


FORT CALHOUN STATION PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

FEBRUARY 1991



Prepared by:

Production Engineering Division
System Engineering
Test and Performance Group

Pursuit of excellence is an attitude...
it involves wisdom and sound judgment...
it is a lifetime, career-long commitment...
it is a way of life...it is doing the job
right the first time, every time. It is
inner-directed, not the result of external
pressure, it is our own self worth—who
we are and the pride and satisfaction
that comes from being the right kind of
person, not just in doing the right things.

James J. O'Connor

Omaha Public Power District

Fort Calhoun Station

Performance Indicator Report

Prepared By:

Production Engineering Division

System Engineering

Test and Performance Group

January 1991

Fort Calhoun Station Performance Indicator Report

ABSTRACT

PURPOSE

The "Performance Indicators Program" is intended to provide selected Fort Calhoun plant performance information to OPPD's personnel responsible for optimizing unit performance. The information is presented in a way that provides ready identification of trends and a means to track progress toward reaching corporate goals. The information can be used for assessing and monitoring Fort Calhoun's plant performance, with emphasis on safety and reliability. Some performance indicators show company goals or industry information. This information can be used for comparison or as a means of promoting pride and motivation.

SCOPE

The conditions, goals, and projections reflected within this report are current as of the end of the month being reported, unless otherwise stated.

In order for the Performance Indicator Program to be effective, the following guidelines were followed while implementing the program:

- 1) Select data which most effectively monitors Fort Calhoun's performance in key areas.
- 2) Present the data in a straight forward graphical format using averaging and smoothing techniques.
- 3) Include established corporate goals and industry information for comparison.
- 4) Develop formal definitions for each performance parameter. This will ensure consistency in future reports and allow comparison with industry averages where appropriate.

Comments and input are encouraged to ensure that this program is tailored to address the areas which are most meaningful to the people using the report. Please refer comments to the Test and Performance Group. To increase personnel awareness of Fort Calhoun Station's plant performance, it is suggested that this report be distributed throughout your respective departments.

REFERENCES

INPO Good Practices OA-102, "Performance Monitoring - Management Information"

INPO Report Dated November 1984, "Nuclear Power Plant Operational Data"

NUMARC 87-00, "Guidelines and Technical Bases for NUMARC Initiatives Addressing Station Blackout at Light Water Reactors", Revision 1, Appen. x D, "EDG Reliability Program", dated April 6, 1990.

Fort Calhoun Station Performance Indicator Report
Table of Contents

<u>INDUSTRY KEY PARAMETERS</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
Forced Outage Rate	2
Unplanned Automatic Reactor Scrams While Critical	3
Unplanned Safety System Actuations(INPO Definition)	4
Unplanned Safety System Actuations(NRC Definition)	5
Gross Heat Rate	6
Equivalent Availability Factor	7
Fuel Reliability Indicator	8
Personnel Radiation Exposure (Cumulative)	9
Volume of Low-level Solid Radioactive Waste	10
Disabling Injury Frequency Rate	11
<u>OPERATIONS</u>	
Station Net Generation	1
Forced Outage Rate	2
Unplanned Automatic Reactor Scrams While Critical	3
Unplanned Safety System Actuations - (INPO Definition)	4
Unplanned Safety System Actuations (NRC Definition)	5
Gross Heat Rate	6
Equivalent Availability Factor	7
Fuel Reliability Indicator	8
Daily Thermal Output	12
Equipment Forced Outages per 1000 Critical Hours	13
Operations and Maintenance Budget	14
Document Review	15
<u>MAINTENANCE</u>	
Emergency Diesel Generator Unit Reliability	16
Diesel Generator Reliability (25 Demands)	17
Age of Outstanding Maintenance Work	18
Maintenance Work Order Breakdown	19
Corrective Maintenance Backlog > 3 Months Old	20
Ratio of Preventive to Total Maintenance	21

MAINTENANCE (con't)

PAGE

Preventive Maintenance Items Overdue	22
Number of Out-of-Service Control Room Instruments.....	23
Maintenance Overtime	24
Procedural Noncompliance Incidents (Maintenance)	25
Maintenance Work Order Backlog (Corrective Non-Outage).....	26
Percent of Completed Scheduled Maintenance Activities:	
(Electrical Maintenance)	27
(Pressure Equipment)	28
(General Maintenance)	29
(Mechanical Maintenance)	30
(Instrumentation & Control).....	31
Number of Surveillance Tests Resulting in Licensee Event Reports.....	32
Number of Nuclear Plant Reliability Data System (NPRDS) Reportable Failures.....	33
Maintenance Effectiveness.....	34
Check Valve Failure Rate	35


CHEMISTRY AND RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION

Personnel Radiation Exposure (Cumulative)	9
Volume of Low-level Solid Radioactive Waste	10
Secondary System Chemistry	36
Primary System Chemistry - Percent of Hours Out of Limit	37
Auxiliary Systems Chemistry Hours Outside Station Limits	38
In-Line Chemistry Instruments Out-of-Service	39
Hazardous Waste Produced.....	40
Maximum Individual Radiation Exposure.....	41
Total Skin and Clothing Contaminations.....	42
Decontaminated Auxiliary Building	43
Radiological Work Practices Program	44
Number of Hot Spots	45
Gaseous Radioactive Waste Being Discharged to the Environment	46
Liquid Radioactive Waste Being Discharged to the Environment	47

Fort Calhoun Station Performance Indicator Report
Table of Contents

<u>SECURITY</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
Loggable/Reportable Incidents (Security)	48
Security Incident Breakdown	49
Security System Failures	50
<u>MATERIALS AND OUTSIDE SERVICES</u>	
Amount of Work On Hold Awaiting Parts	51
Spare Parts Inventory Value	52
Spare Parts Issued	52
Inventory Accuracy	53
Stock-out Rate	53
Warehouse Receipts	54
Warehouse Issues	55
Warehouse Returns	56
Material Requests Awaiting Approval	57
Expedited Purchases	57
Invoice Breakdown	58
Material Request Planning	58
<u>DESIGN ENGINEERING</u>	
Outstanding Modifications	59
Temporary Modifications	60
Outstanding Engineering Assistance Requests (EAR's)	61
Engineering Change Notice Status	62
Engineering Change Notice Breakdown	63
<u>INDUSTRIAL SAFETY</u>	
Disabling Injury Frequency Rate	11
Recordable Injury Cases Frequency Rate	64
<u>HUMAN RESOURCES</u>	
Number of Personnel Errors Reported in LERs	65
Personnel Turnover Rate	66
Staffing Level	66

<u>TRAINING AND QUALIFICATION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
SRO / RO License Examination Pass Ratio	67
License Candidate Exams.....	68
Hotline Training Memos.....	69
Total Instruction Hours.....	70
Total Hours of Student Training	71
<u>REFUELING OUTAGE</u>	
MWO Overall Status (1991 Refueling Outage)	72
Progress of 1991 Outage Modification Planning	73
Overall Project Status (1991 Refueling Outage)	74
<u>QUALITY ASSURANCE</u>	
Number of Violations per 1000 Inspection Hours	75
Cumulative Violations and Non-Cited Violations (NCV's)	76
Outstanding Corrective Action Reports (CAR's)	77
Overdue and Extended Corrective Action Reports.....	78
Corrective Action Reports Current Status.....	79
<u>PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DEFINITIONS</u>	80
<u>INDEX TO SAFETY ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM (SEP) INDICATORS</u>	89
<u>PERFORMANCE INDICATOR REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST</u>	91
<u>SUMMARY SECTION</u>	
Adverse Trend Report	92
Indicators Needing Increased Management Attention	92
Performance Indicator Report Improvements/Changes	94




STATION NET GENERATION

This indicator shows the net generation of the Fort Calhoun Station for the reporting month.

During the month of February 1991, a net total of 269,360.5 MWH was generated by the Fort Calhoun Station.

Data Source: Station Generation Report

Adverse Trend: None




FORCED OUTAGE RATE

The forced outage rate was reported as 11.9 for the last twelve months.

To achieve the Fort Calhoun Station (FCS) forced outage rate goal of 2.4, the plant cannot be forced off-line more than 19 hours for the remainder of 1991.

Data Source: Holthaus/Gray (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None



UNPLANNED AUTOMATIC REACTOR SCRAMS WHILE CRITICAL


There were no unplanned automatic reactor scrams in February 1991. The last unplanned automatic reactor scram occurred on July 2, 1986.

The 1991 goal for unplanned automatic reactor scrams while critical has been set at zero.

The industry upper ten percentile value is zero scrams per unit on an annual basis. The Fort Calhoun Station is currently in the upper ten percentile of nuclear plant performance in this area.

Data Source: Plant License Reports (LER)

Adverse Trend: None



UNPLANNED SAFETY SYSTEM ACTUATIONS - (INPO DEFINITION)


There were no unplanned safety system actuations during the month of February 1991.

The 1991 goal for the number of unplanned safety system actuations is zero.

The industry upper ten percentile value for the number of unplanned safety system actuations per year is zero. The Fort Calhoun Station is currently performing in the upper ten percentile of nuclear power plants for this indicator.

Data Source: Plant License Reports (LER)

Adverse Trend: None



UNPLANNED SAFETY SYSTEM ACTUATIONS - (NRC DEFINITION)


This indicator shows the number of safety system actuations (SSA's) which include the High and Low Pressure Safety Injection Systems, the Safety Injection Tanks, and the Emergency Diesel Generators. The NRC classification of SSA's includes actuations when major equipment is operated and when the logic systems for these safety systems are challenged.

The last event of this type occurred in November 1990 when Diesel Generator D-1 and Diesel Generator DG-2 experienced anticipatory starts when the turbine was tripped due to a forced shutdown of the plant. This forced shutdown was due to an Instrument Air System line failure in the Turbine Building.

The majority of SSA's displayed above were related to 1990 Refueling Outage activities and are currently being reviewed under the Safety System Actuation Reduction Program. The goal of this Program is to reduce the number of SSA's at Fort Calhoun.

Data Source: Plant License Event Reports (LER)

Adverse Trend: None



GROSS HEAT RATE

This indicator shows the Gross Heat Rate (GHR) for the reporting month, previous 1991 months, and the year-end GHR for the previous 3 years.

The gross heat rate for the Fort Calhoun Station was reported as 10,097 BTU/KWH during the month of February.

The year-to-date gross heat rate was reported as 10,215 BTU/KWH.


The gross heat rate value for the month of January was high due to a start up following the CEDM forced outage.

The above year end Fort Calhoun goal (10,150 BTU/KWH) is the theoretical best gross heat rate that can be achieved by the Fort Calhoun Station during 1991.

The gross heat rate industry upper ten percentile value is 9,935 BTU/KWH.

Data Source: Holthaus/Gray (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trends: None



EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY FACTOR

This indicator shows the plant monthly Equivalent Availability Factor (EAF) for 1991 and the previous 3 years.

The EAF was reported as 100% for the month of February. The EAF was not effected by the 70% power reduction, during February, since the reduction was made under management control and for reasons of economy (fuel savings).


The year-to-date EAF was reported as 78.7%.

The EAF Fort Calhoun goal is 69% for 1991.

The EAF industry upper ten percentile value is 82.5%.

Data Source: Dietz/Parra (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trends: None



FUEL RELIABILITY INDICATOR


The FRI was reported as 1.19 nanocuries/gram for the month of February. This INPO indicator uses an industry normalized letdown purification rate. The FRI value using the plant's actual letdown purification rate was reported as 1.93 nanocuries/gram.

The 1991 fuel reliability goal has been set at 1.4 nanocuries/gram.

The fuel reliability indicator industry upper ten percentile value is 0.04 nanocuries/gram.

Data Source: Holthaus/Lofshult

Adverse Trend: None



EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY FACTOR

This indicator shows the plant monthly Equivalent Availability Factor (EAF) for 1991 and the previous 3 years.

The EAF was reported as 100% for the month of February. The EAF was not effected by the 70% power reduction, during February, since the reduction was made under management control and for reasons of economy (fuel savings).


The year-to-date EAF was reported as 78.7%.

The EAF Fort Calhoun goal is 69% for 1991.

The EAF industry upper ten percentile value is 82.5%.

Data Source: Dietz/Parra (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trends: None



FUEL RELIABILITY INDICATOR


The FRI was reported as 1.19 nanocuries/gram for the month of February. This INPO indicator uses an industry normalized letdown purification rate. The FRI value using the plant's actual letdown purification rate was reported as 1.93 nanocuries/gram.

