

FROM: Metropolitan Edison Company
Reading, Pennsylvania 19603
J. G. Miller

DATE OF DOCUMENT
5-14-70

DATE RECEIVED
5-18-70

NO.:

LTR.
X

MEMO:

REPORT:

OTHER:

TO:

Dr Peter A. Morris

ORIG.:
1

CC:

OTHER:

ACTION NECESSARY ☐

CONCURRENCE ☐

DATE ANSWERED

NO ACTION NECESSARY ☐

COMMENT ☐

BY:

CLASSIF:

U

POST OFFICE

REG. NO:

FILE CODE:

50-289

DESCRIPTION: (Must Be Unclassified)

Ltr in response to our 4-17-70 ltr &
meeting on 5-13-70...adv on action to
be taken on specific items regarding
VSAR in the near future.....

REFERRED TO

DATE

RECEIVED BY

DATE

Long

5-19-70

w/4 cys for action

DISTRIBUTION:

DO NOT REMOVE

ENCLOSURES:

Regulatory file

AEC PDR

Impara

Compliance (2)

Collins (2)

Dube/Wilson

D. Thompson

Boyd

DTIE (Laughlin)

DeYoung

NSIC (Buchanan)

Howe

AEC HQ LIB J 004

Maccary

ASLB (Yore "H" St)

Dromerick (3)

Case (Reading File)

Holt

Minogue

Moore

Rosen

ACKNOWLEDGED

REMARKS:

Pod

☆ U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 348-016

U.S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

MAIL CONTROL FORM

FORM AEC-3265
(8-60)

1491 017

7910300 507

A

METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY

P. O. Box 542

READING, PENNSYLVANIA 19603

JOHN G. MILLER
Vice President and Chief Engineer

Regulatory

File Cy.

May 14, 1970

Dr. Peter A. Morris
Division of Reactor Licensing
United States Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C.

Re: Three Mile Island Nuclear Station
Unit 1 - Docket No. 50-289



Dear Dr. Morris:

This is in reply to your letter of April 17, 1970 which listed the results of your preliminary review of the Final Safety Analysis Report filed as Amendment No. 12 to our application for license for the Three Mile Island Nuclear Station Unit 1.

At a meeting with members of your staff on May 13, we discussed your letter of April 17 and we were informed that your staff would be prepared to fully discuss our FSAR in July or early August. With regard to the specific items in your letter of April 17 we told your representatives the following:

1. As noted in my letter to you of February 10, 1970, the design and testing information on the reactor building fan coolers will be submitted for your review during the third quarter of this year. We expect to be able to discuss this information with your staff at the technical review meeting to be held in July or early August.
2. The proposed Technical Specifications will be filed in the fall of this year. As suggested by your representatives we will follow closely the development of the technical specifications for the Oconee Nuclear Station in the preparation of the Technical Specifications. We understand this schedule will not delay review by your staff of the FSAR.



1491 018


1501

~~1500~~
~~1500~~

Dr. Peter A. Morris
May 14, 1970
Page 2

3. We expect to file an amendment to the FSAR by July 1, 1970 which will include:
 - a. A report on the results of our scoping studies on failed-fuel detection alternatives;
 - b. A report on the iodine removal efficiency of sodium thiosulfate containment spray solution;
 - c. References to Babcock and Wilcox topical reports relating to fuel rod failure mechanisms and analyses of the potential for xenon oscillations; and
 - d. References to those sections of the FSAR which respond to the ACRS recommendations concerning the scram bus and the separation of safety and control functions.
4. In accordance with the observations of your staff representatives we will observe closely the questions and answers relating to the nuclear steam supply system in the Oconee Nuclear Station licensing review process to determine their applicability to our facility. As appropriate, we will make revisions to our FSAR to reflect relevant issues identified during the licensing review of the Oconee facility.

Very truly yours,



J. G. MILLER

mal

cc: George F. Trowbridge, Esq.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts, Trowbridge,
Madden, & Stuart

1491 019