

[illegible]

NUCLEAR SERVICES CORPORATION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|----------------------|-------------|
| 1.0 INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 2.0 NUCLEAR ANALYSIS | 3 |
| 3.0 CONCLUSION | 18 |

NUCLEAR SERVICES CORPORATION

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The spent fuel storage racks presently in use in the spent fuel pool of Calvert Cliffs Unit 2 were designed by Nuclear Services Corporation for storage of spent fuel with a maximum enrichment of 3.7 weight percent U-235, which corresponds to 43.8 g/cm of U-235. It is now anticipated that storage of fuel with 4.1 weight percent (48.5 g/cm) U-235 will be necessary in the future.

To ensure the safety of storage of 4.1% enriched fuel NSC has performed a new criticality analysis for the Unit 2 spent fuel racks. This analysis and report follow the same format as the previous analysis which was described in report number NSC-BGE-0101-R001, Revision 1.

The analysis for 4.1% enriched fuel is described in the following section.

NUCLEAR SERVICES CORPORATION



TABLE 1

CALVERT CLIFFS, UNIT NO. 2

 K_{eff} RESULTS

| <u>Term</u> | <u>Value</u> | <u>Method</u> |
|--------------|--------------|--|
| k_0 | .9199 | Calculated for cell at 212°F |
| Δk_1 | .005 | Estimated from similar design |
| Δk_2 | .0082 | Calculated from sensitivity analysis |
| Δk_3 | .0025 | Calculated for 0.050" displacement |
| Δk_4 | -.0048 | Critical experiment calculations |
| Δk_5 | .0077 | Critical experiments results, 95% confidence level |
| Δk_6 | .0039 | Sensitivity analysis, 0.01" tolerance |
| Δk_7 | .0025 | Estimated for 0.02% enrichment increase |

$$k_{eff} \leq k_0 + \Delta k_1 + \Delta k_2 + \Delta k_3 + \Delta k_4 + (\Delta k_5^2 + \Delta k_6^2 + \Delta k_7^2)^{1/2}$$

$$= 0.9398$$

NUCLEAR SERVICES CORPORATION

2.0 NUCLEAR ANALYSIS

The 12.5 x 13.0 inch spacing of the fuel assemblies is sufficient to maintain k_{eff} below 0.95 for all normal and abnormal fuel storage conditions. The analysis results are described below.

2.1 Summary of Results

The value of k_{eff} is determined as follows:

$$k_{eff} = k_0 + \Delta k_1 + \Delta k_2 + \Delta k_3 + \Delta k_4 + (\Delta k_5^2 + \Delta k_6^2 + \Delta k_7^2)^{1/2}$$

where

k_0 = nominal calculated k (2-D diffusion theory)

Δk_1 = transport correction

Δk_2 = assembly location effect (fuel in tube)

Δk_3 = rack spacing tolerance effect (tube in rack)

Δk_4 = methods bias

Δk_5 = uncertainty in methods bias (95% confidence level)

Δk_6 = channel thickness tolerance effect

Δk_7 = fuel fabrication tolerance effect

The value of k_{eff} is maintained below 0.95 for all normal and abnormal storage conditions in accordance with Standard Review Plan 9.1.2. Results are summarized in Table 1.

NUCLEAR SERVICES CORPORATION



2.2 Method of Calculation

Verification of k_{eff} is obtained using a two dimensional (X-Y) diffusion theory computer code calculation. The calculational model covers both finite and infinite arrays of stored fuel assemblies. Neutron cross sections are developed for a four group energy range using the CHEETAH code which is an adaptation of the LEOPARD-CINDER code. The output of CHEETAH is used for a diffusion theory calculation using the CITATION code to establish the k_{eff} . In order to verify the accuracy of the CHEETAH-CITATION diffusion calculation, a comparison was made with various critical experiments as shown in Table 2. The data in the table defines the actual fuel used in the critical experiments. This data was placed into a CHEETAH-CITATION calculation with the results shown in the last column for comparison. These results may be compared with a measured k_{eff} of 1.000. Deviations vary from a few tenths of one percent to a little over one percent as indicated by the table. The standard deviation for the uranium oxide cases is 1.0047 ± 0.005 for CHEETAH and 1.0050 ± 0.005 for CITATION.

