

DUKE POWER COMPANY

ELECTRIC CENTER, BOX 33189, CHARLOTTE, N. C. 28242

L. C. DAIL
VICE PRESIDENT,
DESIGN ENGINEERING

19 AUG 10 All: 00

August 6, 1979

Mr. J. P. O'Reilly, Director
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
101 Marietta Street, Suite 3100
Atlanta, Georgia 30303


Re: Catawba Nuclear Station
RII: RJH
Inspection Reports: 50-413/79-1? and 50-414/79-12
Duke File: CN-1412.11-1

Dear Mr. O'Reilly:

In the referenced inspection reports, certain items of non-compliance were identified. Duke Power's response to these items is enclosed.

Duke Power does not consider any of the information contained in these reports to be proprietary.

Very truly yours,


L. C. Dail, Vice-President
Design Engineering

EKM/cam

Attachment

7909270349.

1046 237

0904105

Duke Response to Infraction 50-413/79-12-02
and 50-414/79-12-02-QAP Procedure P1

Mr. Hardwick identified one deficiency with two examples where the instructions of QA Procedure P-1 were not followed. Below is Duke's response to each example.

1. In the example involving "Vendor Quality Assurance Certification," the corrected documentation was received from the vendor on 8/1/79. QA personnel working in this area of responsibility have been re-instructed in the proper handling of documentation approval. Full compliance was achieved by 8/1/79.
2. In the example involving signature deficiencies on Form P-1A, explanatory notes have been added to the form to clarify the concerns identified by the inspector. QA personnel working in this area of responsibility have been instructed in the proper handling of documentation approval. Additionally, QA Procedure P-1 is being revised to clarify site release of items. Full compliance will be achieved by 9/1/79.