

**Official Transcript of Proceedings**  
**NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

Title: Nextera Energy Seabrook  
Seabrook Station Unit 1

Docket Number: 50-443-LA-2

ASLBP Number: 17-953-02-LA-BD01

Location: teleconference

Date: Monday, August 26, 2019

Work Order No.: NRC-0540

Pages 188-213

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC.  
Court Reporters and Transcribers  
1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
(202) 234-4433

1 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

2 NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

3 + + + + +

4 ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD PANEL

5 + + + + +

6 PRE-HEARING TELECONFERENCE

7 -----x

8 In the Matter of: : Docket No.

9 NEXTERA ENERGY SEABROOK, LLC : 50-443-LA-2

10 (Seabrook Station, Unit 1) : ASLBP No.

11 : 17-953-02-LA-BD01

12 -----x

13 Monday, August 26, 2019

14  
15 Teleconference

16  
17 BEFORE:

18 RONALD M. SPRITZER, Chair

19 NICHOLAS G. TRIKOUROS, Administrative Judge

20 DR. SEKAZI K. MTINGWA, Administrative Judge

## 1 APPEARANCES:

2 Counsel for the Applicant

3 Paul M. Bessette, Esq.

4 of: Morgan, Lewis &amp; Bockius LLP

5 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

6 Washington, DC 20004

7 202-739-5796

8 paul.bessette@morganlewis.com

9  
10 On Behalf of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission

11 Jeremy Wachutka, Esq.

12 of: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

13 Office of the General Counsel

14 Mail Stop O-14A44

15 Washington, DC 20555-0001

16 301-287-9188

17 jeremy.wachutka@nrc.gov

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On Behalf of C-10 Research & Education  
Foundation

Natalie Hildt-Treat

44 Merrimac Street

Newburyport, Massachusetts 01950

978-465-6646

natalie@c-10.org

Diane Curran, Esq.

of: Harmon, Curran, Spielberg & Eisenberg LLP

1725 DeSales Street, N.W., Suite 500

Washington, D.C. 20036

240-393-9285

dcurran@harmoncurran.com

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2:03 p.m.

JUDGE SPRITZER: Good afternoon. We are here in the case -- in the matter of NextEra Energy Seabrook, Seabrook Station, Unit 1. This is our docket No. 50-443-LA-2. We're here holding a prehearing teleconference related to the evidentiary hearing that will start on September 24th at 9:30 a.m.

My name is Ron Spritzer. I'm the Chairman of this Board. With me, although not physically present here, also on the line are Judges Trikouros and Mtingwa. Let me make sure you're both --

Judge Trikouros, are you there?

JUDGE TRIKOUROS: I am here.

JUDGE SPRITZER: And, Judge Mtingwa?

JUDGE MTINGWA: Yes, I'm -- yes, sorry. I am here.

JUDGE SPRITZER: All right. And our end we also have our law clerk Taylor Mayhall. And we have a technical person if there are any issues that come out about how we're handling the technology at the hearing, at the evidentiary hearing. That's Joe Deucher.

All right. We'll go ahead and identify who's present for the -- representing the parties to

1 the hearing. Why don't we start with the Intervener?

2 MS. CURRAN: Hello, this is Diane Curran  
3 representing C-10, and I think on the phone is Natalie  
4 Hildt-Treat also.

5 MS. HILDT-TREAT: Good afternoon. Yes,  
6 this is Natalie.

7 JUDGE SPRITZER: All right. And, NextEra?

8 MR. BESSETTE: Hi, this is Paul Bessette  
9 representing NextEra. Also on the line are Steve  
10 Hamrick from the NextEra and Ryan Lighty, my  
11 colleague.

12 JUDGE SPRITZER: And the NRC staff?

13 MR. WACHUTKA: This is Jeremy Wachutka for  
14 the NRC staff along with Anita Ghosh-Neighbor, who is  
15 on the line.

16 JUDGE SPRITZER: Very good. All right.  
17 Let's start with our list and then I will open things  
18 up for any questions any of you may have.