A transport-theory correction between 0 and 0.7% has been used for similar fuel storage configurations. (Refer to Wisconsin Electric Power Company, Publication March 28, 1975, Docket Nos. 50-266 and 5-301). The transport correction is not independent of other uncertainties, as it is in effect included in Δk_4 for critical lattices. We conservatively estimate it to be 0.5%.

2.3 Data and Assumptions Used in the Calculations

Table 3 summarizes the fuel design values which were used in the calculations.

TABLE 2

DATA FOR UO₂ CRITICAL EXPERIMENTS
BENCHMARK EVALUATION

| CASE NUMBER | ENRICHMENT AT, % | H ₂ O-VOLUME RATIO | FUEL DENSITY g/cm ³ | PELLET DIAMETER cm | CLAD MATERIAL | CLAD ID cm | CLAD THICKNESS cm | LATTICE PITCH cm | $\frac{H}{H_0} \left(\frac{B_0}{0.735} \right)$ | CRITICAL BUCKLING m ⁻² | CALCULATED MULTIPLICATION FACTOR k |
|-------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|------------|-------------------|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 9* | 2.734 | 2.18 | 10.18 | 0.7620 | SS-304 | 0.8594 | 0.04085 | 1.0287 | 0 | 40.75 | 1.00614 ^{***} (1.00646) |
| 10 | 2.734 | 2.93 | 10.10 | 0.7620 | SS-304 | 0.8594 | 0.04085 | 1.1049 | 0 | 53.23 | 1.00937 (1.00950) |
| 11 | 2.734 | 3.86 | 10.18 | 0.7620 | SS-304 | 0.8594 | 0.04085 | 1.1938 | 0 | 63.26 | 1.00804 (1.00809) |
| 12 | 2.734 | 7.02 | 10.18 | 0.7620 | SS-304 | 0.8594 | 0.04085 | 1.4554 | 0 | 65.64 | 1.01153 (1.01154) |
| 13 | 2.734 | 8.49 | 10.18 | 0.7620 | SS-304 | 0.8594 | 0.04085 | 1.5621 | 0 | 60.07 | 1.01233 (1.01233) |
| 14 | 2.734 | 10.38 | 10.18 | 0.7620 | SS-304 | 0.8594 | 0.04085 | 1.6891 | 0 | 52.92 | 1.00623 (1.00615) |
| 15 | 2.734 | 2.50 | 10.18 | 0.7620 | SS-304 | 0.8594 | 0.04085 | 1.0617 | 0 | 47.5 | 1.00530 (1.00552) |
| 19 | 3.745 | 4.51 | 10.37 | 0.7544 | SS-304 | 0.8600 | 0.0406 | 1.2522 | 0 | 95.68 | 1.00638 (1.00622) |
| 20 | 3.745 | 4.51 | 10.37 | 0.7544 | SS-304 | 0.8600 | 0.0406 | 1.2522 | 0.0128 | 74.64 | 1.00109 (1.00195) |
| 21 | 3.745 | 4.51 | 10.37 | 0.7544 | SS-304 | 0.8600 | 0.0406 | 1.2522 | 0.0199 | 63.66 | 1.00021 (1.00027) |
| 22 | 3.745 | 4.51 | 10.37 | 0.7544 | SS-304 | 0.8600 | 0.0406 | 1.2522 | 0.0354 | 40.99 | 0.99877 (0.99884) |
| 23 | 3.745 | 4.51 | 10.37 | 0.7544 | SS-304 | 0.8600 | 0.0406 | 1.2522 | 0.0374 | 38.39 | 0.99818 (0.99825) |
| 24 | 3.745 | 4.51 | 10.37 | 0.7544 | SS-304 | 0.8600 | 0.0406 | 1.2522 | 0.0414 | 33.38 | 0.99679 (0.99686) |
| W-15† | 5.809 | 3.13 | 10.193 | 0.9068 | SS-304 | 0.9931 | 0.0381 | 1.3208 | 0 | 117.6 | 1.00511 |

*Nuclear Science and Engineering, 23, 58-73 (1965)

CITATION AVG. $k_{eff} = 1.00477 \pm 0.00510$ STD. DEVIATION

†WCAP-3305-54

** CHEETAH

CHEETAH AVG. $k_{eff} = 1.00472 \pm 0.00491$

*** CITATION

TABLE 2 (Continued)

