

Official Transcript of Proceedings
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title: Public Meeting on the Seabrook Alkali-Silica
 Reaction (ASR) License Amendment Request
 and License Renewal Application

Docket Number: N/A

Location: Hampton, New Hampshire

Date: February 13, 2019

Work Order No.: NRC-0142

Pages 1-158

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC.
Court Reporters and Transcribers
1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 234-4433

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

+ + + + +

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

+ + + + +

PUBLIC MEETING ON THE SEABROOK ALKALI-SILICA
REACTION (ASR) LICENSE AMENDMENT REQUEST AND LICENSE
RENEWAL APPLICATION

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY,

FEBRUARY 13, 2019

+ + + + +

The meeting was convened at Best Western
Plus: The Inn at Hampton, 815 Lafayette Road, Hampton,
New Hampshire, at 6:00 p.m., Brett Klukan,
Facilitator, presiding.

PRESENT:

BRETT KLUKAN, Facilitator

JOSEPH DONOGHUE, Acting Director, Division of
Materials and License Renewal, NRR

JUSTIN POOLE, Project Manager, Division of Operating
Reactor Licensing

ANGIE BUFORD, Division of Engineering

ERIC OESTERLE, Branch Chief, Division of Materials

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

and License Renewal, NRR

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

Welcome and opening remarks.....	3
NRC Staff presentations.....	7
Public comments.....	25

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 6:01 p.m.

3 MR. KLUKAN: All right, everyone, we're
4 going to get started. Thank you all for coming this
5 evening. And welcome to the meeting hosted by the
6 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

7 The purpose of this meeting tonight is to
8 discuss the NRC's plans related to the schedule for
9 issuing the license amendment associated with ASR,
10 and the renewal of the Seabrook license.

11 My name again is Brett Klukan. I'm,
12 normally by day I'm the regional counsel for Region
13 I. However, tonight I'll be serving as a facilitator
14 for this meeting.

15 The meeting tonight is divided into two
16 parts. During the first half, or for the first part
17 of the meeting, which shouldn't last more than roughly
18 30 minutes, the NRC staff will provide a short
19 overview of the NRC's actions related to the two
20 applications I just mentioned.

21 After that, members of the audience will
22 be invited to provide comments and ask questions to
23 the NRC staff.

24 The order of public speakers will be

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 determined in the order in which the yellow comment
2 cards are received. So, if you'd like to speak
3 tonight, please complete and return one of those
4 yellow cards, which you can find at the table outside
5 of the meeting room, to the table.

6 And again, first come first serve. The
7 order in which I receive them is the order in which
8 I will call people to speak tonight. In order to
9 encourage a broad array of speakers tonight,
10 individuals will be limited to a three minute speaking
11 period.

12 If we exhaust the list of people who would
13 like to speak tonight, then we'll allow people to
14 speak for a second time. I don't have the final
15 number of people that are signed up to speak. So, I
16 don't have a great sense. But I'm going to stick
17 with three minutes, which is the usual time I give
18 for people to speak at such a meeting. And again,
19 if we have extra time at the end, then people can go
20 round through a second time.

21 I recognize, I would like to ask that we
22 keep the area in this front row clear. If you have
23 something that you would like to give to the NRC
24 staff, please hand it to me, and I will bring it up

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 to them. We'd like to keep, again, this space open.
2 Okay.

3 I recognize that many of you here tonight
4 likely have strongly held views concerning the
5 matters to be discussed. As well we stated in the
6 opening NRC presentation, the NRC concedes that it
7 could have done a better job communicating about these
8 matters to the public.

9 Nonetheless, my duty is to ask you to
10 adhere to basic standards of civil decorum, if only
11 out of respect for everyone else in the audience
12 tonight. Please respect each other. Please don't
13 disrupt each other. And just as you wouldn't want
14 to be interrupted during your time at the microphone,
15 please respect the speaking time of others.

16 Let me make this patently clear.
17 Threatening gestures or statements under no
18 circumstances will be tolerated, and will be cause
19 for immediate ejection from the meeting tonight. If
20 you feel that you've been threatened in any way,
21 please let me know so that I can take appropriate
22 action.

23 A few minor housekeeping matters. The
24 bathrooms are just around the corner. The exits are

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 just through the doors in the back. While cameras
2 are permitted, please try not to obstruct the view of
3 other audience members. Be judicious with flash.
4 And if you'd be so kind at this time to silence all
5 of your cell phones or other mobile devices.

6 At this point I would like to announce
7 that we have representatives from several elected, of
8 from several offices tonight. We have
9 representatives from Senator Jeanne Shaheen's office,
10 Senator Maggie Hassan's office, Congressman Chris
11 Pappas' office, Senator Ed Markey's office, Senator
12 Elizabeth Warren's officer, and Congressman Seth
13 Moulton.

14 Are there any other elected officials or
15 representatives of elected officials, or other
16 elected offices who would like to stand and be
17 recognized at this time? Know that after the NRC's
18 presentation there will be an opportunity to give
19 prepared remarks. If you would like to stand and be
20 rec -- Oh, excuse me. Yes. Let me bring you the
21 microphone.

22 MR. ELLMS: Oh, it's just I represent
23 Governor Chris Sununu's office.

24 MR. KLUKAN: This is Chris Ellms of

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Governor Sununu's office. I apologize. I added your
2 name to the lower part of my list, but not the upper
3 part. Any other elected officials? Speaking into
4 two microphones right now. Any -- Oh, one sec.

5 MR. JANVRIN: Jason Janvrin,
6 Representative of Rockingham District 37, almost said
7 20. Seabrook, Hampton Falls, Hampton, to the New
8 Hampshire House of Representatives.

9 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you.

10 MR. KHAN: Aboul Khan, Member, Seabrook
11 Board of Selectmen. And I also represent Seabrook
12 and Hampton 12th District.

13 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you very much. Any
14 other elected officials or representative of elected
15 officials? Going once, going twice. All right.
16 Thank you. All right. With that said I will now
17 turn it over to Joe Donoghue for the NRC's
18 presentation. Thank you.

19 MR. DONOGHUE: Thanks, Brett. Good
20 evening and welcome. I'm glad to see all of you here
21 tonight. I'll get out of the way. Sorry. Glad to
22 see you all here tonight. And I hope that we can
23 have an informative and productive discussion.

24 As Brett said we have a short

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 presentation. I'll kick off here in a second. But
2 the reason we're here is to communicate with you, and
3 then to listen to you. Hopefully we'll have a good
4 dialogue, as much as time we have to do that.

5 All right. Again, my name's Joe
6 Donoghue. My job is the, right now the Acting
7 Director of the Division of Materials and License
8 Renewal at the NRC. So, my division is lead for the
9 license renewal review that's been going on at the
10 NRC for Seabrook.

11 Another group in our office, which is the
12 Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, and we have
13 representatives from that office here too. They had
14 the leave for reviewing the license amendment related
15 to ASR that you've all heard about.

16 We also have people from the Division of
17 Engineering to help with the review. And we also
18 have people here from the Region I office, including
19 the Resident Inspectors from the plant.

20 So, the reason for tonight's meeting is
21 to make sure that we discuss the plan for our actions,
22 these licensing actions. Those being the license
23 amendment and the license renewal.

24 And as Brett said, you know, the reason

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 we're having this meeting is to communicate. Because
2 we realized that we had not adequately communicated
3 our plans to issue these things in January, which is
4 well ahead of the contemplated hearing on ASR later
5 this year.

6 So, we made a decision to do that. And
7 we were moving forward. And we had correspondence
8 from your Congressional representatives that
9 convinced us that we needed to do a better job of
10 communicating. Again, that's why we're here.

11 All right. So, the NRC staff completed
12 its safety review of both of these actions at the end
13 of last year. Now, originally, as I said, we had
14 planned to issue these after the hearing. That's
15 what we expected to do.

16 The ASR review was a long, complicated
17 review. Compared to other reviews, and I've been at
18 the Agency more than 20 years. And this is definitely
19 one of the more complicated reviews you'd find. And
20 we expected that this review would take us past the
21 hearing. But that didn't happen.

22 We were able to get answers to the staff's
23 questions. And we were able to wrap up the review
24 at the end of the year. And we made our safety

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 conclusions, and don't see any safety concerns with
2 issuing the amendment and the renewed license.

3 Now, by promptly issuing these actions
4 we're going to be able to establish requirements in
5 Seabrook's license for ASR. They have programs in
6 place. They've been implementing programs to monitor
7 and to manage ASR at the plant.

8 Our inspectors have been inspecting those
9 programs. So, the plant's been operated safely. But
10 by taking the step of issuing the amendment, and then
11 the renewed license, it puts requirements in their
12 license related to those ASR monitoring programs.

13 All right. So during this, our meeting,
14 during our discussion tonight keep in mind that
15 issuing these actions won't prevent the NRC from
16 making any changes to the license that the hearing
17 outcome may require, you know.

18 So, the hearing is not undermined by our
19 actions issuing the amendment and the renewed
20 license. The hearing is independent. The ASLB, the
21 Board that reviews the contention is independent of
22 the staff. They do their job after, and we can, you
23 know, they'll do their job after we have done our
24 job.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 And if there's new information that needs
2 to be considered, anything out, you know, an outcome
3 from that hearing that we need to consider, we shall.
4 And if there's action that needs to be taken on the
5 license, that action will be taken.

6 All right. So, the staff throughout this
7 process has continued to have reasonable assurance
8 that the plant can be operated safely. I alluded to
9 that a second ago. So, the staff at the moment, you
10 know, before this meeting, and unless we hear new
11 information still plans to issue the renewed license,
12 the license amendment and the renewed license after
13 the hearing.

14 So again, I just want to repeat that, you
15 know, we recognize that this change in the plan caught
16 many people by surprise. And we should have done a
17 better job communicating this to you. And that's why
18 we're here tonight. Next slide, please. Can you get
19 to the next slide? Okay.

20 So, here's the agenda for tonight's
21 meeting. So, first we're going to talk about the
22 license amendment and the license renewal application
23 at a high level. Okay. We'll give you an overview
24 of those things.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 We'll talk about the highlights of the
2 conclusions for the staff's technical review and the
3 safety findings that are in the safety evaluations
4 related to the license amendment and the renewed
5 license, the license renewal that we plan to issue.

6 Then we'll turn the floor over to you for
7 your questions and concerns, and your comments on
8 what we're talking about tonight.

9 Now, I want to point out that due to the
10 pending hearing, so, the contention is on some
11 specific aspects, technical aspects related to ASR.
12 So, that's an adjudicatory hearing. And we have
13 rules that we have to follow to maintain separation.

14 We can talk about the safety evaluation,
15 and our conclusions in the safety evaluations. But
16 any new information that one might want to present
17 tonight really has to be done in the context of that
18 hearing. So, we won't be able to discuss it
19 ourselves. We can't discuss that here.

20 We can, again, we'll talk about the
21 safety evaluation, but not any new information. And
22 we're not going to be able to speculate on what the
23 Board may or may not conclude, based on any
24 information that has been or will be presented to the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Board. That's a separate activity from our safety
2 review.

3 All right. So, unless you have any
4 questions for me I'm going to turn the mic over to
5 Eric Oesterle, our Branch Chief for License Renewal
6 Projects.

7 MR. OESTERLE: Thank you, Joe. And as
8 Joe mentioned, my name is Eric. I'm the Chief of the
9 License Renewal Projects Branch. And I want to
10 welcome you all for coming out to the meeting tonight.
11 I'm sure you'll agree that tonight is a much better
12 night than it was last night for such a meeting. We
13 certainly had our fun out on the roads last night.

14 So, what I'm going to do is provide a
15 brief overview of the NRC staff's completed and
16 planned actions with respect to the ASR license
17 amendment, as well as the license renewal
18 application.

19 And as you'll see on the slide we have a
20 timeline that illustrates milestones related to the
21 license renewal application. And those are shown
22 along the top of the arrow. And milestones related
23 to the ASR license amendment, which are shown along
24 the bottom of the arrow.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 As you can see, in 2010 NextEra submitted
2 the license renewal application to the NRC for review.
3 But at that time ASR had not yet been identified at
4 Seabrook.

5 In June 2012, when the NRC completed its
6 initial safety review for the license renewal
7 application there was an open item that remained in
8 that safety evaluation report, that still needed to
9 be resolved. And that was associated with the
10 Alkali-Silica Reaction.

11 In August 2016 NextEra decided to address
12 the ASR issue by submitting a license amendment
13 request to include ASR into its licensing basis. And
14 also by updating the license renewal application to
15 account for the information that it included in its
16 license amendment request.

17 In September 2018 the NRC staff completed
18 its draft safety evaluation of the license amendment
19 request, which found that the amendment would meet
20 the NRC's safety requirements for the current
21 license.

22 Also in September 2018 the NRC staff
23 completed its safety evaluation report for the
24 license renewal application, which found that with

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the information from the ASR license amendment
2 request, the license renewal application will now
3 meet all of the NRC safety requirements for the
4 proposed renewed license.

5 The NRC staff submitted the safety
6 evaluations to our independent Advisory Committee on
7 Reactor Safeguards, which held three public meetings
8 on these safety evaluations.

9 In December 2018 the Committee agreed
10 with the NRC staff that the ASR license amendment
11 request and the license renewal application satisfied
12 the NRC's safety requirement.

13 Throughout its reviews of the license
14 amendment request and the license renewal application
15 the NRC staff held numerous public meetings. Now,
16 because the NRC staff has completed its safety reviews
17 for these licensing actions, and has not identified
18 any safety concerns with issuing the amendment and
19 the renewed license prior to the completion of the
20 hearing, the NRC staff announced plans to issue these
21 two licensing actions in early 2019.

22 This will not impact the upcoming hearing
23 on the license amendment request. We anticipate that
24 the hearing on the ASR license amendment will occur

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 in mid to late 2019, several months after the final
2 safety evaluation for the ASR license amendment is
3 issued.

4 The Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
5 will issue its decision following the hearing. And
6 the NRC staff will adopt any required changes that
7 result from that hearing process.

8 So now I will turn over the presentation
9 to Angie Buford, the NRC's lead structural engineer
10 for these reviews, to provide a short presentation on
11 ASR and the NRC staff's findings on these
12 applications. Angie.

13 MS. BUFORD: Thanks, Eric. My name is
14 Angie Buford. If you will just bear with me, I'm
15 getting over a cold. So, I'm a little bit hoarse.
16 So, just bear with me there.

17 Alkali-Silica Reaction, or ASR, is a slow
18 chemical reaction that can occur in some forms of
19 concrete that have been exposed to water for long
20 periods of time. ASR can cause expansion and
21 cracking in concrete structures. Next slide, please.

22 Since 2010 micro-cracking due to ASR has
23 been identified in multiple Seabrook concrete
24 structures. Additionally, the cumulative impact of

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 ASR expansion has led to instances of deformation of
2 some Seabrook structures.

3 Since that time the NRC has continued to
4 verify that Seabrook is operating safely through ASR
5 specific inspections every six months, as well as
6 through our normal reactor oversight process.

7 Because the original Seabrook licensing
8 and design bases did not include the effect of ASR,
9 and ASR could not be eliminated from the site, NextEra
10 submitted a license amendment request to address ASR
11 for the current license period, and also submitted a
12 supplement to its license renewal application to
13 address ASR for the renewed license period. Next
14 slide, please.

15 The license request would update the
16 Seabrook license to require NextEra to regularly
17 monitor ASR affected concrete structures against
18 specific acceptance criteria. The acceptance
19 criteria are based in part on a large scale testing
20 program that NextEra conducted at the University of
21 Texas.

22 Generally speaking, the acceptance
23 criteria represent a level of expansion in Seabrook
24 concrete structures that would not negatively affect

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the intended function of the structures. NextEra
2 would compare the condition of Seabrook's concrete
3 structures to these acceptance criteria every six
4 months to three years, with the exact time period
5 dependent on the severity of the ASR.

6 The NRC staff determined that this
7 process would identify any condition that may
8 negatively affect the intended function of Seabrook
9 structures before there would be any actual negative
10 effects. The NRC staff also determined that ASR at
11 Seabrook had continued to progress slowly.

12 Finally, NextEra will be required to
13 verify the effectiveness of the monitoring program in
14 the future as a condition of the license amendment.
15 Based on these considerations the NRC staff
16 determined that the license amendment was protective
17 of the public health and safety. Next slide, please.

18 In reviewing the license renewal
19 application the NRC staff found that NextEra would
20 adequately and appropriately address ASR for the
21 period of extended operation from 40 to 60 years of
22 plant life.

23 NextEra developed two plant specific
24 aging management programs, or AMPS, for one,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 monitoring ASR, and two, monitoring ASR effects on
2 site structures. These are called the ASR monitoring
3 AMP, and the Building Deformation AMP. And they're
4 sufficient to manage ASR degradation for the period
5 of extended operation.

6 The NRC staff's findings, including the
7 basis for those findings, are articulated in the
8 license renewal safety evaluation report, which
9 discusses the monitoring parameters, the inspection
10 methods and intervals, acceptance criteria, and
11 evaluation of future operating experience.

12 Based on this comprehensive technical
13 review the NRC staff finds that NextEra will
14 effectively manage ASR degradation effects, and
15 maintain intended structural functions through the
16 period of extended operation.

17 Because of its positive safety findings
18 on both the ASR license amendment request and the
19 license renewal application, the NRC staff has
20 announced its intent to issue the amendment and the
21 renewed license.

22 I'm now going to turn the presentation
23 over to Justin Poole, the project manager for the
24 Seabrook ASR review, who will discuss the timing of

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 these issuances. Justin.

2 MR. POOLE: Thanks, Angie. As Joe
3 mentioned, and Eric as well in his opening remarks,
4 there's a hearing scheduled before the Atomic Safety
5 and Licensing Board on the ASR license amendment.

6 When the hearing on the license amendment
7 was granted the NRC staff initially planned to issue
8 the license amendment and the renewed license after
9 the completion of the hearing on the license
10 amendment. And this decision had been communicated
11 to the public.

12 As Andy discussed, the NRC staff safety
13 reviews ultimately found that both the ASR license
14 amendment and the license renewal application
15 satisfied the NRC safety requirements, or
16 regulations.

17 When the NRC staff presented these
18 findings to the independent Advisory Committee on
19 Reactor Safeguards in late 2018 the Committee agreed
20 with the staff's findings.

21 After receiving the Committee's
22 conclusion the NRC staff reevaluated the question of
23 when to issue the license amendment and the renewed
24 license. The NRC staff determined that issuance

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 before the hearing was appropriate because, one, the
2 NRC staff had completed its safety review for the
3 amendment and the license renewal, and had not
4 identified any concerns or safety concerns with
5 issuing the amendment and the renewed license prior
6 to the completion of the hearing.

7 Two, prompt issuance of the amendment and
8 the renewed license would establish requirements in
9 the Seabrook license for monitoring and managing ASR.
10 And three, the issuance of the amendment and the
11 renewed license would not prevent the NRC staff from
12 making any changes to the Seabrook license that may
13 be required as a result of the hearing process.

14 For these reasons the NRC staff's current
15 plan is to issue the ASR license amendment and the
16 renewed license in early 2019. And then have the
17 hearing on the ASR license amendment in mid to late
18 2019, several months after the safety evaluation is
19 issued.

20 If changes to the Seabrook license are
21 required as a result of the hearing process then the
22 NRC staff will implement those changes at the
23 conclusion of the hearing process. I'll now turn the
24 presentation back over to Joe Donoghue.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. DONOGHUE: Thanks, Justin. Getting
2 me again, and I'll be brief. Thanks again for all
3 of you being here. As Justin said, you know, the
4 outcome of the hearing was not affected by our
5 decision to go forward with the licensing actions.

6 What we're going to do now is I'm going
7 to turn the microphone over to Brett. And he's going
8 to run the rest of the meeting. And I'm looking
9 forward to -- Oops. Yes, I forgot about the slide.

10 Before I turn it over to Brett I'll
11 remind you that on this slide are links to the
12 information related to the reviews that we've
13 conducted, the license renewal and the amendment
14 request itself, and other information on concreted
15 degradation.

16 And I'll tell you, that concrete
17 degradation site, people put a lot of good work into
18 that, and it's very informative. Okay. Thank you.
19 So, I'm going to turn the meeting over to Brett for
20 the discussion part of our meeting. Thanks for your
21 attention. Brett.

