

May Ma
Office of Administration
Mail Stop: TWFN-7- A60M
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555- 0001

SUNSI Review Complete
Template = ADM-013
E-RIDS=ADM-03
ADD= Antoinette Walker-Smith, Jill
Caverly (JSC1)

COMMENT (290)
PUBLICATION DATE: 3/30/2018
CITATION # 83 FR 13802

RE: Docket ID NRC-2018-0052; Holtec International's HI-STORE Spent Fuel Waste Facility

Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

I respectfully submit these scoping comments on the Holtec Environmental Report (ER) to bring up to 100,000 metric tons of spent fuel, high-level radioactive waste, from nuclear reactors around the country to southeast New Mexico. I am submitting the following comments because I do not consent to New Mexico becoming a national radioactive waste dumping ground. I do not consent to transporting up to 10,000 canisters of highly radioactive waste through thousands of communities nationwide. I do not consent to the risk of contamination of our lands, aquifers, air, or the health of plants, wildlife, and livestock. I do not consent to endangering present and future generations.

I formally request a 60-day Extension Of Time For This Comment Period. A 60-day comment period places an undue burden on the public to respond to this 543-page technical document. I formally request additional Public Scoping Meetings for other communities in New Mexico and nationwide that will be impacted by the transport and that any additional meetings have time for the public to make verbal comments to those present.

A thorough Environmental Justice analysis must be complete to consider all possible future impacts from this facility to the local communities and those along transport routes, including but not limited to: economic and health impacts. I also request proper Tribal Consultation for any affected indigenous nations whose people, cultural resources, or sacred places may adversely impacted at the site and along transportation routes.

This Holtec Proposal Is Contrary To Current Law

- Current law only allows the U.S. Department of Energy to take title to commercial spent fuel "following commencement of operation of a repository," or at a DOE-owned and operated monitored retrievable storage facility. The Holtec site meets neither requirement, as it is a private facility.

Holtec Must Remove Copyrights And All Redactions in the Environmental Report

- NRC must require Holtec to produce an ER that has no such copyright restriction and has no redactions.

The Impacts Of Permanent Storage Must Be Analyzed

- The Environmental Report (ER) is inadequate and incomplete because it does not analyze the impacts of the spent fuel being left at the Holtec site indefinitely.

More Alternatives Must Be Analyzed

- Keeping the spent fuel casks in some form of Hardened On Site Storage (HOSS) on the reactor sites must be analyzed.
- The alternative of consolidated storage being done at an existing licensed Independent Spent Fuel Storage Facility (ISFSI) must be analyzed.
- The waste can and should remain on site for many more years and does not need to move until thorough analyses of alternatives are complete.

The Environmental Report inadequately discusses the transportation Risks

- This ER must include all transportation routes and the potential impacts of accidents or terrorism incidents on public health and safety along all the routes.
- The ER is incomplete because it does not discuss how rail shipments from reactors without rail access would be accomplished and the risks and impacts of such shipments.

The Consequences To An Accident-Exposed Individual Must Be Analyzed

- Terms like "collective dose risk" and "person-rem" are used to ignore the potential impacts to a single individual.
- All possible exposures to humans from routine releases from transport casks and site storage must be clearly defined in plain language, for individuals near waste canisters on occasion and workers who are transporting or working at the site long-term.

Cracked And Leaking Canisters Must Be Addressed

- The ER does not analyze exactly how radioactive waste from a cracked and leaking canister would be handled, since there is no wet pool or hot cell at the site.

More Cumulative Impacts Must Be Analyzed

- The ER mentions the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) but does not analyze the impacts of a radiologic release from WIPP on the proposed CIS site.
- The impacts from the local oil and gas industry on the proposed site need to be analyzed.

Seismic Impacts On Stored Casks Must Be Stated

- Although the ER gives a statement on recent seismic activity in the area, there is no analysis of what many 3.0-4.0 fracking-induced earthquakes will have on the buried casks.

Impacts Of Future Railroads And Electric Lines Must Be Analyzed

- The railroads and electric lines are not in place, but must be analyzed.

How many of the estimated 135 jobs will go to locals and how many are only temporary?

- The total number of annual workers at the site could total as many as 135 when short-term, construction jobs are combined with the operating workforce. How many of these jobs will create long-term careers for local communities? How many jobs and careers will benefit local residents?

We Don't want It!

Sincerely,

Signature Roy L. Lawson Date 7-27-18

Name (Print) Roy L. Lawson

City & State Artesia, NM 88211

May Ma
Office of Administration
Mail Stop: TWFN-7- A60M
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555- 0001

RE: Docket ID NRC-2018-0052; Holtec International's HI-STORE Spent Fuel Waste Facility

Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

I respectfully submit these scoping comments on the Holtec Environmental Report (ER) to bring up to 100,000 metric tons of spent fuel, high-level radioactive waste, from nuclear reactors around the country to southeast New Mexico. I am submitting the following comments because I do not consent to New Mexico becoming a national radioactive waste dumping ground. I do not consent to transporting up to 10,000 canisters of highly radioactive waste through thousands of communities nationwide. I do not consent to the risk of contamination of our lands, aquifers, air, or the health of plants, wildlife, and livestock. I do not consent to endangering present and future generations.