UO₂ AND PuO₂-UO₂ CRITICAL EXPERIMENT

BENCHMARK EVALUATION

WESTINGHOUSE REACTOR EVALUATION CENTER¹

(0.625ev CUTOFF IN CHEETAH)

| CASE NUMBER | UO ₂ WT. % | WT. % PuO ₂ / WT. % Pu-240 | H ₂ O:U+Pu VOLUME RATIO | FUEL DENSITY g/cm ³ | Pellet DIAMETER IN. | CLAD MATERIAL | CLAD THICKNESS IN. | SQUARE LATTICE PITCH IN. | 10 B ppm | MEASURED β^2 , m ⁻² | MEASURED CRITICAL BUCKLING m ⁻² | k _{eff} CALCULATED BY CHEETAH | X-Y HOOK-UP CALCULATED BY CITATION |
|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------------|----------|--------------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------------|
| M-14 | 2.719 | - | 4.80 | 10.40 | 0.40 | Zr-2 | 0.03145 | 0.69 | 0 | 5.475 | - | 1.00284** | 1.01281 |
| M-14A | 2.719 | - | 12.29 | 10.40 | 0.40 | | 0.03145 | 0.9758 | 0 | 6.042 | - | 1.00311† | - |
| M-19 | 0.72 | 2.00/7.65 | 2.51 | 9.54 | 0.505 | | 0.030 | 0.69 | 0 | 8.56 | 69.1 | 1.01768 | - |
| M-19A | | | 18.37 | | | | | 1.380 | 0 | 9.520 | 50.3 | 0.99561 | - |
| M-19B | | | 9.70 | | | | | 1.0607 | 0 | 9.471 | 96.4 | 1.02099 | - |
| M-20 | | | 7.76 | | | | | 0.9758 | 0 | 9.466 | 105.9 | 1.02086 | - |
| M-20A | | | 7.76 | | | | | 0.9758 | 261 | 9.526 | 83.7 | 1.01070 | - |
| M-20B | | | 7.76 | | | | | 0.9758 | 526 | 9.635 | 63.1 | 1.00480 | - |
| M-21 | | | 2.48 | | | | | 0.69 | 526 | 8.954 | 58.3 | 1.02294 | - |
| M-21A | | | 2.48 | | | | | 0.69 | 261 | 8.731 | 62.6 | 1.02679 | - |
| M-21B | | | 3.43 | | | | | 0.75 | 0 | 8.967 | 90.0 | 1.02007 | - |
| M-22 | | 2.00/23.50 | 7.80 | | | | | 0.9758 | 0 | 9.436 | 79.5 | 1.01522 | - |
| M-22A | | | 9.72 | | | | | 1.0607 | 0 | 9.639 | 73.3 | 1.01148 | - |

AVG. k_{eff} = 1.01308 ± 0.00936 STD. DEVIATION, FOR CHEETAHR. B. Leamer et al., "PuO₂-UO₂ Fuel Critical Experiment", WCAP-3726-1, July 1967.*Using $\beta_R^2 = 73.5$ estimated from reflector savings of 6.77 cm†Using $\beta_R^2 = 97.3$ estimated from reflector savings of 6.77 cm

TABLE 2 (Continued)
RESULTS FROM CHEETAH CALCULATIONS
OF SELECTED UO_2/PuO_2 CRITICAL EXPERIMENTS
BENCHMARK EVALUATION

| CASE NUMBER | REFERENCE | PuO_2 ENRICHMENT WT. % | $\text{H}_2\text{O}:\text{U}+\text{Pu}$ VOLUME RATIO | FUEL DENSITY g/cm^3 | PELLET DIAMETER IN. | CLAD MATERIAL | CLAD OD IN. | CLAD THICKNESS IN. | SQUARE LATTICE PITCH IN. | D_2O MOLE FRACTION | 10^6 B ppm | CRITICAL BUCKLING m^{-2} | CALCULATED MULTIPLICATION FACTOR k |
|-------------|---------------|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| B-3 | WCAP-3385-54* | 6.60 [†] | 11.78 | 10.99 | 0.3374 | Zirc-aloy-4 | 0.391 | 0.02325 | 0.792 | 0 | 0 | 159.3 | 1.01994 |
| B-4 | | ↓ | 4.50 | ↓ | ↓ | ↓ | ↓ | ↓ | 0.56 | 0 | 0 | 122.1 | 1.02551 |
| B-5 | | ↓ | 4.50 | ↓ | ↓ | ↓ | ↓ | ↓ | 0.56 | 0 | 337 | 112.3 | 1.03223 |
| B-6 | | ↓ | 9.75 | ↓ | ↓ | ↓ | ↓ | ↓ | 0.735 | 0 | 0 | 159.6 | 1.01708 |
| B-7 | | ↓ | 3.51 | ↓ | ↓ | ↓ | ↓ | ↓ | 0.52 | 0 | 0 | 108.8 | 1.01397 |
| B-8 | | ↓ | 22.28 | ↓ | ↓ | ↓ | ↓ | ↓ | 1.04 | 0 | 0 | 128.4 | 1.01704 |
| B-9 | | ↓ | 4.48 | ↓ | ↓ | ↓ | ↓ | ↓ | 0.56 | 0 | 0 | 120.9 | 1.02846 |