22 MR. KLUKAN: Thanks, Joe. Joe, thank
23 you. So, just want to remind people before we get
24 started again. I'm going to move this closer to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 myself here. That there are still a couple of seats
2 in the front.

3 If you would like to sit we have a couple
4 right here, and a couple there. I feel bad that
5 people are standing for the entire duration of the
6 meeting. Though you did help me win a bet. I thought
7 we were going to sell out the venue tonight, and we
8 have. So, there we go.

9 So, you see me holding these yellow
10 cards. Again, I brought this up at the beginning of
11 the meeting. This is how you let me know that you
12 would like to speak. I have 20 of these so far.

13 If you have not already registered to
14 speak, please go outside and do so now. That's the
15 only way I know of your interest in speaking this
16 evening. And also, so I can gauge how much time I
17 have left, or should allot for the meeting. All
18 right.

19 Now, again, as I noted we're going to
20 have each person go -- I'm going to try to attach
21 this to myself again. All right. Can everyone hear
22 me okay? We're still good? Okay. All right.

23 We are going to have a three minute time
24 limit on speakers. The reason I do that is to make

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 sure we accommodate everyone who expressed a desire
2 to speak tonight.

3 You see before you a countdown clock. We
4 will have public speakers come to this microphone
5 when called. Once they start speaking I will start
6 the clock. Let me make this very clear. The clock
7 does not stop until those three minutes are up. So,
8 we're not going to do back and forth.

9 The problem with that is, and I recognize
10 that a lot of you would like to have that kind of
11 dialogue with the NRC staff here. However, time at
12 this meeting does not permit that. So, ask all your
13 questions at once. And then, the NRC staff will
14 respond as appropriate. And we'll move on to the
15 next speaker. Okay.

16 Again, I'm not trying to squelch dialogue
17 here. It's just if we do a lot of that back and
18 forth it cuts down on the amount of time we have for
19 other people to speak this evening. So, out of
20 fairness, everybody gets three minutes. You're
21 motioning towards me.

22 PARTICIPANT: Is there a possibility of
23 having speakers speak there for purpose of the video?

24 MR. KLUKAN: No. I'm sorry. But you're

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 going to, members of the public and elected officials
2 will be speaking from that microphone right there.
3 Okay.

4 PARTICIPANT: Why is that? Why is that?
5 Why can't we, we can't, we want to see. We can't see
6 our audience. I mean, if we speak from here --

7 MR. KLUKAN: Because, let me make this
8 clear. The purpose of this meeting tonight is for
9 you to have a dialogue with the NRC staff. If you
10 would like to host a meeting with the public, you're
11 free to do so.

12 (Off-microphone comment.)

13 MR. KLUKAN: And I'm not trying to be
14 curt with you. I'm just saying here is that this,
15 the purpose of this meeting is for you to ask
16 questions of these individuals.

17 If you want to have a conversation with
18 the public, you're welcome to do so. But tonight the
19 time I have allotted, or devoted to, the next two and
20 a half hours is for members of the public to speak
21 with them. Thank you.

22 Okay. For, one last thing before we
23 start. For your awareness, the meeting tonight is
24 being transcribed. Because of that, I would ask two

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 things. One, again, not to speak over each other.

2 And then two, that you please announce
3 your name at the beginning of your speaking session,
4 for the benefit of our court reporter, so that the
5 court reporter has a sense of your name. Okay. So
6 that way you can be, your name can be captured as
7 part of the transcript for the meeting. Okay.

8 Now, before we begin with public
9 speakers, we have a number of representatives from
10 elected office who would like to give prepared remarks
11 this evening. First up is Peter Clark, on behalf of
12 Senator Shaheen's office.

13 MR. CLARK: Good evening. My name's
14 Peter Clark, from Senator Jeanne Shaheen's office.
15 The Senator is in D.C. tonight, but she asked that I
16 read this statement.

17 Dear friends, I appreciate the Nuclear
18 Regulatory Commission's response to the New Hampshire
19 Congressional Delegation's concerns about how this
20 important decision could affect New Hampshire's
21 seacoast community.

22 And I thank the NRC for agreeing to
23 tonight's public forum. This public hearing will
24 provide residents with the important opportunity to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 make their voices heard publicly.

2 The safe operation of Seabrook is in the
3 interest of the public and the skilled workforce who
4 work tirelessly to maintain the safety of the plant.

5 I also appreciate the willingness of
6 NextEra Energy to attend today's meeting to address
7 any concerns the public may have regarding the safe
8 operation of Seabrook Station. Sincerely, Jeanne
9 Shaheen, United States Senator. Thank you.

10 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you very much. Next
11 up we have Kerry Holmes on behalf of Senator Hassan's
12 office.

13 MS. HOLMES: Hello. I have a message to
14 read from Senator Hassan. I thank the Nuclear
15 Regulatory Commission for convening today's public
16 meeting to discuss the Seabrook ASR license amendment
17 request, and the license renewal application, and
18 offering members of the public and other stakeholders
19 the opportunity to voice their concerns related to
20 Seabrook's license amendment request and license
21 renewal application.

22 I also express my appreciation to the
23 employees at Seabrook who live in our seacoast
24 communities, and work tirelessly to ensure its safe

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 operations.

2 A robust and transparent public process
3 is critical to ensuring that community members and
4 stakeholders are able to understand the NRC's review
5 process for Seabrook, and share their concerns
6 directly with NRC staff.

7 I would encourage NRC staff to listen
8 closely to the concerns that are raised today, and
9 work to address them prior to issuing a decision on
10 Seabrook's license amendment request and license
11 renewal. Thank you.

12 PARTICIPANT: Did you say your name?

13 MS. HOLMES: I'm Kerry Holmes with
14 Senator Hassan's office.

15 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you very much. Next
16 we have Mr. Patrick Carroll, on behalf of Congressman
17 Pappas.

18 MR. CARROLL: Good evening, everybody.
19 Thank you for allowing me to speak on behalf of the
20 Congressman, who is also in D.C. NRC, welcome to the
21 1st District. We're happy to have you. And to the
22 public, thank you for coming out.

23 From the Congressman. I want to thank
24 NRC for hosting this public meeting. Opportunities

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 for the public to contribute comments to the
2 Commission before a final determination is made are
3 important.

4 The safety and continued operation of
5 Seabrook Station is a mutual goal of ours, from the
6 skilled workforce responsible for maintaining
7 functional plant, and ensuring secure operations.

8 To the members of the community here
9 offering their comment, I know we all share the
10 opinion that an open and transparent process is a
11 critical step in moving us forward. Thank you again
12 for holding this meeting. Best wishes, Chris Pappas,
13 Member of Congress. Thank you.

14 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you very much. And
15 again, that was Patrick Carroll. Next we have Claire
16 Taylooney, on behalf of Senator Markey.

17 MS. TAYLOONEY: Thank you. My name's
18 Claire Taylooney, from Senator Markey's office. This
19 public meeting on the Seabrook Nuclear Plant provides
20 an invaluable and necessary chance for local
21 stakeholders to weigh in on issues related to the
22 safety of the plant.

23 Yet, another opportunity will also occur
24 when the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Atomic

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Safety and Licensing Board holds an evidentiary
2 hearing on concerns over whether NextEra is using
3 adequate testing to determine their monitoring,
4 acceptance criteria, inspections intervals at
5 Seabrook.

6 These questions are important because
7 both Seabrook's license renewal and amendment should
8 be evaluated using the highest scientific standards,
9 and with vital input from local communities.

10 Only after a fully transparent process
11 will communities be able to trust they will not be
12 exposed to danger from a fracturing, unsafe facility
13 over the next few decades.

14 I'm still concerned about the possibility
15 that the NRC will approve Seabrook's license
16 amendment before the hearing takes place this summer.
17 This would prevent a subversion, excuse me, this would
18 present a subversion of the public input process, and
19 would be unnecessarily premature.

20 This license will not expire for another
21 11 years, and the hearing is set for this summer.
22 All the parties involved can afford to wait, to hear
23 out the concerns raised by local residents.

24 I strongly urge the Atomic Safety and

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Licensing Board to hold the evidentiary hearing
2 before moving forward on the license amendment.
3 Thank you.

4 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you. Next we have
5 Chris Ellms, of Governor Sununu's office.

6 MR. ELLMS: Hello. I'm Chris Ellms,
7 reading a letter from Governor Sununu. I am writing
8 in support of the re-licensing of Seabrook Station.
9 This matter has undergone extensive deliberation for
10 more than 15 public hearings related to Seabrook's
11 license renewal and the Alkali-Silica Reaction in
12 sections of the plant's concrete, commonly referred
13 to as ASR.

14 In December 2018 the ACRS, which advises
15 the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, endorsed NextEra's
16 ASR monitoring programs on a scientific basis, and
17 recommended that Seabrook be issued a renewed
18 operating license.

19 The concerns cited by the federal
20 delegation neglect to note that this process began in
21 2010, and has provided open stakeholder engagement
22 throughout, as required by NRC's guidelines.

23 As determined by the Reactor Safeguard
24 Advisory Committee, Seabrook Station remains in good

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 operating condition.

2 Seabrook, one of only two nuclear
3 stations without plans to retire in New England, is
4 capable of delivering more than a gigawatt of clean
5 emission free energy. This carbon free electricity
6 offsets approximately four million tons of carbon
7 dioxide emissions each year.

8 Seabrook Station is an important source
9 of economic activity for New Hampshire, stimulating
10 more than \$535 million dollars in economic growth.
11 Seabrook Station creates more than 650 direct jobs,
12 while supporting more than 2,600 jobs across all
13 sectors of the state and regional economy. This is
14 in addition to tax revenues paid by the plant, further
15 benefitting all Granite Staters.

16 To the extent that Seabrook is able to
17 remain competitive in wholesale markets, without
18 RAPAR (phonetic) funded subsidies that would increase
19 the cost of electricity, New Hampshire stands to
20 continue to benefit from an extension to Seabrook's
21 operating license.

22 Any additional requests for delay in this
23 already lengthy and extensive public review process
24 is without merit, and put the future of this critical

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 resource in jeopardy. Sincerely, Christopher T.
2 Sununu, Governor.

3 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you very much. Next
4 we have Jeb Bradley of the New Hampshire State Senate,
5 District 3.

6 MR. BRADLEY: Good evening, everyone.
7 Thank you very much. It's a pleasure to be here and
8 speak in support of the extension of the license.

9 To those of you who don't know me, I have
10 served as a Member of Congress from 2002 to 2004, and
11 currently serve in the New Hampshire Senate, where I
12 have led efforts on electric utility restructuring,
13 divestiture, and decommissioning. So, I have some
14 background into energy in New England, and in New
15 Hampshire.

16 I think first and foremost what needs to
17 be thought about is the capacity situation in New
18 England right now. Over 1,000 megawatts are
19 represented by Seabrook at a time that it's vitally
20 important in order to maintain a reliable electric
21 grid that this power, especially as it is safely
22 generated, remains on line.

23 I have fought for other sources of power.
24 But, in order to have a wise energy plan for not only

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 New Hampshire, but New England, we need to maintain
2 existing sources of power.

3 The independent system grid operator is
4 warning of potential shortages of power at peak demand
5 times in the 2024 timeframe. Maintaining the
6 certainty of Seabrook I think is vitally important
7 for New England's future.

8 Obviously I think the fact that this room
9 is full of so many people talks to the economic
10 importance of Seabrook. There are over 500 direct
11 jobs, and a couple of thousand more jobs that Seabrook
12 represents. This is a very important component for
13 the seacoast area of New Hampshire. And I think that
14 is a consideration, hopefully you will take into
15 account.

16 As I indicated before, Seabrook's safety
17 record has been good. Clearly there are concrete
18 type issues. But certainly, to the best of my
19 knowledge is the Advisory Committee on Reactor
20 Safeguards has recommended that the plan that NextEra
21 has put forward is sufficient to assure the safety of
22 the unit if it is re-authorized in the future.

23 There's been an 18 month period with no
24 outages recently. Seabrook has a good safety record.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 And certainly they have, under both the prior
2 ownership, and now NextEra, been a good corporate
3 citizen, and a good environmental citizen here on the
4 seacoast.

5 And lastly, I just want to thank you for
6 holding this meeting. I'm told that this is the 15th
7 meeting like this, where you've taken input from the
8 public. It's a very transparent process. And you're
9 to be commended for that. So, thank you very much.
10 A pleasure to be here.

11 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you very much. Next
12 we have Representative Peter Schmidt of the New
13 Hampshire House.

14 MR. SCHMIDT: Good evening. My name is
15 Peter Schmidt. I represent over Wards 1 and 2 for
16 the past 16 years. I speak to you this evening in
17 general opposition to the extension of the license at
18 this particular time, since I regard it as premature.

19 But I am concerned about the ASR issue.
20 I understand that you have a belief that it can be
21 safely managed. But I wish to express my concern.

22 However, my major point of contention at
23 this time is a concern with the regard to the
24 possibility of safely and timely evacuating the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 seacoast, this area, in case of any kind of an
2 emergency at Seabrook.

3 While I realize that that is not your
4 primary concern, and I have addressed letters to
5 Massachusetts Attorney General Maura Healey on
6 several occasions expressing my concern.

7 And believing that it is very important
8 for the first responders in this area to have an
9 opportunity for a public hearing to address the issue
10 of whether it's possible for the Seabrook region to
11 be safely evacuated in the event of a nuclear
12 emergency. Thank you very much.

13 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you very much. Next
14 we have Aboul Khan, a Selectman from the town of
15 Seabrook.

16 MR. KHAN: Good evening. My name is
17 Aboul Khan. I represent Seabrook Board of Selectmen
18 in my capacity as a Selectman, as well as a
19 Representative of Seabrook and Hampton Falls at the
20 New Hampshire State House.

21 Selectwoman Theresa Kyle is in the
22 audience. And our Board Chairman is out of town.
23 So, she could not make it.

24 I come before you today to speak in favor

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 of the NRC granting a license amendment to Seabrook
2 Station, as well as asking favorable consideration of
3 the 20 year license extension sought by Seabrook
4 Station.

5 As a representative of the host community
6 I can assure you that we strongly support the safe
7 operation of Seabrook Station. Like many people we
8 were concerned about the issue of concrete
9 degradation, as also called ASR. And eager to
10 understand how that issue would be, impact the safe
11 operation of Seabrook Station.

12 We very much appreciate the comprehensive
13 approach to this issue taken by NRC and NextEra, who
14 have worked jointly since 2010 to study the
15 ramification of ASR on the plant.

16 The work done on this issue has been
17 extensive, with the results showing that Seabrook
18 Station can be operated safely, as it has been for
19 many years.

20 I am not a scientist, but I can satisfy,
21 I am satisfied that the long years of work on ASR,
22 and the letter issued by the Advisory Committee on
23 Reactor Safeguard should lead to the license
24 amendment sought by NextEra.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 I would also like to express our strong
2 support for the license extension of 20 years sought
3 by NextEra. This process began with the presentation
4 to the Seabrook Board of Selectmen back in 2010
5 timeframe, with consistent communication between
6 NextEra and the Town of Seabrook, and the Town
7 Manager's Office since then.

8 Our support for this extension comes
9 principally not, but not exclusively for the same
10 reason we support the license amendment. We believe
11 Seabrook Station can be operated safely for entirety
12 of the extension period.

13 It is our strong belief that nuclear
14 power has a vital role to play in U.S. energy market,
15 with carbon free generation helping us to meet
16 important element goals. Seabrook Station has
17 achieved a great safety record due to superior
18 management, as well as willingness to make necessary
19 investment to the operate the plant safety and
20 profitability.

21 They have also been terrific corporate
22 citizen, partnering with the Town of Seabrook on the
23 host of issues that Town considers to be important.

24 In light of our understanding of our

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 citizens' record complied by the Seabrook Station
2 over the years, we, the Seabrook Board of Selectmen,
3 fully endorse to support the 20 year license extension
4 sought by NextEra. And I think you for hearing me
5 today. And I'd like to present this letter to the
6 NRC, please.

7 MR. KLUKAN: You can just --

8 MR. KHAN: Where I --

9 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you very much. Okay.
10 Next up we have Michael Ossing, the Marlborough City
11 Councilor at Large.

12 MR. OSSING: Again, Michael Ossing, O-S-
13 S-I-N-G. Hello and good evening to the Members of
14 the NRC. And thank you for allowing me to express
15 my views on the license amendment associated with
16 ASR, and the renewed Seabrook license.

17 Again, my name is Mike Ossing. I reside
18 in Marlborough, Massachusetts. I have worked in
19 nuclear power industry for over 35 years. I'm
20 currently in my 20th year as a public servant, as an
21 elected official, as a Councilor at Large for the
22 City of Marlborough.

23 I have three points I would like to make
24 to reinforce why the license amendment for ASR and

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 license renewal should be issued now.

2 First, technical competence of the
3 individuals involved in this complex issue. From the
4 utility side the industry's brightest and most
5 technically competent in the nuclear industry, as
6 well as academia, have reviewed the ASR issue, and
7 all have concluded that the issue is not a safety
8 threat, and can be managed.

9 Additionally, the NRC Region I staff, NRR
10 staff engineers, and the ACRS, an independent Board
11 made up of some of the most competent minds in various
12 technical industries and academia, have all reached
13 similar conclusions.

14 Second, nine years of review. The issue
15 has been studied since 2010, nine years. There have
16 been tens of millions of dollars spent on testing,
17 studies, and evaluations. The NRC has conducted
18 numerous technical reviews, audits, and inspections.
19 And all have indicated that this is not a safety
20 issue, and can be managed.

21 Third, monitoring program. The strength
22 and backbone of the nuclear industry is defense in
23 depth. The ASR monitoring program is another example
24 of defense in depth. The ASR monitoring programs

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 that are part of the license renewal all have
2 acceptance criteria that, should movement in concrete
3 be observed, there are actions to take.

4 And I remind everyone, for nine years
5 there's been monitoring of concrete issues. Concrete
6 does not move fast. And should it move, it moves
7 very slow. The monitoring and walk down programs in
8 place now have shown that there's been no appreciable
9 movement over the time period.

10 One could conclude that this issue has
11 plateaued. The proof will be in the monitoring
12 program that will be reported to, and evaluated by
13 the NRC. The monitoring program is part of the
14 renewed Seabrook license.

15 So, to conclude, I urge the NRC to follow
16 its process, and not succumb to political pressures
17 by individuals that are trying to further their
18 political careers or agendas. The NRC should issue
19 the license amendment, and issue the Seabrook license
20 renewal application now. Thank you for listening.

21 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you very much. All
22 right. At this time that exhausts the list of
23 individuals, or elected officials or their
24 representatives, who notified me prior to the meeting

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 that they would like to speak. However, are there
2 any other elected officials or representatives
3 thereof who would like to give a prepared statement
4 at this time? Going once, twice, okay.

5 Just for the record, again, there are
6 one, two, three, four, five, there are five seats,
7 five seats up here. This is not a bad area. It's
8 pretty good real estate. I'm nice. I just, I feel
9 bad that so many of you are standing when there are
10 perfectly good chairs. Just saying.

11 Okay. Just to remind you, we're now
12 going to move into the public comment portion. I'm
13 going to go through the comment cards in the order in
14 which I received them. That's going to determine our
15 order of speakers.

16 You will see here, vision. So, hopefully
17 you can see it from where you are standing, a comment
18 clock, or a countdown clock. This starts at three
19 minutes. Going to make a noise once it starts.
20 Don't be alarmed. It's going to make another noise
21 when you have ten second left. Again, don't be
22 alarmed.

23 And then, at that final time, when your
24 time is up, it will click again. Don't be alarmed.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 However, I would ask that you please politely conclude
2 your remarks, so that we can move on to the NRC's
3 response, and then to the next speaker.

4 Okay. We now have by my count 26. So,
5 if everyone gets three minutes, that's -- I shouldn't
6 have said that before I did the math in my head. All
7 right. Time, it's time. I'm an attorney, not an
8 engineer, for the record.

9 So, we're going to get started with our
10 first speaker, who is Mr. Comley of We The People.
11 I'm going to ask him to approach the microphone. And
12 then once you're, again, once you get to the
13 microphone, please state your name for the record.
14 And then once you begin your remarks I'll start the
15 clock. Thank you very much. Thank you.