The 1991 fuel reliability goal has been set at 1.4 nanocuries/gram.

The fuel reliability indicator industry upper ten percentile value is 0.04 nanocuries/gram.

Data Source: Holthaus/Lofshult

Adverse Trend: None



PERSONNEL RADIATION EXPOSURE (CUMULATIVE)

During February 1991, 4.6 man-rem was recorded by TLD's worn by personnel while working at the Fort Calhoun Station. The year to date exposure is 11.85 man-rem.


The Fort Calhoun goal for personnel radiation exposure (cumulative) during 1991 is 75 man-rem.

The personnel radiation exposure industry upper ten percentile is 166 man-rem per unit per year.

Data Source: Patterson/Williams (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None

SEP 54



VOLUME OF LOW-LEVEL SOLID RADIOACTIVE WASTE

This indicator shows the volume of radioactive oil shipped, processed and buried dry radioactive waste, cumulative radioactive waste buried, and the previous two years of radioactive waste buried.

Volume of radioactive oil shipped off-site for processing (gallons)	2,420.0
Amount of solid radioactive waste shipped off-site for processing (cubic feet)	2,560.0
Volume of solid radioactive waste which was buried during the month (cubic feet)	0.0
Cumulative volume of solid radioactive waste buried (cubic feet)	0.0
Amount of solid radioactive waste in temporary storage (cubic feet)	158.1


The Fort Calhoun goal for the volume of solid radioactive waste which has been buried is 4,500 cubic feet.

The industry upper ten percentile value is 3,072 cubic feet per unit per year. The Fort Calhoun Station was in the upper ten percentile of nuclear plants for this indicator in 1986, 1987 and 1988.

Data Source: Patterson/Breuer (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None

SEP 54



This indicator shows the reporting month disabling injury rate in column form. The 1990 disabling injury frequency rate and the 5 year average of the corresponding monthly disabling injury frequency rate are also shown.

There were 0 disabling injuries reported at the Fort Calhoun Station in February. The total number of disabling injuries that have been reported during 1991 is zero.

The 1991 disabling injury frequency rate was set at 0.31%.


The industry upper ten percentile disabling injury frequency rate is 0%.

Year	Year-End Rate
1988	1.6
1989	0.4
1990	0.5

Data Source: Sorenson/Skaggs (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None

SEP 26




DAILY THERMAL OUTPUT

The above thermal output graph displays the daily operating power level, the 1500 thermal megawatt average technical specification limit, and the 1495 thermal megawatt Fort Calhoun goal that was not met.

Plant power level was reduced to 70% in February for fuel conservation in support of the extension of power operations for Cycle 13. This power reduction will continue until the summer months when 100% power will be resumed. Reduced power operations will be resumed in the fall.

Data Source: us/Gray (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None




EQUIPMENT FORCED OUTAGES PER 1000 CRITICAL HOURS

There were no equipment forced outages reported during the month of February 1991.

The last equipment forced outage occurred in January 1991 and was due to the CEDM housing leak.

Data Source: Holthaus/Gray (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None



OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE BUDGET

The Operations and Maintenance Budget Indicator shows the budget year to date as well as the actual expenditures for operations and maintenance for the Fort Calhoun Station.


The above 1991 expenditure budgets do not reflect the extension of power operations and refuel postponement for Cycle 13.

The budget year-to-date for Operations was 11.2 million dollars for February while the actual cumulative expenditures through February totaled 9.2 million dollars.

The budget year-to-date for Maintenance was 2.7 million dollars for January while the actual cumulative expenditures through February totaled 958 thousand dollars.

Data Source: Gleason/Parent (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trends: None



DOCUMENT REVIEW

This indicator shows the number of biennial reviews completed during the reporting month, the number of biennial reviews scheduled for the reporting month, and the number of biennial reviews that are overdue. These document reviews are performed in-house and include Special Procedures, the Site Security Plan, Maintenance Procedures, Preventive Maintenance Procedures, and the Operating Manual.


During February there were 59 document reviews completed while 37 document reviews were scheduled. At the end of February, there were 96 document reviews overdue. The overdue document reviews at the end of February consisted primarily of Operations documents.

During the month of February there were 35 new or renamed documents reviewed. These new or renamed documents will need to be reviewed again in 1993.

Data Source: Patterson/McKay (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None

SEP 46



EMERGENCY DIESEL GENERATOR UNIT RELIABILITY


This bar graphs show three monthly indicators pertaining to the number of failures that were reported during the last 20, 50, and 100 emergency diesel generator demands at the Fort Calhoun Station. Also shown are trigger values which correspond to a high level of confidence that a unit's diesel generators have obtained a reliability of greater than or equal to 95% when the failure values are below the corresponding trigger values

The demands counted for this indicator include the respective number of starts and the respective number of load-runs for both Diesel Generators combined. The number of start demands include all valid and inadvertent starts, including all start-only demands and all start demands that are followed by load-run demands, whether by automatic or manual initiation. Load-run demands must follow successful starts and meet at least one of the following: a load-run that is a result of a real load signal, a load-run test expected to carry the plant's load and duration as stated in the test specifications, and special tests in which a diesel generator was expected to be operated for a minimum of one hour and to be loaded with at least 50% of design load (see exceptions and other demand criteria in the Definition Section).

The last 2 demand failures occurred in the month of June 1990 and were due to problems with DG-1's static exciter voltage regulator.

Data Source: Diesel Generator Log

Adverse Trend: None



DIESEL GENERATOR RELIABILITY (25 DEMANDS)


This indicator shows the number of failures experienced by each emergency diesel generator during the last 25 start demands and the last 25 load-run demands. A trigger value of 4 failures within the last 25 demands is also shown.

It must be emphasized that in accordance with NUMARC criteria, certain actions will take place in the event that any one emergency diesel generator experiences 4 or more failures within the last 25 demands on the unit. These actions are described in the Definition Section. A Standing Order has been drafted for the Fort Calhoun Station to institutionalize and formally approve/adopt the required NUMARC actions.

Diesel Generator DG-1 has not experienced any failures during the last 25 demands on the unit.

Diesel Generator DG-2 has not experienced any failures during the last 25 demands on the unit.

Adverse Trend: None




**AGE OF OUTSTANDING MAINTENANCE WORK ORDERS
(CORRECTIVE NON-OUTAGE)**

This indicator shows the age of corrective non-outage maintenance work orders (MWO's) remaining open at the end of the reporting month.

The number of 0 to 3 month open MWO's has been increasing since December 1990. This is not considered adverse trend since 3 consecutive months of open MWO increases have not been reported.

Data Source: Patterson/Schmitz (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None.




MAINTENANCE WORK ORDER BREAKDOWN (CORRECTIVE NON-OUTAGE)

This indicator shows the total number of corrective non-outage MWO's remaining open at the end of the reporting month, along with a breakdown by several key categories.

Data Source: Patterson/Schmitz (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None

SEP 36



**CORRECTIVE MAINTENANCE BACKLOG
GREATER THAN 3 MONTHS OLD
(NON-OUTAGE)**


This indicator shows the percentage of open corrective non-outage maintenance work orders that are greater than three months old at the end of the reporting month.

The percentage of open corrective non-outage maintenance work orders that are greater than three months old at the end of February was reported as 34.4%.

The industry upper quartile value for corrective maintenance backlog greater than 3 months old is 45.8%. The Fort Calhoun Station is currently performing in the upper quartile of nuclear power plants in this area.

Data Source: Patterson/Schmitz (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None



RATIO OF PREVENTIVE TO TOTAL MAINTENANCE (NON-OUTAGE)

The ratio of preventive to total maintenance indicator shows the ratio of completed non-outage preventive maintenance to total completed non-outage maintenance.

The ratio of preventive to total maintenance was 55.5% in February.


The Fort Calhoun goal is to have a ratio of preventive to total maintenance greater than 60%.

The industry upper quartile value for the ratio of preventive to total maintenance is 57.7%.

Data Source: Patterson/Schmitz (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None

SEP 41



PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE ITEMS OVERDUE

The purpose of this indicator is to monitor progress in the administration and execution of preventive maintenance programs. A small percentage of preventive maintenance items overdue indicates a station commitment to the preventive maintenance program and an ability to plan, schedule, and perform preventive maintenance tasks as programs require.


During February 1991, 944 PM items were completed. All PM's were completed within the allowable grace period.

The Fort Calhoun goal is to have less than 1.2% preventive maintenance items overdue. The industry upper quartile for preventive maintenance items overdue is 1.2%. The Fort Calhoun Station is currently performing in the industry upper quartile for this indicator.

Data Source: Patterson/Linden (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None

SEP 41



NUMBER OF OUT-OF-SERVICE CONTROL ROOM INSTRUMENTS


This indicator shows the number of out-of-service control room instruments, the industry upper quartile for this indicator, and the Fort Calhoun goal.

There was a total of 33 out-of-service control room instruments at the end of February. A plant outage is required to repair 12 of these 33 control room instruments.

The Fort Calhoun goal is to have less than 15 out-of-service control room instruments. The industry upper quartile value for the number of out-of-service control room instruments is 7.

Data Source: Patterson/Adams (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None




The Maintenance Overtime Indicator monitors the ability to perform the desired maintenance activities with the allotted resources. Excessive overtime indicates insufficient resource allocation and can lead to errors due to fatigue.

The percent of overtime hours with respect to normal hours was reported as 8.8% during the month of February 1991. The 12 month average percentage of overtime hours with respect to normal hours was reported as 16.3%.

The Fort Calhoun goal for the percent of maintenance overtime hours worked has been set at 25% for non-outage months and 50% for outage months.

Data Source: Patterson/Schmitz (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None



PROCEDURAL NONCOMPLIANCE INCIDENTS (MAINTENANCE)


This indicator shows the number of identified Maintenance Incidents Reports (IR's) that are related to the use of procedures, the number of closed IR's that are related to the use of procedures (includes IR's that were caused by procedural non-compliance), and the number of closed IR's that were caused by procedural non-compliance.

It should be noted that the second and third columns will lag behind the first column until the IR's are closed. This reporting method is due to the process in which IR's receive category codes. IR's receive their category codes when they are closed.

Data Source: Patterson/McKay (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None

SEP 15 & 44



MAINTENANCE WORK ORDER BACKLOG (CORRECTIVE NON-OUTAGE MAINTENANCE)

This indicator shows the number of corrective non-outage maintenance work orders that are open at the end of the reporting month.


At the end of February 1991, there were 302 corrective non-outage maintenance work orders remaining open.

The goal for this indicator is to have less than 450 corrective non-outage maintenance work orders remaining open.

Data Source: Patterson/Schmitz (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None

SEP 36



PERCENT OF COMPLETED SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES (ELECTRICAL MAINTENANCE)

This indicator shows the percent of the number of completed maintenance activities as compared to the number of scheduled maintenance activities concerning Electrical Maintenance. Maintenance activities include MWR's, MWO's, ST's, PMO's, calibrations, and miscellaneous maintenance activities.


The Fort Calhoun Station goal for this indicator is 80%.

<u>Reporting Month</u>	<u>% of Completed Scheduled Activities</u>
Week 1	66
Week 2	88
Week 3	80
Week 4	50
Week 5 (if applicable)	

Data Source: Patterson/Schmitz (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None

SEP 33



PERCENT OF COMPLETED SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES (PRESSURE EQUIPMENT)

This indicator shows the percent of the number of completed maintenance activities as compared to the number of scheduled maintenance activities concerning Pressure Equipment Maintenance. Maintenance activities include MWR's, MWO's, ST's, PMO's, calibrations, and miscellaneous maintenance activities.


The Fort Calhoun Station goal for this indicator is 80%.

<u>Reporting Month</u>	<u>% of Completed Scheduled Activities</u>
Week 1	80
Week 2	89
Week 3	86
Week 4	77
Week 5 (if applicable)	

Data Source: Patterson/Schmitz (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None

SEP 33



PERCENT OF COMPLETED SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES (GENERAL MAINTENANCE)

This indicator shows the percent of the number of completed maintenance activities as compared to the number of scheduled maintenance activities concerning General Maintenance. Maintenance activities include MWR's, MWO's, ST's PMO's, calibrations, and miscellaneous maintenance activities.


The Fort Calhoun Station goal for this indicator is 80%.

