OPENING REMARKS

Frederick Brown
Director

Office of New Reactors

Public Meeting
Proposed Updates to NUREG-0800
October 22, 2018



OVERVIEW

Andrew C. Campbell, PhD Deputy Director

Division of Licensing, Siting, and Environmental Analysis

Office of New Reactors

Public Meeting
Proposed Updates to NUREG-0800
October 22, 2018



Today's Meeting



- Review Scope of Proposed Changes to Four NUREG-0800 Standard Review Plan (SRP) Sections
- Scope of Proposed Changes Summarized in September 28, 2018, Federal Register (FR) Notices
 - Hydrology and Meteorology (83 FR 49132)
 - Surface Deformation (83 FR 49139)
- Chapter 2.4 SRPs Selected as a Test Case for Risk-Informed/Performance-Based (RI/PB) Revisions

SRP Lessons-Learned



- Recent Application of NUREG-0800 the Standard Review Plan
 - Early Site Permit (ESP) and Combined Operating License (COL)
 Reviews
 - 5 ESPs & 8 COLs (issued)
 - 2012 §50.54(f) Information Request Following the Fukushima-Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant Accident
 - Encompassed seismic and flooding re-evaluations at about 60 Reactor Sites
- Staff Identified Opportunities for Improving the Efficiency and Effectiveness of NRC's Regulatory Review Process
 - Lessons Learned from extensive licensing actions and reviews
 - Expanded use of RI-PB principles
 - More focused Review Criteria

Desired Outcomes



- Begin Dialogue with Stakeholders
 - Seek comments on general approach to SRP updates
 - Seek feedback on proposed RI/PB revisions to SRP Chapter 2.4
 - Seek recommendations on how RI/PB approaches can be expanded to other SRP Chapters/Sections
 - Seek recommendations on modifications to SRP format itself.
- Discuss Potential Future SRP Updates to Sections 2.3,
 2.4, and 2.5 [Calendar Years (CY) 2019 and 2020]



Current SRP Update Team



Hydrology	Meteorology	Geology	Project Management
H. Ahn, PhD	R.B. Harvey	L.M. Bauer	J.P. Hoellman
S. Devlin-Gill, PhD	M.D. Mazaika*	G.L. Stirewalt, PhD	M.D. Notich
J.F. Giacinto		J-M. Thompson*	J.K. Rankin
M.P. Lee, PhD*			
N.D. Tiruneh, PhD			

^{*} Presenter Today



Today's Agenda



- Hydrology SRP Chapter 2.4 Updates (Tsunami Hazards and Channel Migration)
 - Mike Lee
- Surface Deformation Update: SRP Section 2.5.3
 - Jenise Thompson
- Onsite Meteorological Monitoring Program Update: SRP Section 2.3.3
 - Mike Mazaika



HYDROLOGY: SRP CHAPTER 2.4

Michael P. Lee, PhD Senior Hydrologist

Hydrology and Meteorology Branch
Division of Licensing, Siting, and Environmental Analysis
Office of New Reactors

Public Meeting
Proposed Updates to NUREG-0800
October 22, 2018



2018 SRP Update Lessons Learned and Insights



Insights from §50.54(f) Reviews

ESP & COL Reviews

Flood hazard evaluations done for 13 ESP & COL applications for new nuclear power plants.

Using modern methods and approaches applicants identified flood-causing mechanisms to define the design basis flood.

Staff reviews conducted using SRP 2.4.

Flood hazard evaluations for all (60) nuclear power plant sites.

Licensees reevaluated all flood-causing mechanisms consistent with modern methods to determine any exceedances to the current design bases.

Staff reviews conducted using SRP 2.4.

Review Insights



- Examined Eight Flood-Causing Mechanisms
 - Local Intense Precipitation (LIP) and Associated Drainage
 - Streams and Rivers
 - Failure of Dams and Onsite Water Control/Storage Structures
 - Storm Surge
 - Seiche
 - Tsunami
 - Ice-Induced
 - Channel Migrations or Diversions



Review Insights (con't.)



- Not All Sites Subject to All Flood-Causing Mechanisms
- A Few Flood-Causing Mechanisms Were Found to be Consequential (Bounding)
 - LIP and Associated Drainage
 - Streams and Rivers
 - Failure of Dams and Onsite Water Control/Storage Structures
 - Storm Surge





Review Insights (con't.)