16 MR. COMLEY: Well, I appreciate -- My
17 name is Steven Comley. I'm the founder of We The
18 People. It's a national whistleblower protection
19 organization I founded in 1987.

20 And I founded it because my family's in
21 the nursing home profession. And I was told by the
22 former Executive Director of the Nuclear Regulatory
23 Commission to leave a paralyzed resident behind in
24 our nursing home, and give her a bottle of potassium

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 iodide to drink if we couldn't move her. So, that
2 meant special needs people are expandable. And that
3 came from the Executive Director of the NRC.

4 And I have tapes here. I hired a
5 licensed drone operator to fly his plane over the
6 beaches July 4th of 2017 and '18. And you couldn't
7 get a crab out of there that day. So, the cart's
8 before the horse. I have, I'm a farmer too.

9 And I'll tell you, I'm concerned about
10 everyone on the panel, and all the NRC people.
11 Because, you know, tomorrow's Valentine's Day. How
12 can you take the chance of not being home? You're
13 going to be in big trouble. Anyway, you know, I got
14 a sense of humor.

15 Let's see. I'm also the person that the
16 Nuclear Regulatory Commission hired the Department of
17 Justice, and had me in Court, Massachusetts Federal
18 Court for six years with a charge of conspiracy to
19 topple their agency. One of their top investigators,
20 Roger Fortuna, who is a deputy director of the NRC's
21 Office of Investigations.

22 And they charged us with conspiracy. And
23 they're after tape recordings that they say I made
24 then. And I never confirmed or denied ever doing a

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 tape recording. But if you're in Washington, or in
2 New York, ask Giuliani. It's legal. That's what
3 come out of Watergate.

4 Now I'm releasing, and the panel has it,
5 I'm releasing one of the tape recordings, well, a
6 tape recording of an NRC informant. The name's
7 redacted, because they don't give up names without
8 permission. And I'm going to read you some of it.

9 And if anyone wants a copy of the
10 transcript they can. And I have 100 of the tape, of
11 the audio, DVD tape of what you see when you're on
12 the beaches in July 4th.

13 And I want to say this. And I've met
14 Joe. And I've met the other panel members here
15 before, because I testified last year. And I have
16 to tell you that I really appreciate you transcribing
17 this meeting. Because last time you didn't do it.
18 And I think that doing this has respect for the public
19 comment.

20 Now, this transcript says this. And I
21 don't know if Mr. Markey did this or not. But this
22 is part of it. But you say you amass because they
23 are a bunch of fence sitters. So, you came to D.C.
24 The next step is to try and get some D.C. politicians

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 that are interested.

2 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you very much, Mr.
3 Comley. I'm sorry, but your time has expired.

4 MR. COMLEY: I'm done?

5 MR. KLUKAN: You're done.

6 MR. COMLEY: Okay. Well, anyone who
7 wants a copy of the packets that the panel has,
8 they're out here. And Joe told me that it's okay to
9 give it to the staff. So, anyone who wants the video,
10 in all due respect, I think you ought to do it for
11 your kids' future. And I --

12 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you very much, Mr.
13 Comley. Thank you.

14 MR. COMLEY: Okay. Thank you.

15 MR. KLUKAN: Next up we have Mr. Brian
16 Campbell.

17 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you. My name is
18 Brian Campbell. I am a U.S. Navy vet who studied
19 utility and renewable energy at UMass Lowell.

20 In 1991, as an engineering student I
21 toured Seabrook Nuclear on a UML bus trip, before
22 working for a utility lithium battery storage
23 manufacturer, A123 Systems.

24 I did not fully appreciate the benefits

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 of nuclear power compared to renewables with battery
2 storage. At A123 Systems we built and tested 53 foot
3 trailers filled with 900,000 battery cells, with a
4 capacity of one half megawatt hour.

5 This means it would take 2,500 trailers,
6 at \$1.5 million dollars each, to store one half hour
7 of Seabrook's reliable 24/7 power. Grid reliability
8 is important in my current position at Hitachi Cable
9 Manchester, as our cable business runs 24/7.

10 The Boston Globe recently published an
11 editorial, Retiring More Nuclear Plants Could Hurt
12 Mass Climate Goals. This newspaper is known for many
13 anti-nuclear power editorials.

14 The editor, Ryan, suggests Massachusetts'
15 new clean energy standard, which currently applies to
16 operating electrical generators built after 2010, be
17 backdated to 1990, the year that Seabrook opened.

18 That would allow the plant to make money
19 by selling those credits. It would also allow the
20 plant to be fairly compensated for its zero emission
21 energy, like other low carbon technologies in the
22 region.

23 The proposed energy, Clear River Energy
24 Center in northern Rhode Island, is the replacement

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 for Pilgrim Nuclear Plant, which provides reliable
2 power, but is being prematurely closed in 2019. To
3 be clear, this is a natural gas plant.

4 Massachusetts taxpayer funded C-10, who
5 advocates for the closure of Seabrook Station,
6 ignores that gas, not renewables, would be Seabrook's
7 replacement.

8 Remember the 2018 Merrimack Valley gas
9 explosions? More gas powered plants, pipelines, and
10 emissions. The safest way to power New England?
11 This is what C-10 and similar groups are really
12 advocating.

13 If reducing emissions with the safest and
14 most cost effective technology is important, then New
15 England needs to extend Seabrook's NRC license, in
16 order to keep 57 percent of New Hampshire's electrical
17 power reliably flowing, and develop plans to build
18 more nuclear generation. thank you.

19 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you very much.

20 (Applause.)

21 MR. KLUKAN: That was impressive.
22 Rarely do people hit it right on the mark. So, and
23 again, all joking aside, I don't want to cut off
24 anyone tonight. That's not my ambition here. It's

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 really, I have one primary duty. And that's to make
2 sure whoever came here with a desire to speak tonight
3 gets an opportunity to do so.

4 So, to the extent we have extra time left
5 over at the end of the night, again, we'll cycle back
6 through people who have more to say. Okay. All
7 right. Next up we have Mr. Herman Bautzmann.
8 Please.

9 MR. BAUTZMANN: Good evening. My name
10 is Herman Bautzmann. I served on two nuclear powered
11 submarines in the U.S. Navy, have a bachelor's degree
12 in electrical engineering, and I'm a retired Chief
13 Engineer from Raytheon, currently residing in
14 Portsmouth, New Hampshire.

15 As a citizen of the planet earth I am
16 very concerned about the threat of climate change
17 poses to our children and grandchildren. If we New
18 Englanders are serious about addressing this issue we
19 would be extremely foolish to close one of New
20 England's largest suppliers of clean energy, and 80
21 percent of New Hampshire's clean energy.

22 We cannot afford to throw this 80 percent
23 of clean energy away. We need to reduce emissions
24 and continue to provide the safest, most cost

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 effective clean technology by extending Seabrook's
2 NRC license.

3 We need to avoid a repeat of 2015, when
4 New England emissions rose by three percent, due to
5 the premature closure of Vermont Yankee Nuclear
6 Plant, whose electrical capacity was replaced with
7 natural gas.

8 This is exactly what will happen to an
9 even greater extent if Seabrook is closed. Fossil
10 fuel plants, not renewables, will be Seabrook's
11 replacement. I cannot ignore this fact, which has
12 repeated globally in every instance of a nuclear plant
13 shutdown.

14 We do not have the luxury of cherry
15 picking which clean energy solution we want to employ.
16 We need to use all available technologies to avoid
17 this disaster. Let us agree that the enemy is fossil
18 fuel usage.

19 I hope to see New England use clean,
20 proven nuclear energy from Seabrook Station. Let's
21 continue its safe operation to 2050 and beyond.
22 Let's not make the same mistake of shutting down
23 nuclear in favor of fossil fuels, which caused climate
24 change in the first place. I have a copy of this if

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 --

2 MR. KLUKAN: Yes, I'll take it from you.

3 Thank you. Next up we have Mr. Dennis Beaudoin.

4 MR. BEAUDOIN: Thank you. Good evening,
5 NRC Staff. Thank you for the opportunity to speak
6 tonight. My name is Dennis Beaudoin. I'm a lifelong
7 resident of New Hampshire. I'm also the proud
8 business manager of IBEW Local 490.

9 I'm here to speak in favor of renewing
10 Seabrook Station license. I feel very strongly about
11 this topic, because it's been a major part of my
12 career.

13 I started at Seabrook Station 35 years
14 ago as an apprentice. And it has given me an
15 opportunity to feed my family, and give help, support
16 to my community financially through a decent living
17 wage and benefits.

18 The IBEW have members doing maintenance
19 work there year round. Every 18 months we send close
20 to 100 IBEW members to the site for a planned
21 shutdown, refueling. I brought some of them here
22 tonight with me.

23 That's just the electrical workers. I
24 don't know the numbers off the top of my head, but

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 every 18 months the maintenance of the plant generates
2 millions upon millions of dollars for the local
3 economy through New Hampshire construction workers.

4 It's my understanding that there have
5 been several meetings on this topic already, with no
6 pertinent information that would constitute renewal
7 being denied. I hope tonight's meeting is just to
8 cross the Ts and dot the Is. Thank you all for your
9 due diligence.

10 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you. All right.
11 Next we have Philip, and again I apologize if I
12 mispronounce names, Woyenberg. Please.

13 MR. WOYENBERG: Hello. My name is Phil
14 Woyenberg. And I'm a retired painting contractor
15 from South Portland, Maine. I used to be anti-
16 nuclear, and was an active member of the Green Party.

17 I was very concerned about climate
18 change, and did a deep study into the alternatives to
19 fossil fuels. What I found was that nuclear has zero
20 carbon emissions.

21 But what was really surprising was that
22 statistics show that worldwide, nuclear is one of the
23 safest forms of energy. And it's dependable. It
24 runs all day, every day. And needs only a small

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 amount of fuel every year and a half. Nuclear should
2 be a big part of the world getting to zero carbon
3 emissions.

4 Seabrook Power Plant is an incredible
5 machine, and the single largest source of energy in
6 New England. On a small footprint it provides 650
7 high paying jobs, and a half a billion dollars to the
8 local economy.

9 For New England it is a huge source of
10 clean electricity. History shows that if a nuclear
11 plant closes, like Vermont Yankee, it is replaced
12 with dirty natural gas.

13 I support the license extension for
14 Seabrook, in order to maintain this clean electricity
15 source working for the local community, and all of
16 New England. Thank you.

17 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you very much. Next
18 we have Meredith Angwin, A-N-G-W-I-N.

19 MS. ANGWIN: Okay. I have a copy of this
20 afterwards for you.

21 MR. KLUKAN: Okay. Thank you.

22 MS. ANGWIN: Okay. My name is Meredith
23 Angwin. I'm a chemist who has worked in many aspects
24 of the power industry. I performed and supervised

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 research on reducing pollution from gas turbines,
2 from coal power plants, and from geothermal plants,
3 as well as research on reducing corrosion in gas
4 pipelines, hydropower penstocks, and nuclear plants.

5 I was one of the first women project
6 managers hired at the Electric Power Research
7 Institute. And I'm the inventor on several patents.

8 I urge you to keep our air clear, clean
9 by extending the licenses to Seabrook Station. It
10 produces clean power. And if it were to close it
11 would be replaced by gas fired plants. That is what
12 happened in Vermont where I live.

13 Some renewables were built. But Vermont
14 Yankee's output kilowatt hour for kilowatt hour was
15 mainly replaced by gas fired generation. The amount
16 of carbon dioxide emitted by the New England grid
17 went up three percent. Seabrook is twice as large
18 as Vermont Yankee.

19 There are two problems with gas fired
20 power. One problem is carbon dioxide formation. The
21 other major problem is the formation of an acid gas
22 NOX. That's a mixture of NO2 and NO3.

23 And it's usually described as NOX, N-O-
24 X, which is the precursor to photochemical smog, and

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 directly forms acid rain. It is impossible to
2 prevent NOX formation in a high temperature combustor
3 such as a gas turbine. And it cannot be entirely
4 cleaned up.

5 I have two patents in NOX prevention.
6 But they are only partially successful. NOX is an
7 intractable problem. Please keep Seabrook operating,
8 and keep the air clean of NOX.

9 Nuclear opponents are fond of saying what
10 might happen. We might build lots of renewables. We
11 might have some kind of problem at Seabrook. I'm
12 here to say what will happen if the plant closes.

13 We will have more gas fired systems
14 operating. We will have an increase of five to six
15 percent in the amount of carbon dioxide released by
16 our electric grid. We will have a similar increase
17 in NOX pollution, though the number is harder to
18 quantify.

19 Without Seabrook we will have dirtier
20 air, which leads to more acid rain, and more cases of
21 asthma. Please keep New Hampshire air clean by
22 extending Seabrook's operating license. Thank you.

23 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you very much. Next
24 we will have Christopher Nord. Christopher Nord.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. NORD: I'm Chris Nord. I'm a Board
2 Member of the C-10 Research and Education Foundation,
3 and the Chair of the Committee that's working on the
4 opposition to the license amendment request that
5 Seabrook has filed.

6 We could debate the merits of nuclear
7 here tonight. And by the way, nuclear is not zero
8 carbon. But I don't have time for that, because I
9 only have two minutes and 40 seconds left.

10 So, let me say that the issue, the primary
11 issue here is no significant hazards. The
12 determination of no significant hazards is key as an
13 issue of small D democracy and fairness.

14 Way back in 2016 the NRC Regional Office
15 sent assurances to municipalities in the State of
16 Massachusetts concerning the ASR problem, Alkali-
17 Silica Reaction problem at Seabrook.

18 And to quote, NRC will ensure that
19 Seabrook structure's monitoring program properly
20 assesses the condition of the structures affected by
21 ASR, and ensure they will continue to perform as
22 intended.

23 NRC Commission upheld the admissibility
24 of C-10's five contentions refigured to one in 2018.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 In this ruling NRC staff argued in support of the
2 admissibility of C-10 contentions.

3 NRC regulations require that the
4 adjudicatory hearings must be completed before
5 licensing action is taken. However, staff can move
6 to issue a license amendment before completion of an
7 adjudicatory hearing if it would pose no significant
8 hazards.

9 This determination is made by NRC staff,
10 and is not reviewable by the Atomic Safety and
11 Licensing Board, who we are going in front of sometime
12 in the next six months.

13 Now NRC staff has moved to pass the
14 license amendment request in order to pass on license
15 renewal, which is inappropriate, since C-10's case
16 calls into question the legitimacy of the license
17 amendment request from top to bottom. And because
18 of that fact calls into question the legitimacy of
19 the license renewal application.

20 Because of all of this, as of tonight we
21 have filed an emergency petition with the Nuclear
22 Regulatory Commissioners to hold the license
23 amendment request in abeyance, and by extension the
24 license renewal application, until there is a proper

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 review of NRC's determination of no significant
2 hazards to ensure that licensing actions do not take
3 place while reviewing the no significant hazards,
4 give due recognition to the significance, complexity,
5 and lack of adequately rigorous study of ASR, which
6 is reflected in the license amendment request from
7 NextEra, and provide guidance and instruction to
8 staff for establishment of significantly more
9 rigorous and sophisticated state of the art methods.

10 I will only say that one of the two papers
11 I gave to the panel here tonight is from our expert
12 witness, Victor Saouma, who is one of the world's
13 leading experts on ASR, and has called into question
14 the entire legitimacy of the license amendment
15 request. We are only seeking our chance to have our
16 day in court. And for NRC to move to do anything --

17 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you, Mr. Nord.

18 MR. NORD: -- on license renewal before
19 that is done is grossly unfair and undemocratic.

20 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you.

21 MR. NORD: Thank you.

22 (Applause.)

23 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you. Okay. Next we
24 have Darrin -- and if I'm mispronouncing your name,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 I apologize -- Degon.

2 MR. DEGON: Degon.

3 MR. KLUKAN: Degon. Please.

4 MR. DEGON: Good evening. My name is
5 Darrin Degon. I'm also a Navy veteran. I served as
6 a reactor operator on submarines, and from '85 to
7 '91. When I got out of the Navy I got to work for a
8 company called Kent (phonetic) Nuclear, down south in
9 South Carolina, which was a nuclear waste depository,
10 where all the nuclear waste from the United States
11 went to.

12 Qualified as a reactor, as radcon tech,
13 and then a senior health physics tech while I was
14 there. I also got the opportunity to work at many
15 Department of Energy sites. I got to work at many
16 superfund sites as a health physics technician,
17 senior health physics technician.

18 I currently work right now at the
19 Portsmouth Naval Shipyard, in the Radiological
20 Control Division. I'm here to tell folks that I've
21 worked from the operations side, all the way through
22 the deposition side, decontamination, decommission.

23 Nothing in nuclear power is taken
24 lightly. Everything is taken very, very seriously.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 I appreciate the Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
2 because they keep us in check. Department of Energy
3 keeps their people in check. There's always checks
4 and balances. The ASR issue, nine years' worth of
5 study, I think it's fair to say that that's a pretty
6 good study.

7 I'm not here to go over, I originally
8 wanted to go over the ten year energy study, and talk
9 about renewables, and how inefficient they are at
10 this point, compared to nuclear power, and how
11 efficient nuclear power is. But I think that was a
12 waste of time. It's already been talked about.
13 We've already gone over that.

14 But just going from my experience from,
15 like I said, operations, all the way through the
16 deposition, nothing here is taken lightly. And I
17 appreciate the studies that have been put forth. I
18 am 100 percent for the license renewal for Seabrook.
19 Thank you.

20 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you.

21 (Applause.)

22 MR. KLUKAN: All right. Just to give
23 you a status update, I have 16 people who would still
24 like to speak this evening. Assuming we go through

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 them at the pace we are, there will be some time at
2 the end.

3 So again, people who would like to say
4 more may well have an opportunity to do so. Again,
5 my goal here is not to cut people off. I want people
6 to have an opportunity to have their say.

7 But again, I need to make sure first that
8 everyone has, once they have an opportunity to speak,
9 took their time out of their normal routine to come
10 here to this meeting tonight, has an opportunity to
11 do so.

12 So next up we have Grace Pearson. Grace
13 Pearson?

14 MS. PEARSON: My name is, I have it as
15 Gay Pearson, but Grace is my official name in New
16 Hampshire, my legal name. I live on farmland in
17 Seabrook.

18 Before and when I moved the end of May
19 2018 into just the house I was looking for, thanks to
20 my realtors, I did not concern myself with living so
21 close to the plant, given that my top priority was
22 being within walking distance to water for swimming
23 and boating.

24 But soon after I settled in, I walked to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the launch at least one a day, paying attention to
2 the plant, listening, and looking for venting,
3 wondering what was being emitted and how often, and
4 if there were any adverse impacts to the water at the
5 launch area.

6 But most importantly, I am very concerned
7 about the concrete cracking due to the ASR. And I=ve
8 read enough of C-10.org=s contentions to realize the
9 need for in situ testing of concrete as being the
10 only way to completely learn of its integrity.

11 In addition to the C-10's continuous
12 radiation monitoring system, and because of my 12
13 years air quality dispersion modeling experience at
14 New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, I
15 am particularly interested in and concerned about the
16 possible use of radiation models for predicting
17 maximum ground level impacts for the beta and gamma
18 radiation under the different atmospheric condition=s
19 relapse rate, each of which is associated with a
20 different plume behavior.

21 I found five of these models online, each
22 have their own advantages and limitations. And if
23 EPA Region I has access to any of them, I would think
24 New Hampshire DEP should as well.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 I=am particularly concerned about any
2 predicted ground level impacts during inversion
3 conditions and calms, both of which reflect poor
4 dispersion.

5 Also having read, on C-10.org, about fish
6 kills from the resulting heated ocean water used to
7 cool the reactor core, I would like to know why there
8 is no cooling tower.

9 During my 12 years employment at New
10 Jersey DEP in the Bureau of Air Quality Evaluation,
11 we occasionally reviewed computer modeling results
12 for cooling towers. The one for which I still have
13 the impact analysis is Oyster Creek in Forked River,
14 New Jersey, which was retired September 18th, 2018,
15 almost ten years ahead of schedule due to New Jersey=s
16 revised water rules that required new cooling towers
17 at a prohibitive cost. The plant had been operating
18 commercially since December 1st, >69.

19 The atmospheric cooling of water can be
20 achieved either with wet or dry cooling methods, each
21 with its pros and cons for plume visibility and ice
22 formation from droplet deposition.

