

9980

DOCKETED  
USNRCUNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

90 FEB 27 A9:52

## ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Before Administrative Judges  
Peter B. Bloch, Chair  
Dr. George C. Anderson  
Elizabeth B. JohnsonOFFICE OF SECRETARY  
DOCKETING & SERVICE  
BRANCH

In the Matter of	)	Docket Nos. 50-250-OLA-5
	)	50-251-OLA-5
FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT	)	
COMPANY	)	Technical Specifications
Turkey Point Plant	)	Replacement
(Unit Nos. 3 and 4)	)	
	)	ASLBP No. 90-602-01-OLA-5
Facility Operating	)	
Licenses Nos. DPR-31, DPR-41)	)	February 21, 1990

MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF TIME EXTENSION

On February 8, 1990, Petitioners Thomas J. Saporito, Jr., and the Nuclear Energy Accountability Project requested an extension of time within which to file an amended petition and contentions. The requested extension was from February 20, 1990 to March 20, 1990.

On February 17, 1990, Petitioners received the Board's MEMORANDUM AND ORDER granting Petitioners an extension of time but only until March 1, 1990.

Pursuant to the suggestion of the Board, in their February 14, 1990 MEMORANDUM AND ORDER granting the partial (Extension of Time), in the footnote at page 2, Petitioners contacted the Applicant through their counsel John Butler, Esq. and also the NRC Staff counsel, Janice Moore, Esq. and Patricia Jehle, Esq. concerning a further extension of time until March 5, 1990 in which Petitioners could file their amended petition and

contentions.

All parties agreed to the extension of time and to the alternative schedule for the Board's consideration as delineated below:

<u>Date:</u>	<u>Event:</u>
March 5, 1990	Petitioners File Amended Petition <sup>1/</sup>
March 19, 1990	Applicant Files Response <sup>1/</sup> NRC Staff Files Response <sup>1/</sup>
March 22, 1990	Limited Appearance Session
March 23, 1990	Prehearing Conference

Since all parties have agreed to the above schedule, Petitioners ask that the Board reconsider Petitioners request for an extension of time until March 5, 1990 to file their amended petition and contentions.

---

<sup>1/</sup> The term "file" means that the document must be in the hands of the Board and the parties on the date specified, or that the document is placed in the hands of an overnight delivery service.

Additionally, Petitioners will place their best efforts forward to meet with the Applicant, in the spirit of cooperation, to discuss technical concerns relevant to these proceedings in a good faith attempt to narrow the issues at hand.

Respectfully submitted,



Thomas J. Saporito, Jr.  
Executive Director, NEAP  
1202 Sioux Street  
Jupiter, Florida 33458

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR TIME EXTENSION, have been served upon the following persons by U.S. mail, first class.

Peter B. Bloch, Chair ASLB  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Comm.  
Washington, D.C. 20555


George C. Anderson  
7719 Ridge Drive, NE  
Seattle, Washington 98115

Elizabeth B Johnson  
Oak Ridge National Laboratory  
P.O. Box X, Building 3500  
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

Patricia Jehle, Esq.  
Office of the General Counsel  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Comm.  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Steven F. Franz, Esq.  
Newman & Holtzinger, P.C.  
1615 L Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20036

Atomic Safety and Licensing  
Appeal Board  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Comm.  
Washington, D.C. 20555



Executive Director, NEAP