19 I think for item 1 we have -- thank you  
20 for enlightening us that we do actually do have a --  
21 the docket version of Intervener's 1R. That's  
22 Intervener's 27. So that's been taken care of.

23 As I understand it you all are working on  
24 a redacted version of Intervener's 7?

25 MS. CURRAN: Yes, that's correct. We're

1 working on a redacted version of that and also of the  
2 rebuttal testimony that we just filed and some time  
3 soon we'll sending a copy of the rebuttal testimony to  
4 NextEra's counsel, to both of them to make sure that  
5 we're on the same page on that.

6 JUDGE SPRITZER: Okay. So that would be  
7 Intervener's 28, the rebuttal?

8 MS. CURRAN: Yes, that's right.

9 JUDGE SPRITZER: Okay. We've been through  
10 the exhibits. As far as we can tell we don't need  
11 redacted copies of anything besides those two:  
12 Intervener's 7 and Intervener's 28.

13 So unless there are any questions about  
14 that, we'll move on to the next issue which is closing  
15 the hearing. Our thought would be -- well, certainly  
16 some time -- and we may wait and see how things go on  
17 Tuesday, whether -- probably the morning of Wednesday  
18 would be the most likely, but depending on how things  
19 go we might want to push it off to the afternoon. And  
20 we would -- hopefully two hours or so would be  
21 sufficient, two, two-and-a-half hours. So we'll  
22 probably close the hearing on the morning of the 27th  
23 and do all the questions related to proprietary  
24 information at that time, hopefully.

25 Does anybody have any thoughts about that?

1 Does that sound like a reasonable approach or would  
2 you prefer something different?

3 MR. BESSETTE: Your Honor, this is Paul  
4 Bessette. You said the morning of the 27th. I  
5 believe you mean the 25th.

6 JUDGE SPRITZER: Yes, I'm sorry. That's  
7 right. Morning of the 25th. Wednesday the 25th.

8 MR. BESSETTE: No comments from us.

9 JUDGE SPRITZER: Okay. Anybody else, the  
10 staff or Interveners have any thoughts about that?

11 (No audible response.)

12 JUDGE SPRITZER: hearing no takers --

13 MS. CURRAN: This is Diane Curran. I  
14 think -- for us, I think Tuesday the -- a public  
15 hearing is probably the most important thing because  
16 I would guess that the most people will be coming on  
17 Tuesday, so that makes sense to me.

18 And, Natalie, did you have any thoughts  
19 about --

20 MS. HILDT-TREAT; No, that's fine. It's  
21 just helpful to know when the hearing will be open.  
22 Thank you.

23 JUDGE SPRITZER: Right. I mean, you  
24 should prepare for your membership to the extent  
25 they're interested in coming. I mean, we're going to



1 aim for the morning of the 25th. It could be the  
2 afternoon. But we'll certainly let you know. We'll  
3 know for sure by Tuesday afternoon if that's going to  
4 change.

5 So there will be some flexibility, but  
6 we're going to -- our preference would be the morning  
7 of the 25th.

8 Okay. As far as the question about the  
9 hearing, does anybody have any -- why don't we start  
10 with the Interveners? Do you have any comments or  
11 other -- simultaneously versus -- sorry. Go ahead.

12 MS. CURRAN: Yes, the only question that  
13 I have about it is that we're going to need some time  
14 to -- usually you're -- I'm sitting with the expert  
15 listening to the witnesses and we get a chance to  
16 discuss the answers and what we think might be missing  
17 or what might need to be asked or kind of follow-up  
18 stuff, and you're listening together while you're  
19 sitting there at the table. And this situation is  
20 that our witness will be up there with the panels, all  
21 the witnesses. So I guess I would just ask to build  
22 in some breaks for us to talk in between segments of  
23 the hearing because the expert is the person I'm going  
24 to have to consult with as to, well, does the last  
25 batch testimony require us to propose some questions

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 to the Board kind of thing?

2 JUDGE SPRITZER: Hold on a moment. We may  
3 have just lost Judge Trikouros. We're trying to get  
4 him back. He's actually in New Jersey.