I formally request a 60-day Extension Of Time For This Comment Period. A 60-day comment period places an undue burden on the public to respond to this 543-page technical document. I formally request additional Public Scoping Meetings for other communities in New Mexico and nationwide that will be impacted by the transport and that any additional meetings have time for the public to make verbal comments to those present.

A thorough Environmental Justice analysis must be complete to consider all possible future impacts from this facility to the local communities and those along transport routes, including but not limited to: economic and health impacts. I also request proper Tribal Consultation for any affected indigenous nations whose people, cultural resources, or sacred places may adversely impacted at the site and along transportation routes.

This Holtec Proposal Is Contrary To Current Law

- Current law only allows the U.S. Department of Energy to take title to commercial spent fuel "following commencement of operation of a repository" or at a DOE-owned and operated monitored retrievable storage facility. The Holtec site meets neither requirement, as it is a private facility.

Holtec Must Remove Copyrights And All Redactions in the Environmental Report

- NRC must require Holtec to produce an ER that has no such copyright restriction and has no redactions.

The Impacts Of Permanent Storage Must Be Analyzed

- The Environmental Report (ER) is inadequate and incomplete because it does not analyze the impacts of the spent fuel being left at the Holtec site indefinitely.

More Alternatives Must Be Analyzed

- Keeping the spent fuel casks in some form of Hardened On Site Storage (HOSS) on the reactor sites must be analyzed.
- The alternative of consolidated storage being done at an existing licensed Independent Spent Fuel Storage Facility (ISFSI) must be analyzed.
- The waste can and should remain on site for many more years and does not need to move until thorough analyses of alternatives are complete.

The Environmental Report inadequately discusses the transportation Risks

- This ER must include all transportation routes and the potential impacts of accidents or terrorism incidents on public health and safety along all the routes.
- The ER is incomplete because it does not discuss how rail shipments from reactors without rail access would be accomplished and the risks and impacts of such shipments.

The Consequences To An Accident-Exposed Individual Must Be Analyzed

- Terms like “collective dose risk” and “person-rem” are used to ignore the potential impacts to a single individual.
- All possible exposures to humans from routine releases from transport casks and site storage must be clearly defined in plain language, for individuals near waste canisters on occasion and workers who are transporting or working at the site long-term.

Cracked And Leaking Canisters Must Be Addressed

- The ER does not analyze exactly how radioactive waste from a cracked and leaking canister would be handled, since there is no wet pool or hot cell at the site.

More Cumulative Impacts Must Be Analyzed

- The ER mentions the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) but does not analyze the impacts of a radiologic release from WIPP on the proposed CIS site.
- The impacts from the local oil and gas industry on the proposed site need to be analyzed.

Seismic Impacts On Stored Casks Must Be Stated

- Although the ER gives a statement on recent seismic activity in the area, there is no analysis of what many 3.0-4.0 fracking-induced earthquakes will have on the buried casks.

Impacts Of Future Railroads And Electric Lines Must Be Analyzed

- The railroads and electric lines are not in place, but must be analyzed.

How many of the estimated 135 jobs will go to locals and how many are only temporary?

- The total number of annual workers at the site could total as many as 135 when short-term, construction jobs are combined with the operating workforce. How many of these jobs will create long-term careers for local communities? How many jobs and careers will benefit local residents?

Sincerely,

Signature



Date

7.27.18

Name (Print)

Oscar Bayos

City & State

Artesia, NM

May Ma
Office of Administration
Mail Stop: TWFN-7- A60M
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555- 0001

RE: Docket ID NRC-2018-0052; Holtec International's HI-STORE Spent Fuel Waste Facility

Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

I respectfully submit these scoping comments on the Holtec Environmental Report (ER) to bring up to 100,000 metric tons of spent fuel, high-level radioactive waste, from nuclear reactors around the country to southeast New Mexico. I am submitting the following comments because I do not consent to New Mexico becoming a national radioactive waste dumping ground. I do not consent to transporting up to 10,000 canisters of highly radioactive waste through thousands of communities nationwide. I do not consent to the risk of contamination of our lands, aquifers, air, or the health of plants, wildlife, and livestock. I do not consent to endangering present and future generations.

I formally request a 60-day Extension Of Time For This Comment Period. A 60-day comment period places an undue burden on the public to respond to this 543-page technical document. I formally request additional Public Scoping Meetings for other communities in New Mexico and nationwide that will be impacted by the transport and that any additional meetings have time for the public to make verbal comments to those present.

A thorough Environmental Justice analysis must be complete to consider all possible future impacts from this facility to the local communities and those along transport routes, including but not limited to: economic and health impacts. I also request proper Tribal Consultation for any affected indigenous nations whose people, cultural resources, or sacred places may adversely impacted at the site and along transportation routes.

This Holtec Proposal Is Contrary To Current Law

- Current law only allows the U.S. Department of Energy to take title to commercial spent fuel "following commencement of operation of a repository" or at a DOE-owned and operated monitored retrievable storage facility. The Holtec site meets neither requirement, as it is a private facility.

Holtec Must Remove Copyrights And All Redactions in the Environmental Report

- NRC must require Holtec to produce an ER that has no such copyright restriction and has no redactions.

