



Motor-Operated Valve Regulatory Activities

Michael F. Farnan

Mechanical Engineering and Inservice Testing Branch
Division of Engineering and External Hazards
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

MOV Users Group
January 2020



Disclaimer

- This presentation was prepared by staff of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). It may present information that does not currently represent an agreed upon NRC staff position. NRC has neither approved nor disapproved the technical content.



NRC Regulations

- 10 CFR 50.55a(b)(3)(ii) Motor-Operated Valve Testing
 - Licensees shall comply with the provisions for testing motor-operated valves in OM Code ISTC 4.2, 1995 Edition with the 1996 and 1997 Addenda, or ISTC-3500, 1998 Edition through the latest edition and addenda incorporated by reference in paragraph (a)(1)(iv) of this section, and must establish a program to ensure that motor-operated valves continue to be capable of performing their design basis safety functions. Licensees implementing ASME OM Code, Mandatory Appendix III, “Preservice and Inservice Testing of Active Electric Motor Operated Valve Assemblies in Light-Water Reactor Power Plants,” of the 2009 Edition, 2011 Addenda, and 2012 Edition shall comply with the following conditions:



NRC Regulations (cont'd)

- A. MOV diagnostic test interval. Licensees shall evaluate the adequacy of the diagnostic test intervals established for MOVs within the scope of ASME OM Code, Appendix III, not later than 5 years or three refueling outages (whichever is longer) from initial implementation of ASME OM Code, Appendix III.
- B. MOV testing impact on risk. Licensees shall ensure that the potential increase in core damage frequency and large early release frequency associated with the extension is acceptably small when extending exercise test intervals for high risk MOVs beyond a quarterly frequency. (Regulatory Guide RG-1.174)



NRC Regulations (cont'd)

- C. MOV risk categorization. When applying Appendix III to the ASME OM Code, licensees shall categorize MOVs according to their safety significance using the methodology described in ASME OM Code Case OMN-3, "Requirements for Safety Significance Categorization of Components Using Risk Insights for Inservice Testing of LWR Power Plants," subject to the conditions applicable to OMN-3 which are set forth in Regulatory Guide 1.192, or using an MOV risk ranking methodology accepted by the NRC on a plant-specific or industry-wide basis in accordance with the conditions in the applicable safety NRC evaluation.
- D. MOV stroke time. When applying Paragraph III-3600, "MOV Exercising Requirements," of Appendix III to the ASME OM Code, licensees shall verify that the stroke time of MOVs specified in plant technical specifications satisfies the assumptions in the plant's safety analyses.



Current MOV Issues/Activities

- 50.55a Rulemaking
- Regulatory Guide 1.192 Revision 3
- Anchor Darling Double Disk Gate Valve Part 21 Wedge Pin Failure Update
- NRC Initiative to Update Reactor Oversight Process (ROP) Engineering Inspections
- Focused Engineering Inspections (FEI) for Power Operated Valves (POV)



50.55a Rulemaking

- Rulemaking for ASME OM Code 2015 Edition and 2017 Edition completed
- Published in the Federal Register and issued for public comment November 9, 2018
- Public comment period 75 days (last day 1/23/2019)
- NRC staff addressed public comments
- Final rule currently scheduled to be issued March 2020



50.55a Rulemaking – Items of Interest in Proposed Rulemaking

- Add NRC Inservice Testing (IST) Plan submittal and reporting requirements (replaces ASME requirement)
- Revise 10 CFR 50.55a(f)(4)(i) and (ii) and (g)(4)(i) and (ii) to relax the time schedule for complying with the latest edition and addenda of the ASME OM or BPV Codes for IST and Inservice Inspection (ISI) programs, respectively, from 12 months to 18 months
- Streamline the references to editions of the ASME OM Code in each condition to simplify future 10 CFR 50.55a rulemaking, and to update specific conditions to reflect the latest ASME OM Code editions.