<u>Reporting Month</u>	<u>% of Completed Scheduled Activities</u>
Week 1	83
Week 2	88
Week 3	55
Week 4	59
Week 5 (if applicable)	

Data Source: Patterson/Schmitz (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None

SEP 33



PERCENT OF COMPLETED SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES (MECHANICAL MAINTENANCE)

This indicator shows the percent of the number of completed maintenance activities as compared to the number of scheduled maintenance activities concerning Mechanical Maintenance. Maintenance activities include MWR's, MWO's, ST's, PMO's, calibrations, and miscellaneous maintenance activities.


The Fort Calhoun Station goal for this indicator is 80%.

<u>Reporting Month</u>	<u>% of Completed Scheduled Activities</u>
Week 1	86
Week 2	88
Week 3	81
Week 4	68
Week 5 (if applicable)	

Data Source: Patterson/Schmitz (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None

SEP 33



PERCENT OF COMPLETED SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES (INSTRUMENTATION & CONTROL)

This indicator shows the percent of the number of completed maintenance activities as compared to the number of scheduled maintenance activities concerning Instrumentation & Control. Maintenance activities include MWR's, MWO's, ST's, PMO's, calibrations, and miscellaneous maintenance activities.


The Fort Calhoun Station goal for this indicator is 80%.

<u>Reporting Month</u>	<u>% of Completed Scheduled Activities</u>
Week 1	95
Week 2	91
Week 3	83
Week 4	77
Week 5 (if applicable)	

Data Source: Patterson/Schmitz (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None

SEP 33




NUMBER OF MISSED SURVEILLANCE TESTS RESULTING IN LICENSEE EVENT REPORTS

This indicator shows the number of missed Surveillance Tests (ST's) that result in Licensee Event Reports (LER's) during the reporting month.

During the month of February 1991, there were no missed ST's that resulted in LER's.

Data Source: Plant License Event Reports (LER)

Adverse Trend: None SEP 60 & 61




NUMBER OF NUCLEAR PLANT RELIABILITY DATA SYSTEMS (NPRDS) REPORTABLE FAILURES

This indicator shows the total number of NPRDS component failures and the number of confirmed NPRDS component failures. The total number of NPRDS component failures is based upon the number of failure reports sent to INPO. The number of confirmed NPRDS component failures is based upon the number of failure reports that have been accepted by INPO. The difference of these two figures is the number of failure reports still under review by INPO.

During February 1991, there were no confirmed NPRDS component failures.

Data Source: Jaworski/Dowdy (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None



MAINTENANCE EFFECTIVENESS


The Maintenance Effectiveness Indicator was developed following guidelines set forth by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Office for Analysis and Evaluation of Operational Data (NRC/AEOD). The NRC/AEOD is currently developing and verifying a maintenance effectiveness indicator using the Nuclear Plant Reliability Data System (NPRDS) component failures.

This indicator shows the number of NPRDS components with more than one failure during the last twelve months and the number of NPRDS components with more than two failures during the last twelve months. The number of NPRDS components with more than two failures in a twelve-month period should indicate the effectiveness of plant maintenance.

During the last 12 reporting months there were 3 NPRDS components with more than 1 failure, of which 2 of the 3 had more than two failures. The tag numbers of the NPRDS components with more than two failures are as follows: CH-1B and CH-1C.

Data Source: Jaworski/Dowdy (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None



CHECK VALVE FAILURE RATE

This indicator shows the Fort Calhoun check valve failure rate and the industry check valve failure rate.


The data for the industry check valve failure rate is three months behind the Performance Indicators Report reporting month due to the time involved in collecting and processing the data. The industry failure rate is based upon failures that have occurred in the previous 18 month interval.

For November 1990, the Fort Calhoun Station reported a check valve failure rate of $2.61\text{E-}6$ while the industry reported a failure rate of $2.49\text{E-}6$. At the end of February, the Fort Calhoun Station reported a check valve failure rate of $1.95\text{E-}6$. As of the end of November, the check valve failure rate for Fort Calhoun is slightly higher than the industry check valve failure rate. The reason for the high check valve failure rate is that the plant is performing maintenance on check valves which have not been tested for failures before. As time goes on, the check valve failure rate is expected to decrease due to the fact that the check valves are now being maintained through the Check Valve Program.

Data Source: Jaworski/Dowdy (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None

SEP 43



SECONDARY SYSTEM CHEMISTRY

The top graph, Secondary System Chemistry Performance Index (CPI), is calculated using three parameters. The three parameters used include: cation conductivity in steam generator blowdown, sodium in steam generator blowdown, and condensate pump discharge dissolved oxygen.

The CPI was reported as 0.344 for the month of January.


The CPI values for June, July, and August are high due to startup after the 1990 Refueling Outage, various fluctuations in power which have occurred, and a forced outage in August. The industry upper quartile value for this indicator was 0.16 for August 1989 through December 1989. The CPI industry value then changed to 0.24 for 1990.

The bottom graph, Hours Chemistry is Outside Owners Guidelines, tracks the total hours of 13 parameters exceeding guidelines during power operation. The number of hours outside owners group guidelines was reported as 0.0 hours for the month of December.

The above two chemistry indicators are one month behind the reporting period due to the time needed for data collection and evaluation of the station chemistry data.

Data Source: Franco/Glantz (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trends: None



PRIMARY SYSTEM CHEMISTRY PERCENT OF HOURS OUT OF LIMIT


The Primary System Chemistry - Percent of Hours Out of Limit indicator tracks the primary system chemistry performance by monitoring six key chemistry parameters. 100% equates to all six parameters being out of limit for the month. This Indicator is one month behind the reporting month.

The Primary System Chemistry Percent of Hours Out of Limit was reported as 0.535% for the month of January.

The high percentage of hours out of limit for the primary system during June and July was due to startup after the 1990 Refueling Outage and various power fluctuations which occurred during June and July. A plant shutdown and startup in September and a plant outage in November/December resulted in a higher percentage of hours out of limit.

Data Source: Franco/Glantz (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None



AUXILIARY SYSTEM (CCW) CHEMISTRY HOURS OUTSIDE STATION LIMITS


The Auxiliary System Chemistry Hours Outside Station Limits indicator tracks the monthly hours that the Component Cooling Water (CCW) system is outside the station chemistry limit. The above chemistry indicator is one month behind the reporting period due to the time needed for data collection and evaluation of the chemistry data for the station.

The auxiliary system chemistry hours outside station limits was reported as 0 for the month of January.

The industry upper quartile value for auxiliary systems chemistry hours outside station limits is 2.6 hours. The Fort Calhoun Station is currently performing in the upper quartile of all nuclear power plants for this indicator.

Data Source: Franco/Glantz (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None



IN-LINE CHEMISTRY INSTRUMENTS OUT-OF-SERVICE


This indicator shows the total number of in-line chemistry system instruments that are out-of-service at the end of the reporting month. The chemistry systems involved in this indicator include the Secondary System and the Post Accident Sampling System (PASS).

At the end of February there were a total of 5 in-line chemistry instruments that were out-of-service. Of these 5 instruments 1 was from the Secondary System and 4 were from PASS.

The Fort Calhoun goal for the number of in-line chemistry system instruments that are out-of-service has been set at 6. Six out-of-service chemistry instruments make up 10% of all the chemistry instruments which are counted for this indicator.

Data Source: Patterson/Renaud (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None




HAZARDOUS WASTE PRODUCED

This indicator shows the total amount of hazardous waste produced by Fort Calhoun each month. This hazardous waste consists of non-halogenated hazardous waste, halogenated hazardous waste, and other hazardous waste produced.

During the month of February, 0.0 kilograms of non-halogenated hazardous waste was produced, 9.7 kilograms of halogenated hazardous waste was produced, and 0.0 kilograms of other hazardous waste was produced.

Data Source: Smith/Henning (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None



MAXIMUM INDIVIDUAL RADIATION EXPOSURE

During February 1991, an individual accumulated 267 mRem which was the highest individual exposure for the month.


The maximum individual exposure to date for the first quarter of 1991 has been 680 mRem.

The maximum individual exposure reported to date for 1991 has been 680 mRem.

The OPPD limit for the maximum yearly individual radiation exposure is 4,500 mRem/year.

Data Source: Patterson/Williams (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None



TOTAL SKIN AND CLOTHING CONTAMINATIONS

This indicator shows the number of skin and clothing contaminations for the reporting month. A total of 16 cumulative contaminations have occurred during 1991.

There was a total of 237 skin and clothing contaminations in 1990.


The 1991 goal for skin and clothing is 144 contaminations.

The industry upper quartile value for total skin and clothing contaminations is 129 per unit annually.

Data Source: Patterson/Williams (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None

SEP 15 & 54



DECONTAMINATED AUXILIARY BUILDING


This graph shows the percentage of the auxiliary building which is decontaminated (clean) based on the total square footage, a Fort Calhoun goal of 85% decontaminated auxiliary building (non-outage months) and a goal of 75% decontaminated auxiliary building (outage months).

As of the end of the reporting month, 87% of the total square footage of the auxiliary building was decontaminated. An increase in the percentage of the auxiliary building which is decontaminated is expected after the auxiliary building painting is completed.

Data Source: Patterson/Gundal (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None

SEP 54



RADIOLOGICAL WORK PRACTICES PROGRAM

The Radiological Work Practices Program Indicator shows the number of Poor Radiological Work Practices (PRWP's) which were identified during the reporting month.


The number of PRWP's which are identified each month should indirectly provide a means to qualitatively assess supervisor accountability for their workers' radiological performance.

During the month of February 1991, one PRWP was identified.

Data Source: Patterson/Williams (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None

SEP 52




NUMBER OF HOT SPOTS

This indicator shows the total number of hot spots which have been identified to exist in the Fort Calhoun Station and have been documented through the use of a hot spot identification sheet. A hot spot is defined as a small localized source of high radiation. A hot spot occurs when the contact dose rate of an item or piece of equipment is at least 5 times the General Area dose rate and the item or piece of equipment's dose rate is equal to or greater than 100 mRem/hour.

At the end of February, 53 hot spots were identified and documented to exist in the Fort Calhoun Station. There were no additional hot spots identified during February.

Data Source: Patterson/Williams (Manager/Source)



GASEOUS RADIOACTIVE WASTE BEING DISCHARGED TO THE ENVIRONMENT


The gaseous radioactive waste being discharged to the environment is shown for January 1990 through December 1990. A total of 465.3 curies have been released to the environment from January through December of 1990. The Fort Calhoun Station goal was 360 curies for this indicator.

The high value of gaseous radioactive waste that was released to the environment during the month of February 1990 was due to containment purge associated with the 1990 Refueling Outage.

The gaseous radioactive waste being discharged to the environment is calculated every six months.

Data Source: Franco/Stultz (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None




The liquid radioactive waste being discharged to the environment is shown for the months of January 1990 through December 1990. The liquid radioactive waste that was discharged to the environment from all sources totaled 175.5 curies from January through December 1990. The Fort Calhoun Station goal for 1990 is 256 curies.

The bottom graph shows the volume of liquid radioactive waste that has been released from the radioactive waste monitor tanks and steam generators. The volume of liquid radioactive waste discharged to the environment from the radioactive waste monitor tanks and the steam generators totaled 20.7 million gallons from January through December 1990. The liquid radioactive waste that was released to the environment includes liquid released from the steam generators due to the fact that radioisotopes were detected in the steam generator blowdown. The liquid radioactive waste being discharged to the environment is calculated every six months.

Data Source: Franco/Stultz (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None



LOGGABLE/REPORTABLE INCIDENTS (SECURITY)


The Loggable/Reportable Incidents (Security) Indicator is depicted in two separate graphs. The first chart depicts the total number of loggable/reportable incidents concerning Licensee Designated Vehicles (LDV's); Security Badges; Security Key Control; and Access Control and Authorization which occurred during the reporting month. The bottom graph shows the total number of loggable/reportable incidents concerning security system failures which occurred during the reporting month.

During the month of February 1991, there were 61 loggable/reportable incidents identified. Security system failures accounted for all of the loggable/reportable incidents reported this month. There were no loggable/reportable incidents reported in the four (4) other major areas of concern. This is a significant accomplishment due in part to the combined efforts of Security Services and Plant Maintenance personnel. Considerable effort is on-going concerning the CCTV environmental failures as new products and methods continue to be tested. Security Services personnel continue to place emphasis in the areas of badging, LDV's, access control, and key control.

Data Source: Sefick/Woerner (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None

SEP 58



SECURITY INCIDENT BREAKDOWN


The Security Incident Breakdown Indicator has been changed. This indicator now shows the number of incidents concerning the following items for the reporting month in column form. These items include: Licensee Designated Vehicles (LDV's); Security Badges; Access Control and Authorization; and Security Key Control.

