector  
W. T. Russell, USNRC, Region I

9004200360 900409  
PDR ADOCK 05000277  
S PDC

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

|   |        |           |  |                   |                 |                   |                 |           |                      |   |  |  |                  |                      |     |      |
|---|--------|-----------|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------|----------------------|---|--|--|------------------|----------------------|-----|------|
| FACILITY NAME (1)<br>Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station - Unit 2               |        |           |  |                   |                 |                   |                 |           |                      | DOCKET NUMBER (2)<br>0 5 0 0 0 2 7 7      |  |  |                  | PAGE (3)<br>1 OF 0 3 |     |      |
| TITLE (4)<br>Discovery of Excessive Primary Containment As Found Leakage Rate |        |           |  |                   |                 |                   |                 |           |                      |   |  |  |                  |                      |     |      |
| EVENT DATE (5)  |        |           | LER NUMBER (6)   |                   |                 |                   | REPORT DATE (7) |           |                      | OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)             |  |  |                  |                      |     |      |
| MONTH   | DAY    | YEAR      | YEAR   | SEQUENTIAL NUMBER | REVISION NUMBER | MONTH             | DAY             | YEAR      | FACILITY NAMES       |   |  |  | DOCKET NUMBER(S) |                      |     |      |
| 0 3   | 1 0    | 9 0       | 9 0  | 0 0 3             | 0 0             | 0 4               | 0 9             | 9 0       |                      |   |  |  | 0 5 0 0 0        |                      |     |      |
| OPERATING MODE (9)<br>N   |        |           | THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR §. (Check one or more of the following) (11) |                   |                 |                   |                 |           |                      |   |  |  |                  |                      |     |      |
| POWER LEVEL (10)<br>0 0 0   |        |           | 20.402(b)  |                   |                 | 20.405(c)         |                 |           | 50.73(a)(2)(iii)     |   |  | 73.71(h)   |                  |                      |     |      |
|   |        |           | 20.405(a)(1)(i)  |                   |                 | 50.36(c)(1)       |                 |           | X 50.73(a)(2)(v)     |   |  | 73.71(e)   |                  |                      |     |      |
|   |        |           | 20.405(a)(1)(ii)   |                   |                 | 50.36(c)(2)       |                 |           | 50.73(a)(2)(vii)     |   |  | OTHER (Specify in Abstract below and in Text, NRC Form 365A) |                  |                      |     |      |
|   |        |           | 20.405(a)(1)(iii)  |                   |                 | 50.73(a)(2)(i)    |                 |           | 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A) |   |  |  |                  |                      |     |      |
|   |        |           | 20.405(a)(1)(iv)   |                   |                 | X 50.73(a)(2)(ii) |                 |           | 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B) |   |  |  |                  |                      |     |      |
|   |        |           | 20.405(a)(1)(v)  |                   |                 | 50.73(a)(2)(iii)  |                 |           | 50.73(a)(2)(ix)      |   |  |  |                  |                      |     |      |
| LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)  |        |           |  |                   |                 |                   |                 |           |                      |   |  |  |                  |                      |     |      |
| NAME<br>T. E. Cribbe, Regulatory Engineer                                     |        |           |  |                   |                 |                   |                 |           |                      | TELEPHONE NUMBER<br>7 1 7 4 5 6 - 7 0 1 4 |  |  |                  |                      |     |      |
| COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)    |        |           |  |                   |                 |                   |                 |           |                      |   |  |  |                  |                      |     |      |
| CAUSE   | SYSTEM | COMPONENT | MANUFACTURER   | REPORTABLE TO NRC |                 | CAUSE             | SYSTEM          | COMPONENT | MANUFACTURER         | REPORTABLE TO NRC                         |  |  |                  |                      |     |      |
| X   | S B    | I S V     | A 3 9 1  | Y                 |                 |                   |                 |           |                      |   |  |  |                  |                      |     |      |
| SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)   |        |           |  |                   |                 |                   |                 |           |                      |   |  |  |                  |                      |     |      |
| X YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)                             |        |           |  |                   |                 |                   |                 |           |                      | NO  |  | EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)                                |                  | MONTH                | DAY | YEAR |
|   |        |           |  |                   |                 |                   |                 |           |                      |   |  |  |                  | 0 8                  | 3 0 | 9 0  |

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single-space typewritten lines) (16)

On 3/10/90 at approximately 1000 hours, surveillance testing indicated Primary Containment leakage rate limit (La), as established by Technical Specifications, had been exceeded due to excessive through seat leakage on Main Steam Line Drain Isolation Valves M0-74 and M0-77. No actual safety consequences occurred as a result of this event. The root cause of the valve failures will be determined and will be reported, along with any planned corrective actions, in a supplement to this report. The valves were repaired and returned to service prior to start-up. There were two previous similar events.