- Most Flood-Causing Mechanisms are Not Discrete Events
 - Combinations of more than one (1) flood-causing mechanisms are important
 - Consideration of associated effects also important
- Some Flood-Causing Mechanisms are Controlled by Topography, Geography, and/or Climatic Setting
 - Marine/coastal settings
 - Continental/inland locations



Review Insights (con't.)



- Not All Flood-Causing Mechanisms are Equal in Terms of Occurrence and Consequence
 - Magnitude
 - Intensity
 - Duration
 - Location



SRP Chapter 2.4 Update Vision



- Not All Flooding Events are Equal in Time and in Space
 - Different locations around the powerblock affected
 - Magnitude, intensity, and duration not uniform across powerblock
 - Associated effects (both static and dynamic) vary across the powerblock
- Distinction between Consequential vs. Inconsequential Flooding Event
 - Inconsequential floods do not contribute to defining the design bases

SRP Chapter 2.4 Proposed Revisions



- Definition of Consequential Flood Should be Focus of Review
- Rely on a Hierarchical/Graded Screening Approach to Identify Consequential Flood-Causing Mechanisms
- For Consequential Flood-Causing Mechanisms, Staff Review to Focus on ...
 - Inundation maps identifying location, magnitude, intensity, and duration of flooding
 - Description of associated flooding effects

SRP Chapter 2.4 Proposed Revisions (con't.)



- For Flood-Causing Mechanisms Found to be Inconsequential, then ...
 - Content application and safety evaluation report of pertinent SRP Section (2.4.X) could be limited to simple statement that the flood-causing mechanism in question was found to not be applicable at the site
 - Slightly-longer "technical explanation" to be provided for SRP Section 2.4.1 ("Hydrologic Description")
 - The staff plans to add a statement to the SRP sections:
 - "This section is only applicable to a site where a tsunami flood-causing mechanism is found to be consequential at the proposed site." (Example for SRP Section 2.4.6)

SRP Chapter 2.4 September 2018 FR Notice



- Glossary of Terms
 - Described in Federal Register Notice (FRN), including LIP
 - Ultimately include in SRP 2.4.1 Hydrology System Description
- Tsunami Hazards: SRP 2.4.6
 - Introduced revisions to terminology (consequential floods, etc.)
 - Streamlined reference list
- Channel Migrations and Diversions: SRP 2.4.9
 - Introduced revisions to terminology (consequential floods, etc.)
 - Improve reliance on satellite imagery
 - Streamlined reference list

SRP Chapter 2.4 Future Revisions



- SRP 2.4.1: Hydrologic Description
 - Differentiate between consequential and inconsequential flood-causing mechanisms
 - Place emphasis on consequential flooding hazard evaluations
 - Eliminate discussion concerning water use; already described in the environmental assessment and environmental impact statement
 - Introduce "Glossary"
- SRP 2.4.2: Floods
 - Re-purpose to focus on LIP
 - Address use of site-specific probable maximum precipitation estimates
 - Address LIP flood modeling methods
- SRPs 2.4.12: Groundwater, and 2.4.13: Accidental Releases of Radioactive Effluents
 - Combine to reduce redundancy

SURFACE DEFORMATION: SRP SECTION 2.5.3

Jenise-Marie Thompson, PMP Geologist

Geoscience and Geotechnical Engineering Branch
Division of Licensing, Siting, and Environmental Analysis
Office of New Reactors

Public Meeting
Proposed Updates to NUREG-0800
October 22, 2018





Background

2014 SRP Update

Added information regarding Site Safety Audits and RAI development

Geologic Mapping License Condition

Lessons learned from recent reviews

Insights from 50.54(f) Reviews

Risk-informed approach was successful for flooding.

