

۱. درمیانها

MICHAEL, LEAH: TEL  
RICHARD C. BISHOP: ALA  
MIKE EYNA: OKLA  
RON WYCK: OKLA  
RALPH M. HALL: TEX  
DOLG WALBREN: PA  
CARLOS COLLINS: ILL  
JOHN D. DINGELL: MICH  
(EX OFFICIO)

W. MICHAEL MCCABE  
STAFF DIRECTOR

BOB WHITTAKER: KANSAS  
THOMAS J. TARKEL: IOWA  
DON RITTER: PA  
HAROLD ROGERS: KY  
CLEVE BENEDET: W. VA  
JAMES T. BROTHMAN: NC  
(EX OFFICIO)

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ENERGY CONSERVATION  
AND POWER  
OF THE  
COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND COMMERCE  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20515

February 4, 1982

The Honorable Nunzio Palladino  
Chairman  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Chairman:

It is my understanding that the Commission will make a determination at tomorrow's meeting on the necessity of enforcement action against Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), owners of the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant. Action is under consideration due to certain possibly misleading statements made by PG&E employees and contractors at a November 3, 1981 meeting with the NRC staff. Any action taken would presumably be based on the findings contained in the Inspection Report of "Preliminary Report, Seismic Reverification Program" (NUREG-0862). This document was presented to the Commission on January 18, 1982 and released to the public on January 27, 1982.


A brief review of this document by my staff disclosed inconsistencies which were not addressed in the report. For example:

- (1) Statements of Mr. Donald A. Brand, Vice President, PG&E, Engineering, Mr. James V. Rocca, Chief Mechanical and Nuclear Engineer, PG&E, and Dr. Robert L. Cloud, President, R.L. Cloud and Associates, in the transcripts indicate that Dr. Cloud led the NRC to believe that he was solely responsible for the scope of the audit, that it was solely his product. According to PG&E personnel to whom Dr. Cloud reported, PG&E had contributed substantially to the development of the reverification program. See transcript pages 162, 163, 338, and 339.
- (2) As summarized by NRC staff, Dr. Cloud "stated he considered the draft reports as working papers...to make sure whether or not he had any of his facts wrong...that he did not even consider these documents in the nature of a draft." (page 12) Dr. Cloud's interviewer did not request him to reconcile this statement with the cover letter to the working papers, signed by Dr. Cloud, which read, "Enclosed please find "A Preliminary Report on the Design Interface Review of the Seismic Reverification Program." The enclosed working papers were labeled "draft copy."

8202090196

These examples raise questions as to the adequacy of the inspection report and the completeness of the information upon which the Commission will make a determination of the necessity of enforcement action. Accordingly, I urge the Commission to confine its actions tomorrow to the consideration of the adequacy of both phases of the inspection report. This action is requested lest a more thorough review reveal additional discrepancies which would further undermine public confidence in the Commission's ability not only to detect errors, but also to discourage their repetition.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Richard L. Ottinger".

Richard L. Ottinger  
Chairman

RLO:mb