Security Items	Number of Incidents	
	Jan 91	Feb 91
Licensee Designated Vehicles (LDV's)	0	0
Security Badges	4	0
Security Key Control	0	0
Access Control and Authorization	2	0
Total	6	0

Data Source: Sefick/Woerner (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None

SEP 58



SECURITY SYSTEM FAILURES


The Security System Failures Indicator has been changed. This indicator now shows the number of incidents concerning the following items for the reporting month. These items include: Alarm System Failures, CCTV failures, Security Computer Failures, Search Equipment Failures, Door Hardware Failures, and Card Reader Failures. Alarm systems and CCTV failures will now be divided into two categories: environmental failures and failures as indicated in the Definition Section.

Number of Incidents: System Failures	Jan 91		Feb 91	
	Env. Fail.	Equip. Fail.	Env. Fail.	Equip. Fail.
Alarm Systems	5	21	3	8
CCTV	30	5	34	1
Computer	n/a	0	n/a	3
Search Equipment	n/a	7	n/a	2
Door Hardware	n/a	14	n/a	10
Card Reader	n/a	0	n/a	0
Total	35	47	37	24

Data Source: Sefick/Woerner (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None

SEP 58



AMOUNT OF WORK ON HOLD AWAITING PARTS (NON-OUTAGE)

This procurement indicator displays the amount of open, non-outage, maintenance items that are on hold awaiting parts, to the total amount of open, non-outage, maintenance items, expressed as a percentage.

The percentage of work on hold awaiting parts was reported as 4.4% in February. As of February 28, 1991, there were a total of 726 open, non-outage, maintenance items with 32 of these items on hold awaiting parts.

Data Source: Patterson/CHAMPS (Manager/Source)


Adverse Trend: None



The spare parts inventory value at the Fort Calhoun Station at the end of February 1991 was reported as \$11,739,747.

Data Source: Steele/Huliska (Manager/Source)


Adverse Trend: None



The value of the spare parts issued during February 1991, totaled \$38,446.

Data Source: Steele/Miser (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None




This indicator shows the accuracy of the actual parts count for the warehouse compared to the counts contained in the MMIS computer system for the reporting month.

During February, 1,023 different line items were counted in the warehouse. Of the 1,023 line items counted 27 items needed count adjustments. The inventory accuracy for the month of February was reported as 98%.

Data Source: Willrett/Fraser (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None




This indicator shows the percentage of the number of Pick Tickets generated with no parts available during the reporting month.

During February, a total of 739 Pick Tickets were generated. Of the 739 Pick Tickets generated, 0 Pick Tickets were generated with no parts available.

Data Source: Willrett/Fraser (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None




WAREHOUSE RECEIPTS

This indicator shows the total number of warehouse receipts, the number of spare parts receipts, the number of standard stores receipts, and the number of direct charge receipts during the reporting month.

During February the warehouse received a total of 544 receipts. Of the 544 receipts received, 132 were spare parts receipts, 115 were standard stores receipts, and 297 were direct charge receipts.

Data Source: Willrett/Fraser (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None




WAREHOUSE ISSUES

This indicator shows the total number of warehouse issues, the number of spare parts issues, the number of standard stores issues, and the number direct charge issues for the reporting month.

During February the warehouse completed a total of 1121 issues. Of the 1121 issues completed, 294 were spare parts issues, 586 were standard stores issues, and 241 were direct charge issues.

Data Source: Willrett/Fraser (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None




WAREHOUSE RETURNS

The Warehouse Returns Indicator shows the percentage of the total number of warehouse returns, the number of spare parts returns, the number of standard stores returns, and the number of direct charge returns compared to the total number of warehouse issues during the reporting month.

During the month of February there were a total of 1121 warehouse issues. Of the 1121 issues, there were 111 total returns. These returns consisted of 68 spare parts returns and 43 standard stores returns.

Data Source: Willrett/Fraser (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None




This indicator shows the number of material requests awaiting approval at the end of the reporting month broken down into their age by days.

At the end of February, 34 material requests were awaiting approval.

Data Source: Willrett/Fraser (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None




This indicator shows the percentage of expedited purchases compared to the total number of purchase orders generated during the reporting month.

During February, there was a total of 289 purchase orders generated. Of the 289 purchase orders generated, there was 0 expedited purchase.

Data Source: Willrett/Fraser (Manager/Source)


Adverse Trend: None



This indicator shows the number of invoices that are on hold at the end of the reporting month due to shelf life, CQE, and miscellaneous reasons. At the end of February, 0 invoices were on hold due to shelf life reasons, 25 invoices were on hold due to CQE reasons, and 19 invoices were on hold due to miscellaneous reasons.

Data Source: Willrett/Fraser (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None




This indicator shows the percent of material requests (MR's) for issues with their request date the same as their need date compared to the total number of MR's for issues for the reporting month.

During the month of February, a total of 739 MR's were received by the warehouse. Of the 739 total MR's received by the warehouse, 490 MR's were for issues with their request date the same as their need date.

Data Source: Willrett/Fraser (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None



OUTSTANDING MODIFICATIONS



This indicator shows the total number of outstanding modifications (excluding outstanding modifications which are proposed to be cancelled).

Category	Reporting Month
Form FC-1133 Backlog/In Progress	15
Mod Requests Being Reviewed	93
Design Engr. Backlog/In Progress	109
Construction Backlog/In Progress	29
Design Engr. Update Backlog/In Progress	66
Total	312

As of the end of February, 8 additional modification requests have been issued this year and 5 modification requests have been cancelled. The Nuclear Projects Review Committee (NPRC) has completed 22 backlog modification request reviews this year. The Nuclear Projects Committee (NPC) has completed 40 backlog modification request reviews this year. The number of reviews completed is high due to the fact that some of these requests were reviewed more than once.

Data Source: Jaworski/Turner (Manager/Source)
Scofield/Lounsberry (Manger/Source)

Adverse Trend: None



TEMPORARY MODIFICATIONS (EXCLUDING SCAFFOLDING)

The top graph shows the total number of temporary modifications (TM's) installed in the Fort Calhoun Station and the Fort Calhoun goal. The bottom graph, shows the age of all installed TM's in the plant for the respective month.


At the end of February, there was a total of 23 TM's installed in the Fort Calhoun Station. As of the end of the reporting month 9 of the 23 installed TM's require an outage for removal. The current Fort Calhoun goal for the total number of installed TM's is less than 15.

The number of TM's has been increasing. Part of the reason for this increase is the high number of TM's requiring a plant outage to remove.

Data Source: Jaworski/Turner (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None - Reason for trend is given above.

SEP 62 & 71




OUTSTANDING ENGINEERING ASSISTANCE REQUESTS (EAR's)

The top graph shows the total number of open EAR's at the end of the reporting month. The bottom graph shows the total number of open EAR's broken down by their age in months.

There were a total of 169 open EAR's at the end of February.

Data Source: Jaworski/Van Osdal (Manager/Source) SEP 62

Adverse Trend: This is an adverse trend, however the EAR's are being closed in accordance of assigned priority. The increasing total number is the result of lower priority EAR's not being completed as rapidly as newer or higher priority EAR's.



ENGINEERING CHANGE NOTICE STATUS

The indicator shows the total number of open Engineering Change Notices (ECN's), the number of ECN's opened during the reporting month, and the number of ECN's completed during the reporting month.


At the end of February 1991, there was a total of 151 open ECN's. During the month of February, 48 ECN's were opened, and 28 ECN's were completed.

Data Source: Phelps/Bera (Manager/Source)

SEP 62

Adverse Trend: This is an adverse trend. Three factors causing this adverse trend are listed below:

- 1) An ECN may be completed by PED but not closed by MWO.
- 2) Management of ECN's requires higher priority be addressed and lower priority ECN's be backlogged.
- 3) Document changes are resulting in an increasing number of open backlog ECN's due to a lower assigned priority.




ENGINEERING CHANGE NOTICE BREAKDOWN

This indicator breaks down the total number of Engineering Change Notices (ECN's) that remain open at the end of the reporting month, the number of ECN's that were opened during the reporting month, the number of ECN's that were completed during the reporting month, and the number of ECN's received by Design Engineering during the reporting month into several categories. These categories include: ECN's requiring a document change to complete, ECN's requiring substitute replacement items to complete, and ECN's requiring facility changes to complete.

Data Source: Phelps/3era (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None

SEP 62



RECORDABLE INJURY CASES FREQUENCY RATE

This indicator shows the 1991 monthly, 1990 monthly, and the FCS 5 year monthly average of the recordable injury cases frequency rates.

A recordable injury case is reported if Nuclear Operations Division personnel are injured on the job and require corrective medical treatment. The recordable cases frequency rate is computed on a year-to-date basis.


There were 2 recordable injury cases reported during the month of February. There has been a total of 2 recordable injury cases so far in 1991.

Year	Recordable Cases	Year-End Rate
1988	11	2.6
1989	11	2.2
1990	13	2.1

Data Source: Sorenson/Skaggs (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None

SEP 15 & 26



NUMBER OF PERSONNEL ERRORS REPORTED IN LER'S

The Number of Personnel Errors Reported in LER's Indicator reports the Licensee Event Reports (LER's) by their event date.


In February 1991, there were 3 LER's reported. None of these LER's were attributable to personnel error.

There have been 5 LER's reported so far in 1991 and 1 LER has been attributable to personnel error.

Data Source: Chase/Simmons (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None

SEP 15




STAFFING LEVEL

The authorized and actual staffing levels are shown for the three Nuclear Divisions.

Data Source: Sorenson/Burke (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None

SEP 24



PERSONNEL TURNOVER RATE


The turnover rates for the three Divisions are calculated using only resignations from OPPD.

Division	Turnover Rate
NOD	5.1%
PED	5.1%
NSD	1.8%

Currently, the OPPD corporate turnover rate is being reported as approximately 4.0%. This OPPD corporate turnover rate is based on the turnover rate over the last four years.

Data Source: Sorenson/Burke (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None



SRO AND RO LICENSE EXAMINATION PASS RATIO

SRO License Examination Pass Ratio

The Senior Reactor Operator (SRO) License Examination Pass Ratio Indicator shows the number of NRC administered Generic Fundamentals Exams (GFE's), the number of NRC administered Site Specific Exams, the number of NRC administered license requalification exams, and the number of OPPD administered license requalification exams.

RO License Examination Pass Ratio


The Reactor Operator (RO) License Examination Pass Ratio Indicator shows the number of NRC administered Generic Fundamentals Exams (GFE's), the number of NRC administered Site Specific Exams, the number of NRC administered license requalification exams, and the number of OPPD administered license requalification exams.

No tests were administered during the reporting month.

Data Source: Gasper/Ishtar (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None

SEP 68



LICENSE CANDIDATE EXAMS

This indicator shows the number of SRO and RO quizzes and exams taken and passed each month. These internally administered quizzes and exams are used to plot the SRO and RO candidates' monthly progress.


During the month of February 1991, no SRO exams were administered.

During the month of February 1991, no RO exams were administered.

Data Source: Gasper/Lazar (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None

SEP 68



HOTLINE TRAINING MEMOS


This indicator shows the number of Hotline Training Memos that were initiated, returned for close out, overdue less than four weeks, and overdue greater than four weeks for the reporting month.

February 1991

Initiated Hotlines	07
Closed Hotlines	21
Hotlines Overdue < 4 wks.	00
Hotlines Overdue > 4 wks.	01

Data Source: Gasper/Newhouse (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None




This indicator displays the instruction hours administered to the listed departments, number of planned classroom hours and the number of actual classroom hours for the Fort Calhoun Station.

This indicator is one month behind the reporting month due to the time to collect and process the needed information. This indicator has been changed and retitled for February 1991. Previously called Classroom (Instructor) Hours.

DEPARTMENT	DEC 90	Total Hours	JAN 91
Operations	358		552
Maintenance	794		1206
Chemistry and Radiation Protection	819		880
Technical Support	292		1094
General Employee Training	444		548
Other	288		199
Total	2993		4479

Data Source: Gasper/Newhouse (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None



TOTAL HOURS OF STUDENT TRAINING


This indicator shows the total number of student hours for Operations, Maintenance, Chemistry and Radiation Protection, Technical Support, General Employee Training, and Other training conducted for the Fort Calhoun Station.