5 Nick, can you hear us?

6 JUDGE TRIKOUROS: Yes, I can hear you.

7 JUDGE SPRITZER: Great. All right. We're  
8 back.

9 Yes, Ms. Curran was just saying she'd like  
10 us to be -- I take you don't have any objection to  
11 simultaneous questioning, but you'd like there to be  
12 breaks, which there certainly will be. I would  
13 imagine we'd typically go an hour to an hour-and-a-  
14 half at a time and take a break of 15 minutes or so  
15 and give you whatever time you need to talk to your  
16 witness.

17 MS. CURRAN: Yes, okay.

18 JUDGE SPRITZER: NextEra, do you have any  
19 comments on this procedure?

20 MR. BESSETTE: We do, Your Honor. We have  
21 no objections to the general idea of simultaneous  
22 questioning, but as you saw from our testimony, we  
23 really had two pieces of testimony: one on the Large  
24 Scale Test Program and one on the Structural  
25 Deformation Monitoring Program. Despite the arguments

1 we believe they're fairly separate issues and have  
2 separate witnesses, but we wanted to discuss the  
3 logistics of that.

4 We could have all of them up there, but it  
5 might get a little crowded, but we also wanted to  
6 propose perhaps if you're going to change the topic we  
7 would have -- be able to change out the witnesses.

8 JUDGE SPRITZER: Yes, that's sounds like  
9 a reasonable approach. I don't know if either of my  
10 colleagues have any comments on that, but I think we  
11 can -- I guess the only problem is if we get -- if  
12 somehow we wound up getting -- crossing over between  
13 one issue and the other and we'd have to shuffle  
14 witnesses around or put off the question.

15 Will all the witnesses be physically  
16 present whether or not they're sitting at our witness  
17 table?

18 MR. BESSETTE: They will, Your Honor and  
19 they could be -- for instance if they're on the -- if  
20 they're -- if you're talking about the Test Program,  
21 we'll have those witnesses up there, but the other  
22 folks will be sitting behind them. So they could come  
23 as necessary. But I just wanted to relate that. It  
24 really would probably be not practical and a bit  
25 crowded if we had everybody up there at once. So

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 they'll be available and on site. And if you have a  
2 cross-question, I'm sure we could accommodate that.

3 JUDGE SPRITZER: All right. Staff, do you  
4 have any further thoughts on this issue?

5 MR. WACHUTKA: The NRC staff is good with  
6 simultaneous questioning with breaks. That will work  
7 for us and our witnesses.

8 JUDGE SPRITZER: Okay. Do you have  
9 separate witnesses on the two issues that Mr. Besette  
10 mentioned?

11 MR. WACHUTKA: No, all four our witnesses  
12 can sit at the table at one time. That would work for  
13 us.

14 JUDGE SPRITZER: Okay. All right. And  
15 kind of last but not least, we're working on -- now  
16 that we have rebuttal testimony, we're working on  
17 getting our final exhibit list to you. If you do see  
18 an error on there, please let us know. You can  
19 contact either one of our law clerks, Molly Mattison  
20 or Taylor. I think you have both -- emails for both  
21 of those. Let us know if there's anything either  
22 incorrect or omitted on the final list.

23 And then as I've indicated in there we'd  
24 like to move all of the exhibits into evidence,  
25 subject to any objections, of course, at the start of

1 the hearing. We don't intend to go through each of  
2 them separately. We'd like to have them moved into  
3 evidence as a group. And then if there are specific  
4 objections to particular documents -- to me the only  
5 objections that would really come to mind would be a  
6 claim a document is not an authentic copy, which I  
7 suspect is not going to be an issue.

8 Relevance. If something's totally  
9 irrelevant and could have no conceivable value to  
10 issues we have to resolve, that would be appropriate,  
11 but otherwise any issue as to the weight of the  
12 evidence can be argued and you can have a chance to  
13 file -- we'll give the parties a chance to file  
14 proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law after  
15 the hearing.