The Impacts Of Permanent Storage Must Be Analyzed

- The Environmental Report (ER) is inadequate and incomplete because it does not analyze the impacts of the spent fuel being left at the Holtec site indefinitely.

More Alternatives Must Be Analyzed

- Keeping the spent fuel casks in some form of Hardened On Site Storage (HOSS) on the reactor sites must be analyzed.
- The alternative of consolidated storage being done at an existing licensed Independent Spent Fuel Storage Facility (ISFSI) must be analyzed.
- The waste can and should remain on site for many more years and does not need to move until thorough analyses of alternatives are complete.

The Environmental Report inadequately discusses the transportation Risks

- This ER must include all transportation routes and the potential impacts of accidents or terrorism incidents on public health and safety along all the routes.
- The ER is incomplete because it does not discuss how rail shipments from reactors without rail access would be accomplished and the risks and impacts of such shipments.

The Consequences To An Accident-Exposed Individual Must Be Analyzed

- Terms like "collective dose risk" and "person-rem" are used to ignore the potential impacts to a single individual.
- All possible exposures to humans from routine releases from transport casks and site storage must be clearly defined in plain language, for individuals near waste canisters on occasion and workers who are transporting or working at the site long-term.

Cracked And Leaking Canisters Must Be Addressed

- The ER does not analyze exactly how radioactive waste from a cracked and leaking canister would be handled, since there is no wet pool or hot cell at the site.

More Cumulative Impacts Must Be Analyzed

- The ER mentions the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) but does not analyze the impacts of a radiologic release from WIPP on the proposed CIS site.
- The impacts from the local oil and gas industry on the proposed site need to be analyzed.

Seismic Impacts On Stored Casks Must Be Stated

- Although the ER gives a statement on recent seismic activity in the area, there is no analysis of what many 3.0-4.0 fracking-induced earthquakes will have on the buried casks.

Impacts Of Future Railroads And Electric Lines Must Be Analyzed

- The railroads and electric lines are not in place, but must be analyzed.

How many of the estimated 135 jobs will go to locals and how many are only temporary?

- The total number of annual workers at the site could total as many as 135 when short-term, construction jobs are combined with the operating workforce. How many of these jobs will create long-term careers for local communities? How many jobs and careers will benefit local residents?

Sincerely,

Signature

Jesús H. Anaya

Date

7/13/18

Name (Print)

Jesús H. Anaya

City & State

Artesia, N.M. 88211

May Ma
Office of Administration
Mail Stop: TWFN-7- A60M
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555- 0001

RE: Docket ID NRC-2018-0052; Holtec International's HI-STORE Spent Fuel Waste Facility

Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

I respectfully submit these scoping comments on the Holtec Environmental Report (ER) to bring up to 100,000 metric tons of spent fuel, high-level radioactive waste, from nuclear reactors around the country to southeast New Mexico. I am submitting the following comments because I do not consent to New Mexico becoming a national radioactive waste dumping ground. I do not consent to transporting up to 10,000 canisters of highly radioactive waste through thousands of communities nationwide. I do not consent to the risk of contamination of our lands, aquifers, air, or the health of plants, wildlife, and livestock. I do not consent to endangering present and future generations.

I formally request a 60-day Extension Of Time For This Comment Period. A 60-day comment period places an undue burden on the public to respond to this 543-page technical document. I formally request additional Public Scoping Meetings for other communities in New Mexico and nationwide that will be impacted by the transport and that any additional meetings have time for the public to make verbal comments to those present.

A thorough Environmental Justice analysis must be complete to consider all possible future impacts from this facility to the local communities and those along transport routes, including but not limited to: economic and health impacts. I also request proper Tribal Consultation for any affected indigenous nations whose people, cultural resources, or sacred places may adversely impacted at the site and along transportation routes.

This Holtec Proposal Is Contrary To Current Law

- Current law only allows the U.S. Department of Energy to take title to commercial spent fuel "following commencement of operation of a repository" or at a DOE-owned and operated monitored retrievable storage facility. The Holtec site meets neither requirement, as it is a private facility.

Holtec Must Remove Copyrights And All Redactions in the Environmental Report

- NRC must require Holtec to produce an ER that has no such copyright restriction and has no redactions.

The Impacts Of Permanent Storage Must Be Analyzed

- The Environmental Report (ER) is inadequate and incomplete because it does not analyze the impacts of the spent fuel being left at the Holtec site indefinitely.

More Alternatives Must Be Analyzed

- Keeping the spent fuel casks in some form of Hardened On Site Storage (HOSS) on the reactor sites must be analyzed.
- The alternative of consolidated storage being done at an existing licensed Independent Spent Fuel Storage Facility (ISFSI) must be analyzed.
- The waste can and should remain on site for many more years and does not need to move until thorough analyses of alternatives are complete.

The Environmental Report inadequately discusses the transportation Risks

- This ER must include all transportation routes and the potential impacts of accidents or terrorism incidents on public health and safety along all the routes.
- The ER is incomplete because it does not discuss how rail shipments from reactors without rail access would be accomplished and the risks and impacts of such shipments.

