

Mr. James Keppler
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Alex Ellyn, D.

Dear Mr. Keppler,

In regards to the meeting on Aug. 11, 1982 with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and Commonwealth Edison Co. I feel there are a few things that can be changed to make this audit very effective and successful.

If C. F. Braun Co. is hired to do the audit, I feel they should not send their reports to Commonwealth Edison Co. but should report directly to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. My reasons are drawn from that C. F. Braun reports to Commonwealth Edison Co. and if Comm. Ed. feels it is important they in return will report to the NRC. I am very uneasy with the thought of what Comm. Ed. feels is an important issue. There have been a lot of things Zack has done in the past that Comm. Ed. did not feel were important. If they had at the time I believe all of this would not be going on now.

received
8/16/82 GR

I am not sure how independent C. F. Braun is. They were recommended from General Electric Co. and I. E. does have its systems at the La Salle Plant. I understand Comm. E. do. reasoning because of the background in the nuclear business that C. F. Braun has done. But it seems to me from audits I have seen & people I have heard talk in the nuclear field, that they do not take it very serious.

I heard one of the gentlemen from C. F. Braun say there were going to be visual inspections on the barges. What about the material the barges were made from? I feel these barges should be checked back to the purchase orders to find out if there are Certified Material Test Reports for the barges. If not there should be chemical and physical testing done on these.

Also when Mr. Howard brought our concerns to the N.E.C. and then later Mr. Howard and I told N.B.C. about these concerns it was for the reasons of not knowing whether the La Salle plant is safe or not. Since the time all of this originated it has been brought to our attention that

Zack is not the only problem on that site. I know you have knowledge of the things I am referring to and I believe you know without my stating them to you. If not I am sure Mr. Devine will again bring them to your attention. For these reasons I believe whichever auditors are selected, they should audit all critical areas on the La Salle site (masonry, pipefitting, bolts on the turbine casing).

I do hope you take my letter seriously and do your best to make sure the La Salle Nuclear Site is up to all federal standards on all of its construction. I believe you are interested in what the public has to pay and even though I helped bring allegations against the three nuclear sites above all I am part of that public and do live in the same state as you and the La Salle Plant.

I would like to see the N.R.C. regulating the utilities instead of the utilities regulating the N.R.C.

I thank you for all you have done and what I hope you will do.

Sincerely,
Thomas A. Marek