16 So hopefully we can -- you can resolve  
17 those issues before we get to the hearing and we can  
18 limit this part of it to 20 minutes or so, but if  
19 there are objections, we'll certainly consider them.

20 Anybody have any thoughts on that?  
21 Interveners?

22 MS. HILDT-TREAT: No, nothing to add.

23 JUDGE SPRITZER: Okay. Mr. Bessette, do  
24 you have any thoughts on that procedure?

25 MR. BESSETTE: No, Your Honor, we have

1 nothing to add.

2 JUDGE SPRITZER: And the staff?

3 MR. WACHUTKA: Nothing to add for the  
4 staff.

5 JUDGE SPRITZER: All righty.

6 All right. We said we would give you a  
7 chance to ask any questions you may have. I don't  
8 know if I -- hopefully I mentioned that Joe Deucher,  
9 our technical person, is here, so if you have any  
10 questions about where -- how the technology is going  
11 to operate. We do plan to have I think two screens up  
12 on the stage.

13 MR. DEUCHER: One there and one large in  
14 the back.

15 JUDGE SPRITZER: Oh, one large one in the  
16 back so people in the audience can see when we're  
17 questioning about a specific exhibit. So people will  
18 be able to see those. And their individual computers  
19 will be --

20 MR. DEUCHER: We'll have individual  
21 monitors for the witness tables as well as the  
22 attorney tables and the -- and for each Judge.

23 JUDGE SPRITZER: Okay. So that will be  
24 the set up generally. We gave you a diagram, which is  
25 not engraved in stone, but that will give you at least

1 some idea how we propose to set things up. This gives  
2 the impression that there would be no seats on the  
3 first floor. I think we can -- hopefully we can  
4 arrange it so there will be some seats both for extra  
5 witnesses who may be testifying, for NextEra, if they  
6 have extra witnesses who won't be able to sit  
7 comfortably at the witness table, and also for members  
8 of the public. But it's possible some people will  
9 have to sit up in the mezzanine.

10 Any questions about the technology or  
11 anything else?

12 MS. HILDT-TREAT: This is -- go ahead,  
13 Diane.

14 MS. CURRAN: Go ahead, Natalie. Oh, I was  
15 going to ask, it's very important to C-10 that if  
16 possible we have the hearing video cast, web cast. Is  
17 that possible?

18 JUDGE SPRITZER: We're not doing that. It  
19 may be possible if you want to -- you have someone  
20 with a camera or whatever it takes to do your own, but  
21 we're not planning to do that. We just don't -- we  
22 got to limit our resources and that's not -- this is  
23 not on the list for cases for web casting for the NRC.

24 MS. HILDT-TREAT: Right, yes. This is  
25 Natalie. So it is permissible for us to have somebody

1 film during the public parts of the hearing? Whether  
2 it's filming, recording or live casting through social  
3 media? Are any of those open for the public portions?

4 JUDGE SPRITZER: As far as I know there's  
5 no problem with that.

6 MR. DEUCHER: No prohibition? It would be  
7 up to the Board. The only thing that we would ask is  
8 that the individual who's doing the live streaming or  
9 web casting with the camera would need to stay outside  
10 the well of the courtroom in the --

11 (Simultaneous speaking.)

12 MS. HILDT-TREAT: Okay.

13 MR. DEUCHER: -- certainly in view that  
14 they can get a good video shot. And if they do need  
15 any sort of audio assistance and audio feed from us,  
16 we would want to know that in advance, unless they're  
17 planning on capturing their own audio with their  
18 camera device.

19 MS. HILDT-TREAT: Okay. That's helpful to  
20 know. I'm not sure what -- we've had a few people  
21 inquire about that, but it's just good to know.

22 So this is Natalie again. I heard you  
23 mention monitors. Dr. Sama, our expert, was talking  
24 about wanting to have access to a monitor for him to  
25 look at materials during the hearing. Is that what



1       you meant so that there would be monitors for people  
2       to be viewing the same documents that would be brought  
3       up? How does that work?

