

SUNSI Review Complete  
 Template = ADM-013  
 E-RIDS=ADM-03  
 ADD=John Lamb

**As of:** 2/26/19 10:10 AM  
**Received:** February 24, 2019  
**Status:** Pending\_Post  
**Tracking No.** 1k3-98ft-amhi  
**Comments Due:** March 04, 2019  
**Submission Type:** Web

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

COMMENT (4)  
 PUBLICATION DATE:  
 1/31/2019  
 CITATION 84 FR 816

**Docket:** NRC-2018-0279

Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station; Consideration of Approval of Transfer of License and Conforming Amendment

**Comment On:** NRC-2018-0279-0001

Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station; Consideration of Approval of Transfer of License and Conforming Amendment

**Document:** NRC-2018-0279-DRAFT-0004

Comment on FR Doc # 2019-00371

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Anonymous Anonymous

## General Comment

Entergy wants to decommission Pilgrim in 60 years and Holtec wants to decommission Pilgrim in 8 to 10 years. I think 8-10 years is better for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and the Town of Plymouth.

However, the Commonwealth and Pilgrim Watch are against Holtec buying Pilgrim. Why? Because the Commonwealth and Pilgrim Watch want money from Entergy and Holtec.

I support the sale of Pilgrim from Entergy to Holtec, because commonsense says 8-10 years is better than 60 years for decommissioning Pilgrim.

But I will not be surprised to see Holtec walk away from the deal like Amazon walked away from New York, especially since the Commonwealth wants to steal 1200 acres of land and wants to enforce a 10 millirem clean up standard

with no safety benefit.