23 Plume abatement was the most effective
24 using a hybrid, wet/dry cooling system such that when

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the resultant mixture left the tower, it was not
2 saturated with water vapor as would be the case with
3 wet cooling only.

4 Finally, permitting renewal for the
5 Seabrook Plant, I feel, should not be considered until
6 the full range of petrographic testing for concrete
7 is completed. Thank you very much.

8 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you. Okay, next up
9 we have Mr. Ron Thurlow, Ron Thurlow.

10 Could someone shut just those two door
11 right there? We're getting a lot of noise from
12 outside. Thank you. I apologize.

13 MR. THURLOW: Sure. Good evening, my
14 name is Ron Thurlow, and I'm a resident of
15 Newburyport, Massachusetts, just south of the plant.
16 Except for a few years that I was a captain in the US
17 Air Force, I have lived my whole life in Newburyport
18 which included raising our children in this beautiful
19 area.

20 I think I have a unique perspective on
21 Seabrook Station. I have a bachelor=s degree in
22 health physics and a master=s in radiological
23 sciences. I became a certified health physicist back
24 in 1992.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 I also worked at the Seabrook Plant for
2 more than 30 years. I know the people there, the
3 processes, the programs, and the culture at the
4 station. Since last May, I have retired, and I'm no
5 longer at the plant, no longer working for Seabrook
6 Station.

7 I strongly believe in the value the
8 station has for the local community, the region, and
9 our nation. It safely produces a great benefit for
10 all of us. I also believe in the commitment to
11 excellence the station has and the independent and
12 effective oversight the NRC provides for the safe use
13 of this technology.

14 Some technical concepts of license
15 renewal can be challenging and significant to go
16 through. I would like to thank though for the
17 significant time the station and the regulator have
18 invested into the research to understand and address
19 the issues. This effort is noteworthy and very much
20 appreciated.

21 As a resident of Newburyport, I hope the
22 Seabrook Station can continue to operate for many
23 more years, and I support prompt license renewal.
24 Thank you for the opportunity to speak tonight.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you.

2 (Applause.)

3 MR. KLUKAN: Okay, next we have Colby
4 McNeil. Colby McNeil?

5 MR. MCNEIL: Hello. My name is Colby
6 Kurk McNeil, and I'm speaking on behalf of the other
7 supportive members of my community to relicense
8 Seabrook.

9 The future of our civilization is at
10 stake when it comes to climate change, air pollution
11 and energy security. My field of study covers these
12 subjects, as I model decarbonization strategies for
13 energy grids using real world data.

14 The scientific consensus for climate
15 change is overwhelming and so is our need to support
16 nuclear energy. There is not a single hopeful
17 scenario by the IPCC that does not include a major
18 share of nuclear energy.

19 NASA published a study pleading with the
20 public to understand this necessity stating, AWE
21 conclude that nuclear energy needs to be retained and
22 significantly expanded in order to avoid or minimize
23 the devastating impacts of unabated climate change.®

24 After reviewing the data myself, and

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 modeling dozens of grid scenarios, I can confirm these
2 statements are accurate, especially when it comes to
3 our local grid here in New England.

4 There is no feasible decarbonization
5 pathway that does not include a major role for nuclear
6 energy. The environmental and human risk of nuclear
7 are magnitudes lower than the risks of fossil fuels
8 that would replace Seabrook.

9 On average, Seabrook Station produces
10 roughly ten terawatt hours of clean electricity every
11 year which is roughly the electrical consumption of
12 the entire state of New Hampshire. Losing Seabrook
13 would be losing an entire states-worth of clean
14 electricity which would worsen air pollution, climate
15 change, and devastate local communities.

16 A study by WPI examining the closure of
17 Maine Yankee found that 85 percent of town revenue
18 was gone after four years. These losses hit school
19 budgets, infrastructure, and social services with
20 similar severity. For local residents, property
21 values dropped while property taxes increased
22 tenfold.

23 An article in the Boston Globe 17 years
24 later quoted Lori Smith, the town manager, saying, AI

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 have yet to meet anyone happy that Maine Yankee is
2 gone.@ All these years later, we're still feeling
3 the loss of jobs, the economic downturn, and the huge
4 tax increases.

5 For clean air, a stable climate, and safe
6 jobs in our community, please relicense Seabrook
7 Station. Thank you.

8 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you very much.

9 (Applause.)

10 MR. KLUKAN: Next we have Daniel Duarte.

11 MR. DUARTE: Thank you very much. My
12 name is Daniel Duarte. I just came over here tonight
13 to show my concern about the power plant. My major
14 concern is about the location. And I have a question.

15 I wanted to know if the location is in
16 danger for a flood in the next 10, 20 years, and also
17 if there's a flood in the location, if we have waste,
18 nuclear waste storage, and if it will be a problem
19 for the environment.

20 And my other concern is if there's and
21 EMP
22 attack or a power grid loss, if the nuclear reactor
23 will be in danger.

24 I have a few questions, yes or no. I'd

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 like to give one of you each. If you possible can
2 answer and give it back to me, I appreciate it.

3 MR. DONOGHUE: Okay, sir. I think we have
4 time for a few more questions. Let me try to answer
5 the first couple of questions I heard.

6 MR. DUARTE: Thank you very much.

7 MR. DONOGHUE: We didn=t bring the
8 experts here related to flooding hazards or EMP, but
9 I do know that that=s considered as part of the review
10 of the safe operation of the plant. And this had to
11 be evaluated and is part of the license now.

12 So I=m not sure if we have anybody in the
13 audience that could talk, you know, in any detail about
14 those issues. But flooding hazards are evaluated for
15 plants.

16 What were your other yes or no questions?

17 MR. DUARTE: I have just a few questions,
18 just concerns about is it true that the nuclear
19 reactor will likely to melt down without electricity
20 to cool them? Yes, or no, sir, please.

21 MR. DONOGHUE: The plants are designed
22 with safety systems to address a loss of electricity
23 to cool them down.

24 MR. DUARTE: Thank you. If we have a EMP

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 attack, how long can we cool down the facility?

2 MR. DONOGHUE: I don=t think we -- I
3 don=t have expertise in there. I don=t have an expert
4 here on that. But I think that anything that causes
5 a loss of electrical supply to the plant has systems
6 to provide electricity to replace any lost sources
7 that allow cool down.

8 MR. DUARTE: Do you know for how long?

9 MR. DONOGHUE: Off hand, I don=t know.

10 MR. DUARTE: Thank you. Have the nuclear
11 power plants been working together with the people to
12 inform the danger and to support the community about
13 the flood and about EMP attack?

14 MR. DONOGHUE: I don=t know if this has
15 come up in other meetings that I haven=t been a party
16 to. So I, myself, can=t answer that question. I
17 wonder if this came up in any of the annual assessment
18 meetings maybe. No.

19 MR. DUARTE: Thank you.

20 MR. DONOGHUE: I don=t think so.

21 MR. DUARTE: Has anybody provided a
22 readiness and awareness plan to the seacoasts that
23 surround the community for a nuclear disaster?

24 MR. DONOGHUE: I believe the evacuation

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 plan is provided. But --

2 MR. DUARTE: Thank you, sir.

3 MR. DONOGHUE: -- I'm not sure of
4 anything more than that.

5 MR. DUARTE: I appreciate it. Is the
6 facility responsible to support the affected area
7 zone by having ready food, water, transportation, and
8 shelter in place for everyone that might be or will
9 be affected if anything happened?

10 MR. DONOGHUE: Again, this is part of the
11 emergency plan. I don't know the details of that
12 myself. And that subject is, **you know**, outside the
13 scope of what we want to talk about tonight.

14 MR. DUARTE: Thank you. And I'm just
15 going to ask again, one more time, if the facilities
16 are located in a high risk of flood, yes or no?

17 MR. DONOGHUE: I don't know the risk
18 level, but all plants are evaluated for flood hazards
19 wherever they happen to be located.

20 MR. DUARTE: Thank you, sir, very much.
21 I appreciate your time.

22 MR. DONOGHUE: Thank you.

23 MR. KLUKAN: So just to clarify, you
24 heard Joe talk about this. I'll echo Joe. The

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 purpose of the meeting tonight is to discuss
2 specifically ASR in the license amendment process.

3 So the experts we have here, particularly
4 Angie, not that I'm discounting anyone else in the
5 room, is to discuss ASR, okay. Because that=s what
6 we thought the bulk of our questions would be on.

7 We are having a meeting in April, our
8 Annual Assessment Meeting. What we=ll do is take
9 this back to the region, the preparers. We do want
10 the preparers for those annual assessment meetings to
11 make sure that we address these issues that you just
12 raised at that meeting which is generally the more
13 broad, overall plant performance for the year.

14 Again, the purpose of the meeting
15 tonight, and I bring that up just because it=s who we
16 have in the room with us, we thought the questions
17 would be primarily focused on the licensing process
18 and questions specifically on ASR. So that=s who we
19 have experts on in the room, so hence, our answers to
20 those questions.

21 So again, we will write those down,
22 Justin is writing those down right now. We=re going
23 to take those back, give those to the region, and
24 we=ll make sure that they=re addressed preemptively,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 if you will, at the annual Assessment Meeting which
2 will be scheduled late in April.

3 Okay, next. We have Doug Bogen. Doug
4 Bogen or Bogen? I apologize again for name
5 pronunciation.

6 MR. BOGEN: Good evening. My name is
7 Doug Bogen. I'm the executive director of the
8 Seacoast Anti-pollution League based in Exeter. I
9 have attended many of these meetings. We've heard
10 all about how many public meetings we've had, a dozen
11 or more. I think one count it was 23 of them. I've
12 attended a lot of them, not all.

13 But I would submit that, while we've had
14 many public meetings, we have had zero formal public
15 hearings. And I say this as one of the former
16 interveners. To this time, in over the last eight,
17 nine years, there have been three different
18 petitions, five different organizations that have
19 attempted to intervene.

20 We were originally given approval,
21 standing by the ASLB. But the Nuclear Regulatory
22 Commission overruled that and denied us a hearing.
23 And so you can imagine we're dismayed to hear that
24 now you want to issue the new license before we have

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

1 a hearing with the remaining intervenors that are
2 still looking to represent the public interest in a
3 formal hearing.

4 So I submit that that doesn=t make sense.
5 I understand you can do that under your rules. But
6 it just doesn=t make sense, it doesn=t pass the smell
7 test from a public interest point of view, from common
8 sense.

9 And it=s not surprising that our
10 Congressional delegation would intervene in this
11 process to say the public deserves more input. But
12 I would also submit that this meeting here tonight
13 does not represent, does not take the place of a
14 formal public hearing.

15 And so I would think that we should listen
16 to what all they are saying and move forward with a
17 formal hearing before you issue the license.

18 Now, there=s been a lot of talk about
19 nuclear being carbon-free, a lot about climate
20 action, it=s great to hear there are so many climate
21 activists in this group. I would hope we could make
22 much better progress on climate with so much interest.

23 But I would mention that nuclear is not
24 carbon-free. There have been studies shown, when you

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 look at the total life cycle of nuclear, particularly
2 the fuel fabrication, the fuel production, it does
3 require a lot of energy to produce that. And most
4 of that comes from fossil fuels.

5 There's one study, a study of studies,
6 found that renewable energy, wind and solar, is many
7 times less carbon-intensive than nuclear, five to
8 seven times less in the case of wind power.

9 And we intervened on this issue of
10 whether we could replace nuclear with wind. And sure
11 enough, we are finding that is the case. There are
12 thousands of megawatts of offshore wind power being
13 contracted for south of the cape right now, in the
14 next few months, the next few years. And we should
15 be looking at that rather than debating about other
16 issues that aren't relevant.

17 I just want to ask what is the hurry, why
18 does this B- what makes a difference whether this is
19 issued next week versus nine months from now? Why
20 can't you wait until the hearing? And I'm not just
21 saying that rhetorically. I would like an answer on
22 that. Because we've gotten very precious few answers
23 from this body here. Thank you.

24 (Applause.)

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. DONOGHUE: Doug, right?

2 MR. BOGEN: Yeah.

3 MR. DONOGHUE: So it=s a good question.
4 Because, as I said in my opening remarks, originally
5 we planned to issue it after the hearing. Besides,
6 you know the regulations allow it.

7 The staff=s work=s done in the safety
8 review. So we have letters from the ACRS that
9 independently reviewed the safety evaluations and
10 agreed with the conclusions. They didn=t have any
11 other additional technical questions for us to
12 pursue.

13 By issuing the amendment in the renewal,
14 we are able to put any of the requirements related to
15 the ASR Monitoring and Management Program into the
16 license. So that makes it solid in their license
17 now. And it does not undermine the hearing.

18 The hearing will B- that process is
19 independent of our work. The Board has documents to
20 review. They=ll get more information from the
21 hearing process that they evaluate.

22 As I said earlier, the outcome of the
23 hearing could result in the staff having to go back
24 and take action to change the license further. If

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 there=s information that=s presented to the Board,
2 and the Board makes a finding, and we have to
3 implement something, we=ll do that. We can go as far
4 as issuing an order to the plant to do that.

5 So back to, you know, back to it being a
6 rush, our perspective is that it=s not been a rush to
7 review. It=s been many years since the staff had an
8 initial draft safety evaluation, a license renewal.

9 And one of the open items, the major open
10 items, we related to ASR. And our position was that
11 we were not going to renew the license until we got
12 satisfactory answers to questions that are now
13 answered by the license amendment and our review of
14 that.

15 So we don=t feel like we=ve rushed that.
16 The amendment review took more than two years. And
17 we think with our work done we can promptly issue the
18 license, we=re not undermining the hearing, and by
19 issuing these actions, we get those requirements into
20 the license.

21 MR. BOGEN: It still doesn=t answer my
22 question of what difference it would make whether you
23 waited six months. After nine years, you=d think you
24 could wait another six months.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Again, it=s 11 years before the license
2 renewal runs out, the existing license runs out. We
3 are not going to freeze in the dark, the lights aren=t
4 going to go out, you aren=t going to lose your jobs
5 any time soon. We have another decade of plant
6 operations.

7 I understand you want to get it done ahead
8 of time, and you evidently have nothing better to do
9 than issue the license. But we demand a better say
10 in what is going into that license renewal. Thank
11 you.

12 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you.

13 MR. DONOGHUE: I=m going to respond to
14 you then. Because I think that, again, we=re not
15 rushing to judgement at all. I want to tell you that
16 we have other work for our staff to do. We have to
17 -B one of our key principles is to be as efficient as
18 we can. So we have people who worked on this. And
19 we continue to track and report on it. And so by
20 issuing it, we can complete that work, move on to
21 other work.

22 If required, you know, as an outcome of
23 this hearing, if need be, we have to assign people
24 back to redo the safety evaluation and put other

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 requirements into place, we'll do that. But we're
2 trying to be as efficient as we can.

3 I'm not going to stand here and tell you
4 that there's an urgency to it. No, we don't have an
5 urgency except to be as efficient as we can. And if
6 it appears urgent, I just point to the perspective
7 that we have of doing a long and complex review on
8 the renewal itself and on this amendment.

9 MR. BOGEN: Well, again, it wouldn't have
10 -- it would have been done already if you B-

11 MR. KLUKAN: There will be B- I
12 appreciate you have ongoing questions and comments
13 you'd like to raise. But out of fairness to everyone
14 else in the room who would like to speak, there will
15 probably be an opportunity for you to speak later on.

16 MR. BOGEN: Okay. Thank you.

17 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you. All right, next
18 up we have John Nyhan. John Nyhan?

19 MR. NYHAN: Good evening, John Nyhan, and
20 I'm president of the Hampton Area Chamber of Commerce.
21 And just for the record, the Hampton Area Chamber of
22 Commerce includes the communities of Seabrook,
23 Hampton Falls, Hampton, Northampton, and Rye.

24 I speak here tonight representing the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Chamber in support of the renewal of the license. My
2 experience with Seabrook Station, believe it or not,
3 goes back over 35 years. I was actually the
4 employment manager from 1982 to 1988. So I saw it
5 through construction into the operations of the
6 plant.

7 I also served in one of the first
8 evacuation plans that the old plant back in the >80s.
9 So I'm somewhat aware of not only the power plant
10 itself but the many, many hard and dedicated workers,
11 and talented workers, at the power plant.

12 Seabrook Station belongs to the Chamber.
13 We have over 450 members of our Chamber of Commerce.
14 Seabrook Station represents one of our largest
15 members. And therefore, it represents over 500 of
16 their employees that we consider members of our
17 Chamber of Commerce.

18 I think one of the two things that I'd
19 like to speak on very quickly, first is economic
20 development. One of the commitments that the Chamber
21 has here in the seacoast area is to continue economic
22 development, to grow businesses.

23 It would be very difficult for us to lose
24 Seabrook Station and continue to convince businesses

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 and companies all around the country why it would be
2 a good reason to move to the seacoast. So we need
3 not only Seabrook Station, but we need electricity
4 behind Seabrook Station.

5 The other thing that I think is critical,
6 and I=ve been working at both the federal, state, and
7 local level, is workforce development. When we talk
8 about a possibility of employment going into 2050, we
9 are now, in fact, looking at our young children who
10 will be looking for positions in the trades, the
11 mechanical engineering, electrical engineering, et
12 cetera.

13 I think this is a critical, critical
14 turning point of having our employees of the future
15 be considered as possible candidates for employment
16 at the power plant.

17 So I=am not an engineer, I can=t speak to
18 the technical part of this hearing, but I can tell
19 you that the power plant has been a very effective
20 member of our community and one that we=re proud of.
21 Thank you.

22 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you.

23 (Applause.)

24 MR. KLUKAN: Next we have Patricia

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Torkildson. Torkildson. Sorry, again I apologize.

2 MS. TORKILDSON: I=m Patricia
3 Torkildson, and I=m a resident of Newburyport.
4 NextEra=s nuclear power plant in Seabrook is an
5 important source of energy for our area, and I
6 appreciate that it=s a cleaner source of energy than
7 coal. But the plant also needs to be a source of
8 energy that is safe for the surrounding communities.

9 I came here tonight not to oppose the
10 license extension for Seabrook but to ask that the
11 license extension not be granted at this time.

12 Wait until after the issues with the
13 concrete are fully vetted with the public. Hold the
14 public hearing with the Atomic Safety and Licensing
15 Board that was promised for this coming summer.
16 Allow the issue of the degraded concrete to be fully
17 discussed with the public at that meeting and allow
18 time for the public to digest and respond to what we
19 learn.

20 When it comes to nuclear power, safety
21 needs to be a major concern for the government. When
22 a nuclear power plant is deteriorating due to ASR,
23 the government=s concern should be even greater.
24 This concern is not shown when the government appears

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 to be rushing to extend the license of a plant that
2 is already degraded. With 11 years left on the
3 license, on the plant=s license, what=s the rush?

4 I know that some scientists agree with
5 the company that the degrading concrete is being
6 properly monitored and controlled, but others have
7 raised concerns that will be brought forth at the
8 summer hearing. Let them be heard before a license
9 extension is granted.

10 It is important for the residents of this
11 area that we feel our safety is a primary concern
12 that has been fully addressed. Remember, Seabrook
13 is not just a nuclear power plant. It=s a nuclear
14 power plant with ASR.

15 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you.

16 (Applause.)

17 MR. KLUKAN: Next we have Kinsey Boehl.
18 Kinsey Boehl?

19 MR. BOEHL: Good evening, and thank you
20 for the opportunity to speak tonight. Nuclear power
21 is an important part of environment, excuse me,
22 environmental stewardship in the nation. Carbon
23 emissions are a global problem. Seabrook Station
24 powers more than 1.4 million homes and businesses and

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 reduces carbon emissions by four million tons a year.

2 Seabrook also provides a large economic
3 incentive to the region. It provides good jobs and
4 highly trained and well educated employees that live
5 in and around the seacoast.

6 All the employees at Seabrook Station
7 have a responsibility to our communities and provide
8 safe, carbon-free electricity. Our livelihood and
9 the safety of our families and communities relies on
10 it. Safety is Seabrook=s highest priority.

11 Seabrook Station has a long history of
12 safe operations. Since the Alkali-Silica Reaction
13 affecting the concrete at Seabrook was discovered,
14 Seabrook has answered regulatory concerns with robust
15 analytical methods, testing, and monitoring programs
16 to ensure that safety related equipment remains
17 protected and we continue to operate it safely.

