

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK:

						1
--	--	--	--	--	--	---

(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

0	1	N	Y	J	A	F	1	2	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	3	4	1	1	1	1	4		5
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	--	---

7 8 9 LICENSEE CODE 14 15 LICENSE NUMBER 25 26 LICENSE TYPE 30 37 CAT 58

CONT

0	1	L	6	0	5	0	0	0	3	3	3	7	0	1	0	1	8	0	8	0	1	2	9	8	0	9
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

7 8 REPORT SOURCE 60 61 DOCKET NUMBER 68 69 EVENT DATE 74 75 REPORT DATE 80

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)

0 2 | During surveillance testing APRM E downscale trip was found at 2/125

0 3 | of scale vice a technical specification limit of equal to or greater

0 4 | than 2.5/125 of scale. The remaining 5 APRM channels were satisfactory

0 5 | and no operation in a degraded mode existed thus no significant

0 6 | hazard existed.

0 7 | See attachment for details.

0	9	I	E	11	E	12	X	13	I	N	S	T	R	U	14	I	15	Z	16	17	8	0	—	0	0	1	—	0	3	L	—	0	18	0	0	0	0	Y	23	N	24	N	25	G	0	8	0
---	---	---	---	----	---	----	---	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	---	----	---	----	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	---	---	---	---	---	----	---	----	---	----	---	---	---	---

7 8 9 SYSTEM CODE 9 10 CAUSE CODE 11 12 CAUSE SUBCODE 12 13 COMPONENT CODE 13 18 COMP SUBCODE 19 20 VALVE SUBCODE 20 21 LER/RO REPORT NUMBER 21 22 EVENT YEAR 22 23 SHUTDOWN METHOD 23 24 SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO. 24 26 OCCURRENCE CODE 26 27 REPORT TYPE 27 30 REVISION NO. 30 32 ACTION TAKEN 33 34 FUTURE ACTION 34 35 EFFECT ON PLANT 35 36 SHUTDOWN METHOD 36 37 HOURS 37 40 ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED 40 41 NPRD-4 FORM SUB. 41 42 PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER 42 43 COMPONENT MANUFACTURER 43 44

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)

1 0 | Instrument drift was the cause. Recalibration restored operation

1 1 | to within technical specification limits.

1 2 | See attachment for details.

1 3 |

1 4 |

1	5	E	28	0	6	5	29	NA	B	31	Surveillance Test	32
---	---	---	----	---	---	---	----	----	---	----	-------------------	----

7 8 9 FACILITY STATUS 9 10 % POWER 10 12 OTHER STATUS 12 13 METHOD OF DISCOVERY 13 14 DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION 14 15

1	6	Z	33	Z	34	NA	35	NA	36
---	---	---	----	---	----	----	----	----	----

7 8 9 ACTIVITY CONTENT 9 10 RELEASED OF RELEASE 10 11 AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY 11 12 LOCATION OF RELEASE 12 13

1	7	0	0	0	37	Z	38	NA	39
---	---	---	---	---	----	---	----	----	----

7 8 9 PERSONNEL EXPOSURES 9 10 NUMBER 10 11 TYPE 11 12 DESCRIPTION 12 13

1	8	0	0	0	40		41	NA	42
---	---	---	---	---	----	--	----	----	----

7 8 9 PERSONNEL INJURIES 9 10 NUMBER 10 11 DESCRIPTION 11 12

1	9	Z	42		43	NA	44
---	---	---	----	--	----	----	----

7 8 9 LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY 9 10 TYPE 10 11 DESCRIPTION 11 12

2	0	N	44		45	NA	46
---	---	---	----	--	----	----	----

7 8 9 PUBLICITY 9 10 ISSUED 10 11 DESCRIPTION 11 12

NAME OF PREPARER W. Verne Childs

PHONE (315) 342-3840

8002010

338

POOR ORIGINAL

1855 193

POWER AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
JAMES A. FITZPATRICK NUCLEAR POWER PLANT

DOCKET NO. 50-333

ATTACHMENT TO LER 80-001/03L-0

Page 1 of 1

During normal operations, while conducting Operating Surveillance Test F-ST-5B titled "APRM Instrument Functional Test", to meet the requirements of Technical Specifications Appendix A, Table 4.1-1, APRM "E" downscale trip was found set at 2.0/125 compared to a Technical Specification limit of equal to or greater than 2.5/125. Technical Specification Table 3.1-1 requires that two of the three APRM's in each trip system be operable. Since the remaining five APRM channels were functioning normally this requirement was met. Therefore, the event did not represent any significant hazard to the public health and safety.

Following recalibration to correct the instrument drift, the instrument was demonstrated operable by the successful completion of F-ST-5B.

1855 194