

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK / / / / / (1) (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)
 /0/1/ /V/A/N/A/S/1/ (2) /0/0/-/0/0/0/0/0/-/0/0/ (3) /4/1/1/1/1 (4) / / / (5)
 LICENSEE CODE LICENSE NUMBER LICENSE TYPE CAT
 /0/1/ REPORT /L/ (6) /0/5/0/0/0/3/3/8/ (7) /0/7/1/4/8/1/ (8) 0 8 1 3 8 1 / (9)
 SOURCE DOCKET NUMBER EVENT DATE REPORT DATE

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)

/0/2/ / On July 14, 1981, with the Unit in mode 1, it was determined that the Reactor /
 /0/3/ / Protection and ESF Logic Test for train A, 1-PT-36.1, had not been performed /
 /0/4/ / within the required 31 day surveillance interval +25% for the month of April, /
 /0/5/ / 1981. Since this test was performed only 1 week late, with satisfactory results, /
 /0/6/ / the health and safety of the general public were not affected. This event is /
 /0/7/ / contrary to T.S. 3.3.2 and reportable pursuant to T.S. 6.9.1.9.c. /
 /0/8/ /

SYSTEM CODE	CAUSE CODE	CAUSE SUBCODE	COMPONENT CODE	COMP. SUBCODE	VALVE SUBCODE
/0/9/ /I/A/ (11)	/A/ (12)	/B/ (13)	/Z/Z/Z/Z/Z/Z/ (14)	/Z/ (15)	/Z/ (16)
LER/RO	EVENT YEAR	SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO.	OCCURRENCE CODE	REPORT TYPE	REVISION NO.
(17) REPORT NUMBER	/8/1/	/-/ /0/5/9/	/ \ /	/0/3/	/L/ /-/ /0/

ACTION TAKEN	FUTURE ACTION	EFFECT ON PLANT	SHUTDOWN METHOD	HOURS	ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED	NPRD-4 FORM SUB.	PRIME SUPPLIER	COMP. COMPONENT MANUFACTURER
/X/ (18)	/X/ (19)	/Z/ (20)	/Z/ (21)	/0/0/0/0/ (22)	/Y/ (23)	/N/ (24)	/Z/ (25)	/Z/9/9/9/ (26)

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)

/1/0/ / The Reactor Protection and ESF Logic A Surveillance Test, 1-PT-36.1, is required /
 /1/1/ / to be performed on each train on an alternating basis. During the Unit 1 refuel- /
 /1/2/ / ing outage, Train A was tested on March 28 and Train B was tested on March 29, /
 /1/3/ / 1981. Due to a scheduling error, Train A was not performed again until May 13 /
 /1/4/ / which placed it outside of the surveillance interval. /

FACILITY STATUS	%POWER	OTHER STATUS	METHOD OF DISCOVERY	DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION (32)
/1/5/ /E/ (28)	/1/0/0/ (29)	/ NA /	(30) /B/ (31)	/ QA AUDIT /
ACTIVITY RELEASED	CONTENT OF RELEASE	AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY (35)	LOCATION OF RELEASE (36)	
/1/6/ /Z/ (33)	/Z/ (34)	/ NA /	/ NA /	
PERSONNEL EXPOSURES NUMBER	TYPE	DESCRIPTION (39)		
/1/7/ /0/0/0/ (37)	/Z/ (38)	/ NA /		
PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER	DESCRIPTION (41)			
/1/8/ /0/0/0/ (40)	/ NA /			
LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY TYPE	DESCRIPTION (43)			
/1/9/ /Z/ (42)	/ NA /			
PUBLICITY ISSUED	DESCRIPTION (45)			
/2/0/ /N/ (44)	/ NA /			

NRC USE ONLY
/ / / / / / / / / / / /

NAME OF PREPARER W. R. CARTWRIGHT

PHONE (703) 894-5151

Virginia Electric and Power Company
North Anna Power Station, Unit 1
Docket No. 50-338
Report No. LER 81-059/03L-0

Attachment: Page 1 of 1

Description of Event

On July 14, 1981 with the Unit in Mode 1, it was determined that the Reactor Protection and ESF Logic Test for Train A, 1-PT-36.1, had not been performed within the required monthly surveillance interval (including the allowable extension) for the month of April, 1981. This is contrary to T.S. 3.3.2 and reportable pursuant to T.S. 6.9.1.9.c.

Probable Consequences of Occurrence

Since the surveillance interval for Train A was missed by only one week and since the surveillance interval for Train B was satisfied the health and safety of the general public were not affected.

Cause of Event

The cause of this event was a scheduling error. The Reactor Protection and ESF Logic Test, 1-PT-36.1, is required to be performed on each train on an alternating basis (at least one train each month). During the Unit 1 refueling outage Train A was tested on March 28 and Train B was tested on March 29, 1981. Train A was not performed again until May 13, 1981 which is outside of the surveillance interval (including the allowable extension).

Immediate Corrective Action

Since this event was discovered on July 14, 1981 and 1-PT-36.1 was successfully completed on May 13, 1981, no immediate corrective action was necessary. However, a Deviation Report was submitted.

Scheduled Corrective Action

The performance schedule for this test has been reviewed to insure that it is performed in a timely manner in the future.

Actions Taken to Prevent Recurrence

No further action is required.

Generic Implications

There are no generic implications associated with this event.