The Consequences To An Accident-Exposed Individual Must Be Analyzed

- Terms like "collective dose risk" and "person-rem" are used to ignore the potential impacts to a single individual.
- All possible exposures to humans from routine releases from transport casks and site storage must be clearly defined in plain language, for individuals near waste canisters on occasion and workers who are transporting or working at the site long-term.

Cracked And Leaking Canisters Must Be Addressed

- The ER does not analyze exactly how radioactive waste from a cracked and leaking canister would be handled, since there is no wet pool or hot cell at the site.

More Cumulative Impacts Must Be Analyzed

- The ER mentions the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) but does not analyze the impacts of a radiologic release from WIPP on the proposed CIS site.
- The impacts from the local oil and gas industry on the proposed site need to be analyzed.

Seismic Impacts On Stored Casks Must Be Stated

- Although the ER gives a statement on recent seismic activity in the area, there is no analysis of what many 3.0-4.0 fracking-induced earthquakes will have on the buried casks.

Impacts Of Future Railroads And Electric Lines Must Be Analyzed

- The railroads and electric lines are not in place, but must be analyzed.

How many of the estimated 135 jobs will go to locals and how many are only temporary?

- The total number of annual workers at the site could total as many as 135 when short-term, construction jobs are combined with the operating workforce. How many of these jobs will create long-term careers for local communities? How many jobs and careers will benefit local residents?

Sincerely,

Signature Kim Boyce Date 7-13-18

Name (Print) Kim Boyce

City & State Lake Arthur, NM 88253

May Ma
Office of Administration
Mail Stop: TWFN-7- A60M
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555- 0001

RE: Docket ID NRC-2018-0052; Holtec International's HI-STORE Spent Fuel Waste Facility

Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

I respectfully submit these scoping comments on the Holtec Environmental Report (ER) to bring up to 100,000 metric tons of spent fuel, high-level radioactive waste, from nuclear reactors around the country to southeast New Mexico. I am submitting the following comments because I do not consent to New Mexico becoming a national radioactive waste dumping ground. I do not consent to transporting up to 10,000 canisters of highly radioactive waste through thousands of communities nationwide. I do not consent to the risk of contamination of our lands, aquifers, air, or the health of plants, wildlife, and livestock. I do not consent to endangering present and future generations.

I formally request a 60-day Extension Of Time For This Comment Period. A 60-day comment period places an undue burden on the public to respond to this 543-page technical document. I formally request additional Public Scoping Meetings for other communities in New Mexico and nationwide that will be impacted by the transport and that any additional meetings have time for the public to make verbal comments to those present.

A thorough Environmental Justice analysis must be complete to consider all possible future impacts from this facility to the local communities and those along transport routes, including but not limited to: economic and health impacts. I also request proper Tribal Consultation for any affected indigenous nations whose people, cultural resources, or sacred places may adversely impacted at the site and along transportation routes.

This Holtec Proposal Is Contrary To Current Law

- Current law only allows the U.S. Department of Energy to take title to commercial spent fuel "following commencement of operation of a repository" or at a DOE-owned and operated monitored retrievable storage facility. The Holtec site meets neither requirement, as it is a private facility.

Holtec Must Remove Copyrights And All Redactions in the Environmental Report

- NRC must require Holtec to produce an ER that has no such copyright restriction and has no redactions.

The Impacts Of Permanent Storage Must Be Analyzed

- The Environmental Report (ER) is inadequate and incomplete because it does not analyze the impacts of the spent fuel being left at the Holtec site indefinitely.

More Alternatives Must Be Analyzed

- Keeping the spent fuel casks in some form of Hardened On Site Storage (HOSS) on the reactor sites must be analyzed.
- The alternative of consolidated storage being done at an existing licensed Independent Spent Fuel Storage Facility (ISFSI) must be analyzed.
- The waste can and should remain on site for many more years and does not need to move until thorough analyses of alternatives are complete.

The Environmental Report inadequately discusses the transportation Risks

- This ER must include all transportation routes and the potential impacts of accidents or terrorism incidents on public health and safety along all the routes.
- The ER is incomplete because it does not discuss how rail shipments from reactors without rail access would be accomplished and the risks and impacts of such shipments.

The Consequences To An Accident-Exposed Individual Must Be Analyzed

- Terms like "collective dose risk" and "person-rem" are used to ignore the potential impacts to a single individual.
- All possible exposures to humans from routine releases from transport casks and site storage must be clearly defined in plain language, for individuals near waste canisters on occasion and workers who are transporting or working at the site long-term.

Cracked And Leaking Canisters Must Be Addressed

- The ER does not analyze exactly how radioactive waste from a cracked and leaking canister would be handled, since there is no wet pool or hot cell at the site.

More Cumulative Impacts Must Be Analyzed

- The ER mentions the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) but does not analyze the impacts of a radiologic release from WIPP on the proposed CIS site.
- The impacts from the local oil and gas industry on the proposed site need to be analyzed.

Seismic Impacts On Stored Casks Must Be Stated

- Although the ER gives a statement on recent seismic activity in the area, there is no analysis of what many 3.0-4.0 fracking-induced earthquakes will have on the buried casks.

