

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK: 1 2 3 4 5 6

(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

0 1 | G | A | E | I | H | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5  
7 8 9 | LICENSEE CODE | 14 15 | LICENSE NUMBER | 25 26 | LICENSE TYPE | 30 57 CAT 58

CON'T

0 1 | L | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 9  
7 8 | REPORT SOURCE | 60 61 | DOCKET NUMBER | 68 69 | EVENT DATE | 74 75 | REPORT DATE | 80

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)

0 2 | While withdrawing control rods during a normal startup, it was discov-  
0 3 | ered that control rod movement procedure had been violated. Rods 30-31  
0 4 | and 22-23 had been withdrawn past the proper notch position without  
0 5 | banking the other rods in the rod group to their proper notch position.  
0 6 | This is a violation of Tech Specs 3.1.4.1 because Rod Worth Minimizer  
0 7 | was bypassed. The health & safety of the public were not affected by  
0 8 | this non-repetitive event.

0 9 | R | B | 11 | A | 12 | A | 13 | Z | Z | Z | Z | Z | Z | 14 | Z | 15 | Z | 16  
7 8 | SYSTEM CODE | 9 10 | CAUSE CODE | 11 12 | CAUSE SUBCODE | 13 18 | COMPONENT CODE | 19 20 | COMP. SUBCODE | 20 21 | VALVE SUBCODE |  
17 | LER/RO | EVENT YEAR | SEQUENTIAL | OCCURRENCE | REPORT | REVISION  
REPORT | NUMBER | 21 22 | REPORT NO. | CODE | TYPE | NO.  
NUMBER | 23 24 | 25 26 | 27 28 | 29 30 | 31 32  
ACTION | FUTURE | EFFECT | SHUTDOWN | HOURS | ATTACHMENT | NPRD-4 | PRIME COMP. | COMPONENT  
TAKEN | ACTION | ON PLANT | METHOD | 22 | SUBMITTED | FORM SUB. | SUPPLIER | MANUFACTURER  
18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26  
X | G | Z | Z | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Y | N | Z | Z | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)

1 0 | During normal reactor startup, rods 30-31 and 22-23 were withdrawn past  
1 1 | their proper rod position without moving the other rods in the group to  
1 2 | their proper positions. The control rods 30-31 and 22-23 were inserted  
1 3 | to their proper positions and rod withdrawal proceeded in accordance  
1 4 | with the control rod movement procedure.

1 5 | C | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | NA | 30 | A | 31 | Operator Observation | 32  
7 8 9 | FACILITY STATUS | 10 11 | % POWER | 12 13 | OTHER STATUS | 14 44 | METHOD OF DISCOVERY | 45 46 | DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION | 47 80  
1 6 | Z | 33 | Z | 34 | NA | 35 | NA | 36  
7 8 9 | ACTIVITY | 10 11 | CONTENT | 12 13 | AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY | 14 44 | LOCATION OF RELEASE | 45 80  
1 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | Z | 38 | NA | 39  
7 8 9 | PERSONNEL EXPOSURES | 10 11 | TYPE | 12 13 | DESCRIPTION | 14 80  
1 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | NA | 41  
7 8 9 | PERSONNEL INJURIES | 10 11 | TYPE | 12 13 | DESCRIPTION | 14 80  
1 9 | Z | 42 | NA | 43  
7 8 9 | LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY | 10 11 | TYPE | 12 13 | DESCRIPTION | 14 80  
2 0 | N | 44 | NA | 45  
7 8 9 | PUBLICITY | 10 11 | TYPE | 12 13 | DESCRIPTION | 14 80  
ISSUED | 46 | 8209160578 820902  
PDR ADOCK 05000366 PDR  
NAME OF PREPARER H. L. Sumner - Supt. Plt. Eng. Serv. NRC USE ONLY  
PHONE: 912-367-7851

LER #: 50-366/1982-089  
Licensee: Georgia Power Company  
Facility Name: Edwin I. Hatch  
Docket #: 50-366

Narrative Report  
for LER 50-366/1982-089

On 8-8-82, during normal reactor startup for the purpose of going critical and subsequently increasing to full power operation, rods 30-31 and 22-23 were not withdrawn in accordance with control rod movement procedure. At this time Rod Worth Minimizer was manually bypassed. Rod 30-31, the first rod in rod group 3, was withdrawn to position 48 full out. Rod 22-23, the second rod in group 3, was withdrawn to position 08 at which point the reactor went critical. Startup continued in a normal fashion as a heat-up rate was established. During the process of heating up the plant, operator observed that rods 30-31 and 22-23 had not been withdrawn in a banked configuration with the rest of the rods in group 3 as specified by control rod movement procedure. The health and safety of the public were not affected by this non-repetitive event.

Rods 30-31 and 22-23 were inserted to 04 and the rest of the rods in group 3 were then withdrawn to 04 and rod movement continued in accordance with control rod movement procedure. Because Rod Worth Minimizer was manually bypassed at this time; this event was a violation of Tech Specs 3.1.4.1.

To preclude recurrences of this nature, strict adherence to the procedure has been stressed to operations personnel.