This indicator is one month behind the reporting month due to the time needed to collect and evaluate the data

DEPARTMENT	DEC 90	Total Hours	JAN 91
Operations	2124		1880
Maintenance	3770		4024
Chemistry and Radiation Protection	1777		1504
Technical Support	1605		1202
General Employee Training	3569		3146
Other	241		941
Total	13061		12697

Data Source: Gasper/Newhouse (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None



This indicator shows the total number of Maintenance Work Orders (MWO's) that have been written over the past reporting periods for completion during the Cycle 13 Refueling Outage and the number of MWO's that are ready for work (the parts for these MWO's are staged, the procedures are approved, and the paperwork is ready for field use). Also included is the number of outage Maintenance Work Requests (MWR's) which have been identified for the Cycle 13 Refueling Outage, but have not yet been converted to MWO's. Any MWO's written after the start of the outage will be reflected in the indicator labeled Emergent MWO's. Approximately 3000 maintenance orders were completed during each of the previous two refueling outages.


A decrease in the number of MWO's ready to work is a result of reclassification of MWO's generated prior to 9/24/90. Pre-9/24/90 MWO's will be validated and converted to the 3 page format before being considered 'ready to work'.

Additional data points will be added to this indicator as information becomes available.

Data Source: Patterson/Hyde (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None

SEP 31



PROGRESS OF CYCLE 13 OUTAGE MODIFICATION PLANNING

This indicator shows the number of modifications approved for planning (to determine feasibility) for completion during the Cycle 13 Refueling Outage (RFO). Additional data points will be added to this indicator as information becomes available. The decrease in the number of MODs with final design and construction packages approved was due to a redesign effort on MOD 87-14 (replacement of HCV-249 & HCV-2988) and the on-line completion of MOD 90-40 (AI-133A/B panel ventilation).

The current schedule for completion of the modification phases of the Cycle 13 Refueling Outage is as follows.


Outage Scope Freeze*	Oct 1, 1990
Planning Documents Approved*	Feb 22, 1991
Final Designs Approved*	Apr 24, 1991
Construction Packages Approved*	Jun 15, 1991
Schedule Incorporated*	Jul 26, 1991
Material On Site*	Jul 26, 1991
Construction Started	Feb 15, 1992
Construction Complete	Mar 30, 1992
Accepted By SAC	Apr 10, 1992

* indicates milestones which have not been changed as a result of the new Jan 92 refueling outage start date. A forced outage after Nov 91 could result in an early start date of the Cycle 13 RFO.

Data Source: Patterson/Hyde (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None

SEP 31



OVERALL PROJECT STATUS (1991 REFUELING OUTAGE)

This indicator shows the status of the projects which affect the scope of the Cycle 13 Refueling Outage.

Additional data points will be added to this indicator as information becomes available.


The schedule for the Cycle 13 Refueling Outage projects is as follows:

All Projects Identified and Outage Scope Frozen	Oct 1, 1990
All Projects Scheduled in Detail	Jun 28, 1991
Procedures Ready	Oct 26, 1991
Parts Staged	Nov 16, 1991

Data Source: Patterson/Hyde (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None

SEP 31



VIOLATIONS PER 1000 INSPECTION HOURS

This indicator displays the number of NRC violations cited in inspection reports per 1000 NRC inspection hours. This indicator is one month behind the reporting month due to the time involved with collecting and processing the data.


The violations per 1000 inspection hours indicator was reported as 2.0 for the month of January 1991.

The Fort Calhoun Goal is 1.6 violations per 1000 hours of inspection for 1991.

There was a total of 7,672 inspection hours in 1990 which resulted in 20 violations.

Data Source: Chase/Howman (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None




CUMULATIVE VIOLATIONS AND NCV's
(TWELVE-MONTH RUNNING TOTAL)

The Cumulative Violations and Non-Cited Violations (NCV's) Indicator shows the cumulative number of violations and the cumulative number of NCV's for the last twelve months.

This indicator is one month behind the reporting due to the time involved with collecting and processing the data for this indicator.

Data Source: Chase/Howman (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None




OUTSTANDING CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORTS

This indicator shows the total number of outstanding Corrective Action Reports (CAR's), the number of outstanding CAR's that are greater than six months old, and the number of outstanding CAR's that are modification related.

As of the end of February 1991, there were 133 outstanding CAR's, 40 CAR's that are greater than six months old, and 6 CAR's that are modification related.

Data Source: Jurtis (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: No



OVERDUE AND EXTENDED CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORTS

This indicator shows the number of overdue CAR's and the number of CAR's which received extensions broken down by organization.

Overdue CAR's

	December 90	January 91	February 91
NOD	0	0	0
PED	0	4	0
Others	1	0	0
Total	1	4	0

Extended CAR's

	December 90	January 91	February 91
NOD	4	2	1
PED	14	12	8
Others	0	1	0
Total	18	15	9

Data Source: Orr/Gurtis (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None

1990 SALP Funct. Area	CAR's	Signif. CAR	NRC Viola.	LER's
A) Plant Operations	62	0	2	10
B) Radiolog. Controls	28	2	0	0
C) Maint/Surveil.	180	8	6	4
D) Emergency Preparedness	7	0	3	0
E) Security	26	0	6	3
F) Engr/Tech Support	172	5	3	12
G) Safety Assess/Qual. Verif.	29	0	0	0
H) Other	1	0	0	0
Total	505	15	20	29

1991 SALP Funct. Area	CAR's	Signif. CAR	NRC Viola.	LER's
A) Plant Operations	8 (2)	1 (1)	0	1 (1)
B) Radiolog. Controls	7 (7)	0	0	0
C) Maint/Surveil.	26 (14)	0	0	0
D) Emergency Preparedness	0	0	0	0
E) Security	1 (1)	0	0	1
F) Engr/Tech Support	18 (8)	0	0	3 (2)
G) Safety Assess/Qual. Verif.	3 (2)	0	0	0
H) Other	0	0	0	0
Total	63 (34)	1 (1)	0	5 (3)

Note: () indicate value for reporting month

CARs ISSUED vs SIGNIF. CARs vs NRC VIOLATIONS ISSUED vs LERs REPORTED

The above matrix shows the number of Corrective Action Reports (CARs) issued by the Nuclear Services Division (NSD) vs the number of Significant CARs issued by NSD vs the number of violations issued by the NRC for the Fort Calhoun Station in 1990 and 1991. Included in this table is the number of Licensee Event Reports (LERs) identified by the Station each year. The number of NRC violations reported are one month behind the reporting month due to the time involved in collecting and processing the violations.

Data Source: Orr/Gurtis (Manager/Source)
Chase/Howman (Manager/Source)

Adverse Trend: None

Fort Calhoun Station Performance Indicator Report
Indicator Definitions

AGE OF OUTSTANDING MAINTENANCE WORK ORDERS

This indicator tracks the total number of outstanding corrective non-outage Maintenance Work Orders at the Fort Calhoun Station versus their age in months.

AMOUNT OF WORK ON HOLD AWAITING PARTS

This indicator is defined as the percentage of open, non-outage, maintenance work orders that are on hold awaiting parts, to the total number of open, non-outage, maintenance work orders.

AUXILIARY SYSTEMS CHEMISTRY HOURS OUTSIDE STATION LIMITS

The cumulative hours that the Component Cooling Water system is outside the station chemistry limit. The hours are accumulated from the first sample exceeding the limit until additional sampling shows the parameter to be back within limits.

CHECK VALVE FAILURE RATE

The Fort Calhoun check valve failure rate and the industry check valve failure rate (failures per 1 million component hours). The data for the industry failure rate is three months behind the Performance Indicators Report reporting month. This indicator tracks performance for SEP item 43.

TOTAL INSTRUCTION HOURS

The total number of training instruction hours broken down by the department given the instruction.

CORRECTIVE MAINTENANCE BACKLOG GREATER THAN 3 MONTHS OLD

The percentage of total outstanding corrective maintenance items, not requiring an outage, that are greater than three months old at the end of the period reported.

CUMULATIVE VIOLATIONS & NON-CITED VIOLATIONS

(12 MONTH RUNNING TOTAL)

The cumulative number of violations and Non-Cited Violations for the last 12 months.

DAILY THERMAL OUTPUT

The daily core thermal output as measured from computer point XC105 in thermal megawatts.

DISABLING INJURY FREQUENCY RATE (LOST TIME ACCIDENT RATE)

This indicator is defined as the number of accidents for all utility personnel permanently assigned to the station, involving days away from work per 200,000 man-hours worked (100 man-years). This does not include contractor personnel. This indicator tracks personnel performance for Safety Enhancement Program (SEP) Item 26.

DOCUMENT REVIEW (BIENNIAL)

The Document Review Indicator shows the number of documents reviewed during the reporting month, the number of documents scheduled for review during the reporting month, and the number of document reviews that are overdue. This indicator tracks performance for Safety Enhancement Program (SEP) Reference Number 46.

EMERGENCY DIESEL GENERATOR UNIT RELIABILITY

This indicator shows the number of failures that were reported during the last 20, 50, and 100 emergency diesel generator demands at the Fort Calhoun Station. Also shown are trigger values which correlate to a high level of confidence that a unit's diesel generators have obtained a reliability of greater than or equal to 95% when the demand failures are less than the trigger values.

1) Number of Start Demands

All valid and inadvertent start demands, including all start-only demands and all start demands that are followed by load-run demands, whether by automatic or manual initiation. A start-only demand is a demand in which the emergency generator is started, but no attempt is made to load the generator.

2) Number of Start Failures

Any failure within the emergency generator system that prevents the generator from achieving specified frequency and voltage is classified as a valid start failure. This includes any condition identified in the course of maintenance inspections (with the emergency generator in standby mode) that definitely would have resulted in a start failure if a demand had occurred.

Fort Calhoun Performance Indicator Report
Indicator Definitions

3) Number of Load-Run Demands

For a valid load-run demand to be counted the load-run attempt must meet one or more of the following:

A) A load-run of any duration that results from a real automatic or manual initiation.

B) A load-run test to satisfy the plant's load and duration as stated in the test specifications.

C) Other special tests in which the emergency generator is expected to be operated for at least one hour while loaded with at least 50% of its design load.

4) Number of Load-Run Failures

A load-run failure should be counted for any reason in which the emergency generator does not pick up load and run as predicted. Failures are counted during any valid load-run demands.

5) Exceptions

Unsuccessful attempts to start or load-run should not be counted as valid demands or failures when they can be attributed to any of the following:

A) Spurious trips that would be bypassed in the event of an emergency.

B) Malfunction of equipment that is not required during an emergency.

C) Intentional termination of a test because of abnormal conditions that would not have resulted in major diesel generator damage or repair.

D) Malfunctions or operating errors which would have not prevented the emergency generator from being restarted and brought to load within a few minutes.

E) A failure to start because a portion of the starting system was disabled for test purpose, if followed by a successful start with the starting system in its normal alignment.

Each emergency generator failure that results in the generator being declared inoperable should be counted as one demand and one failure. Exploratory tests during corrective maintenance and the successful test that follows repair to verify operability should not be counted as demands or failures when the EDG has not been declared operable again.

ENGINEERING CHANGE NOTICE (ECN) BREAKDOWN

The breakdown of the total number of open ECN's, the number of ECN's that were opened during the reporting month, the number of ECN's that were completed during the reporting

month, and the number of ECN's received during the reporting month into three categories. These categories include; 1) document changes are required to complete the ECN's, 2) substitute or replacement items are required to complete the ECN's, or 3) facility changes are required to complete the ECN's. This indicator tracks performance for SEP item 62.

ENGINEERING CHANGE NOTICE (ECN) STATUS

The number of ECN's that remain open at the end of the reporting month, the number of ECN's that were opened during the reporting month, and the number of ECN's that are completed during the reporting month. This indicator tracks performance for SEP item 62.

EQUIPMENT FORCED OUTAGES PER 1000 CRITICAL HOURS

Equipment forced outages per 1000 critical hours is the inverse of the mean time between forced outages caused by equipment failures. The mean time is equal to the number of hours the reactor is critical in a period (1000 hours) divided by the number of forced outages caused by equipment failures in that period.

EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY FACTOR

This indicator is defined as the ratio of gross available generation to gross maximum generation, expressed as a percentage. Available generation is the energy that can be produced if the unit is operated at the maximum power level permitted by equipment and regulatory limitations. Maximum generation is the energy that can be produced by a unit in a given period if operated continuously at maximum capacity.

EXPEDITED PURCHASES

The percentage of expedited purchases which occurred during the reporting month compared to the total number of purchase orders generated.

FORCED OUTAGE RATE

This indicator is defined as the percentage of time that the unit was unavailable due to forced events compared to the time planned for electrical generation. Forced events are failures or other unplanned conditions that require removing the unit from service before the end

Fort Calhoun Station Performance Indicator Report
Indicator Definitions

of the next weekend. Forced events include startup failures and events initiated while the unit is in reserve shutdown (i.e., the unit is available but not in service).

FUEL RELIABILITY INDICATOR

This indicator is defined as the steady-state primary coolant I-131 activity, corrected for the tramp uranium contribution and normalized to a common purification rate.

Tramp uranium is fuel which has been deposited on reactor core internals from previous defective fuel or is present on the surface of fuel elements from the manufacturing process.

Steady state is defined as continuous operations above 85 percent power for at least seven days.

This INFO indicator uses an industry normalized letdown purification rate. The FRI has also been calculated using Fort Calhoun's actual letdown purification rate. These calculations revealed that the use of the plant's actual rate would result in an approximate 45% increase in FRI data.

GASEOUS RADIOACTIVE WASTE BEING DISCHARGED TO THE ENVIRONMENT

This indicator displays the total number of Curies of all gaseous radioactive nuclides released from the Fort Calhoun Station.

GROSS HEAT RATE

Gross heat rate is defined as the ratio of total thermal energy in British Thermal Units (BTU) produced by the reactor to the total gross electrical energy produced by the generator in kilowatt-hours (KWH).

HAZARDOUS WASTE PRODUCED

The total amount (in Kilograms) of non-halogenated hazardous waste, halogenated hazardous waste, and other hazardous waste produced by the Fort Calhoun Station each month.

HOTLINE TRAINING MEMOS

The number of Hotline Training Memos (HTM) that are initiated, closed, and overdue less or greater than 4 weeks for the indicated month. A HTM is a training document sent out for immediate review. The Hotline should be reviewed and signed within 5 days of receipt of the HTM.

HOURS CHEMISTRY IS OUTSIDE OWNERS GROUP GUIDELINES

Total hours for 13 secondary side chemistry parameters exceeding guidelines during power operation. Power operation is defined as greater than 30% power. The 13 parameters tracked are steam generator pH, cation conductivity, boron silica, chloride, sulfate, sodium, feed water pH, dissolved oxygen, hydrazine, iron, copper, and condensate pump discharge dissolved oxygen.

IN-LINE CHEMISTRY INSTRUMENTS OUT OF SERVICE

Total number of in-line chemistry instruments that are out-of-service in the Secondary System and the Post Accident Sampling System (PASS).

INVENTORY ACCURACY

The percentage of line items that are counted each month by the warehouse which need count adjustments.

INVOICE BREAKDOWN

The number of invoices that are on hold due to shelf life, CQE, and miscellaneous reasons.

LICENSE CANDIDATE EXAMS

This indicator shows the number of SRO and/or RO quizzes and exams that are administered and passed each month. The License Candidate Exams Indicator tracks Training performance for Safety Enhancement Program Item Number 68.

LIQUID RADIOACTIVE WASTE BEING DISCHARGED TO THE ENVIRONMENT

This indicator displays the volume of liquid radioactive waste released from the radioactive waste monitor tanks. The curies from all releases from the Fort Calhoun Station to the Missouri River are also shown.

LOGGABLE/REPORTABLE INCIDENTS (SECURITY)

The total number of security incidents for the reporting month depicted in two graphs. This indicator tracks security performance for Safety Enhancement Program Item Number 58.

Fort Calhoun Performance Indicator Report
Indicator Definitions

MAINTENANCE EFFECTIVENESS

The number of Nuclear Plant Reliability Data System (NPRDS) components with more than one failure and the number of NPRDS components with more than two failures during the last twelve months.

MAINTENANCE WORK ORDER BACKLOG

The number of corrective non-outage maintenance work orders that remain open at the end of the reporting month. This indicator was added to the Performance Indicators Report to trend open corrective non-outage maintenance work orders as stated in Safety Enhancement Program (SEP) Item No. 36.

MAINTENANCE WORK ORDER BREAKDOWN

This indicator is a breakdown of corrective non-outage maintenance work orders by several categories that remain open at the end of the reporting month. This indicator tracks maintenance performance for Safety Enhancement Program (SEP) Item No. 36.

MAINTENANCE OVERTIME

The percentage of overtime hours compared to normal hours for maintenance. This includes OPPD personnel as well as contract personnel.

MATERIAL REQUEST PLANNING

The percent of material requests (MR's) for issues with their request date the same as their need date compared to the total number of MR's.

MATERIAL REQUESTS AWAITING APPROVAL

The number of material requests awaiting approval at the end of the reporting month broken down by their age in days.

MAXIMUM INDIVIDUAL RADIATION EXPOSURE

The total maximum amount of Gamma and Neutron (Whole Body) radiation received by an individual person working at the Fort Calhoun Station on a monthly, quarterly, and annual basis.

MWO OVERALL STATUS (1991 REFUELING OUTAGE)

The total number of Maintenance Work Orders (MWO's) that have been written for completion during the 1991 Refueling Outage. MWO's which are written after the start of the

Refueling Outage will be labeled Emergent MWO's. Also shown is the number of MWO's which have been identified for the 1991 Refueling Outage, but have not yet been converted to MWO's. This indicator tracks performance for SEP Reference Number 31.

NUMBER OF HOT SPOTS

The number of radiological hot spots which have been identified and documented to exist at the Fort Calhoun Station at the end of the reporting month. A hot spot is a small localized source of radiation. A hot spot occurs when the contact dose rate of an item is at least 5 times the General Area dose rate and the item's dose rate is equal to or greater than 100 mRem/hour.

NUMBER OF NUCLEAR PLANT RELIABILITY DATA SYSTEM (NPRDS) FAILURE REPORTS SUBMITTED

The data plotted is the total number of NPRDS component failures (confirmed and possible) and the number of confirmed NPRDS component failures. The total number of NPRDS component failures are based on the number of failure reports that have been sent to the Institute of Nuclear Operations (INPO). Confirmed NPRDS component failures are based upon failure reports that have been accepted by INPO. Possible NPRDS component failures are based upon failure reports that are still under review by INPO.

NPRDS is the Nuclear Plant Reliability Data System, and is a utility industry users group program which has been outlined by INPO and implemented at the Fort Calhoun Station.

NUMBER OF OUT-OF-SERVICE CONTROL ROOM INSTRUMENTS

A control room instrument that cannot perform its design function is considered as out-of-service. A control room instrument which has had a Maintenance Work Order (MWO) written for it and has not been repaired by the end of the reporting period is considered out-of-service and will be counted. The duration of the out-of-service condition is not considered. Computer CRTs are not considered as control room instruments.

Fort Calhoun Station Performance Indicator Report
Indicator Definitions

NUMBER OF PERSONNEL ERRORS REPORTED IN LER'S

The number of Licensee Event Reports (LERs) attributed to personnel error on the original LER submittal. This indicator trends personnel performance for SEP Item No. 15.

NUMBER OF MISSED SURVEILLANCE TESTS RESULTING IN LICENSEE EVENT REPORTS

The number of Surveillance Tests (ST's) that result in Licensee Event Reports (LER's) during the reporting. This indicator tracks missed ST's for Safety Enhancement Program (SEP) Item Numbers 60 and 61.

OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE BUDGET

The year to date budget compared to the actual expenditures for operations and maintenance.

OUTSTANDING CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORTS

This indicator displays the total number of outstanding Corrective Action Reports (CAR's), the number of CAR's that are older than six months and the number of modification related CAR's.

OUTSTANDING ENGINEERING ASSISTANCE REQUESTS (EAR's)

The total number of open EAR's and the number of open EAR's broken down by their age in months. This indicator tracks performance for SEP item 62.

OUTSTANDING MODIFICATIONS

The number of Modification Requests (MR'S) in any state between the issuance of a Modification Number and the completion of the drawing update.

1)Form FC-1133 Backlog/In Progress

The Form FC-1133 has not been plant approved.

2)Modification Requests Being Reviewed

This category includes:

A.)Modification Requests that are not yet reviewed

B.)Modification Requests being reviewed by the Nuclear Projects Review Committee (NPRC)

C.)Modification Requests being reviewed by the Nuclear Projects Committee (NPC)

These Modification Requests may be reviewed several times before they are approved for accomplishment or cancelled. Some of these Modification Requests are returned to

Engineering for more information, some approved for evaluation, some approved for study, and some approved for planning. Once planning is completed and the scope of the work is clearly defined, these Modification Requests may be approved for accomplishment with a year assigned for construction or they may be cancelled. All of these different phases require review.

3)Design Engineering Backlog/In Progress
Nuclear Planning has assigned a year in which construction will be completed and design work may be in progress.

4)Construction Backlog/In Progress

The Construction Package has been issued or construction has begun but the modification has not been accepted by the System Acceptance Committee (SAC).

5)Design Engineering Update Backlog/In Progress

PED has received the Modification Completion Report but the drawings have not been updated.

The above mentioned outstanding modifications do not include modifications which are proposed for cancellation.

OVERALL PROJECT STATUS (1991 REFUELING OUTAGE)

The number of projects which affect the scope of the 1991 Refueling Outage and the number of projects for which detailed schedules have been submitted. This indicator tracks performance for SEP Reference Number 31.

OVERDUE AND EXTENDED CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORTS

The number of overdue Corrective Action Reports (CAR's) and the number of CAR's which received extensions broken down by organization for the last 6 months.

PERCENT OF COMPLETED SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES

The percent of the number of completed maintenance activities as compared to the number of scheduled maintenance activities each week. This percent is shown for each craft. Maintenance activities include MWR's, MWO's, ST's, PMO's, calibrations, and other miscellaneous activities. These indicators track Maintenance performance for SEP Reference Number 33.

Fort Calhoun Performance Indicator Report
Indicator Definitions

**PERSONNEL RADIATION EXPOSURE
(CUMULATIVE)**

Collective radiation exposure is the total external whole-body dose received by all on-site personnel (including contractors and visitors) during a time period, as measured by the thermoluminescent dosimeter (TLD). Collective radiation exposure is reported in units of man-rem. This indicator tracks radiological work performance for Safety Enhancement Program (SEP) Item Number 54.

PERSONNEL TURNOVER RATE

The ratio of the number of turnovers to average employment. A turnover is a vacancy created by voluntary resignation from the company. Retirement, death, termination, transfers within the company, and part-time employees are not considered in turnover.

PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE ITEMS OVERDUE

This indicator is defined as the percentage of preventive maintenance items in the month that were not completed by the scheduled date plus a grace period equal to 25 percent of the scheduled interval. This indicator tracks preventive maintenance activities for Safety Enhancement Program (SEP) Item Number 41.

**PRIMARY SYSTEM CHEMISTRY - PERCENT OF
HOURS OUT OF LIMIT**

The percent of hours out of limit are for six primary chemistry parameters divided by the total number of hours possible for the month. The key parameters used are: Lithium, Chloride, Hydrogen, Dissolved Oxygen, Fluoride, and Suspended Solids. EPRI limits are used.

**PROCEDURAL NONCOMPLIANCE INCIDENTS
(MAINTENANCE)**

The number of identified incidents concerning maintenance procedural problems, the number of closed IR's related to the use of procedures (includes the number of closed IR's caused by procedural noncompliance), and the number of closed procedural noncompliance IR's. This indicator trends personnel performance for SEP Item Numbers 15 and 41.

**PROGRESS OF 1991 OUTAGE MODIFICATION
PLANNING**

The number of modifications approved for planning (to determine feasibility) for completion

during the 1991 Refueling. This indicator tracks performance for SEP Reference Number 31.

RADIOLOGICAL WORK PRACTICES PROGRAM

The number of identified poor radiological work practices (PRWP) for the reporting month. This indicator tracks radiological work performance for Safety Enhancement (SEP) Item Number 52.

**RATIO OF PREVENTIVE TO TOTAL
MAINTENANCE**

The ratio of preventive maintenance (including surveillance testing and calibration procedures) to the sum of non-outage corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance completed over the reporting period. The ratio, expressed as a percentage, is calculated based on man-hours. This indicator tracks preventive maintenance activities for Safety Enhancement Program (SEP) Item Number 41.