Impacts Of Future Railroads And Electric Lines Must Be Analyzed

- The railroads and electric lines are not in place, but must be analyzed.

How many of the estimated 135 jobs will go to locals and how many are only temporary?

- The total number of annual workers at the site could total as many as 135 when short-term, construction jobs are combined with the operating workforce. How many of these jobs will create long-term careers for local communities? How many jobs and careers will benefit local residents?

Sincerely,

Signature

Guadalupe Anaya Rosales

Date

*Friday
July-13-2017*

Name (Print)

Guadalupe ANAYA ROSALES

City & State

Artesia, N.M. 88210

May Ma
Office of Administration
Mail Stop: TWFN-7- A60M
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555- 0001

RE: Docket ID NRC-2018-0052; Holtec International's HI-STORE Spent Fuel Waste Facility

Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

I respectfully submit these scoping comments on the Holtec Environmental Report (ER) to bring up to 100,000 metric tons of spent fuel, high-level radioactive waste, from nuclear reactors around the country to southeast New Mexico. I am submitting the following comments because I do not consent to New Mexico becoming a national radioactive waste dumping ground. I do not consent to transporting up to 10,000 canisters of highly radioactive waste through thousands of communities nationwide. I do not consent to the risk of contamination of our lands, aquifers, air, or the health of plants, wildlife, and livestock. I do not consent to endangering present and future generations.

I formally request a 60-day Extension Of Time For This Comment Period. A 60-day comment period places an undue burden on the public to respond to this 543-page technical document. I formally request additional Public Scoping Meetings for other communities in New Mexico and nationwide that will be impacted by the transport and that any additional meetings have time for the public to make verbal comments to those present.

A thorough Environmental Justice analysis must be complete to consider all possible future impacts from this facility to the local communities and those along transport routes, including but not limited to: economic and health impacts. I also request proper Tribal Consultation for any affected indigenous nations whose people, cultural resources, or sacred places may adversely impacted at the site and along transportation routes.

This Holtec Proposal Is Contrary To Current Law

- Current law only allows the U.S. Department of Energy to take title to commercial spent fuel "following commencement of operation of a repository" or at a DOE-owned and operated monitored retrievable storage facility. The Holtec site meets neither requirement, as it is a private facility.

Holtec Must Remove Copyrights And All Redactions in the Environmental Report

- NRC must require Holtec to produce an ER that has no such copyright restriction and has no redactions.

The Impacts Of Permanent Storage Must Be Analyzed

- The Environmental Report (ER) is inadequate and incomplete because it does not analyze the impacts of the spent fuel being left at the Holtec site indefinitely.

More Alternatives Must Be Analyzed

- Keeping the spent fuel casks in some form of Hardened On Site Storage (HOSS) on the reactor sites must be analyzed.
- The alternative of consolidated storage being done at an existing licensed Independent Spent Fuel Storage Facility (ISFSI) must be analyzed.
- The waste can and should remain on site for many more years and does not need to move until thorough analyses of alternatives are complete.

The Environmental Report inadequately discusses the transportation Risks

- This ER must include all transportation routes and the potential impacts of accidents or terrorism incidents on public health and safety along all the routes.
- The ER is incomplete because it does not discuss how rail shipments from reactors without rail access would be accomplished and the risks and impacts of such shipments.

The Consequences To An Accident-Exposed Individual Must Be Analyzed

- Terms like "collective dose risk" and "person-rem" are used to ignore the potential impacts to a single individual.
- All possible exposures to humans from routine releases from transport casks and site storage must be clearly defined in plain language, for individuals near waste canisters on occasion and workers who are transporting or working at the site long-term.

Cracked And Leaking Canisters Must Be Addressed

- The ER does not analyze exactly how radioactive waste from a cracked and leaking canister would be handled, since there is no wet pool or hot cell at the site.

More Cumulative Impacts Must Be Analyzed

- The ER mentions the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) but does not analyze the impacts of a radiologic release from WIPP on the proposed CIS site.
- The impacts from the local oil and gas industry on the proposed site need to be analyzed.

Seismic Impacts On Stored Casks Must Be Stated

- Although the ER gives a statement on recent seismic activity in the area, there is no analysis of what many 3.0-4.0 fracking-induced earthquakes will have on the buried casks.

Impacts Of Future Railroads And Electric Lines Must Be Analyzed

- The railroads and electric lines are not in place, but must be analyzed.

How many of the estimated 135 jobs will go to locals and how many are only temporary?

- The total number of annual workers at the site could total as many as 135 when short-term, construction jobs are combined with the operating workforce. How many of these jobs will create long-term careers for local communities? How many jobs and careers will benefit local residents?

Sincerely,

Signature

Pearl Valles

Date

7-13-18

Name (Print)

Pearl Valles

City & State

Artesia New Mexico

May Ma
Office of Administration
Mail Stop: TWFN-7- A60M
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555- 0001

RE: Docket ID NRC-2018-0052; Holtec International's HI-STORE Spent Fuel Waste Facility

Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

I respectfully submit these scoping comments on the Holtec Environmental Report (ER) to bring up to 100,000 metric tons of spent fuel, high-level radioactive waste, from nuclear reactors around the country to southeast New Mexico. I am submitting the following comments because I do not consent to New Mexico becoming a national radioactive waste dumping ground. I do not consent to transporting up to 10,000 canisters of highly radioactive waste through thousands of communities nationwide. I do not consent to the risk of contamination of our lands, aquifers, air, or the health of plants, wildlife, and livestock. I do not consent to endangering present and future generations.

