

From: [Lois McNulty](#)
To: [CHAIRMAN Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Caution on SEABROOK
Date: Monday, February 25, 2019 9:00:46 AM

Dear Chairman Svinicki and NRC Commissioners:

The "R" in NRC is for REGULATORY, not Rubber Stamp!

As a neighbor to Seabrook Station nuclear power plant, I am writing to urge you to seriously consider the Emergency Petition filed by C-10 on February 13.

I urge you to take no further action on the requested (not assumed!) license amendment or extension until the full resolution of the contentions brought by C-10 relative to the plant's degraded concrete.

C-10 has raised serious concerns about CONCRETE DEGRADATION in the foundation of the plant! There are documented concerns about the ability of Seabrook's concrete to continue protecting the public, and the inadequacy of the testing and analysis that underpin Seabrook's concrete aging management plans.

C-10 is preparing for a hearing granted by NRC's Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, where they will provide the only independent peer review of the concrete testing methodology behind the plant's license amendment request, which is based on a completely unprecedented approach to monitoring and managing alkali-silica reaction at a nuclear reactor.

We, the people, have only YOU to protect us. Now is the time for you to step up and do your job.

The seriousness of this matter demands more caution, independent review and transparency than it has received. With 11 more years on Seabrook's current operating license, there is time for the NRC to do it right. We demand that you, as safety overseers, allow the democratic process to proceed. While NRC representatives have stated that C-10 will get their hearing later this year, we urge you to *wait* on any rulings relative to the plant's license amendment request, or license extension, until C-10's contentions are heard and evaluated by the ASLB. On behalf of my neighbors, thank you.

Sincerely,

Lois A. McNulty

Ipswich, MA