



Commonwealth Edison

One First National Plaza, Chicago, Illinois

Address Reply to: Post Office Box 767

Chicago, Illinois 60690

July 5, 1983

Mr. Harold R. Denton, Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Subject: Quad Cities Station Unit 2
Proposed Amendment to Appendix A
Technical Specifications to Facility
Operating License DPR-30 Regarding
MCPR and MAPHLGR Limits
NRC Docket No. 50-265

- References (a): Letter, T. A. Ippolito to L. O. DelGeorge dated December 23, 1981 (QC-2, Am. 69 to DPR-30.
- (b): Supplemental Reload Licensing Submittal for Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station, Unit 2, Reload 6 (Cycle 7), (GE Doc. #22A8560) May, 1983.
- (c): NEDO-24146A "Loss-of-Coolant Accident Analysis Report for Dresden Units 2/3 and Quad Cities 1/2 Nuclear Power Stations" Revision 1, April 1979 as subsequently modified by Erratta and Addenda Nos. 1 through 10 (E&A No. 10 is attached).

Dear Mr. Denton:

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.59, Commonwealth Edison proposes to amend Appendix A, Technical Specifications, to Facility Operating License DPR-30 to accommodate a reduced MCPR operating limit for Quad Cities Unit 2 Cycle 7 and an administrative change to MAPLHGR Figure 3.5-1 sheet 3. Although the preparatory changes approved in Reference (a) sufficiently bound the impending Quad Cities Unit 2 Reload 6 Cycle 7 reload to allow application of 10 CFR 50.59, it is very desirable to modify the current MCPR operating limit to provide additional operating margin and to clarify the labeling of one of the MAPLHGR curves. These changes are being proposed on a schedule consistent with pre-startup approval in order to maximize the benefits of the increased operating margin.

Please note, however, that Commonwealth Edison's detailed reload analyses review which is currently in progress does not assume that these changes will be implemented prior to startup. By reviewing the reload relative to the current Technical Specifications, the option to apply 10 CFR 50.59 is preserved while simultaneously improving plant operating margin. In addition, the scope of staff review in support of the proposed changes involve only the MCPR limit and a straight forward labeling clarification to the MAPLHGR limits, i.e. all aspects of the Reference (b) reload analyses (enclosed as Attachment 4) need not be reviewed.

July 5, 1983

The proposed changes in Attachment 1 have received On-Site and Off-Site review and approval and are discussed further in Attachment 2. We have reviewed the amendment and find that no significant hazards considerations exist. Our review is documented in Attachment 3.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 170, Commonwealth Edison has determined that this proposed amendment is Class III. As such, a fee remittance in the amount of \$4,000 has been enclosed.

Commonwealth Edison is notifying the State of Illinois of our request for this amendment by transmittal of a copy of this letter and its attachments.

Please address any questions you may have concerning this matter to this office.

Three (3) signed originals and thirty-seven (37) copies of this transmittal are provided for your use.

Very truly yours,

C. W. Schroeder 7/5/83

C. W. Schroeder
Nuclear Licensing Administrator

lm

cc: R. Bevan - NRR
Region III Inspector - Quad Cities
G. N. Wright (Illinois)

- Attachment 1) Proposed Changes to DPR-30
2) Discussion of Proposed Changes
3) Significant Hazards Consideration
4) Supplemental Reload Licensing Submittal
for Quad Cities 2 Reload 6, Cycle 7
5) Errata and Addenda Sheet No. 10
to NEDO-24146A

SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to
before me this 5th day
of July, 1983

Rosalie A. Pianta
Notary Public