

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK:

(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

CONTROL BLOCK: 1										(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)																																																									
G A E I H 2 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 - 0 0 3 4 1 1 1 1 1 4										5																																																									
8 9 LICENSEE CODE 14 15										25 26 LICENSE TYPE 30 37 CAT 58																																																									
REPORT SOURCE L 0 5 0 0 0 3 6 6 7 0 5 1 4 7 9 8 0 5 2 1 7 9 9										60 61 DOCKET NUMBER 68 69 EVENT DATE 74 75 REPORT DATE 80																																																									
EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES 10																																																																			
During a cold shutdown while reviewing instrument calibration procedures using Instru-																																																																			
ment Data Sheets (IDS) it was discovered that three instruments in the remote shut-																																																																			
down panel H21-P173 (2T48-R070 (torus water level indicator), 2T48-R071 (drywell pres-																																																																			
sure indicator), 2E11-R071 (RHR Service water flow indicator)] and six instruments																																																																			
at the HVAC panels H11-P654 and H11-P657 in the main control room (2T48-R622A and																																																																			
B (torus water level indicator), 2T48-R631A and B (drywell pressure indicator), and																																																																			
2T48-R632A and B (torus pressure indicator) did not have the (continued)																																																																			
<table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="2">SYSTEM CODE</td> <td colspan="2">CAUSE CODE</td> <td colspan="2">CAUSE SUBCODE</td> <td colspan="4">COMPONENT CODE</td> <td colspan="2">COMP. SUBCODE</td> <td colspan="2">VALVE SUBCODE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>I</td><td>C</td><td>11</td><td>B</td><td>12</td><td>E</td><td>13</td><td>I</td><td>N</td><td>S</td><td>T</td><td>R</td><td>U</td><td>14</td><td>I</td><td>15</td><td>X</td><td>16</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">9 10</td> <td colspan="2">11 12</td> <td colspan="2">13 14</td> <td colspan="4">15 16 17 18</td> <td colspan="2">19 20</td> <td colspan="2">21 22</td> </tr> </table>																						SYSTEM CODE		CAUSE CODE		CAUSE SUBCODE		COMPONENT CODE				COMP. SUBCODE		VALVE SUBCODE		I	C	11	B	12	E	13	I	N	S	T	R	U	14	I	15	X	16	9 10		11 12		13 14		15 16 17 18				19 20		21 22	
SYSTEM CODE		CAUSE CODE		CAUSE SUBCODE		COMPONENT CODE				COMP. SUBCODE		VALVE SUBCODE																																																							
I	C	11	B	12	E	13	I	N	S	T	R	U	14	I	15	X	16																																																		
9 10		11 12		13 14		15 16 17 18				19 20		21 22																																																							
LER/RO REPORT NUMBER		EVENT YEAR		SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO.		OCCURRENCE CODE		REPORT TYPE		REVISION NO.																																																									
17	7	9	21	22	0	3	4	23	0	1	24	T	25	0	26																																																				
ACTION TAKEN		FUTURE ACTION		EFFECT ON PLANT		SHUTDOWN METHOD		HOURS		ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED		NPRD-4 FORM SUB.		PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER		COMPONENT MANUFACTURER																																																			
Z	18	C	19	Z	20	Z	21	0	0	0	0	22	Y	23	N	24	A	25	S	1	8	5																																													
33 34		35 36		37 38		39 40		41 42		43 44		45 46		47 48		49 50																																																			
CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS 27																																																																			
The transmitters to this instrumentation was changed out to seismically qualified																																																																			
instruments, but the seismic indicators were inadvertently left unchanged due to																																																																			
incomplete review of the plant documentation and FSAR in assuring that all commit-																																																																			
ments were fulfilled prior to initial fuel loading. The Sigma Instruments, Inc.																																																																			
(continued)																																																																			
<table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="2">FACILITY STATUS</td> <td colspan="2">% POWER</td> <td colspan="2">OTHER STATUS</td> <td colspan="2">METHOD OF DISCOVERY</td> <td colspan="4">DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION</td> </tr> <tr> <td>G</td><td>28</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>29</td><td>N/A</td><td>B</td><td>31</td><td colspan="3">Procedure and document review</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">8 9</td> <td colspan="2">10 11</td> <td colspan="2">12 13</td> <td colspan="2">14 15</td> <td colspan="4">16 17 18 19 20</td> </tr> </table>																						FACILITY STATUS		% POWER		OTHER STATUS		METHOD OF DISCOVERY		DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION				G	28	0	0	0	29	N/A	B	31	Procedure and document review			8 9		10 11		12 13		14 15		16 17 18 19 20													
FACILITY STATUS		% POWER		OTHER STATUS		METHOD OF DISCOVERY		DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION																																																											
G	28	0	0	0	29	N/A	B	31	Procedure and document review																																																										
8 9		10 11		12 13		14 15		16 17 18 19 20																																																											
ACTIVITY RELEASED		CONTENT OF RELEASE		AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY		LOCATION OF RELEASE																																																													
Z	33	Z	34	N/A	35	N/A	36																																																												
8 9		10 11		12 13		14 15																																																													
PERSONNEL EXPOSURES		TYPE		DESCRIPTION																																																															
0	0	0	37	Z	38	N/A																																																													
8 9		10 11		12 13		14 15																																																													
PERSONNEL INJURIES		TYPE		DESCRIPTION																																																															
0	0	0	40		41	N/A																																																													
8 9		10 11		12 13		14 15																																																													
LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY		TYPE		DESCRIPTION																																																															
Z	42		43	N/A																																																															
8 9		10 11		12 13		14 15																																																													
PUBLICITY ISSUED		DESCRIPTION																																																																	
W	44		45	N/A																																																															
8 9		10 11		12 13		14 15																																																													

NAME OF PREPARER T. V. Greene, Supt. Plt. Eng. Serv. PHONE 912-367-7781

NRC USE ONLY

[illegible]

Georgia Power Company
Plant E. I. Hatch
Baxley, Georgia 31513

Event Description and Probable Consequences (continued)

instruments installed as designated by Bechtel Power Corporations's latest design. The installed instruments were General Electric model 180 meters which did not meet seismic requirements as did the Sigma Instruments Inc. instruments later prescribed by Bechtel, the FSAR subsection 6.5.1.3.2 filed with Amendment 22 in May, 1979, and the response to NRC question 221.56 in the FSAR.

There was no significant consequence from this event so far as safety or operability is concerned since the installed instruments did meet all quality assurance requirements except for seismic qualifications and therefore were available. The instruments were not required to be operable at the time that the condition was discovered because the reactor was already in a cold shutdown.

Cause Description and Corrective Actions (continued)

instruments which are now on site will be verified to meet the quality assurance requirements, and they will be installed prior to startup of Unit 2.

2274 279