

DOCKETED
USNRC

November 2, 1982
82 NOV -3 P1:32

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of)
) Docket Nos. 50-338/339-OLA-2
VIRGINIA ELECTRIC)
AND POWER COMPANY)

(North Anna Power Station,
Units 1 and 2)

APPLICANT'S ANSWER TO PETITION FOR LEAVE
TO INTERVENE OF LOUISA COUNTY, VIRGINIA
AND THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF LOUISA COUNTY

Applicant, Virginia Electric and Power Company
("Vepco"), files the following Answer to the Petition for
Leave to Intervene filed by Louisa County and the Board of
Supervisors of the County (collectively, the "County"),
which was served on Vepco by mail on October 22, 1982.

I.

Petitioner's Interest

The County has adequately established an interest in
the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the
results of the proceeding, in accordance with 10 C.F.R.
§ 2.714 (1982).

II.

Petitioner's Contentions

The County has adequately stated at least one specific
aspect of the subject matter of this proceeding as to which

it wishes to intervene. Under 10 C.F.R. § 2.714(a)(3) (1982), the County may amend its petition up to 15 days prior to the holding of the first prehearing conference. Pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 2.714(b)(1982), the County has until 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference to file a list of the contentions that it will seek to have litigated in this proceeding and a reasonably specific statement of the basis for each such contention. Applicant will respond to the Petitioners' contentions after the list has been filed. Applicant wishes to reserve the right to raise at that time any arguments that address the admissibility of the contentions, including any arguments that it might have made at this time.

III.

Consolidation

Applicant has no objection to consolidating this proceeding with the ongoing proceeding in which Applicant has applied for amendments to its North Anna operating licenses that would authorize storage of spent fuel from Applicant's Surry Power Station in the North Anna Units 1 and 2 spent fuel pool. Applicant does not agree, however, that either shipment of spent fuel from Surry to North Anna or expansion of a North Anna Unit 3 spent fuel pool is an appropriate subject for consideration in either proceeding. Applicant will address those issues, if they are raised, when the County has filed the list required by 10 C.F.R. § 2.714(b)(1982).

Respectfully submitted,
VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER
COMPANY

Marcia R. Gelman

By: /s/ Marcia R. Gelman

Marcia R. Gelman, Counsel

Of Counsel

Michael W. Maupin
James N. Christman
Patricia M. Schwarzschild
Marcia R. Gelman

HUNTON & WILLIAMS
P. O. Box 1535
Richmond, Virginia 23212

Dated: November 2, 1982

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served Vepco's Answer to Petition for Leave to Intervene of Louisa County and the Board of Supervisors of Louisa County upon each of the persons named below by depositing a copy in the United States mail, properly stamped and addressed to him at the address set out with his name:

Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555
Attention: Chief, Docketing and Service Section

Sheldon J. Wolfe, Chairman
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Jerry Kline
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. George A. Ferguson
School of Engineering
Howard University
2300 5th Street
Washington, D.C. 20059

Daniel T. Swanson, Esq.
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

J. Marshall Coleman, Esq.
Beveridge & Diamond, P.C.
1333 New Hampshire Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20036

James B. Doucherty, Esq.
3045 Porter Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20008

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

By: Marcia R. Gelman
/s/ Marcia R. Gelman
Marcia R. Gelman, Counsel for
Virginia Electric and Power
Company

Dated: November 2, 1982