

Cross-Cutting Issues Effectiveness Review Update

ALEX GARMOE

SEPTEMBER 25 ROP PUBLIC MEETING



Background

Per IMC 0307, ROP Self Assessment Program, the team is reviewing the effectiveness of changes made to the cross-cutting issues program in 2015

Effectiveness review will also inform the dispositioning of several ROP enhancement recommendations with suggestions about the cross-cutting issues program

Multi-disciplinary team led by the ROP Assessment Branch with team members from multiple regional offices

- Program owners
- Inspectors
- Safety culture assessors

Executive sponsor is Mark Franke, Director of Division of Reactor Safety, Region II



ROP Enhancement Recommendations

- 1E Remove PI&R inspection from the baseline program. ... the cross-cutting issues element of the ROP captures trends in PI&R performance in every inspection.
- 2B.4 Revise PI&R inspections to be reactive. The cross-cutting program monitors for trends in PI&R shortfalls.
- 78: ... a PI&R team inspection would be triggered when a 1) cross cutting theme (first occurrence) is identified; or 2) a Greater-Than-Green Finding in which a PIR cross-cutting aspect is identified. ...
- 197: Eliminate discussion of green findings in inspection reports; particularly if the finding does not carry with it a cross-cutting aspect...
- 248: ROP is more a reactive process than a forward looking process. We should be looking at performance issues - leading indicators. We should look for cross- cutting aspects ahead of time. ...
- 338: Consider eliminating the cross-cutting aspect tool, which, in its current form arguably adds very little value
- 627: ... no cross cutting except for safety culture issues... We spend way too many valuable resources on these items now.

Overview

Team charter is publicly available in ADAMS (ML19179A105)

Team will consider views expressed by external and internal stakeholders along with information and data including:

- 2014 effectiveness review and bases for recommendations
- Cross-cutting issues program objectives
- Cross-cutting issues program data
- ROP lessons learned documents

Team will determine whether the changes implemented in 2015 effectively achieved desired outcomes

Team will determine whether the program overall is effectively carrying out program objectives



Next Steps

Initial team meeting was held September 10-12 in Region II

Team will continue gathering and assessing information and data

- Public comments provided at September 25 ROP public meeting
- Regional insights on CCI program successes and misses

Follow-up team meeting in late October to finalize effectiveness conclusions and possible recommendations for consideration

Report to be completed in early November then submitted for concurrence

If areas of ineffectiveness are identified, the team may recommend program changes for consideration. Any recommendations would be evaluated for implementation as a follow-on effort, with opportunities for stakeholder interaction.

Program changes could meet the threshold for Commission approval



Questions and Comments
