

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES

BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

OFFICE OF THE CHANCELLOR
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024

August 3, 1981

Elizabeth S. Bowers, Esq., Chairman
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Oscar H. Paris
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Emmeth A Luebke
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555


In the Matter of
The Regents of the University of California
(UCLA Research Reactor)
Docket No. 50-142
(Proposed Renewal of Facility License)



Dear Administrative Judges:

Attached is a copy of our letter to the attorney for the Intervenor in the above-entitled action responding to the directive contained in the Board's Order of July 15.

In the attached letter we inform Intervenor that we intend to submit supplemented responses to certain of Intervenor's first and second set interrogatories by August 14, 1981. We trust that we have included in those proposed responses the questions to which the Board was referring in its order of July 15.



Respectfully submitted,

Wm R. Cormier

William R. Cormier
UCLA Representative

THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY
OF CALIFORNIA

cc: Service list

DS03
3
111



OFFICE OF THE CHANCELLOR
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024

July 31, 1981

Mark Pollock, Esq.
Pollock and Willis
1724 North La Brea Avenue
Los Angeles, California
90046

RE: NRC Docket No. 50-142: Status of Interrogatory
Responses

Dear Mr. Pollock:

In the Board's Order of July 15 ("Order Relative to Intervenor's Motion to Compel to Intervenor's Second Set of Interrogatories"), the Board directed UCLA "to inform CBG of its current effort to furnish further information and when it expects to be able to furnish same." The following information is provided in response to that Board directive.


In our "Answers" of May 20, 1981, to Intervenor's Second Set of Interrogatories, we indicated that we would supplement our responses to the following questions (the roman numeral indicates the contention): I. 17(d) to (j); II. 35; VI. 21; and XXI. 4, 29(a), 36, 41 and 42. In addition, in our "Further Answers" of June 11, 1981, to Intervenor's First Set of Interrogatories, we indicated in response to Interrogatory No. 6 that we would "continue to pursue this matter and ... (would) supplement ... (our) answer accordingly."

In our June 29, 1981 "Response to Intervenor's Motion to Compel" we acknowledged the inadvertent omission of several answers in our "Answers" of May 20, 1981: II. 42 and 46; III. 20, 43(d) and 38; VI. 25(a); and VII. 9(c). We also indicated that we would provide supplemental responses in an attachment ("Applicant's Supplemental Responses to Intervenor's Second Set of Interrogatories", dated June 23, 1981) to certain of our May 20 "Answers": IV. 9(a); VI. 8(c), 11(a), 40(a), (c) and (d), 36(a), 41(b) and 47(a); and VIII. 7(d), 14 and 18(a) to (h).

Of the above questions, we have yet to answer fully and/or supplement the following: I. 17(r), (i) and (j); III. 38; VI. 21; VIII. 14; XXI. 4, 29(a), 36, 41 and 42; and Interrogatory No. 6 of Intervenor's First Set of Interrogatories. Moreover, we have yet to answer those questions respecting which the Board denied our request for a protective order in the Board's July 1, 1981 Order. Except for the Contention XXI questions, we intend to submit our supplemented responses to all these questions by August 14, 1981. As an administrative convenience we intend to consolidate all our responses in a single document.

The Contention XXI questions relating to the Emergency Response Plan cannot be answered until we are able to clarify with the NRC technical staff what emergency response requirements are to apply to our facility and to rewrite our plan accordingly. Only at that time will we be able to respond to your questions which ask, generally, how we intend to respond to certain emergency situations under the provisions of our emergency plan.

Very truly yours,



William H. Cormier
UCLA Representative

THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY
OF CALIFORNIA

1 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2 NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

3 BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

4 In the Matter of)

5 THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY)
6 OF CALIFORNIA)

7 (UCLA Research Reactor))

) Docket No. 50-142
) (Proposed Renewal of Facility
) License Number R-71)

8 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

9 I hereby certify that copies of the attached:

10 AUGUST 3 LETTER TO E.S. BOWERS, ET.AL

11 in the above-captioned proceeding have been served on the following by deposit
12 in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, addressed as indicated, on this date: AUGUST 3, 1981

13 Elizabeth Bowers, Esq.
14 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
15 Atomic Safety & Licensing Board
Washington, DC 20555

Counsel for NRC Staff
Office of the Executive Legal Director
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

16 Dr. Emmeth A. Luebke
17 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Atomic Safety & Licensing Board
Washington, DC 20555


Daniel Hirsch
Committee to Bridge the Gap
1637 Butler Avenue, #230
Los Angeles, CA 90025

18 Dr. Oscar H. Paris
19 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Atomic Safety & Licensing Board
20 Washington, DC 20555

Mr. Mark Pollock
Pollack & Willis
1724 N. La Brea Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90046

21 Chief, Docketing and Service Section (3)
22 Office of the Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Mr. Daniel Hirsch
c/o Quaker Center
P.O. Box 686
Ben Lomond, CA 95005

23
24 
25 William H. Cormier
26 UCLA Representative
27
28