

From: [Alicia Barker](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Against
Date: Tuesday, November 19, 2019 12:54:14 PM

Gentlemen:

I want to formally stand against the efforts to bring Nuclear Waste through Midland and deposit it near where I and my family live for the reasons listed below.

The US depends on the Permian Basin to keep energy costs down for consumers, the economy humming, and the nation energy dominant and secure. Remote GTCC sites located in the heart of the Permian Basin will likely become terrorist targets in my/our opinion. A terrorist attack on these sites causing a major contamination incident could result in the shut-down of needed Permian Basin production.

Title to the GTCC nuclear waste should only be transferred to the U.S. Federal Government as only the U.S. government has the financial wherewithal to handle a serious radioactive release and can generally provide the perpetual institutional security required.

Sites having substantial strategic natural resources such as oil & gas under and nearby should not qualify and in fact should never be considered for licensing.

Areas that have limited freshwater resources such as the Permian Basin should not be candidates for GTCC waste as a release could cause groundwater contamination that would be catastrophic to the region.

Approval by the governor and the legislature of a state should be required to approve a GTCC site.

Sites that cannot be adequately protected by the U.S. military against terrorist attacks should not qualify.

Any site designated for accepting spent fuel must be on Federal land under Federal authority to assure the long-term (500 hundred year) security of the site and regulation by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Any off-site storage of spent nuclear fuel should be located in East of Mississippi River, the region that has the vast majority of the nuclear plants, to minimize transportation of this dangerous material.

Sincerely,

Alicia Barker

sent from aLiCia's Samsung Galaxy S9

From: [DiAnn Barker](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2017-0081
Date: Tuesday, November 19, 2019 10:02:29 AM

Gentlemen:

I want to formally stand against the efforts to bring Nuclear Waste through Midland and deposit it near where I and my family live for the reasons listed below.

The US depends on the Permian Basin to keep energy costs down for consumers, the economy humming, and the nation energy dominant and secure. Remote GTCC sites located in the heart of the Permian Basin will likely become terrorist targets in my/our opinion. A terrorist attack on these sites causing a major contamination incident could result in the shut-down of needed Permian Basin production.

Title to the GTCC nuclear waste should only be transferred to the U.S. Federal Government as only the U.S. government has the financial wherewithal to handle a serious radioactive release and can generally provide the perpetual institutional security required.

Sites having substantial strategic natural resources such as oil & gas under and nearby should not qualify and in fact should never be considered for licensing.

Areas that have limited freshwater resources such as the Permian Basin should not be candidates for GTCC waste as a release could cause groundwater contamination that would be catastrophic to the region.

Approval by the governor and the legislature of a state should be required to approve a GTCC site.

Sites that cannot be adequately protected by the U.S. military against terrorist attacks should not qualify.

Any site designated for accepting spent fuel must be on Federal land under Federal authority to assure the long-term (500 hundred year) security of the site and regulation by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Any off-site storage of spent nuclear fuel should be located in East of Mississippi River, the region that has the vast majority of the nuclear plants, to minimize transportation of this dangerous material.

Sincerely,

DiAnn Barker