*E. G. Taylor, "Saxton Plutonium Program". Critical Experiments for the Saxton Partial Plutonium Core, WCAP-3385-54, December 1965.

[†]Wt. Percent Pu-239, Pu-240, Pu-241, Pu-242 is 90.49, 8.57, 0.89 and 0.04%.

AVG. $k_{\text{eff}} = 1.0220 \pm 0.0068$ (STD. DEVIATION)

NUCLEAR SERVICES CORPORATION

TABLE 3
FUEL DESIGN PARAMETERS

| | |
|--|------------|
| Fuel Assembly Array | 14 x 14 |
| Number of Fuel Rods | 176 |
| Number of Water Rods | 5 |
| Rod Pitch | 0.580" |
| Fuel Pellet O.D. | 0.3805" |
| Clad O.D. | 0.440" |
| Clad I.D. | 0.388" |
| Clad Thickness | 0.026" |
| Clad Material | Zircaloy 4 |
| Pellet Density, % T.D. | 95.0 |
| Maximum Bundle Enrichment, Wt. % U-235 | 4.1 |
| Nominal Active Fuel Length | 137.18" |

NUCLEAR SERVICES CORPORATION



The following assumptions were used in the calculations:

- a. Pool is filled with fuel at the highest enrichment stored in an infinite array.
- b. The water in the fuel storage pool is clean and unborated.
- c. The pool temperature is 212°F. (100°C)
- d. No credit is taken for the fuel assembly support structure. However, the neutron absorption of the 3/16" (4.7625 mm) thick stainless steel tubes in which the fuel assemblies are supported while in the storage racks is considered in the calculation.
- e. Neutron absorption in fuel assembly grids is excluded.
- f. Axial neutron leakage is included.
- g. No credit is taken for U-234 and U-236 in the fuel.

The above assumptions are considered as a conservative base for the calculations.

2.4 Nuclear Design Criteria

The following criteria were used to evaluate the results of the nuclear calculations:

Normal Storage and Handling

Maximum $k_{eff} = 0.95$

Included as normal conditions are fuel reactivities up to the maximum, pool water temperature $\leq 212^\circ\text{F}$ and eccentric positioning of the fuel in the proper location.

NUCLEAR SERVICES CORPORATION

Abnormal Storage and HandlingMaximum $k_{eff} = 0.95$

"Abnormal" refers to a single operator error. Included as abnormal conditions are dropping of a fuel assembly, dropping a fuel handling grapple, movement of the refueling bridge before the fuel is out of the rack or the fuel grapple is released, and placement of a single fuel assembly outside the storage racks.

2.5 Results of Calculations

The results of the k_{eff} calculations for various storage and handling conditions are listed as follows:

| <u>Condition</u> | <u>K_{eff}</u> |
|---|-----------------------------|
| 1. Normal positioning in the spent fuel storage array (Figure 1) | 0.9199 |
| 2. Eccentric positioning in the spent fuel storage array (clumped in groups of two or four) | |
| a. Fuel at side of channel, x-direction (Figure 2) | 0.9239 |
| b. Fuel at side of channel, y-direction (Figure 3) | 0.9232 |
| c. Fuel at corner of channel (Figure 4, Case 1) | 0.9281 |
| 3. Eccentric positioning in the spent fuel storage array with the channel offset 1/8" (3.175 mm) in both X and Y directions (Figure 4, Case 2) | 0.9336 |
| 4. One extra fuel assembly at side of rack (Figure 5, Case 2) | 0.9402 |



The value of k_{eff} is not expected to change significantly under abnormal storage situations. Consideration was given to a fuel assembly lying on top of a rack. Due to the small axial neutron leakage reactivity of 0.2% Δk , and the separation of the fuel assembly from active fuel in the rack, the increase in reactivity is <0.002 .