4               MR. DEUCHER: That's correct. And to  
5       clarify, what we do is we'll have -- I'll have a  
6       computer or my counterpart Andy Welky will have a  
7       computer. We will have all the exhibits on the  
8       computer. And then the image from that computer --  
9       bringing up the documents on that computer can then be  
10      displayed across all the monitors and projection  
11      screens. We are not going to be giving anybody an  
12      individual computer that they can look up the  
13      documents on.

14             Instead what we're doing is we're  
15      providing a monitor so that if a particular document  
16      or page or image needs to be referenced or identified  
17      or discussed or length, we can go ahead and bring that  
18      up on the screen for somebody to look at. But it  
19      would be up to the parties if they wanted to bring in  
20      a laptop computer say or a tablet with the materials  
21      on them for someone to be able to reference at the  
22      table. That would be separate from this. Hope that  
23      clarifies that.

24             MS. HILDT-TREAT: Yes, so separate but  
25      permissible. I know again I our expert; and, Diane

1 Curran, maybe you know more, but he wanted to be able  
2 to see documents. So I didn't know if he meant that  
3 he wanted to look at other documents than the one that  
4 everyone was referencing, and if so, would he be able  
5 to have a laptop and an external monitor, which is  
6 what he was asking about.

7 JUDGE SPRITZER: Yes, he can do both of  
8 those.

9 MS. HILDT-TREAT: Okay. Thank you.

10 JUDGE SPRITZER: If he wants -- I mean, if  
11 he can be -- if he says -- let's say we're asking him  
12 a question about Intervener Exhibit 7 and he wants to  
13 reference another exhibit, he can ask. If he can give  
14 us a specific reference, we can probably -- they'll be  
15 able --

16 MS. HILDT-TREAT: Okay.

17 JUDGE SPRITZER: -- to bring up the  
18 document.

19 MR. DEUCHER: But it wasn't -- just to  
20 clarify, too, it was not our intention that we would  
21 be giving each witness at the table or attorneys at  
22 the table actual computers with the documents on  
23 them --

24 MS. HILDT-TREAT: Sure.

25 MR. DEUCHER: -- for them to be able to

1 reference.

2 MS. HILDT-TREAT: Just a monitor?  
3 Everybody's got the same? Got it. Thank you.

4 MR. DEUCHER: Certainly.

5 JUDGE SPRITZER: All right. Anyone else  
6 have any issues they want to raise or an questions  
7 about the technology?

8 MS. HILDT-TREAT: Go ahead, Paul.

9 MR. BESSETTE: Yes, this is Paul Bessette.  
10 We don't have any objections to the video casting, but  
11 we'd completely concur with the gentleman who was  
12 speaking that they need to stay back and do this from  
13 afar. We've been in other hearings where it's quite  
14 intrusive and quite a surprise for the parties. So if  
15 those are the ground rules where they stay back and  
16 not eavesdropping on private conversations, we're fine  
17 with that.

18 And just to clarify, I mean, there's most  
19 likely going to be examples where the Board asks a  
20 question on Exhibit X and our witnesses say, well,  
21 that's answered in Exhibit A, B and C. And so the  
22 technology from the NRC will be able to pull those up  
23 at the request of the witnesses?

24 JUDGE SPRITZER: Yes, I think so. It may  
25 take a little while, but we should be able to do it.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. BESSETTE: Great.

2 JUDGE SPRITZER: That's certainly the  
3 plan.

4 Let me say, too, about individuals who may  
5 want to, I don't know, broadcast somehow the hearing,  
6 I hope we can -- that the Intervener, the C-10 can  
7 limit this to one individual or at least a couple  
8 people working together. In other words, one camera  
9 or device, whatever it is that you're using. We don't  
10 want to have multiple people running around the room.  
11 Certainly we do not want people coming into the well,  
12 as Mr. Deucher mentioned, and sticking their camera in  
13 the face of a witness or one of the Judges or lawyers  
14 or whatever.