**RECORDABLE INJURY CASES FREQUENCY
RATE (RECORDABLE INJURY RATE)**

The number of injuries requiring more than normal first aid per 200,000 man-hours worked. This indicator trends personnel performance for SEP Item No. 15 and SEP Item 26.

RO LICENSE EXAMINATION PASS RATIO

The RO license examination pass ratio for NRC administered Generic Fundamentals Exams (GFE's), NRC administered Site Specific Exams, NRC administered license requalification exams, and OPPD administered license requalification exams. This indicator tracks Training performance for Safety Enhancement Program Item Number 68.

**SECONDARY SYSTEM CHEMISTRY
PERFORMANCE INDEX**

The Chemistry Performance Index (CPI) is a calculation based on the concentration of key impurities in the secondary side of the plant. These key impurities are the most likely cause of deterioration of the steam generators. The chemistry parameters are reported only for the period of time greater than 30 percent power.

Fort Calhoun Station Performance Indicator Report
Indicator Definitions

**SECONDARY SYSTEM CHEMISTRY
PERFORMANCE INDEX (CONTINUED)**

The following equation is how the CPI is calculated:

$$CPI = ((Ka/0.8) + (Na/20) + (O_2/10)) / 3$$

Where the following are monthly averages of:

Ka = average blowdown cation conductivity

Na = average blowdown sodium concentration

O₂ = average condensate pump discharge dissolved oxygen concentration

SECURITY INCIDENTS BREAKDOWN

The number of Security loggable/reportable incidents broken down into the following categories.

1) Licensee Designated Vehicles (LDVs)

Incidents related to the use of LDVs, e.g., keys left in the vehicle, lost of keys, or failure to return keys.

2) Security Badges

Incidents associated with improper use and handling of security badges. Incidents include security badges that are lost, taken out of the protected area, out of control on-site, or inadvertently destroyed or broken.

3) Access Control and Authorization

Administrative and procedural errors associated with the use of the card-access system such as tailgating, incorrect security badge issued, and improper escort procedures. This also includes incidents that were caused by incorrect access authorization information entered into the security system computer.

4) Security Key Control

Incidents involving Security key control, e.g., lost Security keys, Security keys removed from site, or failure to return Security keys. This type of event does not reflect incidents concerning LDV keys.

This indicator tracks security performance for Safety Enhancement Program (SEP) Item Number 58.

SECURITY SYSTEM FAILURES

Incidents involving alarm system failures, CCTV failures, security computer failures, search equipment failures, door hardware failures, and card reader failures. These system failures are further categorized as follows:

1) Alarm System Failure - Detection system events involving false/nuisance alarms and mechanical failures.

2) Alarm System Environmental Failures -

Degradations to detection system performance as a result of environmental conditions (i.e., rain, snow, frost).

3) CCTV Failures - Mechanical failures to all CCTV hardware components.

4) CCTV Environmental Failures - Degradations to CCTV performance as a result of environmental conditions (i.e., rain, snow, frost, fog, sunspots, shade).

5) Security Computer Failures - Failure of the multiplexer, central processing unit, and other computer hardware and software. This category does not include software problems caused by operator error in using the software.

6) Search Equipment Failures - Failures of x-ray, metal, or explosive detectors and other equipment used to search for contraband. This also includes incidents where the search equipment is found defective or did not function properly during testing.

7) Door Hardware Failures - Failure of the door alarm and door hardware such as latches, electric strikes, doorknobs, locks, etc.

8) Card Reader Failures - Incidents caused by mechanical breakdown of card readers, but not improper use of the card readers. (See Access Control and Authorization)

This indicator tracks security performance for Safety Enhancement Program (SEP) Item Number 58.

SPARE PARTS ISSUED

The dollar value of the spare parts issued for the Fort Calhoun Station during the reporting period.

**SRO OPERATOR LICENSE EXAMINATION
PASS RATIO**

The SRO license examination pass ratio for NRC administered Generic Fundamentals Exams (GFE's), NRC administered Site Specific Exams, NRC administered license requalification exams, and OPPD administered license requalification exams. This indicator tracks Training performance for Safety Enhancement Program Item Number 68.

STAFFING LEVEL

The actual staffing level and the authorized staffing level for the Nuclear Operations Division, the Production Engineering Division, and the Nuclear Services Division.

Fort Calhoun Performance Indicator Report
Indicator Definitions

STATION NET GENERATION

The net generation (sum) produced by the Fort Calhoun Station during the reporting month.

STOCKOUT RATE

The total number of Pick Tickets that were generated during the reporting month and the total number of Pick Tickets that were generated during the reporting month with no parts available.

TEMPORARY MODIFICATIONS

The number of temporary mechanical and electrical configurations to the plant's systems.

1) Temporary configurations are defined as electrical jumpers, electrical blocks, mechanical jumpers, or mechanical blocks which are installed in the plant operating systems and are not shown on the latest revision of the P&ID, schematic, connection, wiring, or flow diagrams.

2) Jumpers and blocks which are installed for Surveillance Tests, Maintenance Procedures, Calibration Procedures, Special Procedures, or Operating Procedures are not considered as temporary modifications unless the jumper or block remains in place after the test or procedure is complete. Jumpers and blocks installed in test or lab instruments are not considered as temporary modifications.

3) Scaffolding is not considered a temporary modification. Jumpers and blocks which are installed and for which EEAR's have been submitted, will be considered as a temporary modifications until final resolution of the EEAR and the jumper or block is removed or is permanently recorded on the drawings.

*This indicator tracks temporary modifications for Safety Enhancement Program (SEP) Item Number 62 & 71.

TOTAL HOURS OF STUDENT TRAINING

The total number of student hours of training for Operations, Maintenance, Chemistry and Radiation Protection, Technical Support, General Employee Training, and Other training conducted for the Fort Calhoun Station.

TOTAL SKIN AND CLOTHING CONTAMINATIONS

Reportable skin and clothing contaminations above background levels greater than 5000

dpm/100 cm squared. This indicator trends personnel performance for SEP Item No. 15.

UNPLANNED AUTOMATIC REACTOR SCRAMS WHILE CRITICAL

This indicator is defined as the number of unplanned automatic scrams (reactor protection system logic actuations) that occur while the reactor is critical. The indicator is further defined as follows:

1) Unplanned means that the scram was not part of a planned test or evolution.

2) Scram means the automatic shutdown of the reactor by a rapid insertion of all control rods that is caused by actuation of the reactor protection system. The scram signal may have resulted from exceeding a setpoint or may have been spurious.

3) Automatic means that the initial signal that caused actuation of the reactor protection system logic was provided from one of the sensors monitoring plant parameters and conditions, rather than the manual scram switches (or pushbuttons) in the main control room.

4) Critical means that during the steady-state condition of the reactor prior to the scram, the effective multiplication factor (k_{eff}) was equal to one.

UNPLANNED SAFETY SYSTEM ACTUATIONS - (INPO DEFINITION)

This indicator is defined as the sum of the following safety system actuations:

1) The number of unplanned Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS) actuations that result from reaching an ECCS actuation setpoint or from a spurious/inadvertent ECCS signal

2) The number of unplanned emergency AC power system actuations that result from a loss of power to a safeguards bus

An unplanned safety system actuation occurs when an actuation setpoint for a safety system is reached or when a spurious or inadvertent signal is generated (ECCS only), and major equipment in the system is actuated. Unplanned means that the system actuation was not part of a planned test or evolution.

The ECCS actuations to be counted are actuations of the high pressure injection system, the low pressure injection system, or the safety injection tanks.

Fort Calhoun Station Performance Indicator Report
Indicator Definitions

**UNPLANNED SAFETY SYSTEM ACTUATIONS
(NRC DEFINITION)**

The number of safety system actuations which include (only) the High Pressure Safety Injection System, the Low Pressure Safety Injection System, the Safety Injection Tanks, and the Emergency Diesel Generators. The NRC classification of safety system actuations includes actuations when major equipment is operated and when the logic systems for the above safety systems are challenged.

VIOLATIONS PER 1000 INSPECTION HOURS

This indicator is defined as the number of violations cited in NRC inspection reports for the Fort Calhoun Station per 1000 NRC inspection hours. The violations are reported in the year that the inspection was actually performed and not based on when the inspection report is received. The hours reported for each inspection report are used as the inspection hours.

VOLUME OF LOW-LEVEL SOLID RADIOACTIVE WASTE

This indicator is defined as the volume of low-level solid radioactive waste actually shipped for burial. This indicator also shows the volume of low-level radioactive waste which is in temporary storage, the amount of radioactive oil that has been shipped off-site for processing, and the volume of solid dry active waste which has been shipped off-site for processing.

Low-level solid radioactive waste consists of dry active waste, sludges, resins, and evaporator bottoms generated as a result of nuclear power plant operation and maintenance.

Dry active waste includes contaminated rags, cleaning materials, disposable protective clothing, plastic containers, and any other material to be disposed of at a low-level radioactive waste disposal site, except resin, sludge, or evaporator bottoms. Low-level refers to all radioactive waste that is not spent fuel or a by-product of spent fuel processing.

This indicator tracks radiological work performance for Safety Enhancement Program (SEP) Item Number 54.

WAREHOUSE ISSUES

The total number of warehouse issues, the number of non-CQE stock issues, the number of CQE stock issues, the number of direct charge non-CQE issues, and the number of direct charge CQE issues which occurred during the reporting month.

WAREHOUSE RECEIPTS

The total number of warehouse receipts, the number of non-CQE stock receipts, the number of CQE stock receipts, the number of direct charge non-CQE receipts, and the number of direct charge CQE receipts which occurred during the reporting month.

WAREHOUSE RETURNS

The percentage of the total number of warehouse returns, the number of spare parts returns, the number of standard stores returns, and the number of direct charge returns compared to the total number of warehouse issues.

Fort Calhoun Station Performance Indicator Report

Index to SEP Indicators

The purpose of the Safety Enhancement Program (SEP) Performance Indicators Index is to list SEP items and the related performance indicators with parameters that can be trended.

SEP Reference Number 15

Increase HPES and IR Accountability Through Use of Performance Indicators	
Procedural Noncompliance Incidents (Maintenance)	25
Total Skin and Clothing Contaminations	42
Recordable Injury Cases Frequency Rate	62
Number of Personnel Errors Reported in LER's	65
CAR's Issued vs Significant CAR's Issued vs NRC Violations Issued vs LER's Reported	79

SEP Reference Number 20

Quality Audits and Surveillance Programs are Evaluated, Improved in Depth and Strengthened	
CAR's Issued vs Significant CAR's Issued vs NRC Violations Issued vs LER's Reported	79

SEP Reference Number 21

Develop and Conduct Safety System Functional Inspections	
CAR's Issued vs Significant CAR's Issued vs NRC Violations Issued vs LER's Reported	79

SEP Reference Number 24

Complete Staff Studies	
Staffing Level1	66

SEP Reference Number 26

Evaluate and Implement Station Standards for Safe Work Practice Requirements	
Disabling Injury Frequency Rate	11
Recordable Injury Cases Frequency Rate	64

SEP Reference Number 31

Develop Outage and Maintenance Planning Manual and Conduct Project Management Training	
MWO Overall Status (1991 Refueling Outage)	72
Progress of 1991 Outage Modification Planning	73
Overall Project Status (1991 Refueling Outage)	74

SEP Reference Number 33

Develop On-Line Maintenance and Modification Schedule	
Percent of Completed Scheduled Maintenance Activities	
(Electrical Maintenance)	27
(Pressure Equipment)	28
(General Maintenance)	29
(Mechanical Maintenance)	30
(Instrumentation & Control)	31

SEP Reference Number 36

Reduce Corrective Non-Outage Backlog	
Maintenance Work Order Backlog (Corrective Non-Outage Maintenance)	26
Maintenance Work Order Breakdown (Corrective Non-Outage Maintenance)	19

SEP Reference Number 41

Develop and Implement a Preventive Maintenance Schedule	
Ratio of Preventive to Total Maintenance	21
Preventive Maintenance Items Overdue	22

