

From: [Brady, Bennett](#)
To: [Distel, David J:\(GenCo-Nuc\)](#)
Cc: [Weyhmuller, Paul R:\(GenCo-Nuc\)](#); [Warfel Sr, Donald B:\(GenCo-Nuc\)](#); [Tamburro, Peter:\(GenCo-Nuc\)](#)
Subject: RE: RE: PBAPS SLRA Supplement - Section 4.6
Date: Thursday, February 14, 2019 3:41:00 PM

I sent an email to Andrew and his branch chief but have not heard from them. They could be at Seabrook.

Bennett

From: Distel, David J:(GenCo-Nuc) [mailto:David.Distel@exeloncorp.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2019 12:59 PM
To: Brady, Bennett <Bennett.Brady@nrc.gov>
Cc: Weyhmuller, Paul R:(GenCo-Nuc) <paul.veyhmuller@exeloncorp.com>; Warfel Sr, Donald B: (GenCo-Nuc) <donaId.warfel@exeloncorp.com>; Tamburro, Peter:(GenCo-Nuc) <Peter.Tamburro@exeloncorp.com>
Subject: [External_Sender] RE: PBAPS SLRA Supplement - Section 4.6

Bennett – Based on our discussion, please let me know if you have any feedback on the proposed alternate approach to provide a supplemental additional information docketed submittal for this material rather than a Supplement to the SLRA, since this material does not belong in the SLRA itself. The proposed supplemental additional information docketed submittal would also alleviate an RAI, and could be docketed in a relatively short time frame.

Thanks.
Dave Distel

From: Brady, Bennett <Bennett.Brady@nrc.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 12, 2019 8:18 PM
To: Distel, David J:(GenCo-Nuc) <David.Distel@exeloncorp.com>
Cc: Prinaris, Andrew <Andrew.Prinaris@nrc.gov>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Fw: PBAPS SLRA Supplement - Section 4.6

Dave,

Andrew Prinaris would like for Exelon to provide a supplement to the Peach Bottom application Section 4.6 with the material that you have already provided. Andrew explains below the document he wants you to use and sections he would like supplemented in Section 4.6.

This will alleviate an RAI.

If you have any questions, let me know and I will set up a conference call.

From: Prinaris, Andrew
Sent: Friday, February 8, 2019 3:48 PM
To: Brady, Bennett
Subject: PBAPS SLRA Supplement - Section 4.6

Hello Bennett:

I would appreciate if you could request PBAPS at its earliest opportunity to supplement SLRA Sections 4.6, 4.6.1, and 4.6.2 with their clarification responses made (in their entirety). This potentially would alleviate the need to re-request the same clarifications to be made in form of RAls.

Specifically from portal bullet: **01-17-19 Prinaris PBAPS TLAA Prim. Cont. Fatigue Analyses Clarification Questions-Rev 2,**

to supplement **4.6** with responses made to **C4.6-3**
to supplement **4.6.1** with responses made to **C4.6-1, C4.6-2, C4.6-4**
to supplement **4.6.2** with responses made to **C4.6-5**

Please let me if you have any questions

Thanks

Andrew

This Email message and any attachment may contain information that is proprietary, legally privileged, confidential and/or subject to copyright belonging to Exelon Corporation or its affiliates ("Exelon"). This Email is intended solely for the use of the person(s) to which it is addressed. If you are not an intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivery of this Email to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this Email is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately notify the sender and permanently delete this Email and any copies. Exelon policies expressly prohibit employees from making defamatory or offensive statements and infringing any copyright or any other legal right by Email communication. Exelon will not accept any liability in respect of such communications. - EXCIP