18 For these reasons, I recommend that the
19 Commission approve Seabrook Station, Unit 1 operating
20 license renewal and amendment.

21 Again, Kinsey Boehl, and I live in
22 Amesbury, Massachusetts. Thank you.

23 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you very much.

24 (Applause.)

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. KLUKAN: Next we have Herb Moyer.
2 Herb Moyer, M-O-Y-E-R. That=s you? Okay. Do you
3 need someone to operate your camera for you? Okay.

4 MR. DONOGHUE: While Mr. Moyer comes up,
5 I=ll just let you know there=s seats opening up within
6 the audience here for any of those people standing in
7 the back. I=m sure you=re legs are getting tired.

8 MR. MOYER: My name is Herb Moyer. I=m
9 a 47-year resident of Exeter, New Hampshire. I
10 taught at Winnacunnet High School, biology, ecology,
11 and botany, from 1969 to 1990, worked for IBM for
12 four years, et cetera. So I=ve been around.

13 And I=ve been involved in the Seabrook
14 nuclear plant issues since 1972. I=m the president
15 of the Seacoast Anti-Pollution League, one of two
16 citizen organizations that have been following this
17 issue since the very beginning.

18 Not only does the Seabrook nuclear plant
19 have concrete credibility, the NRC has lack of
20 credibility on its own merits, because they have taken
21 positions that are anathema to safety of the public.
22 I cite an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, Helen
23 Hoyt comment in response to the failure of the then
24 utility to implement a security measure, a safety

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 measure on backup security systems.

2 Her comment was, AThe utility=s
3 commitment to comply is evidence of compliance.@ And
4 I don=t know if you view that as a legitimate
5 scientific statement, but it=s certainly bogus. A
6 commitment to comply to something does not prove that
7 you=ve done the compliance. And that=s the way this
8 issue has been slanted by the NRC against public
9 safety and public interest.

10 Long history. I had probably 15 or 20
11 students of mine who worked at the plant, came back
12 to me, teaching at Winnacunnet, telling me stories.
13 Now, these are anecdotal, but these are students who
14 worked at the plant, took their time to come back to
15 me, because they knew I was working with the Seacoast
16 Anti-Pollution League back in the >70s, >80s.

17 And they indicated that there are people
18 that are throwing beer bottles and pouring baby lotion
19 into the concrete pores. So there are voids in the
20 concrete. And I know that from the veracity of the
21 students who told me these things.

22 If you will check the Hampton Falls
23 Police Records, you will find some 300 DWI arrests
24 for plant workers going to the plant and coming from

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the plant. So there was a lot of drinking onsite at
2 the plant. That=s a matter of public record.

3 So, you know, those of you have a role in
4 nuclear power today, I=m not casting aspersions on
5 you, but there are clearly some issues that haven=t
6 been addressed.

7 So we have fought long and hard. I=ll
8 bet SAPL, over the years, has spent nearly a million
9 dollars in legal fees to oppose the licensing. And
10 it=s terrible that the NRC, who studied the Fukushima
11 accidents, listed a whole bunch of quick fixes, none
12 of which, virtually none of which they=ve implemented
13 in anything but a voluntary way through plants
14 throughout the US.

15 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you very much.

16 MR. MOYER: Thank you.

17 (Applause.)

18 MR. KLUKAN: Next we have Cathryn Capra.
19 Cathryn Capra?

20 MS. CAPRA: Hi, I=m Cathryn Capra. I=m
21 from Georgetown, Massachusetts. And I=m very
22 concerned about the safety of the plant. I heard
23 many words that were alarming to me and saw some on
24 the slide and from the engineer, progressive, it=s

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 causing cracking, it cannot be reversed, causing
2 degradation.

3 And then I read that it can be managed or
4 corrected. And I don=t know how you can do that with
5 something that is irreversible and progressive, what
6 you mean by that and what you would do.

7 MR. DONOGHUE: So I=ll take that as a
8 question.

9 MS. CAPRA: Yeah.

10 MR. DONOGHUE: Okay. So I=m not the
11 expert on the concrete, so I=m going to ask Angie to
12 be ready here in a second. But the ASR degradation
13 is slow. So it=s possible to monitor it and see how
14 far it does progress. It is progressive, so you can
15 see how far it progresses in other places in the plant
16 where it may present itself, right.

17 I know that the plant has conducted some
18 repairs where necessary on structures that are
19 affected by ASR. So there=s a monitoring program,
20 and there=s the ability to make repairs as needed to
21 maintain the structural capability of the safety
22 related structures.

23 Correcting or reversing is not B- it=s
24 the kind of phenomena you can=t do that. So this

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 monitoring has to stay in place. And as I said
2 earlier, that=s why this monitoring program is so
3 important and why it should be part -- and we think
4 it will be put into the license, okay.

5 Is there anything you can add to that,
6 Angie?

7 MS. BUFORD: Sorry, I haven=t talked in
8 a while, so my throat=s hoarse again. But I just
9 wanted to say that ASR is indeed a degradation
10 mechanism. It=s an aging effect along with concrete.

11 I would say there are a multitude of aging
12 effects that affect concrete that are factored into
13 the concrete design codes when concrete=s designed.
14 The American Concrete Institute applied for safety
15 factors that account for all types of degradation.
16 Cracking occurs in all concrete over time as it ages.

17 ASR, the whole reason that the plant
18 pursued a license amendment was to look at the effect
19 of ASR as another aging effect and to incorporate it
20 into structural analyses that can show that, even
21 with ASR, that the structures are able to perform
22 their function, their structural functions, and that
23 there is enough margin in those calculations so that
24 ASR can be considered under the licensing basis for

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the plant.

2 MS. CAPRA: I'm sorry. I have limited
3 time, right. I wanted to --

4 MR. KLUKAN: I stopped the clock.
5 Normally I --

6 MS. CAPRA: Oh, okay.

7 MR. KLUKAN: -- don't do this, but --

8 MS. CAPRA: Okay.

9 MR. KLUKAN: Your question, I thought,
10 was likely one other people -- anyway, go on. Go,
11 go.

12 MS. CAPRA: Okay, thank you. Did you
13 have something else to --

14 MS. BUFORD: No. I just wanted to say
15 that that=s the whole basis of the license amendment,
16 was to incorporate the effects of ASR into the
17 structural calculations that already exist for the
18 plant.

19 MS. CAPRA: Okay. So if it were to
20 progress to the point where it affected the
21 functioning of the structures, what would you do?

22 MS. BUFORD: So part of our safety
23 evaluation in the license amendment request was to
24 look at their monitoring program to determine, for

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 each structure, what are they monitoring, and what
2 are the acceptance criteria, and how did they come to
3 those?

4 So their monitor B-

5 MS. CAPRA: I'm sorry, could you stop the
6 clock while she's answering?

7 MR. KLUKAN: Sure.

8 MS. CAPRA: Thank you.

9 MS. BUFORD: So they did an analysis for
10 every structure and determined, by mathematical
11 analysis, how much ASR. And they measure, microcrack
12 measurements and actual physical measurements are
13 taken at the plant.

14 And each structure, each area has what
15 they call a threshold for ASR expansion. And this
16 license amendment puts in a requirement that ASR
17 cannot progress past the established acceptance
18 limit.

19 So as the staff, we looked at those limits
20 specifically, and also cross-referenced that with
21 calculations to determine whether we felt that they
22 could safely get to those limits.

23 If they got to those limits, they would
24 need to, just like any other issue that would come

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 up, they would need to assess the issue and determine
2 -B and the NRC would concurrently, through our
3 oversight process, determine whether they could
4 continue to be safely operated.

5 So we would, you know, if they got to those
6 limits, then they would need to do further
7 assessments. And the NRC would intervene under our
8 oversight.

9 MS. CAPRA: So would they take it offline
10 if they found it reached the threshold, like, to do
11 the assessments? You know, I'm concerned that they
12 would continue to operate when it might be B-

13 MS. BUFORD: The NRC has the authority
14 to do that if necessary.

15 MS. CAPRA: Okay, because I'm concerned
16 about that. And then somebody already addressed
17 about the license, why you're wanting to extend the
18 license before the current one expires. And I'm
19 really -B you've already answered this, but I just
20 have to say I'm really anxious about that. I wish
21 that, you know, it could just -B that could be delayed
22 so that more monitoring could be done.

23 And also, was the testing that was done,
24 was it done on actual pieces of concrete from the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 plant when it was evaluated for ASR?

2 MS. BUFORD: In the testing program, the
3 specimens that were used were constructed from
4 different constituents that were similar and
5 representative of the concrete at the plant,
6 including aggregate partially taken from a quarry
7 here in New England. Some of the constituents were
8 a little bit different, because they needed to
9 accelerate ASR.

10 ASR at Seabrook is a very slow
11 progressing B-

12 MS. CAPRA: Right, right.

13 MS. BUFORD: -- reaction. It=s come to
14 this point over, you know, 40 years or however long
15 the concrete=s been in place. So the testing program
16 needed to come to accelerated levels of ASR
17 degradation in a short time.

18 So the only real differences between that
19 concrete was really the constituents that were used
20 to accelerate the ASR so that you could test to limits
21 that would bound the plant.

22 MS. CAPRA: Okay, thank you.

23 So basically, the bottom line though is
24 it was not actual concrete taken from the actual

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 plant. It used accelerants, and it was from a similar
2 area. Is that correct?

3 MS. BUFORD: That=s correct.

4 MS. CAPRA: Okay, thank you so much.

5 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you.

6 Okay, next up we have Meo Young. Meo
7 Young?

8 MS. YOUNG: Hi, I=m Meo Young. I=m from
9 Newburyport, Mass. I=m a concerned citizen. I=m
10 very concerned about the safety of the plant. I=m
11 also concerned about the public input tonight. And
12 I just came here to hear everything you have to say.

13 But I=m afraid that it might be skewed by
14 either NextEra or the Seabrook town coordinating
15 plant speak, you know, people that are in favor of
16 the plant speaking here. And many of them don=t even
17 live in the area. But that was a trigger for me when
18 I heard people from way outside the area here
19 speaking.

20 I have several questions. One is are you
21 saying that NextEra will be monitoring the ASR itself?
22 If so, this is of great concern. I think there should
23 be independent monitoring of the ASR. It=s kind of
24 like the fox guarding the hen house.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 It also sounds like you've already made
2 a decision, so I'm wondering whether our input tonight
3 will have any effect on the licensing. And can you
4 better explain who actually makes the final decision
5 on the license? Is it the results of the hearing,
6 or your conclusion. I was a little confused about
7 that tonight.

8 And then why does the license extension
9 have to be so long for an old plant? So many things
10 can change in 20 years. It seems that the management
11 can become lax over such a long period. The fear of
12 renewal would keep the plants on their toes.

13 And I'm also concerned about what the
14 gentleman raised earlier, flooding, and global
15 warming, and the plan for that, and how that would
16 impact the plant over a 20-year period. Those are
17 my questions.

18 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you.

19 MR. DONOGHUE: All right, thanks. I'll
20 try to get to all of them. The program in place,
21 that's already in place to monitor ASR is inspected
22 by the NRC. So we're watching, we have been, and we
23 continue to do inspections. We have residents on
24 site who watch and inspect all of the activities of

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the plant. And this will be another inspection
2 activity that will be included.

3 MS. YOUNG: And they=ll be there going
4 forward as well?

5 MR. DONOGHUE: Yes, yes. So, you know,
6 I=m trying to alleviate your concern there, is that
7 it=s not NextEra on their own. It=s NextEra, like
8 anything else, all their other programs are inspected
9 by the NRC. And they have to do, especially once
10 it=s in their license, but even now they=re putting
11 programs in place, and we=re inspecting them, okay.

12 I think the final decision, the
13 Commission delegated the license renewal to the
14 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, so it=s
15 management at the office level, not the Commission
16 itself.

17 Now, we inform the Commission of our
18 intent to issue the license and, in this case, also
19 there=ll be notification of the license amendment.
20 Because it=s subject to a hearing. But the decision
21 to do those things is at the office level.

22 MS. YOUNG: Well, that=s meaning you guys
23 or somebody else?

24 MR. DONOGHUE: Actually, my boss. But -

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 B

2 MS. YOUNG: Your boss?

3 MR. DONOGHUE: Yeah, yeah.

4 MS. YOUNG: And is that part of the
5 hearing? Is the hearing totally separate then?

6 MR. DONOGHUE: Right, right, right. The
7 hearing, yeah, the hearing process is separate from
8 the licensing process. And as I said before, there
9 could be an outcome from the hearing that requires us
10 to go back into licensing to do something. But those
11 are intentionally kept separate, okay.

12 Input tonight, so I think Brett said
13 there=s a transcript being kept. There=s material
14 that=s been handed to us so there=ll be a meeting
15 summary that will include reference to the
16 transcript. It will include all the information
17 that=s been submitted to us tonight. We=re going to
18 review that and see if there=s anything new that would
19 affect our decision.

20 Link to the B- of the extension. So in
21 the regulations, the NRC regulations for renewed
22 licenses were put in place. And there=s a lot of
23 background to those where the Commission considered
24 what made sense. And what made sense was an extension

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

1 no longer than 20 years. That seemed reasonable.

2 I think it touches on the things you
3 talked about. Things could change over some time.
4 So some practical things, without getting into a lot
5 of technical detail are that, you know, the original
6 license made some assumptions that went out to 40
7 years.

8 To go beyond those 40 years, there=s new
9 information that=s needed. There=s aging mechanisms,
10 besides concrete, that affect other things besides
11 concrete that have to be accounted for. And the
12 plant has to demonstrate that they can put programs
13 in place to support that 20-year interval. And we
14 won=t re-license beyond that interval.

15 So, you know, and there are some plants
16 now that are coming in for a subsequent license
17 renewal. But we won=t go, you know, that 20 years is
18 the most we=ll extend at any one time, all right.

19 So all those things that a plant has to
20 do to be able to B- besides concrete, there=s other
21 things that a plant has to do to be able to prove to
22 us that they can operate safely when they get their
23 license extension.

24 Those are all subject to inspection,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 they=re all part of their license. If they don=t do
2 them, Angie already said, for concrete or other
3 things, we could issue orders. Those orders could be
4 to the extent of shutting down until they can correct
5 the problem, okay.

6 Did I touch on B- I think -- I tried to
7 keep notes on what you=re asking. I think I got them
8 all.

9 MS. YOUNG: You got everything, yes.

10 MR. DONOGHUE: Okay.

11 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you very much.

12 Okay, next we have Linda Cooper. Linda
13 Cooper?

14 MS. COOPER: My name=s Linda Cooper. I
15 live in Newburyport. I=m an engineer. Now, you
16 mentioned earlier in the meeting that the ASR only
17 occurs in certain types of concrete. So how can you
18 guarantee that the concrete used in testing in Texas
19 is the same as what was used to build Seabrook, thus
20 coming to the conclusion that it=s safe?

21 And secondly, is there a chance of the
22 rebar breaking down because of the ASR, and is that
23 part of the monitoring?

24 MR. DONOGHUE: Okay, so thanks for your

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 questions. Just to make sure I got them, the
2 similarity of the test specimens that are concrete,
3 I think Angie addressed that, but she can give you a
4 little bit more detail. But the other new question,
5 I think, for tonight --

6 MS. COOPER: The rebar?

7 MR. DONOGHUE: -- yeah, yeah. There=s
8 ASR --

9 MS. COOPER: Yeah B-

10 MR. DONOGHUE: Does ASR affect the
11 rebar?

12 MS. COOPER: It=s going to affect the
13 rebar. And is that part of the monitoring?

14 MR. DONOGHUE: Right. And I don=t know
15 the answer to that. And I=m wondering if Angie can
16 shed light on it.

17 MS. BUFORD: Okay, so I wanted to speak
18 high level to the representativeness that the staff
19 found between the test specimens and Seabrook.
20 Because that=s a key component of the hearing that=s
21 going to be taking place. And so what we can say is,
22 it really needs to be limited to what=s available in
23 the safety evaluation.

24 But I will just say that the staff found

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 that the concrete was built to the same specs as
2 Seabrook in terms of reinforcement and size. And it
3 was tested to loadings that are part of the Seabrook
4 design.

5 You know, we independently audited, and
6 inspected, and determined that the testing was
7 consistent with testing that was used to develop ACI
8 318 code. So it was in line with sound engineering
9 science. And we determined that the testing was
10 applicable to be used as a basis for the Seabrook
11 monitoring.

12 And that=s actually really well detailed
13 in the safety evaluation for the license amendment
14 request if you=re interested in getting more detail.

15 (Off-microphone comments.)

16 MS. BUFORD: Yeah, actually it=s in
17 Section 3.2.1 of the license amendment, safety
18 evaluation. And the link to that is in the slides.

19 The second question about rebar breaking
20 down, so there=s two issues. And I=ll be brief. But
21 the one issue could, you know, would be the concern
22 maybe that there would be corrosion of rebar.

23 There were multiple cores taken at
24 Seabrook that showed that there was no corrosion issue

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 for rebar in multiple cores, on the order of dozens,
2 on different areas of the site. And that makes sense,
3 because of the alkaline nature of the reaction would
4 actually cause it to be a higher pH area which would
5 be less likely to have corrosion.

6 MS. COOPER: But that=s right now though.
7 What about in the future?

8 MS. BUFORD: So in the future, if there
9 was ever to be rebar corrosion because of water
10 infiltration caused by any aging effect, that would
11 come to the surface of the concrete. And the
12 concrete=s inspected, like I think I mentioned, every
13 six months to three years, depending on the area.
14 And so that would be identified and addressed prior
15 to any sort of structural issue.

16 And as far as ASR breaking down rebar,
17 that=s not known to occur.

18 MS. COOPER: But how would you address if
19 something did happen to rebar?

20 MS. BUFORD: So if something -B I=m
21 trying to think of what something might happen with
22 rebar. So what I can say is that what -- part of the
23 testing was to look at was rebar anchorage to make
24 sure that the specimens in the testing program were

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 able to maintain the reinforcement length between the
2 rebar. And so when they tested the
3 specimens, they found that the rebar didn=t, so to
4 speak, break apart which is something that you would
5 look at in the testing.

6 So when they tested the rebar to the
7 tested limits, which were beyond what the design basis
8 for Seabrook were, the reinforcing bars for all of
9 the specimens held intact with the required anchorage
10 length. So they found that there wasn=t a rebar
11 slippage issue. That is not projected to occur.

12 MS. COOPER: But that testing was only
13 done on, like, a certain amount of time. We don=t
14 know what 20 years from now would be.

15 MS. BUFORD: The testing, well, the
16 testing was to limits of ASR expansion that are well
17 beyond anticipated at the site. And so those limits
18 were are used. Then those feed into the monitoring
19 with a large cushion of margin that the site monitors
20 to. So actually, those levels of ASR were well beyond
21 what is expected through the life of the plant, even
22 the expended life.

23 MS. COOPER: Okay. All right, thank you
24 very much.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you.

2 Next up we have Jay Gustaferro,
3 Gustaferro, from the Massachusetts Lobstermen=s
4 Association.

5 MR. GUSTAFERRO: Thanks, Jay Gustaferro.
6 I want to use this moment here, I=m going to beg your
7 forgiveness to move off from ASR for a quick moment
8 and make this kind of a teaching moment from my
9 friends and neighbors in the seacoast here.

10 And have you all asked yourself, those of
11 you who=ve been lobbied to come down here and speak,
12 you know, in favor of relicensing this thing, why
13 they=re in such a rush to do it? Why is that?

14 Because, you know, there=s not going to be
15 a problem getting it through the NRC. They=re not
16 really a nuclear regulatory agency, they=re more of
17 a lobbying agency.

18 So why has, you know, the industry put out
19 so much pressure to bring so many of us down here to
20 speak in favor of this thing with ten years out before
21 it=s even up.

22 It=s so that they can borrow more money
23 on that decrepit electric tea kettle out here. They
24 want to borrow as much money as they can as quickly

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 as they can, because they know, the people with the
2 money, what a piece of crap it is. And I'm sure that
3 all the regulators know that also. But that=s for
4 another thing.

5 So I just wanted to, you know, those of
6 you who live in this community, don=t be too quick to
7 be supporting this industry and these guys. And ask
8 yourself why, why has there been such a push to
9 support it? What=s the rush? That=s the rush, so
10 they can get as much out of that thing as they can,
11 and then get the hell out of Dodge with their money.

