

MINUTES: MANAGEMENT REVIEW BOARD MEETING OF WISCONSIN
SEPTEMBER 24, 2019

THE MANAGEMENT REVIEW BOARD MEETING ATTENDEES WERE AS FOLLOWS:

Management Review Board

K. Steven West, MRB Chair, OEDO
John Lubinski, MRB Member, NMSS
Mary Spencer, MRB Member, OGC

David Lew, Region I
Earl Fordham, OAS Liaison, WA

IMPEP Team

Stephen Poy, Team Member in Training, NMSS
Robert Elliott, Region I
Darren Piccirillo, Region III

Randy Erickson, Team Leader, Region IV
Michael Reichard, Region I
Leo Bakersmith, FL

State of Wisconsin

Paul Schmidt
Joe Elliott
Megan Shober

Mark Paulson
Reggie Roberts
Mike Stevens

Staff

Andrea Kock, NMSS/MSST
Lizette Roldan-Otero, NMSS/MSST
Kathy Modes, NMSS/MSST
Jazel Parks, NMSS/MSST
Stephanie Dingbaum, OIG

Paul Michalak, NMSS/MSST
Robert Johnson, NMSS/MSST
Joe O'Hara, NMSS/MSST
Dave Pelton, Region III

Members of the Public

Jennifer Opila, Colorado
Jeff Dauzat, Louisiana

Angela Leek, Iowa
Morgan Bullock, Washington

TOPICS DISCUSSED DURING THE MEETING INCLUDED:

1. Convention. Mr. Robert Johnson convened the meeting at approximately 1:00 p.m. (ET). He noted that this Management Review Board (MRB) meeting was open to the public. Introductions of the attendees were conducted.
2. Wisconsin IMPEP Review. Mr. Stephen Poy, Team Leader in Training, led the presentation of the Wisconsin Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP) review results to the MRB. He summarized the review and the team's findings for the indicators reviewed. The on-site review was conducted by a team composed of technical staff members from the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), the State of Florida during the period of July 8-12, 2019. A draft report was issued to Wisconsin for factual comment on August 7, 2019. Mr. Poy reported that the team found Wisconsin's performance was satisfactory on all indicators reviewed.

3. Performance Indicators.

- a) Mr. Darren Piccirillo reviewed and presented the common performance indicator, **Technical Staffing and Training**. His presentation corresponded to Section 3.1 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and Wisconsin representatives briefly discussed the status of the staff hired during the review period and the impact of vacancies on the Agreement State Program.

The team found Wisconsin's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB agreed.

- b) Mr. Stephen Poy reviewed and presented the common performance indicator, **Status of Materials Inspection Program**. His presentation corresponded to Section 3.2 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and Wisconsin representatives briefly discussed inspection findings.

The team found Wisconsin's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB agreed.

- c) Mr. Michael Reichard reviewed and presented the common performance indicator, **Technical Quality of Inspections**. His presentation corresponded to Section 3.3 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and Wisconsin representatives briefly discussed the status of inspection actions.

The team found Wisconsin's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB agreed.

- d) Robin Elliot reviewed the common performance indicator, **Technical Quality of Licensing Actions**. Ms. Elliot presented the teams findings. Her presentation corresponded to Section 3.4 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and Wisconsin's representatives discussed the team's findings, as well as the actions the program had taken to address them.

The team found Wisconsin's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB agreed. The MRB also agreed with the team's recommendation.

- e) Mr. Leo Bakersmith reviewed and presented the common performance indicator, **Technical Quality of Incident and Allegation Activities**. His presentation corresponded to Section 3.5 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and Wisconsin representatives discussed incidents of "high risk" and protecting allegeders' identities.

The team found Wisconsin's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB agreed.

- f) Mr. Randy Erickson reviewed and presented the non-common performance indicator, **Compatibility Requirements**. His presentation corresponded to Section 4.1 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and Wisconsin representatives discussed the State's overdue regulations, and

program elements, as well as the actions the program has taken to address them.

The team found Wisconsin's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB agreed.

4. MRB Consultation/Comments on Issuance of Report. The team recommended, and the MRB agreed, that the Wisconsin Agreement State Program be found adequate to protect public health and compatible with the NRC's program. The team recommended that the next IMPEP review take place in approximately 5 years with a periodic meeting in approximately 2.5 years. The final report may be found in the ADAMS using the Accession Number ML.
5. Precedents/Lessons Learned. None
6. Comments from Members of the Public. None
7. Adjournment. The meeting was adjourned at approximately 1:56 p.m. (ET)

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF SEPTEMBER 24, 2019 WISCONSIN MRB MEETING

ML19274C589

OFFICE	NMSS/SALB	MSST/SALB	MSST/SALB
NAME	JParks	SPoy	RJohnson
DATE	10/10/19	10/10/19	10/10/19

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY