

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

NRC PUBLIC DOCUMENT ROOM

In the matter of

New York State Electric and Gas Co.
and Long Island Lighting Co.

Docket No. STN 50-596

New Haven I and II

and 597

Contentions Concerning
Site Selection of Intervenor
Safe Energy for New Haven



Upon information and belief it is our contention that:

1. Applicant has underestimated advantages of multiple generation units within service area over single centralized facility outside of and at distances from service area.
 - a. Eco-social impact of unscheduled shutdown of large generation facility
 - b. routine refueling and maintenance costs of centralized units as opposed to comparable costs of multiple units
 - c. loss of electricity due to necessary long-distance high voltage transmission from centralized location far from load center
 - d. increased costs of maintenance and repair of long distance transmission. Greater risks from hazards of weather, acts of God in long distance transmission.
2. Applicant has failed to consider the unsuitability of the proximity of its proposed units to schools in the district which are the New Haven Elementary (K-4) 1.2 miles from site and the following all within a five mile radius: Academy Street Elementary, Pravor Road School Mexico Academy and Central High School and the Oswego County BOES.

POOR ORIGINAL

521 355
7907160/06

G

3. Applicants have demonstrated discrimination against persons residing in this rural area in their efforts to locate units in area of low population density outside their commercial service area.
4. Applicants have not given sufficient consideration to the siting of New Haven I and II in conjunction with two existing nuclear generating facilities and a third nearing completion within Oswego County. The impact of a so-called nuclear park on this area has not been adequately evaluated.