Allowed licensees to focus on the hazards that are most likely to impact the site and adversely affect SSCs important to safety

ESP and COL Reviews

Variable potential for surface deformation based on site-specific factors

Risk-informed approach used for flooding may be applicable to potential for surface deformation



- Siting Criteria: Appendix A to Part 100
 - Evaluate potential for tectonic and non-tectonic surface deformation
- North America is Geologically Diverse
 - Tectonic/structural history not uniform
 - Potential for surface deformation can vary spatially
 - Subsurface lithology, local and regional geologic structures, and anthropogenic activities control surface deformation



- Staff Update Proposal
 - Investigations of potential for tectonic and non-tectonic surface deformation still need to be conducted for each site
 - Investigations should be commensurate with geologic assessment of evidence
 - Level-of-detail (documentation) consistent with assessment as to whether potential for surface deformation is likely to impact the site and adversely affect structures, systems, and components (SSCs) important to safety
 - Potential for surface deformation due to anthropogenic (humaninduced) activities such as mining, underground fluid injection, etc. needs to be considered



Summary

- Risk-Informed approach to focus on potential for surface deformation that could adversely affect SSCs important to safety
- Added non-tectonic surface deformation due to anthropogenic effects

Future

- Looking ahead to risk-informed updates of other 2.5 SRP Sections
 - 2.5.1 Geologic Characterization Information
 - 2.5.2 Vibratory Ground Motion
 - 2.5.4 Stability of Subsurface Materials and Foundations
 - 2.5.5 Stability of Slopes
- No sooner than CY2020

ONSITE METEROLOGICAL MONITORING PROGRAM: SRP SECTION 2.3.3

Michael D. Mazaika

Physical Scientist (Meteorologist)

Hydrology and Meteorology Branch
Division of Licensing, Siting, and Environmental Analysis
Office of New Reactors

Public Meeting
Proposed Updates to NUREG-0800
October 22, 2018



SRP Section 2.3.3 Onsite Meteorological Measurements Program



- General updates and clarifications
 - Better consistency with Rev. 1 of Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.23
 - Clarified links to regulations by application type
 - Updated references (RG 1.23, ANSI/ANS 3.11-2015, EPA QA Handbook Volume IV Meteorological Measurements)
 - Added cross-references with procedural and QA provisions related to Meteorological monitoring (RGs 1.21, 1.33, 1.97, 4.15)
 - Noted that Generic Technical Specifications typically require procedures for operational Meteorological monitoring program

SRP Section 2.3.3 Onsite Meteorological Measurements Program (con't.)

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Protecting People and the Environment

- Updates related to siting and instrumentation reviews
 - Recommended pre-application site visit
 - Clarified siting criteria for wind sensors in vicinity of natural draft cooling towers
 - Expanded discussion on Meteorological parameters used to determine atmospheric stability
 - Addressed wind data processing from sonic anemometers
 - Added use of wind deflector shields and heaters for rain gauges
 - Clarified when moisture measurements should be taken consistent with RG 1.23

SRP Section 2.3.3 Onsite Meteorological Measurements Program (con't.)

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Protecting People and the Environment

- Added considerations for monitoring in remote, harsh regions to ensure capture of valid and useful data
 - Enhanced equipment specs
 - Increased frequency of maintenance and calibration
 - Protective measures to ensure functionality of equipment
 - Approaches for data recording, transmission, surveillance
 - Methods for determining atmospheric stability
- Other issues specific to extreme cold environments
 - Determining measurement heights that account for range of Meteorological conditions over the year (e.g., variation and persistence of inversions relative to release characteristics)

SRP Section 2.3.3 Onsite Meteorological Measurements Program (con't.) **U.S.NRC** **Protecting People and the Environment** **Inited States Nuclear Regulatory Commission** **Protecting People and the Environment** **Inited States Nuclear Regulatory Commission** **Protecting People and the Environment** **Inited States Nuclear Regulatory Commission** **Protecting People and the Environment** **Inited States Nuclear Regulatory Commission** **Protecting People and the Environment** **Inited States Nuclear Regulatory Commission** **Inited States Nuclear Regulatory Commission** **Protecting People and the Environment** **Inited States Nuclear Regulatory Commission** **Inited States Nuclear Regulatory C

- Future Late CY2019
 - SRP 2.3.1, Regional Climatology: greater emphasis on riskinformed site parameters / characteristics, including:
 - Tornado and hurricane winds and missiles
 - Straight-line (e.g., thunderstorm) winds
 - Snow / ice events
 - Ambient temperature / moisture conditions
 - SRP 2.3.2, Local Meteorology
 - Summaries of onsite and offsite measurements