15 JUDGE SPRITZER: And I don't know -- we  
16 don't even have immediate plans, but people have asked  
17 us and I know there are people that might not be able  
18 to attend or are -- live out of the region and were  
19 curious about it. So we certainly understand that if  
20 anybody -- but we have arranged that they will follow  
21 these guidelines that you specified. Thank you.

22 JUDGE SPRITZER: Okay. Does the staff  
23 have anything to add, any questions or suggestions?

24 MR. WACHUTKA: No questions on technology,  
25 Your Honor. We have other questions when that comes

1 up though.

2 JUDGE SPRITZER: Okay. Go ahead.  
3 Whatever you have we'll -- let's get to it.

4 MR. WACHUTKA: Okay. Well, our first  
5 question was on proposed questions for the Board to  
6 ask. Are you accepting those in this proceeding,  
7 written and submitted before the hearing? And when  
8 would you want those submitted?

9 JUDGE SPRITZER: I guess we didn't have  
10 that in our -- among the things we admitted and the  
11 orders we've issues. Yes, that's fine. I would --  
12 we'd be happy to -- with the understanding that we may  
13 or may not give them, but we'll certainly look at the  
14 questions you all would like to submit.

15 What would be a good time? I mean, we're  
16 getting close to the hearing. How about 10 business  
17 days before the hearing? Is that realistic?

18 MR. BESSETTE: Your Honor, this is Paul  
19 Bessette. I thought the Board did establish a  
20 deadline.

21 JUDGE SPRITZER: And I'm -- I don't have  
22 my -- all the collection of orders here. We didn't  
23 have one in the most recent order. If there is a  
24 deadline in there, let's go with that.

25 MR. BESSETTE: I believe it was September

1 19th. That's what I have in my records.

2 JUDGE SPRITZER: Okay. September 19th?  
3 Okay. Well, that's what we said, so we'll live with  
4 that. If you can get them in any earlier, that would  
5 probably make it where we could look at it. We'll be  
6 getting a lot of stuff between the 19th and the 24th,  
7 but we'll go with our own deadline. And, yes,  
8 certainly we'll entertain questions.

9 We'll also give you a chance -- usually  
10 what we've done in the past is have -- at least the  
11 hearings I've been involved with is to allow people to  
12 write up questions on index cards and submit them to  
13 us that way during the hearing so you're not  
14 necessarily precluded from any questions that may  
15 arise as a result of testimony you hear just because  
16 they weren't filed by the 19th.

17 MS. CURRAN: Thank you, Judge. This is --  
18 that will be -- that's something in my experience  
19 that's been very helpful. And that was one of the  
20 reasons why we wanted to ask for breaks during the  
21 process so that we could propose follow-up questions  
22 on some of the oral testimony.

23 JUDGE SPRITZER: Right. Yes. No, that's  
24 -- I think that's pretty customary at Board hearings  
25 to allow that. Again, that doesn't mean we're going

1 to ask the question, but we'll certainly give it  
2 careful consideration. And maybe rephrase somewhat  
3 also.

4 One thing I've had problems with sometimes  
5 is reading handwriting, but that may be the only  
6 option. I don't know if you don't have a printer with  
7 you. Okay.

8 MS. CURRAN: This is Diane Curran. I  
9 guess I have a question. Is there any possibility  
10 that the Board or some group could bring a printer,  
11 because I think we're all going to have laptops. And  
12 I agree that it's a lot easier if you can type them  
13 out and print them.

14 JUDGE SPRITZER: Yes, our technical expert  
15 is shaking his head no, so --

16 MS. CURRAN: Oh, okay.

17 JUDGE SPRITZER: -- we'll just have to do  
18 it -- we'll have to make do with your handwriting.

19 MS. CURRAN: Okay.

20 MR. WACHUTKA: Your Honor, this Jeremy  
21 Wachutka from the NRC staff. Could it be possible to  
22 maybe -- if we all have WiFi or a wireless card we  
23 could email the clerks our questions instead of doing  
24 just paper cards, handing them up.

25 JUDGE SPRITZER: Yes, that's another

1 possibility. That would work.