12 So the ASR question. You know, I think
13 Mark Twain may have said it best, that there=s three
14 kinds of lies. There=s lies, there=s damn lies, and
15 then there=s where you=re all at, which is statics.
16 It=s turned into sand, guys, yo, sand. It=s breaking
17 down. It should have never been licensed to begin
18 with.

19 And maybe just a little plea of humanity
20 for you guys, since I=ve spent the last two minutes
21 yelling at you or around you, there was a gentleman
22 from my community. I think his name was McLinnan
23 (phonetic), he was the only NRC commissioner up to
24 that point who ever voted against a power plant.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 And go back and read some of his testimony
2 for why he objected to Seabrook. It was kind of a
3 land mark thing that he actually objected. And of
4 course, you know what happened to him. They ran him
5 out of town on a rail. But I got to know him many
6 decades ago. And he always told me slept better.

7 I guess that=s all for this evening.
8 Thank you all, and don=t be so quick to believe it.
9 You know, common sense is uncommon. Think about the
10 why.

11 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you.

12 (Applause.)

13 MR. KLUKAN: Next up we have William
14 Woodward.

15 MR. WOODWARD: Woodward.

16 MR. KLUKAN: Woodward, Woodward.

17 MR. WOODWARD: I am William Woodward. I
18 teach psychology at the University of New Hampshire.
19 I=m a professor, I=m a historian of science, in terms
20 of my PhD. I=d like to just interject the long view
21 here.

22 The long view takes us back to the
23 resistance to situating a nuclear power plant right
24 here. One of the objections was you don=t have an

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 answer to what you're going to do with the spent fuel.
2 I still haven't heard the answer.

3 Why aren't we talking about that tonight.
4 There's a national program to ship it to Native
5 American lands in New Mexico and Texas. But that's
6 very, very dangerous. So that would be something to
7 look into with NISC, which is an organization pointing
8 out the flaws in getting rid of nuclear waste.

9 Another long view perspective would be to
10 look at Germany. Germany, after they watched
11 Fukushima, said we're going off of nuclear for public
12 safety reasons. It's not a safe energy.

13 Another thing we could look at in the big
14 picture would be the state of Maine. Until Governor
15 LePage came along, there was a pilot program to have
16 offshore wind replace all of the nuclears on the east
17 coast. It's actually doable. They were set back by
18 LePage. And now Massachusetts has caught up, and I
19 understand they have several plants going now.
20 Investors believe that this is the future.

21 My university is going to 50 percent
22 renewables by 2030 and 100 percent renewables by 2050.
23 Why don't we have scientists here talking about,
24 climate scientists, talking about what we need to do

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 over the next 30 to 50 years.

2 I=m disappointed by the quality of the
3 panel here, frankly, you know. I mean, where are the
4 scientists? This is not very persuasive. Why are
5 we going to rush ahead without having a hearing from
6 not only the public but from the scientists? What is
7 the rush?

8 And unfortunately, this is what I=ve been
9 hearing for 44 years. I=ve been living in Durham.
10 I get the NRC doing a whitewash instead of answering
11 our questions. And I think they must be staffed by
12 insiders.

13 Because it=s not -- and right now we=re
14 trying to get monitors in the state of New Hampshire,
15 independent monitors, and they=re telling us we don=t
16 need them. Well, what do you think? Massachusetts
17 thinks we need them. They have state funded
18 monitors. But I heard a hearing Concord last week.
19 The industry was saying, no, we don=t need them. It=s
20 all taken care of right here. At Seabrook, they=re
21 doing all the monitoring that=s needed.

22 We=ve got pediatric cancer, not only here
23 on the seacoast but around every reactor in the
24 country. There=s data on that. Why don=t we have

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 that data? Why don=t we discuss how many kids have
2 to get cancer to support this industry?

3 Thank you for your time.

4 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you.

5 (Applause.)

6 MR. KLUKAN: Okay. Next we have Joe
7 Casey, Joe Casey.

8 (Off-microphone comments)

9 MR. KLUKAN: Okay, we will move on then
10 to Mindi Messmer. Mindi Messmer?

11 MS. MESSMER: Hello, I am Mindi Messmer,
12 a representative, former representative for the State
13 House for Rye, Environmental Scientist with a
14 master=s degree in public health.

15 I have a few questions, actually a lot of
16 questions, so I=m not sure if you want me to go
17 through them first and then B-

18 MR. KLUKAN: Go through them and then -B

19 MS. MESSMER: Why can you not decouple
20 the license amendment from the license extension?

21 Why is the rush? I echo Senator Markey=s
22 concerns about that and some of the other people that
23 have spoken tonight.

24 I would like to know if you say, Angie,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 that there were cores taken from the concrete, dozens
2 of them, why were not those cores or some similar
3 cores used to do the ASR evaluation?

4 I=d like to know what the stage of
5 degradation of all the concrete structures are at the
6 plant. And how was that modeled if you didn=t take
7 concrete from the plant itself to do that?

8 I=d like to know why the second structure
9 that was never turned into a reactor -- we only have.
10 There are two structures there, one was used, why you
11 weren=t testing that structure instead, because it
12 has the same kind of concrete.

13 When you say slowly, I keep hearing
14 slowly, what does that mean? What is slowly? I want
15 to know the B- did you use that -B what kind of
16 calculations were made to assess whether or not the
17 concrete would be stable enough to be safe over the
18 license extension period?

19 We also talked a little bit, some people
20 here, about sea water intrusion. I=m concerned about
21 that, whether calculations of sea water intrusion,
22 that we know provides additional alkali for the ASR
23 reaction, was taken into account. Because we know
24 that there will be chronic inundation of the seacoast

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 over time due to sea level rise.

2 I also want to know if -B somebody
3 mentioned the dry cask storage area. We do have
4 spent nuclear fuel rods being stored on the facility.
5 I want to know if that has been taken into account
6 when we talk about sea level rise, whether our first
7 responders and fire fighters are being specially
8 trained to respond to an emergency situation at the
9 plant, assuming they'll be exposed to some sort of
10 radiation.

11 And after serving on Governor Hassan=s
12 task force to investigate the pediatric cancer
13 cluster, Mr. Woodward=s correct, we have a pediatric
14 cancer cluster on the seacoast, we also were told by
15 the CDC that we have the highest rates of pediatric
16 cancer in the nation here. We also have the highest
17 rates of bladder cancer in New Hampshire in the
18 nation, along with breast, and esophageal cancer, and
19 bladder cancer.

20 So one of the things that we've been
21 trying to do is to know what our exposure is. We
22 know that there is some radiation release during
23 regular maintenance activities. We wonder about the
24 ASR and whether or not there=s additional radiation

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 being released as a result of the ASR.

2 And we would like to know, in our
3 communities, what our real time exposure is to
4 radiation. We would like to have real time radiation
5 monitors in the seacoast outside of the plant so the
6 communities know what their exposure is.

7 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you.

8 MR. DONOGHUE: Thanks. A lot of
9 questions, we'll try to get them all. You saw I was
10 taking notes.

11 MS. MESSMER: I tried to put them all in
12 once.

13 MR. DONOGHUE: Huh?

14 MS. MESSMER: I got them all at once.

15 MR. DONOGHUE: Yeah, yeah, yeah. Good.
16 But when we get through, let me know if we didn't
17 touch on something B

18 MS. MESSMER: Okay.

19 MR. DONOGHUE: -- you want to hear about.
20 So on decoupling the license renewal and the license
21 amendment, so I'll start. And then I think Eric's
22 going to fill in gaps that I'll probably leave on the
23 answer.

24 In order to relicense the plant, the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 licensing basis of the plant needs to include the
2 programs B-

3 MS. MESSMER: I understand.

4 MR. DONOGHUE: -- to, okay, to address
5 ASR.

6 And, you know, right now, the way that the
7 safety evaluations are structured is the technical
8 review for ASR is largely in the license amendment.
9 So that gets, you know, the plan would be to issue
10 that. Once that=s issued, the license renewal would
11 follow.

12
13 MS. MESSMER: So why can=t you decouple
14 that and just do the amendment that has to do with
15 the ASR --

16 MR. DONOGHUE: Oh, I see, okay.

17 MS. MESSMER: -- evaluation, so that we
18 get that in place and then, you know, why rush to
19 extend the license?

20 MR. DONOGHUE: Okay, okay. Well, again,
21 my perspective is that we=re not rushing to issue.
22 The license amendment, once that=s in place, we don=t
23 see a need to hold up the license renewal.

24 Issuing the license amendment, that=s

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 what the contention is on, the subject of the hearing
2 is the license amendment. So, you know, we=d still
3 be having a similar question, right, we=d still be
4 taking an action before the hearing. So the renewal
5 B-

6 MS. MESSMER: Actually, that was my other
7 question.

8 (Simultaneous speaking)

9 MR. DONOGHUE: Go ahead.

10 MS. MESSMER: Whether the April B- why
11 you=re doing this before April, and whether or not
12 the April meeting is going to be public, and why
13 you=re doing it ahead of the April meeting.

14 MR. DONOGHUE: The April meeting. So the
15 hearing, the ASLB hearing, that was going to be even
16 later in the year. I think on our slide there it=s
17 mid to late 2019. So maybe you=re thinking about a
18 different B-

19 MS. MESSMER: Somebody mentioned an April
20 assessment meeting.

21 MR. KLUKAN: Yeah, that=s the annual -B

22 MR. DONOGHUE: Oh, the annual assessment
23 meeting for the plant, the Region I. So again, I=m
24 going to say again, the safety evaluation is complete.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 It=s been a long review, both the license renewal
2 itself and the license amendment, relatively long
3 compared to other similar actions.

4 And we find there=s no safety concern
5 from what we=ve looked at. So we=re ready to issue
6 the actions. Those don=t undermine the hearing. So
7 the hearing can progress. And any outcome of that
8 hearing, if need be, we could go back and change the
9 license in this area, including up to ordering the
10 plant to do something.

11 Did you have something to add?

12 MR. CESTERLE: So, Joe, you did a great
13 job of covering everything. I=m just going to add
14 some specifics. For the license amendment, that
15 includes the methodology which the NRC will approve
16 for NextEra to evaluate the impact of ASR degradation
17 on concrete structures and also the monitoring
18 programs for ASR.

19 Now, that evaluation methodology in those
20 monitoring programs form the basis for the aging
21 management programs that are being credited in the
22 license renewal application. So there=s the
23 connection right there. And so they cannot be
24 decoupled.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 So the license amendment has to be
2 approved to get those things into the licensing basis
3 for the plant and update the licensing basis so that
4 that new licensing basis, updated licensing basis,
5 can be renewed for the plant.

6 MS. MESSMER: So there=s no mechanism by
7 which you can amend the license to address the ASR
8 without extending the license?

9 MR. OESTERLE: No. It goes the other way
10 around. We have to amend the license first to include
11 the analysis methodology for ASR -B

12 MS. MESSMER: That=s what I=m asking.

13 MR. OESTERLE: -- before we can renew the
14 license.

15 MS. MESSMER: I know. But I=m saying,
16 why can=t you just amend the license to address the
17 ASR through the regular process of allowing us to
18 have a public comment period and then address the
19 license extension later on?

20 MR. OESTERLE: That could be done. But
21 we are following our normal process where we evaluate
22 what was requested of us, come to our safety
23 conclusion and, in addition, this is not part of the
24 normal process, but because ASR was such an important

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 issue for Seabrook, and for the NRC, and for the
2 Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, they also
3 wanted to look at what was done by the Applicant and
4 the staff=s review.

5 And so they confirmed the staff=s
6 conclusions through their peer review. So now we=ve
7 got independent verification, from a very highly
8 technical and independent review body, of the NRC
9 staff=s conclusions.

10 And based on those conclusions, safety
11 conclusions, the expectation of the staff is that we
12 issue the licensing action promptly. And the hearing
13 is independent of that licensing action.

14 And like Joe said, the specific issues
15 that are under contention in that hearing will be
16 adjudicated by the Board. And if there are any
17 actions that come out of the Board=s decision, the
18 staff will take those actions that are necessary out
19 of that hearing process.

20 MR. DONOGHUE: So the next, I think,
21 three or four questions you had, and I=m going to try
22 to, in shorthand, repeat them back to make sure we=re
23 getting them all. I warned her ahead of time I=m
24 tossing them to Angie, because they=re more

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 technical.

2 The concrete cores that were taken at the
3 plant and how they were considered in evaluating the
4 effects of ASR, what stage of degradation various
5 structures are in, just from listening, I know a
6 little bit about that. But I'm not going to waste
7 time, so I'll let Angie try to address that one.

8 Not treating all the structures, and
9 again, I think, you know, definitely safety related
10 structures, I don't know what structure you're
11 specifically referring to but B-

12 MS. MESSMER: Are you talking about the
13 second? So there were two concrete structures built.
14 Only one was used actively for the plant. The other
15 on is sitting there --

16 MR. DONOGHUE: Oh, the --

17 MS. MESSMER: -- unfinished.

18 MR. DONOGHUE: Okay, I --

19 MS. MESSMER: And that is the same
20 concrete as the first one --

21 MR. DONOGHUE: Okay, okay.

22 MS. MESSMER: -- theoretically,
23 hopefully, probably is. Why wouldn't you have tested
24 the concrete on site in that second reactor vessel

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 that was never employed instead of taking concrete
2 from some other place and trying to model it?

3 MR. DONOGHUE: Okay. I=m not going to
4 hazard a guess at an answer. I=m going to see if
5 Angie knows. But if not, I=ll try to answer it as
6 best I can.

7 And then the slow progression that=s been
8 observed --

9 MS. MESSMER: And whether it=s been
10 calculated out.

11 MR. DONOGHUE: Through PEO. I think the
12 answer to that is yes, but I=ll let Angie give you a
13 little more detailed answer than just yes.

14 MS. BUFORD: Okay, so the first question
15 was when you say why weren=t cores used to do the ASR
16 evaluation, you=re talking about when I mentioned
17 that when they took cores, and they looked at the
18 rebar, into the condition of that.

19 Those cores were taken as a part of a
20 process to install through-wall extensometers which
21 are measuring devices that measure through-wall
22 expansion.

23 MS. MESSMER: Right.

24 MS. BUFORD: So are you asking why didn=t

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 they do, like, a petrographic analysis of those or B-

2 MS. MESSMER: Yes, any of the analysis
3 that you used to determine the degradation of the
4 ASR, why didn=t you just use the cores or some other
5 B- you have cores already B- you know, or you could
6 take cores, so why didn=t you just use real cores?

7 MS. BUFORD: The purpose of taking cores
8 is to assess whether there is ASR and then from there,
9 you know, determine how to monitor it. And so it=s
10 my understanding that, you know, these cores that they
11 took, they were already in areas where the ASR was at
12 a point where they were measuring through-wall
13 thickness.

14 So I don=t think that it made sense to test
15 whether there is ASR or isn=t, because they=ve already
16 identified ASR in those areas where the cores were
17 taken. Those were the B- they are called staged, or
18 excuse me, Tier 3 areas where the ASR, just from
19 looking at the face of the wall, there was enough
20 cracking where they needed to install through-wall
21 extensometers.

22 You know, there=s really not a lot of
23 value added. And then doing a petrographic to then
24 confirm the presence of ASR when it was already

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 evident, from just visual observation, there=s no
2 strength-type characteristics that can be obtained
3 through a petrographic examination. That=s just to
4 determine presence of or lack of ASR.

5 MS. MESSMER: Okay. So let me rephrase
6 that then.

7 MS. BUFORD: Okay.

8 MS. MESSMER: You have samples of the
9 concrete. Why didn=t you use the samples of
10 concrete, or did you retrieve samples of the concrete
11 on the site to do your modeling, of the exact
12 concrete? Because you say it was aggregate from
13 other New England quarries or something.

14 MS. BUFORD: So the samples of concrete
15 that were taken in cores, the problem with doing core
16 testing is that it=s an unreinforced concrete
17 material. And there=s really no way to accurately
18 model the behavior of a structural system by doing a
19 compressive strength test of a core. It=s not
20 analogous to how the structure would behave when
21 called upon to perform their intended functions.

22 So any data that you get from that, from
23 the material testing, it=s really not applicable to
24 structural behavior for actual in situ structures.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 And that wouldn't have been, well, I
2 don't know that, **you know**, that was an initial pushback
3 that staff had that, **you know**, we questioned. How are
4 you going to address ASR for the inner structural
5 capacity.

6 And so that is really why they opted to
7 go the large scale testing route, because that was
8 consistent with the concrete, **you know**, governing
9 bodies, the American Concrete Institute, for
10 evaluating concrete structures. And that was found
11 to be a more realistic way to assess than to, **you**
12 **know**, look at a compressive strength test or triaxial
13 tension test in a vacuum.

14 MR. DONOGHUE: So purely layman, because
15 I'm not the concrete expert here, okay, I'm not going
16 to pretend to be. But I think I had a similar
17 question to yours when I was first having this
18 explained to me, the difference between testing the
19 material versus testing the structure, right.

20 So a piece of concrete by itself, a core,
21 is going to behave a certain way if it experiences
22 ASR, or it gets loaded, that is shown to be different
23 than a wall, or a floor, or something. And that=s
24 why large scale testing, those words large scale are

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 important where it=s the material interacting with
2 the other components that make a structure that=s
3 important to evaluate. Does that make --

4 MS. MESSMER: So when you say large
5 scale, are you talking about, like, when you actually
6 test it, is it granular concrete, or an aggregate, or
7 is it -- it=s not a core, evidently, from what you
8 just said.

9 MS. BUFORD: No. So they=re large scale
10 beams. And I think the dimensions are proprietary.
11 But they are modeled as actual Seabrook walls. You
12 know, and what I failed to mention before is that
13 when we=re looking at -- when the NRC is looking at
14 structures to be able to perform their intended
15 functions, first it=s safety, it=s the interaction
16 between the concrete and the rebar that=s actually
17 the important action for the concrete.

18 So the fact that the concrete is adhered
19 to rebar, that allows for tensile strength and sheer
20 strength. And so when you take the concrete out of
21 its structural context, i.e., it=s not reinforced,
22 and then you test it, the results of that, there=s
23 really not a good way to directly apply that to how
24 the structure would behave, you know, given X number

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 of, you know, however inch thick rebars.

2 And so that=s why, you know, they could
3 have taken more petrographic analysis, but I think
4 that the reason they didn=t is because they had
5 already identified that ASR was to a point in those
6 areas that they needed to do through-wall expansion
7 measurements.

8 So the second question here is what=s the
9 current state of the structures?

10 MS. MESSMER: Yeah, and percentage-wise,
11 how many are Stage 3 or Tier 3?

12 MS. BUFORD: It is in the SE. And I
13 don=t want to misspeak, so I would, and maybe I
14 couldn=t even find the exact number. There=s a fair
15 amount of each. I think that, you know what, I=m going
16 to ask you to go back to the SE, because I don=t --

17 MS. MESSMER: Can you just give us a
18 ballpark?

19 MS. BUFORD: So ballpark, 10 to 20, Stage
20 3, about the same in Stage 2. There=s a lot that are
21 Stage 1. And Stage 1 is the lowest ASR levels.

22 MS. MESSMER: Yeah.

23 MS. BUFORD: Stage 3 are the more
24 advanced.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MS. MESSMER: Any Stage 4?

2 MS. BUFORD: There=s no Stage 4. It=s
3 just Stage 3. And the stages have to do with
4 monitoring frequencies, or no, intervals, monitoring
5 intervals.

6 And the amount of rigorous analysis that
7 was done, all the Stage 3s have very, very rigorous,
8 you know, volumes of analysis, and computered
9 modeling, and that. And as the ASR is less severe,
10 the analyses are a little bit, you know, they were
11 able to be a little bit B- they didn=t have to do a
12 full ANSI model for every structure.

13 MS. MESSMER: Yes.

14 MS. BUFORD: And the NRC reviewed almost
15 all of these analyses for the different stages. We
16 looked at the monitoring for each stage. We looked
17 at the results and also, you know, did an independent
18 review, actually with two different independent
19 groups of the NRC to look and verify.

20 So that, let=s see, the third question I
21 had was why was Unit 2 not used, the concrete. So I
22 understand, at least from what I was told from the
23 licensee, that Unit 2 was not kept in the condition.
24 You know, I think it was abandoned even before they

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 were able to finish the dome of the concrete.

