


Spent Nuclear Fuel Transportation – Inspection Readiness

Jeremy Tapp, Safety Inspector
Division of Spent Fuel Management
2019 REG CON
September 17, 2019

Overview

- Transportation Inspection Background
- DSFM Initial Assessment
- Next Steps
- **Feedback and Discussion**

Point of Origin Inspections

- Discussions at the National Transportation Stakeholders Forum (NTSF)
 - Ad hoc working group on rail transport
- NRC transportation inspections
 - Record review
- Shipments of spent nuclear fuel (SNF)
 - Type B shipment
 - 10 CFR 71.5  49 CFR for Class 7 material

Initial Assessment

- Where will SNF be shipped from
- Who has the responsibility for transportation inspections
- Does the applicable inspection program cover transportation of Type B packages
- Is the inspection program adequate today or would a new program need to be developed and implemented
- Receipt inspection at Consolidated Interim Storage Facility (CISF) excluded from this assessment
 - Transportation ends when package leaves the public roadway
- Transportation security inspection excluded

Initial Assessment

- Where?
 - Away-from-Reactor (AFR) Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installations (ISFSIs)
 - Decommissioning Reactors
 - Operating Reactors
- Who inspects?
 - ISFSI inspectors from Regions I, III, and IV
 - Decommissioning inspectors from Regions I, III, and IV
 - Radiation Protection inspectors from all Regions
- Inspection program covers transportation?
 - Inspection Manual Chapter (IMC) 2690 – yes, but not a specific Inspection Procedure; IP 60858 – No
 - IMC 2561 – yes, annual hour requirement; IPs 86750, 86740, and 88035
 - IMC 2515 – yes, biannual; IP 71124.08

Initial Assessment

- Is the inspection program adequate today?
 - Revision to IMC 2690 necessary
 - Point to a current transportation IP or update IP 60858
 - Decommissioning Reactor and Operating Reactor inspection programs should be updated
 - IMCs and IPs should be revised, at a minimum, to provide specific guidance for SNF shipments, for example:
 - Increase in frequency and resources for inspection across multiple business lines
 - 72.48 reconciliation to Part 71 CoC approved contents requirements
 - Dual-purpose vs. storage only canisters
 - On-site for transportation activities vs. paperwork review
 - All inspectors would need supplemental training

Initial Assessment

- Should a new inspection program be developed?
 - A specific group of SNF transportation inspectors would be trained and qualified to perform inspections at all licensee facilities
 - Would include a documented training and qualification program
 - Would be budgeted and implemented from the spent fuel inspection business line division
 - A new IP and/or Temporary Instruction (TI) would be implemented to specifically perform SNF transportation inspections
 - Pros and Cons
 - Provides for consistency across all SNF transportation inspections
 - Require training and qualification for a few individual experts vs. many inspectors across all Regions
 - Take more time and resources to stand up with possibility of less resources in the long run

Next Steps

- Develop near and long-term actions based on internal review and stakeholder feedback
- Coordinate with all agency Offices (NSIR, NRR, Regions) that have a role in SNF transportation inspection
- Ensure necessary resource requests are made for FY2022 budget and beyond

Discussion/Feedback/Questions

References



- NUREG-2125: “Spent Fuel Transportation Risk Assessment – Final Report” (2014)
[ML14031A323]
- NUREG/BR-0292, Rev. 2: “Safety of Spent Fuel Transportation” (2017)
[ML16237A133]