The drop of a fuel assembly onto the rack and abnormal fuel handling machine loads of 1650 pounds vertical and 825 pounds horizontal are also considered. The fuel rack is designed to prevent any plastic deformation in the fuel region for these loads. Therefore, the reactivity effect of these abnormal loading conditions is insignificant.

Sensitivity studies were performed to evaluate the influence on k_{eff} of fuel tube spacing, pool temperature, and fuel tube thickness. The results of these analyses are summarized below.

Spacing Effects

Table 4 lists the effects of fuel spacing.

TABLE 4
 k_{eff} VERSUS SPACING, 212°F

| <u>Cell Pitch, Inches</u> | <u>k_{eff}</u> |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 9.25 x 9.75 | 1.238 |
| 10.00 x 10.50 | 1.133 |
| 10.75 x 11.25 | 1.048 |
| 11.50 x 12.00 | 0.9822 |
| 12.25 x 12.75 | 0.9331 |
| 12.50 x 13.00 | 0.9199 |
| 12.75 x 13.25 | 0.9084 |

NUCLEAR SERVICES CORPORATION



TABLE 4 (continued)

| | |
|---------------|--------|
| 13.50 x 14.00 | 0.8839 |
| 15.50 x 16.00 | 0.8436 |
| 17.50 x 18.00 | 0.8304 |

Temperature Effects

The reference rack unit cell was analyzed at several temperatures in order to determine the temperature effect on k_{eff} . The fuel pellet, clad, moderator, and rack pitch were assumed to expand normally. The results are shown in Table 5. The change is less than one (1) percent. The numbers quoted in this report are the values for the highest temperature (212°F).

TABLE 5


| K_{eff} Infinite Lattice | Temperature, °C |
|----------------------------|-----------------|
| 0.9138 | 4 |
| 0.9186 | 60 |
| 0.9199 | 100 |

Effect of Variation in Steel Channel Thickness

For the reference 13" x 12.5" (330.2 x 317.5 mm) pitch rack, the steel channels are 3/16" thick. In order to estimate $\frac{\Delta \rho}{\Delta t}$ for the steel channels, we have the following data:

| Steel Thickness t , in. (mm) | K_{eff} |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| 0.1875 (4.7625) | 0.9199 |
| 0.17175 (4.28625) | 0.9273 |

$$\frac{\Delta \rho}{\Delta t} = \frac{k_2 - k_1}{k_1 \times k_2} \quad 0.01875 = 0.4627 \text{ per inch (0.0182 per mm) of reduced thickness}$$




NOTE: NOT TO SCALE

| | | | | | | |
|-------|------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 212°F | INCH | 4.06573 | 0.37798 | 0.18776 | 1.62728 | 1.87763 |
| | (CM) | (10.32694) | (0.96007) | (0.47692) | (4.13329) | (4.76918) |

304 281



Figure 1. Unit Cell Model for Normal Fuel Positioning



NOTE: NOT TO SCALE

Figure 2. Eccentric Positioning
X Direction Offset


304 288



NOTE: NOT TO SCALE

Figure 3. Eccentric Positioning
Y Direction Offset

304 289




NOTE: NOT TO SCALE

CASE 1 - AS SHOWN. FUEL OFFSET DIAGONALLY.


CASE 2 - AS SHOWN, EXCEPT: $A = 4.13329\text{cm} - .3175\text{cm} = 3.81579$
 $B = 4.13329\text{cm} + .3175\text{cm} = 4.45079$
 $C = 4.76918\text{cm} - .3175\text{cm} = 4.45168$
 $D = 4.76918\text{cm} + .3175\text{cm} = 5.08668$

BOTH FUEL AND CHANNEL OFFSET DIAGONALLY

Figure 4. Eccentric Positioning
Diagonal Offset



- CASE 1 - BASE CASE
CASE 2 - EXTRA ASSEMBLIES AT EACH OF THE TWO LONG SIDES



NOTE: NOT TO SCALE

Figure 5. Extra Fuel Assembly at the Side of the Rack

304 ~~000~~
291

NUCLEAR SERVICES CORPORATION

3.0 CONCLUSION

The criticality analysis shows that with all uncertainties included the calculated k_{eff} for storage of fuel with 4.1% U-235 is still well below the limit of 0.95. Therefore, storage of this fuel in the Calvert Cliffs Unit 2 spent fuel racks presents no safety problem.