2 So it was concrete that was not, you know,
3 it=s degraded in so many other ways that it=s not a
4 representative condition to compare with the Unit 1
5 concrete that has been, you know, up kept. And I
6 think there were also a lot of accessibility issues.

7 You know, as the NRC, we reviewed, you
8 know, we have our oversight process, and we reviewed
9 the license amendment and the license renewal request
10 as it was presented to us. And they opted to use
11 large scale testing. And we reviewed that testing to
12 make our safety conclusion.

13 And, okay, and slow progression, yes.
14 What does slow mean? So just in the general
15 understanding of alkali silica reaction, when you say
16 slow, you know, you=re talking about the expansion of
17 microcracks that are, you know, barely inches, very,
18 very, very small cracks.

19 So the cracks have to be large enough to
20 even start measuring them, right. Because you can
21 only, you know, even with the best optical magnifying
22 glass, you can only detect cracks of a certain size.

23 So at the site, we verified that Seabrook
24 is monitoring all, I=ll say, you know, cracking that

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 is able to be monitored and at frequencies that are
2 applicable to the severity of the ASR.

3 And I personally have reviewed six month
4 monitoring data for the past six years, literally
5 every six months. And there are some -- most of the
6 areas have not, over the past five years, even seen
7 any appreciable increase in the cracking at all.

8 The method they do it, we've detailed it
9 more in the SER, and we can talk after this is you=d
10 like. But the way that they measure it, you know, the
11 data that we reviewed, some areas it doesn=t appear
12 that it=s moving at all. And I think that in the
13 maximum it=s, you know, it=s hundredths of an inch.

14 MS. MESSMER: Even in the Tier 3?

15 MS. BUFORD: Yes, even in the Tier 3.

16 MS. MESSMER: So have you projected that
17 out to be safe over, even the Tier 3, over the life
18 of the license extension?

19 MS. BUFORD: It=s within the bounds of
20 the testing. So the testing program went above what,
21 you know, I think that they looked at what is the
22 wildest, you know, not wildest, but what=s a
23 projection that made sense through the life of the
24 plant and considering a possible life extension,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 tested limits beyond that and then added margin. And
2 then those limits are based there on that margin.

3 MR. DONOGHUE: Okay. Thanks.

4 MS. BUFORD: And then the next one about
5 seawater.

6 MR. DONOGHUE: Yeah, yeah, yeah. So
7 seawater intrusion, I think, was your next question.
8 And my high level understanding is that there are
9 programs, dewatering programs, that are place at the
10 plant. I think that water intrusion is known, and
11 observed, and they put programs in place. I don't
12 know the details of those programs, but I know that
13 there are programs in place that are inspected.

14 The other issues that you brought up,
15 spent fuel storage, including flooding effects, the
16 cancer data that you referenced, and real time
17 monitoring, I'm just going to say that that's not a
18 subject of tonight's meeting. We've taken a lot of
19 time to answer your questions related to the amendment
20 for the ASR. So I'm going to --

21 MS. MESSMER: So when is the next time I
22 will probably hear those answers? Is there another
23 point at which we are going hear back from --

24 MR. DONOGHUE: Right. So there were

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 previous questions where, I think, Brett said that
2 we=ll ask. The Region=s been taking notes, and at
3 the annual assessment meeting, which is -- it is
4 scheduled for April.

5 MR. GRAY: Mel Gray, branch chief out of
6 NRC Region I office outside of Philadelphia. We are
7 having, I think it=s April B-

8 PARTICIPANT: April 24th.

9 MR. GRAY: -- 24th. It is an annual
10 assessment meeting. That=s broader than ASR,
11 although it=s been ASR-centric in discussions for the
12 past many years. And we bring enough folks that are
13 versant in what we know of the issues, and concerns,
14 and focus of the folks around here. So we would be
15 able to answer that.

16 I would say that our annual -- we do have
17 requirements for monitoring, and they=re very robust
18 requirements. And they=re put out annually every
19 year as to what the releases are from every nuclear
20 power plant. And that=s available on our web page.
21 We could get that for you. I think that --

22 MS. MBESSMER: No, I=m familiar with that.
23 I=m talking about in the communities themselves.

24 MR. GRAY: Right. We are -- our mission

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 is focused on the plant and safe operation of the
2 plant. And we make requirements to them to monitor
3 it. I'm aware that other states have chosen to do
4 something beyond that. That just isn't part of our
5 mission or our oversight. We oversee what's done in
6 the plant and not outside the fence.

7 MS. MESSMER: And then the last thing I'm
8 very concerned about is the first responders and
9 whether that sort of training has happened and how
10 that's going to be handled.

11 MR. GRAY: You know, we would be able to
12 answer that in April.

13 MS. MESSMER: Okay.

14 MR. GRAY: And it's not my expert area,
15 but that sounds like it would be a -- and I'm looking
16 at Justin, probably a FEMA, our sister agency. We
17 focus on emergency preparedness and capability in the
18 plant. And we work with our sister agency, FEMA, who
19 focuses on readiness outside the plant. And we reach
20 overall conclusions in coordination with them based
21 on drills. And so that would be where that -- that's
22 a FEMA role.

23 MS. MESSMER: So in April then, April
24 24th we'll hear about that?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. GRAY: April 24th, I'm taking notes.
2 I'll try to be able to answer that very shortly.

3 MS. BESSMER: Thank you very much. Yeah.

4 MR. DONOGHUE: Thank you, Mel. Thank you
5 for your questions.

6 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you. Next
7 up we have Philip Hurzder.

8 MR. HURZDER: My name is Phil Hurzder, I
9 live in Newburyport. I am a concerned citizen. I
10 have never derived any income or worked with any part
11 of the nuclear industry. So I think you can sort of
12 tell where I'm going to go from here.

13 I am very concerned about the safety
14 issues that C-10 has raised. For me though, the big,
15 I think, as one of the earlier speakers has mentioned,
16 I think that the disposal problem is, the long term
17 disposal problem is one in which I've yet to hear any
18 kind of a hope or a glimmer of a realistic solution
19 nationwide, including this issue.

20 And I've forgotten what the other point
21 was I was going to make. Thank you for your time.

22 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you.

23 So our last scheduled speaker, and we'll
24 talk about how we proceed with the rest of the meeting

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 after this, but our last speaker is Jack Van Loan,
2 last ticketed speaker. Jack Van Loan.

3 MR. VAN LOAN: It=s not hard, Van Loan.

4 MR. KLUKAN: Van Loan. My last name is
5 Klukan. Let me explain to you how I often -- so I
6 have some sympathy as well. My name is constantly
7 mispronounce as Klukan. But any, it=s like the sound
8 of a chicken. But anyway, go on, sorry.

9 MR. VAN LOAN: My name is Jack Van Loan,
10 I live at 4 F Street, Plum Island, Newburyport. I=m
11 also a Board member of C-10.

12 My original question was going to be on
13 Slide 6 and what the corrective actions are. But you
14 have touched on that. So I=d like to go to what=s
15 always been in the back of my mind is, driving up
16 Route 1, a massive double dome containment building.

17 At the assessment hearing three years
18 ago, >16 I think, I was told that the bedrock
19 foundation of the containment building was 21 feet
20 below mean sea level. If you add the other half of
21 the sea level, it=s about five feet up to ground
22 level, you=re approximately 30 feet down. And I
23 don=t know how wide it is, but in my mind that=s a
24 massive quantity of concrete, a lot of cubic yards.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 And is there any testing of all that
2 concrete or how many spots in the containment inside
3 and out are being monitored or observed? Or have you
4 recognized ASR?

5 MR. DONOGHUE: So your question is you
6 wanted to focus on the below grade concrete, and how
7 that=s monitored?

8 MR. VAN LOAN: Well --

9 MR. DONOGHUE: I just want to make sure
10 I got it.

11 MR. VAN LOAN: I want to go back to Willie
12 Sutton. He robbed banks, because that=s where the
13 money is. At Seabrook Station, where most of the
14 concrete is, it=s in and below the containment
15 building.

16

17 MR. DONOGHUE: Right, so how is that
18 monitored?

19 MR. VAN LOAN: Right.

20 MR. DONOGHUE: Okay. I don=t know the
21 answer. I=m going to ask the guys from the SER.

22 MS. BUFORD: So the actual bedrock is not
23 accessible. It=s underneath the foundation of the
24 containment, right. But there are accessible areas

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 that are below grade that have experienced ASR and
2 that are being monitored.

3 Those areas are not containment. They
4 are, you know, there are areas where there has been
5 water infiltration and areas where there hasn't been
6 water infiltration. To be honest, it doesn't seem to
7 make that big of a difference. There's really ASR
8 kind of everywhere.

9 As to the containment, you asked if it's
10 monitored or if there's been ASR identified. There
11 are a small handful of areas at containment that are
12 monitored, I want to say on the order of two to three
13 areas that are monitored under Tier 2 of the
14 monitoring where NextEra is monitoring those areas as
15 potentially suspect areas.

16 But, you know, in the years since they've
17 been looking to see if there's been any ASR movement
18 or additional cracking, to my understanding, they
19 haven't found any expansion in the containment area
20 or additional signs of ASR.

21 MR. VAN LOAN: Okay. One of my concerns
22 is that you have a very heavy dead load the farther
23 down you go down to bedrock.

24 MS. BUFORD: Yes.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. VAN LOAN: So you=ve got a lot of
2 stress on the concrete. And if you=ve got ASR down
3 there, and you can=t access it, you seemingly haven=t
4 done any excavations down to bedrock on the outside
5 and looked for symptoms.

6 MS. BUFORD: So to your point, there is
7 quite a large dead load on that concrete. And what
8 that load actually serves to do is to tighten the
9 cracks completely. You know, when you=ve got a
10 really B- so there=s really not a lot of ability for
11 that below grade concrete to expand and crack because
12 of the loading. It=s on it in all directions.

13 So we would be much less likely to find
14 ASR cracking in those heavily loaded below grade areas
15 than we would on areas that are more free to expand.
16 So, you know, in our review, that was acceptable on
17 the safety basis, because we think that the areas
18 that are able to freely expand are really bounding.

19 MR. VAN LOAN: So the higher the dead
20 load on a piece of concrete, the less possibility
21 there is for ASR? Or is there less possibility that
22 it=ll show up?

23 MS. BUFORD: It=s less possible for there
24 to be the cracking because of the ASR. But that=s

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 really -B if there=s no cracking, then there=s not an
2 issue with the structure to be able to perform its
3 function, right.

4 So really, the expansion is the concern.
5 So if there=s no expansion -- there may be alkalis,
6 and silicas, and water, so there=s the environment
7 for ASR to occur. But there=s less of a concern for
8 that to affect structural functionality, because the
9 cracking is really restrained by the dead load on top
10 and then by the loads up the hoop, you know, restraints
11 on the side. Because it is bedrock.

12 MR. VAN LOAN: But I=m not scientist, I=m
13 not an engineer, but if you have ASR expansion gels,
14 and your containing it because of dead weight, that
15 doesn=t mean that there=s not ASR there. It=s just
16 that you can=t see it.

17 MS. BUFORD: Right. But the concern is
18 not B- it=s not the ASR itself rather than the
19 structural functionality. So if the ASR doesn=t have
20 an opportunity to manifest itself in cracking and
21 expansion, then the concern for impact to the function
22 is low, right.

23 Because you=re not causing rebar stresses
24 that, you know -- and you=re not causing a lack of

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 adherence between the concrete and the steel, you
2 know. So the expansion is just not able to B-

3 MR. VAN LOAN: At Ferguson, was there any
4 simulation of a high dead load on ASR concrete?

5 MS. BUFORD: Was there any simulation on
6 a high dead load on concrete?

7 MR. VAN LOAN: With ASR in it.

8 MS. BUFORD: Well, yes. And actually,
9 the simulation was large scale testing. Well, there
10 was actual load testing on the dead, and live, and
11 all of the loads that the Seabrook structures are
12 designed to.

13 And then also in some of the structural
14 analytical analyses, in all of the analytical
15 analyses, the loading combinations that are part of
16 the, including high dead loads, were applied to
17 buildings that received a full scale structural
18 analysis such as the containment enclosure building.
19 That=s a good example of one.

20 MR. VAN LOAN: Okay.

21 MS. BUFORD: So yes. That was a long
22 answer to say yes.

23 MR. VAN LOAN: Okay. I won=t go into my
24 theories, because it=s to say I don=t have any

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 education or PhDs behind me. Thank you.

2 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you very much.

3 Okay, so as I just noted, and I apologize
4 again if I mispronounced your name, Mr. Van Loan. I
5 hope I didn't just do it again.

6 We've now exhausted the pool of people
7 who pre-registered to speak. So I'm going have to do
8 two surveys. One, is there anyone who has not
9 previously spoken tonight who would like to speak
10 this evening, please raise your hand?

11 MR. KLUKAN: Okay, we have 15 minutes.
12 Oh, we have one person. Please.

13 MR. DONOGHUE: As you come to the mic,
14 ma'am, don't forget to state your name, okay?

15 MS. SKIBBEE: Oh, my name is Patricia
16 Skibbee, and I serve on the Board of C-10. I just
17 want to make sure everybody is aware that the testing
18 that this nice person has been talking about was
19 testing that's done at the Ferguson Structural
20 Engineering Labs at the University of Texas.

21 So these pieces of concrete that she's
22 been talking about that were tested are not part of
23 Seabrook. They are made up samples in Texas. And
24 further, who's doing this testing? It's not the NRC.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 It=s two engineering firms that NextEra chose and
2 paid for. So I think, here again, we have a fox
3 guarding the hen house problem. And I think that
4 that should not be happening.

5 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you.

6 (Applause.)

7 MR. KLUKAN: Okay. Anyone else who has
8 not, can ask for more time, anyone else who has not
9 previously spoken and would like to speak tonight?

10 (No response.)

11 MR. KLUKAN: Okay. Next question. Who
12 here who has previously spoken would like to speak
13 again?

14 Okay, we=re going to go in the order in
15 which you signed up. So Mr. Blanch (phonetic) first.
16 Or no, Comley, excuse me. I apologize. And then we
17 have 11 minutes. We=ll see what we can get through,
18 and then go from there, okay. So I=m going to give
19 you each three minutes apiece again.

20 MR. COMLEY: Well, first of all, I wish
21 I had known that, you know, the time stops when you
22 ask a question. Because I had some pretty important
23 questions I wanted to ask.

24 And one of them, I spoke with you B- is

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 it okay if I call you Joe?

2 MR. DONOGHUE: Absolutely, yes.

3 MR. COMLEY: Okay. I told you about
4 right now there=s gag orders on the Massachusetts
5 state police and on New Hampshire National Guard that
6 they can have no opinion on whether the plant can be
7 evacuated during the summer months.

8 And I=ve gone to a lot of work with these
9 packets, you know, to save you time. Because I didn=t
10 want to bring up a lot of things. But how do you
11 feel about gag orders on first responders?

12 MR. DONOGHUE: I=m not in a position to
13 comment on that. I=m learning about this really for
14 the first time since I=ve met you. So I=m not in a
15 position to comment on that.

16 MR. COMLEY: Well, there is. And we=ve
17 got -B there=s six towns in Massachusetts within the
18 ten mile radius of the plant. And five of those
19 towns have asked the NRC and FEMA to hold a hearing
20 for first responders so they can testify whether they
21 believe the plant can be evacuated.

22 And that video tape, you know, I really
23 want all three of you, all four of you, to look at
24 that video tape. And I=d like to have comments on

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 what you think.

2 Because, you know, I=ve been a business
3 person pretty much all my life. And we=ve got a
4 double standard for the Seabrook plant. Because
5 every hospital, nursing home, and business has to
6 obey the laws 365 days a year.

7 Now, if you find out, after you=ve looked
8 at this video tape, if you find out it=s in question
9 whether they can evacuate that place safely, and if
10 you look at -- the traffic is at a standstill,
11 hundreds of thousands of cars out there.

12 And we=ve asked Maura Healey, she=s
13 looking into it. She=s the Massachusetts Attorney
14 General. And so right now -- and by the way, all the
15 schools within the ten mile radius, I=ve talked to
16 the students and talked to teachers, they have not
17 had legitimate nuclear drills. They=ve had paper
18 drills which are dog and pony shows.

19 I=ve talked to 200,000 first B- 200 first
20 responders. And they want this hearing. You
21 certainly wouldn=t object to having a B- to be in
22 favor of a first responder hearing. Because those
23 families have B- they have families too.

24 And I=ve worked on this for four years.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 And we=ve got these towns, and we=ve got also Hampton
2 Falls now. And we need that hearing. And, I mean,
3 I want to prove that democracy still works in this
4 country. And we need your help to do that.

5 And I=ve been investigating the NRC for
6 a long time. And my own opinion is if you license
7 that -B if you give them that license, you know what
8 you=re going to prove, what I=ve been saying a long
9 time. The Agency is nothing more than a rubber stamp
10 for the issues of the nuclear industry.

11 Here we are, what do we do with a school
12 bus when it fully depreciates? The owners of the
13 plant, the construction B- the architects of the plant
14 said shut it down after 2030. Now we=re extending
15 the license, even considering it to 2050? We don=t
16 let school buses take our kids around when they=re
17 fully depreciated.

18 MR. DONOGHUE: So Mr. --

19 MR. Comley: And I=ll tell you, when you
20 look at those packets, I want you to look at every
21 one of the. And I want responses from you, because
22 I brought this up about the gag orders last year.
23 And every one of the panel has told me they were going
24 to get to me. They never did. I want to know why.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. DONOGHUE: Okay.

2 MR. KILUKAN: Thank you very much.

3 MR. DONOGHUE: I can=t answer that.
4 Thanks, thanks for your concern. Are the requests
5 for hearing part of your packet? You said there have
6 been requests sent to the NRC?

7 MR. COMLEY: Oh, yeah, five out of six of
8 the towns within the ten, FEMA too.

9 MR. DONOGHUE: So the requests are in
10 here?

11 MR. COMLEY: Yeah.

12 MR. DONOGHUE: I haven=t looked at this,
13 I haven=t had any opportunity.

14 MR. COMLEY: Yeah, the towns are in
15 there.

16 MR. DONOGHUE: Okay, okay. The other
17 thing I want to just address that you said was, you
18 know, the re-licensing of the plant, the original
19 license of the plant, based on 40 years, was based on
20 whatever information that the NRC required at that
21 time.

22 Now, when ASR was discovered, I think I
23 mentioned this earlier, that was at the beginning of
24 the license renewal review. The license renewal

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 review, except for ASR, the ASR issue, was complete
2 back in 2012.

3 So really, if the Agency was to be, as
4 you put it, a rubber stamp, we may have found a way,
5 but we did not. We did not come up with a way to re-
6 license the plant without the ASR issue resolved. So
7 since 2012, we have not given them a renewed license
8 until we were satisfied, and that was last year, that
9 they've addressed ASR.

10 MR. COMLEY: But the evacuation plan has
11 got to be legitimate. And if they can't evacuate the
12 people in time and safely, that's a violation.

13 (Off-microphone comments.)

14 MR. DONOGHUE: We have other people that,
15 I think, wanted to be able to come to the mic as well.

16 MR. COMLEY: So I'd like you to look at
17 the material and get back to me. I really appreciate
18 it. I want your own opinion after you've looked at
19 this video. And I don't know if anyone in this room
20 would, you know, if you want a copy of the video, I
21 got it here.

22 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you very much.

23 MR. COMLEY: Thank you.

24 MR. DONOGHUE: Who was next?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. KLUKAN: What was your name again?

2 MR. MCNEIL: Colby.

3 MR. KLUKAN: We'll do it in the order in
4 which they were received. Mr. Nord, Nord?

5 MR. NORD: Yes.

6 MR. KLUKAN: You are up next.

7 MR. NORD: All right. Well, I would have
8 appreciated knowing that if I had asked a question I
9 could have taken ten minutes instead of three.

10 MR. KLUKAN: Well, let me stop you right
11 there.

12 MR. NORD: No, you've done plenty of
13 talking. I will take my time.

14 MR. KLUKAN: I think it's important B-
15 (Simultaneous speaking)

16 MR. KLUKAN: I will give you your three
17 minutes.

18 MR. NORD: This has been a very unfair
19 use of facilitation, I have to say. Because I
20 represent the organization that caused this meeting
21 to happen. And I did not have a chance to actually
22 finish my presentation.