Reg Guide 1.192 Operation and Maintenance Code Case Acceptability, ASME OM Code

- Regulatory Guide (RG) lists OM Code Cases that are acceptable to the NRC for implementation in the Inservice Test (IST) of light-water-cooled nuclear power plants
- RG 1.192 Revision 2 is currently applicable to Code Cases published in the 2009 Edition through the 2012 Edition of the ASME OM Code
- RG1.192 Revision 3 is applicable to Code Cases published in the 2015 Edition and 2017 Edition of the ASME OM Code



Reg Guide 1.192 Operation and Maintenance Code Case Acceptability, ASME OM Code

- RG1.192 Revision 3 was published for public comment on August 16, 2018 with a 75 day comment period
- NRC staff has addressed all comments
- Final approval of these code cases is currently scheduled for January 2020



Anchor/Darling Update

- Failure of A/D DDGV at Browns Ferry in 2013 revealed that threaded stem-to-wedge connection had not been properly torqued
- Flowserve Part 21 notification February 25, 2013
 - Recommended assessing wedge pin susceptibility to shear and rework the valve if needed
- BWROG developed guidance to address Part 21 to include:
 - Prioritization and Screening Criteria
 - Evaluation Methods
 - Inspection and Diagnostics
 - Repair Methods



Progress to Date

- Industry has performed over 100 disassembly's, inspections, and repairs in accordance with BWROG recommended guidance
- Data review noted majority of valves inspected experienced no wedge pin failure
- Evaluation of data resulted in changes to BWROG guidance document (Revision 5) to include:
 - Allow specific application of stem-wedge thread friction to reduce the torque resisted by the wedge pin susceptibility analysis
 - Low risk valves 6 inches or smaller recommends non-repair and periodically monitor. Larger low risk valves (excluding 10-12" MOVs in high pressure applications) recommends non-repair periodic monitor only provided positive wedge pin margin demonstrated with a thread COF no greater than 0.2.



Staff Assessment of Data

- NRC staff has reviewed the submitted industry data and recommended changes to the BWROG guidance document have been incorporated into Revision 5
- Review of valves reworked data and updated recommended changes to the guidance document provide reasonable assurance that the MOVs are operationally ready
- NRC staff to review plant progress during upcoming Focused Engineering Inspections (FEI) for Power Operated Valves (POV)



NRC Initiative to Update ROP Engineering Inspections



NRC Initiative to Update ROP Engineering Inspections

- Initiative is to improve effectiveness and efficiency of engineering inspections
- Primary focus of inspections remains unchanged
- Inspection sample selection has shifted since the 1990s from verifying compliance with the original plant design bases to inspecting licensee performance in maintaining risk significant equipment
- SECY-18-0113 “Recommendations for Modifying the Reactor Oversight Process Engineering Inspections” issued 11/13/2018 (ADAMS Accession # ML18441A567)



NRC Initiative to Update ROP Engineering Inspections

- Recommended changes include:
 - Perform inspections on a 4 year cycle instead of current 3 year
 - Inspection consolidation and two new types of inspections to be performed during the 4 year cycle, Comprehensive Engineering Team Inspection (CETI) and the Focused Engineering Inspection (FEI)
 - Focusing inspection towards operating experience, aging management, facility changes, and risk
 - NRC staff is evaluating an industry proposal to allow plants to perform a licensee self-assessment in lieu of one FEI during each 4 year cycle



NRC ROP Initiative Summary

- Propose quadrennial inspection cycle, with a CETI or FEI inspection every year at each site. (1 CETI and 3 FEI)
- CETI to incorporate aspects of modifications, 10 CFR 50.59, and design bases assurance inspection with a focus on operating experience, aging management, and changes to the design basis and PRA model
- Development and implementation of new FEIs
- FEIs are intended to verify the licensee's implementation of NRC approved engineering programs (e.g., MOV, AOV, EQ). Topics chosen based on risk, operating experience and potential for engineering challenges.



FEI – POVs

- FEI for POVs will evaluate capability
 - Valve/Actuator design and safety function
 - Design basis conditions
 - Uncertainty assumptions applied
 - Diagnostic equipment
 - Weak link evaluations
 - Design basis capability tests
 - Design basis capability basis
- NRC staff has developed training for regional inspectors on implementation of FEI process
- Training to be completed by first quarter of 2020

POV Actuators and Valve Types

- POVs include:
 - motor-operated valves (MOVs)
 - pneumatic-operated valves (AOVs)
 - hydraulic-operated valves (HOVs)
 - solenoid-operated valves (SOVs)
 - pyrotechnic-actuated (Squib) valves
- Valve types include gate, globe, butterfly, ball, and plug valves with variations of these valve types.



