

United States Senate

May 7, 1975

Re: Mrs. Richard Horner, Jr.
Main Street
Hancock's Bridge, N. J. 08038

Respectfully referred to
Congressional Liaison
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

for such consideration as the communication
herewith submitted may warrant, and for a report
thereon, in duplicate to accompany return of
inclosure.

By direction of

Clifford P. Case

U. S. S.

CPC/vr

8689

Rec'd Off. Div. 1
Date 5/13/75
Time 11:15

H2
py

Dear Sir:

The enclosed disturbs me!

These are initial procedures and guarantees for every Nuclear Plant. If these can be waived in order to expedite what can the public expect from any SAFEGUARD REGULATION?

This plant has been under construction for 8 yrs. I cannot believe the utility did not know they were not training a man to hold the proper license or could not hire one.

This type of action does not help allay any fears that the N.R.C. assures us they are "safeguarding". Can we assure people the "experts" will be running the plant? Can we stop this flagrant use of people's rights? Sincerely, Mr. Richard HORNER JR.

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

MAR 25 1975

DOCKET NOS. 50-272
and 50-311

APPLICANT: Public Service Electric & Gas Company

FACILITY: Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1 and 2

MEETING OF MARCH 20, 1975 REGARDING THE PLANT STAFF AT THE TIME OF
INITIAL STARTUP OF THE SALEM NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION

Representatives of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's staff met with representatives of Public Service Electric and Gas Company (PSE&G) to discuss the plant staff in regard to organization at the time of initial core loading of the Salem Nuclear Generating Station.


An attendance list is attached.

Significant points discussed are summarized below.

The applicant advised us that there will be 44 people assigned to the Salem startup organization including four startup specialists from Westinghouse. They also advised us that they plan to have 15 people with cold senior operating licenses and 20 people with hot operating licenses.

In order to expedite the construction and startup program at the Salem Station, PSE&G has assigned the Chief Engineer, Operations, the responsibility of directing all startup activities. The applicant advised us that because of this assignment, the Chief Engineer, Operations, could not complete his training to become a candidate for a license as a senior operator. Therefore, they requested that the requirement for the Chief Engineer, Operations, to hold a senior operator license be waived for a period of eighteen months. They also stated that the individual previously designated as the Operating Engineer was reassigned to the position of Master Mechanic.

We advised the applicant that the individual newly assigned to the position of Operating Engineer will not have the depth of experience to permit us to waive the Senior Reactor Operator license requirements for the Chief Engineer, Operations. However, we did state that if the position were to be filled by a man having the qualifications equal to the man previously assigned as Operating Engineer, we could waive this requirement for eighteen months.



The applicant then proposed to provide backup to the position of Operating Engineer with the individual previously assigned as Operating Engineer. This individual would provide backup for at least a six month period starting with fuel loading or until 50% of rated power is achieved. PSE&G also stated that the Maintenance Supervisor would be the acting Master Mechanic during this period.

We advised the applicant that on this basis, we believe the requirement that the Chief Engineer, Operations have a senior operator license could be waived for a period of eighteen months.

A. W. Dromerick

A. W. Dromerick, Project Manager
Light Water Reactors Project Branch 1-1
Division of Reactor Licensing

Attachment:
Attendance list