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

|  |  |                |                      |                    |          |    |     |
|--|--|----------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------|----|-----|
| FACILITY NAME (1)<br>Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station<br>Unit 2 | DOCKET NUMBER (2)<br><br>0 5 0 0 0 2 7 7 | LER NUMBER (6) |                      |                    | PAGE (3) |    |     |
|  |  | YEAR           | SEQUENTIAL<br>NUMBER | REVISION<br>NUMBER |          |    |     |
|  |  | 9 0            | 0 0 3                | 0 0 0              | 2        | OF | 0 3 |

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 306A's) (17)

Requirements for the Report

This report is required pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(V) and (a)(2)(ii) because Primary Containment may not have been capable of controlling the release of radioactive material during design basis events.

Unit Status at Time of Discovery

Unit 2 was in cold shutdown due to a scheduled outage.

Description of Event

On 3/5/90 at approximately 1400 hours, during the performance of Local Leak Rate Test ST 20.029, an unacceptably high through seat leakage rate (greater than 125,000 cc/min) was discovered for the Main Steam (EIIIS:SB) Drain Isolation Valves (EIIIS:ISV) MO-74 and MO-77. Since the method of leak rate testing employed during this test involves pressurizing the volume between MO-74 and MO-77, individual leak rates for each valve could not be determined.

On 3/9/90, MO-74 was disassembled and manually gagged to prevent leakage. On 3/10/90, at approximately 1000 hours, ST 20.029 was again performed to determine if the boundary maintained by MO-77 was acceptable. When the test results again indicated a leak rate of greater than 125,000 cc/min, it was determined that the Primary Containment pressure boundary leakage rate limit (La), as established by Technical Specifications, had been exceeded. The La value for PBAPS Unit 2 is 125,417 cc/min. The exact amount of leakage was not determined because it was in excess of the upscale limit (125,000 cc/min) of the mass flow meter used during the test.

Cause of the Event

The proximate cause of the excess leakage through these valves was determined to be excessive clearance between the valve disc and seat assemblies when in the closed position. The root cause of this failure mechanism is unknown at this time. PECO has contacted the valve manufacturer and is investigating the possible causes of this type of failure. These valves are manufactured by Anchor Darling and are type CCA-W8321811. The root cause of the valve failures will be reported in a revision to this LER.

Analysis of the Event

No actual safety consequences occurred as a result of this event.

In the event that an accident had occurred during the period of time these valves were degraded, La could have been exceeded thereby allowing offsite doses to be greater than those previously analyzed in the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report. A normally closed non-safety related motor operated valve (with a 1" restricting orifice in parallel) downstream of MO-74 and MO-77 could be made available to reduce the release rate.



## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

APPROVED OMS NO. 3150-0104

EXPIRES: 8/31/86

|  |  |                |                      |                    |          |    |     |
|--|--|----------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------|----|-----|
| FACILITY NAME (1)<br><br>Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station<br>Unit 2 | DOCKET NUMBER (2)<br><br>0 5 0 0 0 2 7 7 | LER NUMBER (6) |                      |                    | PAGE (3) |    |     |
|  |  | YEAR           | SEQUENTIAL<br>NUMBER | REVISION<br>NUMBER |          |    |     |
|  |  | 9 0            | — 0 0 3              | — 0 0              | 0 3      | OF | 0 3 |

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

Corrective Actions

MO-74 and MO-77 were rebuilt using new discs, and seat assemblies were machined to proper tolerances. These valves were leak tested and the leakage found to be within acceptable limits following the completion of the maintenance.

A failure analysis will be performed to determine the full cause of the failure. The results of this analysis, along with planned corrective actions, will be reported in a supplement to this report.

Previous Similar Events

There have been two previous similar LER's involving excessive through seat leakage (not in excess of La) on the Main Steam Drain Valves.

LER 2-86-15 reported, in part, excessive through seat leakage on MO-77. The cause of the excess leakage was attributed to normal valve wear, and the valve was reconditioned as appropriate.

LER 2-87-05 reported, in part, the excess through seat leakage on MO-74. This leakage was attributed to the accumulation of fine particles on the seating surface of the valve due to a previous replacement of an upstream valve. The valve was cleaned and returned to service satisfactorily.