POV FEI Procedure

ADAMS Accession No. ML19067A240



POV FEI Procedure

- Sample Selection
- POV Detailed Review
- Scope
- Design
- Testing
- Maintenance



Sample Selection

- As pre-inspection activity, about 30 POVs will be selected based on NRR and SRA input:
 - Multiple systems
 - MOVs, AOVs, HOVs, SOVs, and Squib Valves (as applicable)
 - Risk assessment
 - Historical performance
 - Various sizes, types, and manufacturers
- For the 30 valves selected request licensee to make available:
 - Design-basis capability information including function, safety significance, sizing, margin, and setting assumptions

POV Data Entry Form

POV Data Entry			
Docket	<input type="text"/>	PLANT	<input type="text"/>
Valve ID	<input type="text"/>	Date POV Inspection	<input type="text"/>
System Description	<input type="text"/>		
		POV Type	<input type="text"/>
Valve Information		Actuator Information	
Valve Type	<input type="text"/>	Actuator Model	<input type="text"/>
Valve Manufacturer	<input type="text"/>	Actuator Manufacturer	<input type="text"/>
Size (inches)	<input type="text"/>	Motor Type	<input type="text"/>
Safety Function	<input type="text"/>	Motor Manufacturer	<input type="text"/>
ASME Class	<input type="text"/>	Motor Size	<input type="text"/> ft-lbs
Risk	<input type="text"/>	Control Switch Trip Close	<input type="text"/>
		Control Switch Trip Open	<input type="text"/>

POV Data Entry Form

Design Information					
Required Thrust Close	<input type="text"/>	lbs	LSB Assumed (percent)	<input type="text"/>	%
Required Torque Close	<input type="text"/>	ft-lbs	Bearing COF Assumed (AOV)	<input type="text"/>	
Required Thrust Open	<input type="text"/>	lbs	Min Air Begin Stroke (AOV)	<input type="text"/>	psig
Required Torque Open	<input type="text"/>	ft-lbs	Min Air End Stroke (AOV)	<input type="text"/>	psig
Design D/P Close	<input type="text"/>	psig	Max Air Begin Stroke (AOV)	<input type="text"/>	psig
Design D/P Open	<input type="text"/>	psig	Max Air End Stroke (AOV)	<input type="text"/>	psig
Design Flow Close	<input type="text"/>	gpm	Min Spring Preload Begin	<input type="text"/>	psig
Design Flow Open	<input type="text"/>	gpm	Min Spring Preload End	<input type="text"/>	psig
Valve Factor Assumed Close	<input type="text"/>		Max Spring Preload Begin	<input type="text"/>	psig
Valve Factor Assumed Open	<input type="text"/>		Max Spring Preload End	<input type="text"/>	psig
Stem COF Assumed	<input type="text"/>		Least Available	<input type="text"/>	lbs

POV Data Entry Form

Test Information					
Test D/P Close	<input type="text"/>	psig	Test Thrust Close	<input type="text"/>	lbs
Test Pressure Close	<input type="text"/>	psig	Test Torque Close	<input type="text"/>	ft-lbs
Test Flow Close	<input type="text"/>	gpm	Test Thrust Open	<input type="text"/>	lbs
Test System Temp Close	<input type="text"/>	°F	Test Torque Open	<input type="text"/>	ft-lbs
Test Ambient Temp Close	<input type="text"/>	°F	Valve Factor Measured Close	<input type="text"/>	
Test Motor Voltage Close	<input type="text"/>		Valve Factor Measure Open	<input type="text"/>	
Test D/P Open	<input type="text"/>	psig	Valve Factor Available Close	<input type="text"/>	
Test Pressure Open	<input type="text"/>	psig	Valve Factor Available Open	<input type="text"/>	
Test Flow Open	<input type="text"/>	gpm	Stem COF Measured Close	<input type="text"/>	
Test System Temp Open	<input type="text"/>	°F	Stem COF Measured Open	<input type="text"/>	
Test Ambient Temp Open	<input type="text"/>	°F	LSB Measured	<input type="text"/>	%
Test Motor Voltage Open	<input type="text"/>		Bearing COF Measured Close	<input type="text"/>	
% Uncertainty Applied	<input type="text"/>	%	Bearing COF Measured Open	<input type="text"/>	