2 MS. CURRAN: Yes, that's a good idea.

3 JUDGE SPRITZER: Does the staff have  
4 anything else?

5 MR. WACHUTKA: I just wanted to confirm  
6 what the plan was for opening and/or closing  
7 statements. Are we doing one, both or just -- that's  
8 what we wanted to ask.

9 JUDGE SPRITZER: Well, you're not required  
10 to do an opening statement, but I think we will allow  
11 -- I would hope you could keep it to 15 minutes or so.  
12 Closing we won't -- we'll definitely allow closing  
13 arguments. We might -- depending on -- let's say we  
14 finish late on Wednesday. We would probably let you  
15 -- give you time to go home and think over what you  
16 want to say Wednesday evening. Or if we finish --  
17 let's say we finish at noon on Thursday, give you an  
18 hour or two to think over what you want to say in  
19 closing, because I think that would probably be more  
20 helpful to the Board than opening statements.

21 But either way, yes, we'll definitely  
22 allow closing argument and opening statements. You're  
23 not required do so either one, but I suspect you will  
24 want to.

25 MR. BESSETTE: Your Honor, this is Paul



1 Bessette. When I was talking about the questions to  
2 the Board, I would refer everyone to the November  
3 29th, 2017 initial scheduling order. There is some  
4 additional guidance in there.

5 JUDGE SPRITZER: Okay.

6 MS. HILDT-TREAT: Your Honor, this is  
7 Natalie at C-10. I just wanted to go over again the  
8 process for the limited public comment sessions on the  
9 evening before, on Monday evening.

10 So I see that people can either file to  
11 make comments ahead of time by the 13th or sign up on  
12 site. And we see the requirements for ID and what  
13 people can bring. Is there anything more about -- is  
14 it a strict public comment period or is there going to  
15 be any introduction from anybody at the Board about  
16 what the proceeding is? Any opening remarks about the  
17 proceeding?

18 JUDGE SPRITZER: Only just to limit --  
19 make clear what the time limits are as far as how many  
20 people we have. If we have 10 people, we can allow  
21 them more time. If we have 50 people, we're going to  
22 have to be very tight on time limits. But we're not  
23 going to make any general introduction other than to  
24 tell what the case -- we'll give a brief summary what  
25 the case is about, but I don't think I -- (audio

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 interference) -- to the limited appearance session  
2 they have some idea at least what it's about.

3 MS. HILDT-TREAT: Okay. Thank you.

4 JUDGE SPRITZER: Yes, we want to keep our  
5 part to a minimum so we give maximum time for people  
6 to comment. I suspect they're not coming there to  
7 listen to us.

8 So, okay. Anything else? I guess if  
9 anybody had any other comments about the limited  
10 appearance session, we could also address those if  
11 necessary.

12 (No audible response.)

13 JUDGE SPRITZER: All right. Staff, did  
14 you cover all the areas you wanted to mention?

15 MR. WACHUTKA: Yes, Your Honor, that's all  
16 we had questions on.

17 JUDGE SPRITZER: Okay. All right. Very  
18 good. Thank you for attending. We will be -- so we  
19 should be -- and I guess what, in the next week or two  
20 Intervener's redacted versions of 7 and 28?

21 MS. CURRAN: Yes.

22 JUDGE SPRITZER: Is that a fair estimate?  
23 Okay.

24 MS. CURRAN: It's probably going to be  
25 sometime next week because I'm going to be out of town

1 for a couple days this weekend. We're still working  
2 on a proposal, but sometime next week I think you  
3 should be getting both of those.

4 JUDGE SPRITZER: All right. Yes, in terms  
5 of how -- the order on the 24th, I think we would  
6 start by moving the exhibits into evidence. That  
7 would be the first thing on the agenda followed by  
8 opening arguments. And then we'll move into the  
9 witnesses.

10 All right. Unless there's anything  
11 further, we will conclude the prehearing conference  
12 and look forward to seeing all of you in  
13 Massachusetts.

14 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went  
15 off the record at 2:31 p.m.)  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25