

CONTROL BLOCK:

--	--	--	--	--	--	--

 (1) (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

CON'T

REPORT SOURCE

DOCKET NUMBER

EVENT DATE

REPORT DATE

012 | While at a reactor power level of 66% of rated and pulling rods to increase power,
013 | the CMPF was indicated to be above design. Action was taken within 15 minutes to
014 | correct the problem but CMPF was still above design at the end of the 2 hour limit
015 | specified in Tech Spec 3.2.2. The shift foreman was notified of the violation and
016 | preparation was made to be 25% power within the next 4 hours. Before reactor power
017 | reduction was commenced, however, the indicated CMPF was reduced by rod insertion
018 | and computer updating and the APRM were adjusted to comply with Tech (continued)

SYSTEM CODE I A (11)		CAUSE CODE A (12)		CAUSE SUBCODE X (13)		COMPONENT CODE Z Z Z Z Z Z (14)		COMP. SUBCODE Z (15)		VALVE SUBCODE Z (16)	
EVENT YEAR 7 9 (17)		SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO. 0 5 8 (18)		OCCURRENCE CODE 0 3 (19)		REPORT TYPE L (20)		REVISION NO. 0 (21)			
ACTION TAKEN X (22)		FUTURE ACTION H (23)		EFFECT ON PLANT Z (24)		SHUTDOWN METHOD Z (25)		HOURS 0 0 3 0 (26)		ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED Y (27)	
NPRD-4 FORM SUB. N (28)		PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER Z (29)		COMPONENT MANUFACTURER Z 9 9 9 (30)							

1 10 The initial computer calculation indicating the high CMPF was based on data which
1 11 did not accurately represent the existing core condition but was treated as if it
1 12 were valid in an effort to be conservative. Because of the inaccurate base distribu-
1 13 tion and the resulting conservative PI calculations, however, the actions that were
1 14 taken did not result in a significant reduction of the (continued)

FACILITY STATUS		% POWER		OTHER STATUS		METHOD OF DISCOVERY		DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION	
1	5	B	38	0	6	6	29	N/A	30
ACTIVITY CONTENT		RELEASED OF RELEASE		AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY		LOCATION OF RELEASE		32	
1	6	Z	32	Z	34	N/A	35	N/A	36
PERSONNEL EXPOSURES		NUMBER		TYPE		DESCRIPTION		37	
1	7	0	0	0	37	Z	38	N/A	39
PERSONNEL INJURIES		NUMBER		DESCRIPTION		40		41	
1	8	0	0	0	40	N/A	42	43	
LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY		TYPE		DESCRIPTION		44		45	
1	9	Z	44	N/A	46	47		48	
PUBLICITY ISSUED		DESCRIPTION		49		50		51	
2	1	Z	49	N/A	52	53		54	

NAME OF PREPARER T. V. Greene, Supt. Plt. Eng. Serv. PHONE 912-367-7781

Georgia Power Company
Plant E. I. Hatch
Baxley, Georgia 31513

Event Description and Probable Consequences (continued)

Spec 3.2.2. No consequences were realized from this event and normal operation continued. This is a repetitive occurrence. See LER 78-88.

Cause Description and Corrective Actions (continued)

"indicated" CMPF to acceptable limits within the two hours allowed by Tech Specs. At this point it was decided to update the computer data base before any further reactor maneuvers were attempted. With the data base updated, the APRMs were adjusted to the lower CMPF that was subsequently calculated, as directed by Tech Spec 3.2.2. The shift engineers have been reminded of the importance of always maintaining an accurate data base for the computer when directing reactor maneuvers in order to preclude future situations where corrective actions can not be completed within the specified time.