304 292

DESIGN FEATURES

VOLUME

5.4.2 The total water and steam volume of the reactor coolant system is 10,614 \pm 460 cubic feet at a nominal T_{avg} of 532°F.

5.5 METEOROLOGICAL TOWER LOCATION

5.5.1 The meteorological tower shall be located as shown on Figure 5.1-1.

5.6 FUEL STORAGE

CRITICALITY - SPENT FUEL

5.6.1 The spent fuel storage racks are designed and shall be maintained with a minimum 12.5 x 13 inch center-to-center distance between fuel assemblies placed in the storage racks to ensure a k_{eff} equivalent to < 0.95 with the storage pool filled with unborated water. The k_{eff} of < 0.95 includes the conservative allowances for uncertainties described in Section 9.7.2 of the FSAR. In addition, fuel in the storage pool shall have a U-235 loading of ≤ 46.5 grams of U-235 per axial centimeter of fuel assembly.

CRITICALITY - NEW FUEL

5.6.2 The new fuel storage racks are designed and shall be maintained with a nominal 18 inch center-to-center distance between new fuel assemblies such that K_{eff} will not exceed 0.98 when fuel having a maximum enrichment of 4.0 weight percent U-235 is in place and aqueous foam moderation is assumed. The K_{eff} of < 0.98 includes the conservative allowance for uncertainties described in Section 9.7.2 of the FSAR.

DRAINAGE

5.6.3 The spent fuel storage pool is designed and shall be maintained to prevent inadvertent draining of the pool below elevation 63 feet.

CAPACITY

5.6.4 The fuel storage pool is designed and shall be maintained with a combined storage capacity, for both Units 1 and 2, limited to no more than 1036 fuel assemblies.

B6B

304 293

5.7 COMPONENT CYCLIC OR TRANSIENT LIMITS

5.7.1 The components identified in Table 5.7-1 are designed and shall be maintained within the cyclic or transient limits of Table 5.7-1.

DESIGN FEATURES

VOLUME

5.4.2 The total water and steam volume of the reactor coolant system is $10,614 \pm 460$ cubic feet at a nominal T_{avg} of 532°F .

5.5 METEOROLOGICAL TOWER LOCATION

5.5.1 The meteorological tower shall be located as shown on Figure 5.1-1.

5.6 FUEL STORAGE

CRITICALITY - SPENT FUEL

5.6.1 The spent fuel storage racks are designed and shall be maintained with a minimum 9.75 inch center-to-center distance between fuel assemblies placed in the storage racks to ensure a k_{eff} equivalent to ≤ 0.95 with the storage pool filled with unborated water. The k_{eff} of ≤ 0.95 includes the conservative allowances for uncertainties described in Section 9.7.2 of the FSAR. In addition, fuel in the storage pool shall have a U-235 loading of ≤ 46.6 grams of U-235 per axial centimeter of fuel assembly.

CRITICALITY - NEW FUEL

5.6.2 The new fuel storage racks are designed and shall be maintained with a nominal 18 inch center-to-center distance between new fuel assemblies such that k_{eff} will not exceed 0.98 when fuel having a maximum enrichment of 4.0 weight percent U-235 is in place and aqueous foam moderation is assumed. The k_{eff} of ≤ 0.98 includes the conservative allowance for uncertainties described in Section 9.7.2 of the FSAR.

DRAINAGE

5.6.3 The spent fuel storage pool is designed and shall be maintained to prevent inadvertent draining of the pool below elevation 63 feet.

CAPACITY

5.6.4 The fuel storage pool is designed and shall be maintained with a combined storage capacity, for both Units 1 and 2, limited to no more than ~~1056~~ fuel assemblies.

1363

5.7 COMPONENT CYCLIC OR TRANSIENT LIMITS

304 294

5.7.1 The components identified in Table 5.7-1 are designed and shall be maintained within the cyclic or transient limits of Table 5.7-1.