



**Commonwealth Edison**

One First National Plaza, Chicago, Illinois  
Address Reply to: Post Office Box 767  
Chicago, Illinois 60690

July 20, 1981



Mr. Harold R. Denton, Director  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, DC 20555

Subject: Quad Cities Station Units 1 and 2  
Supplement to Proposed Amendment  
to Facility Operating Licenses DPR-29  
and DPR-30 Regarding Inservice  
Inspection (ISI)  
NRC Docket Nos. 50-254 and 50-265

References (a): R. F. Janecek letter to Director of NRR dated  
September 30, 1980

(b): C. Reed letter to Director of NRR dated  
April 18, 1979

Dear Mr. Denton:

In Reference (b), Commonwealth Edison proposed to amend Quad Cities Units 1 and 2 Technical Specifications in order to conform with the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code Section XI requirements so that no conflicts exist between the two documents. Also proposed were changes which eliminate the testing of redundant components when the related subsystems are determined to be inoperable.

The purpose of this transmittal is to revise the Reference (b) amendment request to include two additional changes. Attachment 1 contains the additional Technical Specification changes for Quad Cities Unit 1 and Attachment 2 contains the Quad Cities Unit 2 changes. These proposed changes have received On-Site and Off-Site review and approval and are discussed below.

Page 3.7/4.7-5 and 16

The Pressure Suppression Chamber-Dry Well Vacuum Breakers, even though not in an ASME Section XI referenced system, were required by the NRC (in June, 1980 NRC/CECo. working sessions at Quad Cities Station) to be included in the In-Service Testing (ISI) program. Revision 1 to the Quad Cities ISI program, dated 9/24/80 and transmitted in Reference (a) reflects this requirement. The rules of the ASME code were applied, and an exercising test

Aoo  
1/1

frequency of every 90 days (quarterly) is required. The Technical Specification surveillance requirements and bases have been revised to reflect this frequency.

Page 3.9/4.9-4 and 5

Reference (b) proposed changes to section 3.9.E.1 deleting the requirement to demonstrate the diesel-generator operability when another diesel-generator becomes inoperable. The next paragraph (3.9.E.2) should have been revised at this time, but was not. This change rectifies that situation and also addresses this philosophy in the Bases.

Please direct any questions concerning these supplementary changes to this office.

Three (3) signed originals and thirty-seven (37) copies of this transmittal are provided for your use.

Very truly yours,

*Thomas J. Rausch*

Thomas J. Rausch  
Nuclear Licensing Administrator  
Boiling Water Reactors

Attachments

cc: RIII Inspector - Quad Cities

SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to  
before me this 21st  
day of July, 1981

*Rosalie A. Puerta*  
Notary Public

2309N