POV Data Entry Form

POV Qualifying Basis

% Margin Close % Margin Open

Design Basis

Comments:

Record: 14 62 of 62 No Filter Search

Enter the Docket number last three digits

Sample Selection

(cont'd)

- Based on POV design-basis capability information, the selection of a POV sample for detailed inspection review shall consider:
 - System Risk
 - POVs with high incidence of corrective maintenance and/or poor performance
 - POVs with low margin
 - POVs with questionable assumptions (e.g., low VF, low friction values, not all uncertainties captured)
 - POVs in systems with untreated water
 - POVs in high energy systems
 - POVs in elevated environments (e.g., high temperature, high radiation area)



POV Detailed Review

- Approximately 10 POVs will be selected for a detailed review and assessment of operational readiness to perform their design-basis functions.
- Selection will be based on performance assumptions (such as valve factor, stem friction coefficient, rate of loading, degraded voltage, bearing torque coefficient, and uncertainties) and margin assessment.
- Sample size may be expanded based on inspection experience with specific types of POVs and their valves.



Scope

- Sampled POVs will be evaluated to be within scope of licensee's activities consistent with NRC regulations.
 - Sampled POVs are being addressed by applicable regulatory requirements, such as 10 CFR 50.55a (IST), 10 CFR 50.49 (environmental qualification), and Appendix B to 10 CFR Part 50 (quality assurance).
 - Licensee is implementing applicable ASME OM Code as incorporated by reference in 10 CFR 50.55a for IST program for sampled POVs.
 - Licensee is implementing applicable commitments to provide reasonable assurance of POV capability, such as GLs 89-10, 95-07 and 96-05.



Design

- Sampled POVs will be evaluated for capability of performing design-basis functions to meet applicable regulatory requirements and commitments.
 - Evaluation of licensee design bases documentation demonstrating that sampled POVs are capable of performing their design-basis functions and meet applicable codes and commitments, including design documents and calculations for POV functional requirements under normal, abnormal, and accident conditions.
 - Confirm adequacy of POV operating requirements and actuator sizing; methods for selecting, setting, and adjusting POVs, as applicable; and modifications to system or valves that could affect POV capability in as-modified configuration.



Testing

- Evaluate whether testing of sampled POVs satisfies regulatory requirements and commitments for POV design-basis capability, and Preservice Test (PST) and Inservice Test (IST)
 - Confirm adequacy of documents for verification of POV design-basis capability.
 - Ensure that PST and IST procedures satisfy ASME OM Code as incorporated by reference in 10 CFR 50.55a.
 - Confirm adequacy of test equipment and instrumentation, including calibration.
 - Verify training of licensee evaluation and test personnel.
 - Confirm proper test acceptance criteria.
 - Evaluate test results for sampled POVs.
 - If testing is conducted during inspection, review ongoing testing activities for sampled POVs and evaluate test results.



Maintenance

- Evaluate maintenance activities including walkdown of sampled POVs.
 - Review available POV monitoring reports, failure analyses, corrective actions, nonconformance reports, or other plant documents that may indicate that a POV is not properly sized, has improper settings, or is not properly maintained, as applicable.
 - Review POV preventive maintenance to determine whether it is appropriate for frequency of operation, working environment, and operational experience.
 - Determine whether licensee is periodically reviewing information related to POV failures and effectiveness of corrective actions.



Maintenance

(cont'd)

- Review sample of POV maintenance packages and determine whether post-maintenance tests and results demonstrate that POVs are capable of performing their design-basis functions.
- Review adequacy of licensee's processing and control of POV operating experience information and vendor notifications.
- Evaluate implementation of licensee's activities to periodically verify POV design-basis capability.
- Review significant changes made in activities affecting sampled POVs since previous NRC reviews or inspections.



QUESTIONS?

Future Questions

Michael.Farnan@nrc.gov

301-415-1486