CLOSING REMARKS

Andrew C. Campbell, PhD Deputy Director

Division of Licensing, Siting, and Environmental Analysis

Office of New Reactors

Public Meeting
Proposed Updates to NUREG-0800
October 22, 2018



Future SRP Revisions SRP Chapter 2.4



- Intent is to Revise & Update all Sections in SRP Chapter
 2.4 Hydrology
 - Updates to be Announced in the FR
 - Additional Public Meetings to Follow
- Early CY2019 Updated Drafts Announced in FR
 - SRP 2.4.1: Hydrologic Description
 - SRP 2.4.2: Local Intense Precipitation
 - Draft NUREG on site-specific probable maximum precipitation
 - SRP 2.4.8: Cooling Water Canals and Reservoirs
 - SRP 2.4.11: Low Water





- Late CY2019 Updated Drafts Announced in FR
 - SRP 2.3.1: Regional Climatology
 - SRP 2.3.2: Local Meteorology
- Late CY2020 Updated Drafts Announced in FR
 - SRP 2.5.1: Geologic Characterization Information
 - SRP 2.5.2: Vibratory Ground Motion
 - SRP 2.5.4: Stability of Subsurface Materials and Foundations
 - SRP 2.5.5: Stability of Slopes



Next Steps ...



- Disposition of Public Comments
 - Closing date for submission of public comments on SRP
 Sections 2.3.3, 2.4.6, 2.4.9, and 2.5.3: Monday, Oct. 29, 2018
 - Comments can either be submitted to:
 - Online: http://www.regulations.gov, Docket ID NRC-2018-0178, or
 - Via standard mail, care of: Ms. May Ma, Office of Administration, Mail Stop: TWFN-7-A60M, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001
 - Staff intends to respond to public comments
 - Availability of public comment disposition to accompany <u>Federal Register</u> notice announcing the availability of the final revised SRP section
 - For SRP sections discussed today, final SRP revisions expected in CY2019

Comments? Questions?





BACKUP SLIDES

Public Meeting
Proposed Updates to NUREG-0800
October 22, 2018



ACRONYMS/ ABBREVIATIONS



ANSI/ANS American National Standards Institute/American Nuclear Society

COL Combined Operating License

CY Calendar Year

EA Environmental Assessment

EIS Environmental Impact Statement

EPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

ESP Early Site Permit

FR Federal Register

FRN Federal Register Notice

LIP Local Intense Precipitation

NRC U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

PMP Probable maximum precipitation

PRA Probabilistic Risk Assessment

RAI Request for Additional Information

RI/PB Risk-informed/performance-based

RG Regulatory Guide

SER Safety Evaluation Report

SSCs Structures, Systems, and Components

QA Quality Assurance



SRP Chapter 2.3: Climatology



SRP SECTION	TITLE	
2.3.1	"Regional Climatology"	
2.3.2	"Local Meteorology"	
2.3.3	"Onsite Meteorological Measurements Programs"	
2.3.4	"Short-term Dispersion Estimates for Accident Releases"	
2.3.5	"Long-Term Atmospheric Dispersion Estimates for Routine Releases"	



SRP Chapter 2.4: Hydrology



SRP SECTION	TITLE
2.4.1	"Hydrologic Description"
2.4.2	"Local Intense Precipitation" (proposed, currently entitled "Floods")
2.4.3	"Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) on Streams and Rivers"
2.4.4	"Potential Dam Failures"
2.4.5	"Storm Surge"
2.4.6	"Tsunami Hazards"
2.4.7	"Ice Effects"
2.4.8	"Cooling Water Canals and Reservoirs"
2.4.9	"Channel Migration or Diversion"
2.4.10	"Flood Protection Requirements"
2.4.11	"Low Water Considerations"
2.4.12	"Groundwater"
2.4.13	"Accidental Releases of Radioactive Liquid Effluents in Ground and Surface Waters"
2.4.14	"Technical Specifications and Emergency Operation Requirements"

SRP Chapter 2.5: Geology, Seismology, and Geotechnical Engineering



SRP SECTION	TITLE
2.5.1	"Geologic Characterization Information"
2.5.2	"Vibratory Ground Motion"
2.5.3	"Surface Deformation"
2.5.4	"Stability of Subsurface Materials and Foundations"
2.5.5	"Stability of Slopes"