I formally request a 60-day Extension Of Time For This Comment Period. A 60-day comment period places an undue burden on the public to respond to this 543-page technical document. I formally request additional Public Scoping Meetings for other communities in New Mexico and nationwide that will be impacted by the transport and that any additional meetings have time for the public to make verbal comments to those present.

A thorough Environmental Justice analysis must be complete to consider all possible future impacts from this facility to the local communities and those along transport routes, including but not limited to: economic and health impacts. I also request proper Tribal Consultation for any affected indigenous nations whose people, cultural resources, or sacred places may adversely impacted at the site and along transportation routes.

This Holtec Proposal Is Contrary To Current Law

- Current law only allows the U.S. Department of Energy to take title to commercial spent fuel "following commencement of operation of a repository" or at a DOE-owned and operated monitored retrievable storage facility. The Holtec site meets neither requirement, as it is a private facility.

Holtec Must Remove Copyrights And All Redactions in the Environmental Report

- NRC must require Holtec to produce an ER that has no such copyright restriction and has no redactions.

The Impacts Of Permanent Storage Must Be Analyzed

- The Environmental Report (ER) is inadequate and incomplete because it does not analyze the impacts of the spent fuel being left at the Holtec site indefinitely.

More Alternatives Must Be Analyzed

- Keeping the spent fuel casks in some form of Hardened On Site Storage (HOSS) on the reactor sites must be analyzed.
- The alternative of consolidated storage being done at an existing licensed Independent Spent Fuel Storage Facility (ISFSI) must be analyzed.
- The waste can and should remain on site for many more years and does not need to move until thorough analyses of alternatives are complete.

The Environmental Report inadequately discusses the transportation Risks

- This ER must include all transportation routes and the potential impacts of accidents or terrorism incidents on public health and safety along all the routes.
- The ER is incomplete because it does not discuss how rail shipments from reactors without rail access would be accomplished and the risks and impacts of such shipments.

The Consequences To An Accident-Exposed Individual Must Be Analyzed

- Terms like "collective dose risk" and "person-rem" are used to ignore the potential impacts to a single individual.
- All possible exposures to humans from routine releases from transport casks and site storage must be clearly defined in plain language, for individuals near waste canisters on occasion and workers who are transporting or working at the site long-term.

Cracked And Leaking Canisters Must Be Addressed

- The ER does not analyze exactly how radioactive waste from a cracked and leaking canister would be handled, since there is no wet pool or hot cell at the site.

More Cumulative Impacts Must Be Analyzed

- The ER mentions the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) but does not analyze the impacts of a radiologic release from WIPP on the proposed CIS site.
- The impacts from the local oil and gas industry on the proposed site need to be analyzed.

Seismic Impacts On Stored Casks Must Be Stated

- Although the ER gives a statement on recent seismic activity in the area, there is no analysis of what many 3.0-4.0 fracking-induced earthquakes will have on the buried casks.

Impacts Of Future Railroads And Electric Lines Must Be Analyzed

- The railroads and electric lines are not in place, but must be analyzed.

How many of the estimated 135 jobs will go to locals and how many are only temporary?

- The total number of annual workers at the site could total as many as 135 when short-term, construction jobs are combined with the operating workforce. How many of these jobs will create long-term careers for local communities? How many jobs and careers will benefit local residents?

too dangerous for pregnant women & children

Sincerely,

Signature

Aracely Parada

Date

5/15/18

Name (Print)

Aracely Parada

City & State

Artesia NM

_____, 2018

May Ma
Office of Administration
Mail Stop: TWFN-7- A60M
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555- 0001

RE: Docket ID NRC-2018-0052; Holtec International's HI-STORE Spent Fuel Waste Facility

Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

I respectfully submit these scoping comments on the Holtec Environmental Report (ER) to bring up to 100,000 metric tons of spent fuel, high-level radioactive waste, from nuclear reactors around the country to southeast New Mexico. I am submitting the following comments because I do not consent to New Mexico becoming a national radioactive waste dumping ground. I do not consent to transporting up to 10,000 canisters of highly radioactive waste through thousands of communities nationwide. I do not consent to the risk of contamination of our lands, aquifers, air, or the health of plants, wildlife, and livestock. I do not consent to endangering present and future generations.

I formally request a 60-day Extension Of Time For This Comment Period. A 60-day comment period places an undue burden on the public to respond to this 543-page technical document. I formally request additional Public Scoping Meetings for other communities in New Mexico and nationwide that will be impacted by the transport and that any additional meetings have time for the public to make verbal comments to those present.

A thorough Environmental Justice analysis must be complete to consider all possible future impacts from this facility to the local communities and those along transport routes, including but not limited to: economic and health impacts. I also request proper Tribal Consultation for any affected indigenous nations whose people, cultural resources, or sacred places may adversely impacted at the site and along transportation routes.