23 You know, it's not fair if you're going
24 to tell people that it's only three minutes. And

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 then you know what, you end up letting people stand
2 and speak for more than six minutes on issues that
3 don=t even pertain to the cause of this meeting. Can
4 I get on with my comments, please?

5 MR. KLUKAN: I think it=s important to
6 address that. And then I will give you B- we will go
7 over in time out of fairness to everyone involved.
8 Normally, I said at the beginning of my instructions,
9 I don=t usually allow back and forth. Because it
10 does then expand out.

11 However, because there=s only so much I
12 can do as facilitator when people are posing questions
13 relevant to the meeting at hand. Most of the
14 questions, example, a member of your own group posed
15 questions relevant to degradation of concrete next to
16 the base rock.

17 I thought that was relevant to this
18 meeting, so I allowed him, a member of C-10, to
19 continue those questions even though he was, in terms
20 of how much he was speaking, past his three minute
21 mark.

22 I appreciate that you wanted to give a
23 presentation. And I=ll give you that opportunity
24 now. I just wanted to explain to you my methodology

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 out of fairness to everyone in this room.

2 So, to try to complete -- I think a huge
3 point that needs to be understood at this meeting is
4 that the reason C-10 has filed its contingency that
5 we're accepted by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission
6 for litigation is because the License Amendment
7 Request upon which the License Renewal Application
8 depends is not robust. It is not going to result in
9 good monitoring of the degradation of concreted
10 Seabrook. And we have experts -- world class experts
11 in concrete structural scientists -- concrete
12 structural science to back up that assertion, a number
13 of them. So that's the first point.

14 The second point, these concrete
15 scientists that we're bringing represent the only
16 independent peer review that the License Amendment
17 Request is going to receive. Because the Nuclear
18 Regulatory Commission allowed NextEra to call their
19 new unprecedented system for testing proprietary.
20 That has meant that no other scientists that are
21 outside the industry or the Nuclear Regulatory
22 Commission have had a chance to actually check the
23 methodology. The methodology is not good. Just
24 because NRC staff says it's good, does not mean that

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 it has been properly and fairly and independently
2 reviewed, second point.

3 Third point, just because you all have
4 the power to make this rule -- to make this ruling,
5 I should say on licensed renewal -- license amendment
6 from within your own system and then license renewal,
7 which depends on license amendment -- Just because
8 you can do that, does not mean that you should do
9 that. Because doing that is a violation of the
10 democratic process. And in New England, we stand
11 firmly in favor of the democratic process.

12 It is irrational in fact, and that's why
13 it's been so difficult for you to explain it -- It's
14 irrational that there is some system in place by which
15 even though there are standing contentions before the
16 NRC Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, somehow the
17 Nuclear Regulatory Commission feels they have the
18 authority to issue a ruling on that same license
19 amendment upon which license renewal depends in order
20 to grant the license of the license renewal.

21 There's no reason that NRC needs to do
22 that because you have 11 years left on your license.
23 The reason that, that system was set in place is
24 because of the Three Mile Island accident and the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 fact that after TMI -- and I hope you'll give me a
2 chance to finish this concept -- after the Three Mile
3 Island accident, there was a challenge to venting
4 steam -- radioactive steam from the reactor
5 containment. And that challenge ended up impeding
6 the license renewal for Three Mile Island. And so
7 NRC put in place this rule that means that you can
8 take this step without dealing with citizens founded
9 contentions that affect -- that may affect that
10 license.

11 But in this case, you have 11 years before
12 the license renewal. There is no reason for you to
13 take that action independent of the Atomic Safety and
14 Licensing Board's decision to grant contentions to C-
15 10. So we're asking you in terms of fairness and in
16 terms of the democratic process for this area, that
17 you don't do the thing that you can do. And instead,
18 do the thing that ethically you should do. Thank
19 you.

20 MR. KLUKAN: So there were one or two --
21 Well wait, it's 9 o'clock, which is the scheduled end
22 of the meeting. So who else wanted to make secondary
23 comments?

24 MR. DUARTE: I just want to give that to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 him please.

2 MR. KLUKAN: Sure, of course. All right,
3 so --

4 PARTICIPANT: I'm just going to be really
5 quick.

6 MR. KLUKAN: Sure.

7 PARTICIPANT: I'd like to really thank
8 the NRC. I really value your patience. I don't know
9 how I would ever put up with this. It's just an
10 incredible organization. And I feel like our
11 politicians that have done this are faulty because
12 why don't they question the CDC? Why don't they
13 question the FAA? It's just the NRC. It is. It's
14 just the NRC. They should -- maybe the vaccine people
15 should start saying don't vaccinate. There's really
16 something wrong with all the vaccines. You know?
17 But anyways, I really thank you.

18 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you. Okay, so out of
19 fairness because I did let C-10 talk longer, who else
20 would like to speak? Raise your hand right now. So
21 I have one, two, three, four. And then that's it.
22 Okay? So if you didn't raise your hand right now,
23 we're going to -- once those four have spoken again,
24 line up in the order -- it doesn't really matter

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 because you're all going to get a chance to speak.
2 So line up at the mic or you know, amongst yourselves.
3 And then after that, we're going to close the meeting.

4 MR. KURK: Thank you. And I really do
5 have to appreciate the NRC and everything you do. I
6 have read your reports. I have looked at this issue
7 in depth. I understand the complexities of the
8 engineering. I understand the complexities of the
9 procedures that you are bound by and what works. And
10 I'm also a human being. I'm not paid to be here. I
11 am here because I'm very concerned about the future
12 of the planet. I've come here on my own dollar --
13 on my own dime. And when you sort of make comments
14 that imply that anyone that has a different view than
15 yours is paid here, that's rather dehumanizing.

16 And there are solutions. There's
17 solutions to all the claims that the Anti-Nuclear
18 Movement comes up with. And you just have to get out
19 of your echo chamber to learn about them as I did --
20 breeder reactors. Thank you.

21 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you very much. Could
22 you state your name one more time just for the
23 transcript?

24 MR. KURK: Colby Kurk.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you very much.

2 PARTICIPANT: Current resident of
3 Ipswich, Massachusetts, served by Seabrook Power
4 Plant. I've looked up the numbers. The electricity
5 coming into my house comes from -- or a portion of it
6 comes from Seabrook. And I would not be afraid to
7 live right next to this plant because I understand
8 how safe it is.

9 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you very much.

10 MR. BOGEN: I'm Doug Bogen with Seacoast
11 Anti-Pollution League. Since the law was asked or
12 mentioned about flooding, I'd like to point out that
13 I have been to several meetings in the past including
14 the first one that was held on the scoping of the ASR
15 issue. And I raised the issues of sea level rise and
16 changes in ground water. We were told at the time
17 by from the NRC that the water was fresh water then.
18 I don't know eight years later, is it becoming more
19 brackish -- will it become brackish or more saline in
20 the next 10, 20, 30 years? We haven't heard any
21 response on these issues.

22 There was a study done after Fukushima of
23 course. There was a lot of emphasis certainly on the
24 issues of flooding, extreme weather, storm surge, et

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 cetera. And I had an opportunity to peruse that
2 study to some degree, which was issued last year, I
3 believe. And yes, the plant is not within the design
4 basis, I believe is how you put it, for this extreme
5 weather. The worst impacts of climate change and sea
6 level rise and so forth. And the recommended
7 solutions were some doorstops and issuing of
8 sandbags.

9 I really wonder whether you think you can
10 hold back the ocean and sea level rise and climate
11 disruption with sandbags. So I would encourage you
12 to look again at that. We should have a public
13 hearing on that issue because it's a serious issue
14 here on the seacoast. Every other seacoast community
15 is looking very hard at the impacts of climate change.
16 And we should know what affect it's going to have on
17 the plant and its operations. And on the chemistry
18 that affects ASR. So that would be one question.

19 I guess just since I don't have much time
20 and we're getting done here, I just ask given what
21 you know now from the monitoring that's been done;
22 eight years of research and so forth, can you assure
23 the public that in 20, 30 years' time, this plant
24 will continue to be safe to operate? And if you

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 can't do that, 100 percent, why are you issuing a re-
2 license? And won't we just be re-visiting this in
3 ten or 20 years?

4 I feel like it's been Groundhog Day. I
5 know it was a couple weeks ago. But I get the sense
6 we keep asking the same questions. And we either get
7 the same answer or no answer at all. And I think the
8 public would really like to know some of these
9 answers, which I understand you can't answer here.
10 But somebody should be here in April to answer those
11 questions.

12 MR. DONOGHUE: Okay, on the -- on the
13 safety evaluation for ASR and for the license renewal,
14 I'm going to tell you that the staff's come to the
15 conclusion that the plant can be operated safety based
16 on what we know. And what the monitoring programs
17 intend to do. And as I said before, we'll be
18 inspecting those programs over the life of the plant.
19 Okay?

20 The other issues yes, I'm not going to
21 try to address those now, but you should have
22 assurance that the Agency's done a thorough review
23 here. There's programs that are going to be in place.
24 And when the license is renewed, those requirements

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 will be in the license.

2 MR. BOGEN: Well again, on the issue of
3 flooding, I don't have assurance on that. We haven't
4 gotten the answers. And I really encourage you to
5 hold a more intensive public meeting on that whenever
6 you can, hopefully before the license is reissued.
7 Thank you.

8 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you.

9 MR. DONOGHUE: There were two more
10 people, right?

11 MR. KLUKAN: Two more people. You can
12 decide amongst yourselves.

13 MS. CAPRA: Hi, Cathryn Capra from
14 Georgetown again. Thank you so much. This has been
15 very informative and I've enjoyed being here.

16 (Off-microphone comment.)

17 MS. CAPRA: Oh, sure. I did have a
18 follow-up question when we talked about ASR that you
19 know, it can't be corrected or reversed, but that
20 repairs had been done, I think you mentioned -- and
21 I was curious -- you said in one or more of the
22 structures, what those repairs were and why they were
23 made? Was that correct? Did I hear you correctly?

24 MS. BUFORD: Yes, so the monitoring

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 program that we reviewed and approved -- Oh, I'm
2 sorry, it includes if there is an area where, you
3 know, the program requires corrective actions. Then
4 there have been at least one instance that I can pull
5 from the top of my head where the ASR had caused some
6 movement between buildings that caused like an
7 elastomeric joint seal to need to be replaced. You
8 know, the requirement was for there to be a joint
9 seal there.

10 PARTICIPANT: Like a rubber joint.

11 MS. BUFORD: Like a rubber joint seal
12 between, you know --

13 MS. CAPRA: Oh, okay.

14 MS. BUFORD: For seismic reasons in some
15 areas, there are elastomeric joints.

16 MS. CAPRA: Okay.

17 MS. BUFORD: And because of the ASR issue
18 -- And I recall in one area that the joint had come
19 apart. That needed to get repaired. That was all
20 just part of, you know, carrying out the program. So
21 we've seen that the program not only monitors, but
22 performs corrective actions in a timely and safe
23 manner. That's an example.

24 MS. CAPRA: Thank you and I just had one

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 more question. I'd love to communicate with you guys
2 more, but I'm just -- when you talked about monitoring
3 and the safety monitor -- it was like NextEra or
4 somebody would do an inspection every three to six
5 months for safety, I didn't hear that right.

6 MS. BUFORD: So the monitoring programs
7 -- Well safety is an underlying basis for all of this.

8 MS. CAPRA: Right, right. I just got
9 confused.

10 MS. BUFORD: Yes, so -- And I apologize
11 if I was the one that caused that confusion. But the
12 areas are monitored as often as every six months up
13 to three years, depending on the -- what has been
14 observed -- the ASR symptoms that have been observed
15 to date. And those monitoring frequencies are
16 subject to change if and when ASR becomes more severe
17 in an area, it will be monitored more frequently.
18 And we've verified that those monitoring frequencies
19 are adequate to detect any sort of expansion that
20 would cause the need for a corrective action. And
21 you know six months is a really short frequency.

22 MS. CAPRA: I was going to say three
23 years sounds like a long time.

24 MS. BUFORD: Well so three years is for

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the areas that are -- that the ASR severity is very
2 low.

3 MS. CAPRA: Okay, okay.

4 MS. BUFORD: And it's the maximum time
5 for any area where ASR's been detected. So those are
6 areas where, you know, whether it's barely
7 recognizable up to, you know --

8 MS. CAPRA: Right, like a Tier 1 or Stage
9 1.

10 MS. BUFORD: Like a Tier 1, that's
11 exactly right.

12 MS. CAPRA: Oh, okay. So a Tier 3 would
13 be more like the six months?

14 MS. BUFORD: The six months. Tier 3--

15 MS. CAPRA: Got you.

16 MS. BUFORD: -- is every six months.

17 MS. CAPRA: Okay.

18 MS. BUFORD: Yes and Tier 2 is every 18
19 months.

20 MS. CAPRA: Okay. Thank you for
21 clarifying that. And who would be doing that
22 monitoring, the NRC or NextEra:?

23 MS. BUFORD: NextEra is responsible for
24 carrying out their program. That's why we're --

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 That's a part of their license amendment. That's going
2 to be a requirement for the remainder of the license.
3 But the NRC does focused inspections on the program,
4 so we'll continue to do those to make sure that there
5 is an independent verification that the licensee is
6 carrying out the program in accordance with their
7 license.

8 MS. CAPRA: Okay. But those will be two
9 separate things?

10 MS. BUFORD: Yes. Yes, us inspecting
11 the program as they're carrying it out. We're doing
12 it -- We're inspecting to make sure they're doing
13 what their license requires.

14 MS. CAPRA: Okay. Okay, great.

15 MS. BUFORD: Yes.

16 MS. CAPRA: Thank you.

17 MR. KLUKAN: Thank you. All right, our
18 last speaker. And if you would, just start with your
19 name again. I'm sorry.

20 MR. WOODWARD: William Woodard, Durham,
21 New Hampshire. I just had a follow-up question.
22 What is -- well, what have we learned from Indian
23 Head? The state of New York brought a law suit --

24 PARTICIPANT: Indian Point.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. WOODWARD: Indian Point, excuse me.
2 Indian Point, because there was a suspicion that the
3 bolts were degraded. And so I know this is not
4 related -- or maybe it is related to concrete. But
5 I want to know what you've learned from the fact that
6 a high percentage of the bolts were so degraded that
7 they had to shut down Indian Point and repair them?
8 But it took a lawsuit by the state of New York to get
9 them to do that. So have we learned anything from
10 that for New Hampshire?

11 MR. DONOGHUE: So I think you're
12 referring to the baffle-former bolts. Right? Okay.
13 I'll say something and then I'm going to hand the mic
14 over. That issue is something that's now well enough
15 known that the industry has taken some steps. The
16 Indian Point specifics I don't recall. I wasn't part
17 of that activity. But I mean as far as what we've
18 learned as you know, how to address and correct
19 material problems -- Is that -- I just want to make
20 sure we're clear on the question.

21 PARTICIPANT: Maybe regular servicing of
22 the bolts to see if there's a problem.

23 MR. DONOGHUE: Okay, so I know there's
24 inspections that are conducted and service

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 inspections that are conducted to make sure that those
2 bolts -- the integrity of those bolts are
3 satisfactory. Is there anything that you can add to
4 that?

5 (Off-microphone comment.)

6 PARTICIPANT: Indian Point, my
7 inspectors did -- we also inspected Indian Point.
8 And you're referring to baffle-former bolts. They
9 are bolts that hold these plates around the core.
10 And we have -- we have requirements and commitments
11 from the industry that they must examine the internals
12 around the reactor. And indeed because of our
13 oversight, these bolts were found at Indian Point and
14 another plant to have more than expected head cracks.
15 But that is the reason we have a program, that they
16 need to examine these bolts. And when we found more
17 that were cracked than expected, we expected the
18 licensees to adjust their programs and to replace
19 them.

20 So the state of New York, I think they
21 did enter with an agreement, but that's not because
22 of -- We have our requirements and I'm aware that
23 there was an agreement with the state, but that's
24 neither here nor there for us. And so what we've

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 learned is that we need to ensure that our oversight
2 continues and that are our requirements are met.
3 That's probably our -- that's what we've learned.

4 MR. DONOGHE: Thank you. Thank you very
5 much, Mel.

6 MR. WOODWARD:: I have one more question.
7 What's Plan B? What's Plan B for ASR if in the future
8 with the monitoring -- over the next 20 some years if
9 we discover more ASR problems?

10 MR. DONOGHUE: Okay, so for Seabrook,
11 part of the monitoring program is to monitor the other
12 structures in the plant. And to monitor the
13 progression and the existing ASR and if there's
14 appearance of ASR in other parts of the plant. That's
15 my understanding.

16 As far as the industry, I think -- Well
17 an information notice was issued when this first came
18 to light at Seabrook to tell the whole industry about
19 it. So they have to be monitoring their plants. And
20 if they -- if they find ASR, they're going to have to
21 take some steps. But this is the only plant in the
22 country that has ASR effect in its structures.

23 PARTICIPANT: Have we remediated? Is it
24 possible to remediate?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. DONOGHUE: Well I think Angie pointed
2 out that there can be repairs that might be required
3 to maintain the structural integrity and the ability
4 for plants to perform their functions. So that's the
5 extent of it. You can do repairs to make sure that
6 the structures can do what they're intended to do.
7 There's no magic potion that I'm aware of that, you
8 know, you can use to get rid of the ASR. You just
9 have to learn how to monitor it and deal with it.

10 MS. BUFORD: And I'll just add that you
11 know, they're going to need to monitor ASR just like
12 they're going to need to monitor every other aging
13 effect through the extended operating period. And
14 if there's something that they come across, whether
15 it be ASR or anything else that is -- that challenges
16 the ability for any structure or component to perform
17 its function, they're required to act. You know, so
18 it's one of, you know, a lot of lot things they need
19 to look for. And this is just, you know, one more
20 thing that Seabrook needs to look for that other
21 plants don't.

22 PARTICIPANT: Thank you. That's
23 reassuring.

24 MR. DONOGHUE: Thank you. Before Brett

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 closes the meeting, I just want to say a few words.
2 First of all, those of you who are still here, thank
3 you for your perseverance. And for all of you that
4 commented -- provided your comments, we really
5 appreciate it. That's why we're here.

6 At the onset of the meeting, I said as
7 clearly as I could, that we don't think we
8 communicated our decision on when to issue these
9 licensing actions clearly enough. So that's why
10 we're here is to address that and to hear your
11 concerns. I think we heard a wide range of concerns.
12 We heard opinions, certainly on both sides, whether
13 for or against the plant. But we heard concerns
14 about the licensing actions that are before us that
15 are the subject of the meeting.

16 We heard about other issues that were
17 brought up. And we've accepted material. We've
18 taken notes from the concerns that we've heard about.
19 And the Agency is committed to address your concerns.
20 We're committed to the safe operation of this and all
21 facilities and the handling of all radioactive
22 material across the country. And you know, that's
23 our job. That's our central mission. So we're doing
24 that. We're trying our best to communicate how we're

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 doing that and to assure you as much as we can that
2 we've achieving that mission. We'll answer your
3 questions in other forums just like this as much as
4 we can to alleviate your concerns.

5 Besides thanking you who participated in
6 the meeting, that was the bulk of the meeting. That's
7 why we're here. I do want to thank the law
8 enforcement officials that are still here. Thank you
9 very much for being here. I appreciate your
10 attention. Maybe you learned about concrete because
11 I certainly have in the last two years, a lot more
12 than I did when I poured some in my backyard.

13 Okay, so there's going to be a transcript
14 of the meeting. There's going to be a meeting
15 summary. I think there was a slide up here that I
16 almost blew by, but Justin stopped me, that had some
17 links on it -- the documents that you can reference.
18 And again, thank you for your participation. Brett.

19 MR. KLUKAN: So I don't have much more
20 to add to that other than I would also like to
21 specifically mention Andrea. She was the one manning
22 the registration desk. Without her assistance, this
23 meeting -- or her -- I shouldn't say assistance --
24 without her hard work in planning for this meeting,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 this meeting would not have happened. So I just
2 wanted to especially thank her while I have the
3 microphone on.

4 And so thank you all for coming. I think
5 we have feedback forms on the table. If not, they
6 are located on the NRC website. We are always
7 interested to know on how you think our meetings are
8 going and what we can do to improve. And so with
9 that, I'll say thank you very much.

10 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
11 was concluded at 9:21 p.m.)

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701