

58-275-323



A REASONABLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

CONVERT DIABLO CANYON

☐

(3 U.S. Nuclear Plants Have Been Converted)

☒

A "Nuclear" Diablo is unacceptable to me.

Diablo's projected life-span of 35 years is not reasonable when considering the risks associated with the plant's location (3 miles from the active Hosgri Fault), nuclear waste disposal problems, and inadequate evacuation routes.

☐

I support the blockade if a license is granted.

Frank Kinzel (+ family)
(signature)

Name (please print) F. J. KINSEL

Address 312 MAR VISTA DR

City LOS OSOS State CA Zip 93402

18 Cer.

Stamp

Here

N.R.C.

Nuclear Regulatory Commission

1717 H. Street N.W.

Washington, DC 20555

DS03
S
1/0

254 Del Mar Court
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401
July 28, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20555



Gentlemen:

We have been property owners here for eleven years. Our children and our grandchildren live here, also. We love our home and San Luis Obispo and we would never want to be forced to leave this area.

We believe that our security and our peace of mind are being threatened by the proposed licensing of the Diablo Canyon Nuclear plant. My son was living in Reading, Pennsylvania at the time of the nuclear accident at the Three-Mile Island plant. To avoid the very real danger of radiation fallout, he sent his wife and two small children to California. Ironically, now that the family has relocated in San Luis Obispo, they face the same type of danger that existed in Reading.

The location of the Diablo Canyon plant--three miles from the Hosgri Fault--poses a very real threat to inhabitants of this immediate area. Evacuation plans are at best inadequate and at worst, impossible. Nuclear waste disposal problems have not been satisfactorily solved.

We feel sure that granting a low-power license to the Diablo Canyon facility will inevitably lead to a full-power license. We respectfully request that all licensing of the plant be denied and that consideration be given to converting it to non-nuclear generation of power.

Very sincerely yours,

Robert W. Dickinson


Elizabeth F. Dickinson

DS03
3
1/0



July 28, 1981

Members of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20555



Dear Members of the Commission:

The undersigned wish to express that the operation of the Diablo Plant as a nuclear facility is unacceptable to us. The problems involved are too many and too significant. These problem as we see them are as follows: (1) an active earthquake fault is less than 3 miles away. Since the ocean floor right by the plant has not been carefully explored, it is not possible to dismiss the beliefs of some scientists that a side branch of the Hosgri Fault actually runs right to the plant site. (2) There is no effective evacuation plan for the hundreds of shut-ins who reside within ten miles of the plant. (3) There still is no proven means of disposal of nuclear waste.

For the above reasons, we firmly believe the Diablo Plant should not be licensed as a nuclear facility, but rather it should be converted to a conventional power plant.

Sincerely yours,

Constance A. Hendricks
Constance A. Hendricks

William J. Hendricks
William J. Hendricks

DS03
3
1/0

299 Hermosa Way
San Luis Obispo, Calif. 93401



DOCKET NUMBER
PROD. & UTIL. FAC. 50-275-323



A REASONABLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

CONVERT DIABLO CANYON

☒ (3 U.S. Nuclear Plants Have Been Converted)

☒ A "Nuclear" Diablo is unacceptable to me.

Diablo's projected life-span of 35 years is not reasonable when considering the risks associated with the plant's location (3 miles from the active Hosgri Fault), nuclear waste disposal problems, and inadequate evacuation routes.

☒ I support the blockade if a license is granted.

David Smith
(signature)

Name (please print) DAVID SMITH

Address 288 BUCKLEY RD

SAN LUIS

City 091500 State CA Zip 93401

18 Cent

Stamp

Here

N.R.C.

Nuclear Regulatory Commission

1717 H Street N.W.

Washington, DC 20555

DS03
S
1/0

Staple Here

Staple Here

Staple Here