This Holtec Proposal Is Contrary To Current Law

- Current law only allows the U.S. Department of Energy to take title to commercial spent fuel "following commencement of operation of a repository" or at a DOE-owned and operated monitored retrievable storage facility. The Holtec site meets neither requirement, as it is a private facility.

Holtec Must Remove Copyrights And All Redactions in the Environmental Report

- NRC must require Holtec to produce an ER that has no such copyright restriction and has no redactions.

The Impacts Of Permanent Storage Must Be Analyzed

- The Environmental Report (ER) is inadequate and incomplete because it does not analyze the impacts of the spent fuel being left at the Holtec site indefinitely.

More Alternatives Must Be Analyzed

- Keeping the spent fuel casks in some form of Hardened On Site Storage (HOSS) on the reactor sites must be analyzed.
- The alternative of consolidated storage being done at an existing licensed Independent Spent Fuel Storage Facility (ISFSI) must be analyzed.

- The waste can and should remain on site for many more years and does not need to move until thorough analyses of alternatives are complete.

The Environmental Report inadequately discusses the transportation Risks

- This ER must include all transportation routes and the potential impacts of accidents or terrorism incidents on public health and safety along all the routes.
- The ER is incomplete because it does not discuss how rail shipments from reactors without rail access would be accomplished and the risks and impacts of such shipments.

The Consequences To An Accident-Exposed Individual Must Be Analyzed

- Terms like "collective dose risk" and "person-rem" are used to ignore the potential impacts to a single individual.
- All possible exposures to humans from routine releases from transport casks and site storage must be clearly defined in plain language, for individuals near waste canisters on occasion and workers who are transporting or working at the site long-term.

Cracked And Leaking Canisters Must Be Addressed

- The ER does not analyze exactly how radioactive waste from a cracked and leaking canister would be handled, since there is no wet pool or hot cell at the site.

More Cumulative Impacts Must Be Analyzed

- The ER mentions the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) but does not analyze the impacts of a radiologic release from WIPP on the proposed CIS site.
- The impacts from the local oil and gas industry on the proposed site need to be analyzed.

Seismic Impacts On Stored Casks Must Be Stated

- Although the ER gives a statement on recent seismic activity in the area, there is no analysis of what many 3.0-4.0 fracking-induced earthquakes will have on the buried casks.

Impacts Of Future Railroads And Electric Lines Must Be Analyzed

- The railroads and electric lines are not in place, but must be analyzed.

How many of the estimated 135 jobs will go to locals and how many are only temporary?

- The total number of annual workers at the site could total as many as 135 when short-term, construction jobs are combined with the operating workforce. How many of these jobs will create long-term careers for local communities? How many jobs and careers will benefit local residents?

Sincerely,

Signed

Annabel Escamilla

Name (Print)

Annabel Escamilla

City & State

Artesia, NM 88210

May Ma
Office of Administration
Mail Stop: TWFN-7- A60M
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555- 0001

RE: Docket ID NRC-2018-0052; Holtec International's HI-STORE Spent Fuel Waste Facility

Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

I respectfully submit these scoping comments on the Holtec Environmental Report (ER) to bring up to 100,000 metric tons of spent fuel, high-level radioactive waste, from nuclear reactors around the country to southeast New Mexico. I am submitting the following comments because I do not consent to New Mexico becoming a national radioactive waste dumping ground. I do not consent to transporting up to 10,000 canisters of highly radioactive waste through thousands of communities nationwide. I do not consent to the risk of contamination of our lands, aquifers, air, or the health of plants, wildlife, and livestock. I do not consent to endangering present and future generations.

I formally request a 60-day Extension Of Time For This Comment Period. A 60-day comment period places an undue burden on the public to respond to this 543-page technical document. I formally request additional Public Scoping Meetings for other communities in New Mexico and nationwide that will be impacted by the transport and that any additional meetings have time for the public to make verbal comments to those present.

A thorough Environmental Justice analysis must be complete to consider all possible future impacts from this facility to the local communities and those along transport routes, including but not limited to: economic and health impacts. I also request proper Tribal Consultation for any affected indigenous nations whose people, cultural resources, or sacred places may adversely impacted at the site and along transportation routes.

This Holtec Proposal Is Contrary To Current Law

- Current law only allows the U.S. Department of Energy to take title to commercial spent fuel "following commencement of operation of a repository" or at a DOE-owned and operated monitored retrievable storage facility. The Holtec site meets neither requirement, as it is a private facility.

Holtec Must Remove Copyrights And All Redactions in the Environmental Report

- NRC must require Holtec to produce an ER that has no such copyright restriction and has no redactions.

The Impacts Of Permanent Storage Must Be Analyzed

- The Environmental Report (ER) is inadequate and incomplete because it does not analyze the impacts of the spent fuel being left at the Holtec site indefinitely.

More Alternatives Must Be Analyzed

- Keeping the spent fuel casks in some form of Hardened On Site Storage (HOSS) on the reactor sites must be analyzed.
- The alternative of consolidated storage being done at an existing licensed Independent Spent Fuel Storage Facility (ISFSI) must be analyzed.
- The waste can and should remain on site for many more years and does not need to move until thorough analyses of alternatives are complete.