Fort Calhoun Station Performance Indicator Report
Index to SEP Indicators

<u>SEP Reference Number 43</u>	
Implement the Check Valve Test Program	
Check Valve Failure Rate	35
<u>SEP Reference Number 44</u>	
Compliance With and Use of Procedures	
Procedural Noncompliance Incidents (Maintenance)	25
<u>SEP Reference Number 46</u>	
Design a Procedures Control and Administrative Program	
Document Review	15
<u>SEP Reference Number 52</u>	
Establish Supervisory Accountability for Workers Radiological Practices	
Radiological Work Practices Program	44
<u>SEP Reference Number 54</u>	
Complete Implementation of Radiological Enhancement Program	
Personnel Radiation Exposure (Cumulative)	9
Volume of Low-Level Solid Radioactive Waste	10
Total Skin and Clothing Contaminations	42
Decontaminated Auxiliary Building	43
<u>SEP Reference Number 58</u>	
Revise Physical Security Training and Procedure Program	
Loggable/Reportable Incidents (Security)	48
Security Incident Breakdown	49
Security System Failures	50
<u>SEP Reference Number 60</u>	
Improve Controls Over Surveillance Test Program	
Number of Missed Surveillance Tests Resulting in Licensee Event Reports	32
<u>SEP Reference Number 61</u>	
Modify Computer Program to Correctly Schedule Surveillance Tests	
Number of Missed Surveillance Tests Resulting in Licensee Event Reports	32
<u>SEP Reference Number 62</u>	
Establish Interim System Engineers	
Temporary Modifications	60
Outstanding Engineering Assistance Requests (EAR's)	61
Engineering Change Notice Status	62
Engineering Change Notice Breakdown	63
<u>SEP Reference Number 68</u>	
Assess Root Cause of Poor Operator Training and Establish Means to Monitor Operator Training	
SRO / RO License Examination Pass Ratio	67
License Candidate Exams	68
<u>SEP Reference Number 71</u>	
Improve Controls over Temporary Modifications	
Temporary Modifications	60

Fort Calhoun Station Performance Indicator
Distribution List

J. C. Adams	R. M. Hawkins	A. W. Richard
R. L. Andrews	M. C. Hendrickson	D. G. Ried
G. L. Anglehart	R. R. Henning	G. K. Samide
W. R. Bateman	K. R. Henry	T. J. Sandene
K. L. Belek	J. B. Herman	B. A. Schmidt
A. D. Bilau	G. J. Hill	S. T. Schmitz
B. H. Blome	K. C. Holthaus	F. C. Scofield
C. N. Bloyd	M. A. Howman	L. G. Sealock
J. P. Bobba	L. G. Huliska	H. J. Sefick
C. E. Boughter	C. J. Husk	D. K. Sentel
M. A. Breuer	K. C. Hyde	P. Sepcenko
C. J. Brunnert	R. L. Jaworski	J. W. Shannon
M. W. Butt	R. A. Johansen	R. W. Short
C. A. Carlson	J. W. Johnson	J. R. Shuck
J. W. Chase	W. C. Jones	C. F. Simmons
G. R. Chatfield	J. D. Kecz	E. L. Skaggs
A. G. Christensen	J. D. Keppler	J. L. Skiles
A. J. Clark	D. D. Kloock	F. K. Smith
O. J. Clayton	J. C. Knight	R. L. Sorenson
R. P. Clemens	D. M. Kobunski	K. E. Steele
J. L. Connolley	G. J. Krause	W. Steele
G. M. Cook	L. J. Kripal	H. F. Sierba
M. R. Core	J. B. Kuhr	R. K. Stultz
S. R. Crites	L. T. Kusek	G. A. Teeple
D. W. Dale	L. E. Labs	M. A. Tesar
R. C. DeMeulmeester	M. P. Lazar	T. G. Therkildsen
R. D. DeYoung	R. C. Learch	P. L. Thompson
D. C. Dietz	S. E. Lee	J. W. Tills
D. E. Dirrim	R. E. Lewis	D. R. Trausch
K. S. Dowdy	R. C. Liebentritt	P. R. Turner
J. A. Drahota	C. J. Linden	J. M. Uhland
T. R. Dukarski	B. R. Livingston	C. F. Vanecek
R. G. Eurich	J. M. Lofshult	G. L. Van Osdel
H. J. Faulhaber	J. H. MacKinnon	J. M. Waszak
M. A. Ferdig	G. D. Mamoran	W. O. Weber
V. H. Frahm	J. W. Marcil	G. R. Williams
F. F. Franco	N. L. Marfice	S. J. Willrett
M. T. Frans	D. J. Matthews	W. C. Woerner
H. K. Fraser	J. M. Mattice	
J. F. W. Friedrichsen	T. J. McIvor	
S. K. Gambhir	R. F. Mehaffey	
J. K. Gasper	R. A. Miser	
W. G. Gates	K. J. Morris	
M. O. Gautier	D. C. Mueller	
S. W. Gebers	R. J. Mueller	
J. M. Glantz	W. L. Neal	
J. T. Gleason	J. B. Newhouse	
L. V. Goldberg	M. W. Nichols	
D. J. Golden	C. W. Norris	
D. C. Gorence	J. T. O'Connor	
R. E. Gray	W. W. Orr	
M. J. Guinn	L. L. Parent	
E. R. Gundal	T. L. Patterson	
A. L. Gurtis	R. L. Phelps	
R. H. Guy	T. M. Reisdorff	

Fort Calhoun Station Performance Indicator Report
Summary Section

ADVERSE TREND REPORT

A Performance Indicator which has data representing three(3) consecutive months of declining performance constitutes an adverse trend. The Adverse Trend Report explains the conditions under which certain indicators are showing adverse trends. Indicators with data showing an apparent adverse trend which is not considered adverse, will have an explanation which defines the reason why an adverse trend does not exist.

Outstanding Engr. Assist. Requests (EAR)

The total number of open EAR's is indicating an adverse trend. EAR's are being closed in accordance with assigned priority. The increasing total number is the result of lower EAR's not being completed as rapidly as newer or higher priority EAR's.

Engineering Change Notice (ECN) Status

Three factors causing this adverse trend are listed below

- 1) An ECN may be completed by PED but not closed by MWO.
- 2) Management of ECN's requires higher priority be addressed and lower priority ECN's be backlogged.
- 3) Document changes are resulting in an increasing number of open backlog ECN's due to a lower assigned priority.

End of Adverse Trend Report.

INDICATORS NEEDING INCREASED MANAGEMENT ATTENTION REPORT

This section lists the indicators which show inadequacies as compared to the OPPD goal and indicators which show inadequacies as compared to the industry upper quartile. The indicators will be compared to the industry upper quartile as relevant to that indicator.

Forced Outage Rate

The forced outage rate for the month of February 1991 was reported as being higher than the Fort Calhoun goal of 2.4%. The rise in the August 1990 forced outage rate resulted from 172.6 forced outage hours due to RC-3A seal problems. The September and October 1990 forced outage plant shutdown for design basis questions on containment cooling capabilities resulted in 212.0 forced outage hours. The November 1990 instrument air system line failure forced outage resulted in 60.3 forced outage hours. The December 1990/January 1991 Control Element Drive Mechanism (CEDM) housing failure forced outage resulted in 595.1 forced outage hours.

Gross Heat Rate

The year to date gross heat rate for 1991 was above the Fort Calhoun year-end goal of 10,150 BTU/KWH. The year to date high gross heat rate was high primarily due to the startup after the forced outage which ended in January 1991.

Ratio of Preventive to Total Maintenance

The ratio of preventive to total maintenance was reported below the Fort Calhoun goal of 60% and the industry upper quartile value of 57.7%. This ratio decreased in December and January due to the high number of hours in which maintenance was involved in corrective maintenance activities associated with the forced outage. A decrease in the number of scheduled PM related activity hours resulted in the below goal February ratio.

Number of Out-of-Service Control Room Instruments

The number of out-of-service control room instruments was reported as being above the Fort Calhoun goal of 15 out-of-service control room instruments and above the industry upper quartile value of 7 out-of-service control room instruments.

Fort Calhoun Station Performance Indicator Report
Summary Section

Percent of Completed Scheduled Maintenance Activities
(Electrical Maintenance)

The percent of completed maintenance activities which were scheduled for completion during the month of February for Electrical Maintenance was reported below the Fort Calhoun goal of 80%.

Check Valve Failure Rate

The Fort Calhoun check valve failure rate, as of the end of November, was above the industry check valve failure rate. The reason for the high check valve failure rate is that the plant is performing maintenance on check valves which have not been tested for failures before. The check valve failure rate is expected to decrease as the check valves are maintained and monitored through the Check Valve Program.

Secondary System Chemistry Performance Index

The CPI value for the Fort Calhoun Station has been above the industry upper quartile value of 0.24 since the first CPI value was taken after startup in May of 1990. Part of the reason for the high CPI values is the fact that the Fort Calhoun Station has been involved in various derates and forced outages since startup in May. Another reason for the high CPI values is the fact that the Fort Calhoun Station uses morpholine to control PH. The use of morpholine also raises the CPI values.

Temporary Modifications (Excluding Scaffolding)

The number of temporary modifications which are installed in the plant is currently above the Fort Calhoun goal of 15 temporary modifications. Part of the reason for the increase in the total number of installed temporary modifications, is the fact that quite a few temporary modifications require a refueling outage for removal. Currently, 9 temporary modifications require a refueling outage for removal.

End of Management Attention Report.

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR
REPORT IMPROVEMENTS/CHANGES


Volume of Solid Radioactive Waste Indicator graph has been changed. The waste identified as being sent for processing is now graphed separately from the buried waste.

Hotline Training Memos (previously titled Hotlines) has changed the graph categories Hotlines open over/under 4 weeks to overdue less than and greater than 4 weeks.

Total Instruction Hours Indicator has been changed. Its previous name was Classroom (Instructor) Hours. The format for this graph is similar to the one used on the Total Hours of Student Training Indicator.

Corrective Action Reports Current Status Indicator (CAR's > 6 mon.) has been deleted

End of Indicator Improvement/Change Report



FORT CALHOUN STATION
OPERATING CYCLES AND REFUELING OUTAGE DATES

Event	Date Range	Production (MWH)	Cumulative (MWH)
Cycle 1	09/26/73 - 02/01/75	3,299,639	3,299,639
1st Refueling	02/01/75 - 05/09/75	*	*
Cycle 2	05/09/75 - 10/01/76	3,853,322	7,152,961
2nd Refueling	10/01/76 - 12/13/76	*	*
Cycle 3	12/13/76 - 09/30/77	2,805,927	9,958,888
3rd Refueling	09/30/77 - 12/09/77	*	*
Cycle 4	12/09/77 - 10/14/78	3,026,832	12,985,720
4th Refueling	10/14/78 - 12/24/78	*	*
Cycle 5	12/24/78 - 01/18/80	3,882,734	16,868,454
5th Refueling	01/18/80 - 06/11/80	*	*
Cycle 6	06/11/80 - 09/18/81	3,899,714	20,768,168
6th Refueling	09/18/81 - 12/21/81	*	*
Cycle 7	12/21/81 - 12/06/82	3,561,866	24,329,034
7th Refueling	12/06/82 - 04/07/83	*	*
Cycle 8	04/07/83 - 03/03/84	3,406,371	27,736,405
8th Refueling	03/03/84 - 07/12/84	*	*
Cycle 9	07/12/84 - 09/28/85	4,741,488	32,477,893
9th Refueling	09/28/85 - 01/16/86	*	*
Cycle 10	01/16/86 - 03/07/87	4,356,753	36,834,646
10th Refueling	03/07/87 - 06/03/87	*	*
Cycle 11	06/03/87 - 09/27/88	4,936,859	41,771,505
11th Refueling	09/27/88 - 01/21/89	*	*
Cycle 12	01/31/89 - 02/17/90	3,817,954	45,589,459
12th Refueling	02/17/90 - 05/29/90	*	*
Cycle 13#	05/29/90 - 01/28/92	# Planned Dates	*
13th Refueling#	01/28/92 - 04/24/92	*	*
Cycle 14#	04/24/92 - 09/17/93	*	*
14th Refueling	09/17/93 - 11/14/93	*	*
Cycle 15#	11/14/93 - 03/10/95	*	*
15th Refueling#	03/10/95 - 05/07/95	*	*

FORT CALHOUN STATION
CURRENT PRODUCTION AND OPERATIONS "RECORDS"

First Sustained Reaction	August 5, 1973 (5:47 p.m.)
First Electricity Supplied to the System	August 25, 1973
Commercial Operation (130,000 KWH)	September 26, 1973
Achieved Full Power (100%)	May 4, 1974
Longest Run (477 days)	June 8, 1987-Sept. 27, 1988
Highest Monthly Net Generation (364,468,800 KWH)	October 1987
Most Productive Fuel Cycle (4,936,859 MWH)(Cycle 11)	June 8, 1987-Sept. 27, 1988