The Environmental Report inadequately discusses the transportation Risks

- This ER must include all transportation routes and the potential impacts of accidents or terrorism incidents on public health and safety along all the routes.
- The ER is incomplete because it does not discuss how rail shipments from reactors without rail access would be accomplished and the risks and impacts of such shipments.

The Consequences To An Accident-Exposed Individual Must Be Analyzed

- Terms like "collective dose risk" and "person-rem" are used to ignore the potential impacts to a single individual.
- All possible exposures to humans from routine releases from transport casks and site storage must be clearly defined in plain language, for individuals near waste canisters on occasion and workers who are transporting or working at the site long-term.

Cracked And Leaking Canisters Must Be Addressed

- The ER does not analyze exactly how radioactive waste from a cracked and leaking canister would be handled, since there is no wet pool or hot cell at the site.

More Cumulative Impacts Must Be Analyzed

- The ER mentions the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) but does not analyze the impacts of a radiologic release from WIPP on the proposed CIS site.
- The impacts from the local oil and gas industry on the proposed site need to be analyzed.

Seismic Impacts On Stored Casks Must Be Stated

- Although the ER gives a statement on recent seismic activity in the area, there is no analysis of what many 3.0-4.0 fracking-induced earthquakes will have on the buried casks.

Impacts Of Future Railroads And Electric Lines Must Be Analyzed

- The railroads and electric lines are not in place, but must be analyzed.

How many of the estimated 135 jobs will go to locals and how many are only temporary?

- The total number of annual workers at the site could total as many as 135 when short-term, construction jobs are combined with the operating workforce. How many of these jobs will create long-term careers for local communities? How many jobs and careers will benefit local residents?

We do not consent to bringing high level nuclear waste to our area of New Mexico.... No way!!

Sincerely,

Signature

Noel V. Marquez

Date

July 25, 2018

Name (Print)

Noel V. Marquez

City & State

Artesia New Mexico 88210

May Ma
Office of Administration
Mail Stop: TWFN-7- A60M
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555- 0001

RE: Docket ID NRC-2018-0052; Holtec International's HI-STORE Spent Fuel Waste Facility

Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

I respectfully submit these scoping comments on the Holtec Environmental Report (ER) to bring up to 100,000 metric tons of spent fuel, high-level radioactive waste, from nuclear reactors around the country to southeast New Mexico. I am submitting the following comments because I do not consent to New Mexico becoming a national radioactive waste dumping ground. I do not consent to transporting up to 10,000 canisters of highly radioactive waste through thousands of communities nationwide. I do not consent to the risk of contamination of our lands, aquifers, air, or the health of plants, wildlife, and livestock. I do not consent to endangering present and future generations.

I formally request a 60-day Extension Of Time For This Comment Period. A 60-day comment period places an undue burden on the public to respond to this 543-page technical document. I formally request additional Public Scoping Meetings for other communities in New Mexico and nationwide that will be impacted by the transport and that any additional meetings have time for the public to make verbal comments to those present.

A thorough Environmental Justice analysis must be complete to consider all possible future impacts from this facility to the local communities and those along transport routes, including but not limited to: economic and health impacts. I also request proper Tribal Consultation for any affected indigenous nations whose people, cultural resources, or sacred places may adversely impacted at the site and along transportation routes.

This Holtec Proposal Is Contrary To Current Law

- Current law only allows the U.S. Department of Energy to take title to commercial spent fuel "following commencement of operation of a repository" or at a DOE-owned and operated monitored retrievable storage facility. The Holtec site meets neither requirement, as it is a private facility.

Holtec Must Remove Copyrights And All Redactions in the Environmental Report

- NRC must require Holtec to produce an ER that has no such copyright restriction and has no redactions.

The Impacts Of Permanent Storage Must Be Analyzed

- The Environmental Report (ER) is inadequate and incomplete because it does not analyze the impacts of the spent fuel being left at the Holtec site indefinitely.

More Alternatives Must Be Analyzed

- Keeping the spent fuel casks in some form of Hardened On Site Storage (HOSS) on the reactor sites must be analyzed.
- The alternative of consolidated storage being done at an existing licensed Independent Spent Fuel Storage Facility (ISFSI) must be analyzed.
- The waste can and should remain on site for many more years and does not need to move until thorough analyses of alternatives are complete.

The Environmental Report inadequately discusses the transportation Risks

- This ER must include all transportation routes and the potential impacts of accidents or terrorism incidents on public health and safety along all the routes.
- The ER is incomplete because it does not discuss how rail shipments from reactors without rail access would be accomplished and the risks and impacts of such shipments.

The Consequences To An Accident-Exposed Individual Must Be Analyzed

- Terms like "collective dose risk" and "person-rem" are used to ignore the potential impacts to a single individual.
- All possible exposures to humans from routine releases from transport casks and site storage must be clearly defined in plain language, for individuals near waste canisters on occasion and workers who are transporting or working at the site long-term.

Cracked And Leaking Canisters Must Be Addressed

- The ER does not analyze exactly how radioactive waste from a cracked and leaking canister would be handled, since there is no wet pool or hot cell at the site.

More Cumulative Impacts Must Be Analyzed

- The ER mentions the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) but does not analyze the impacts of a radiologic release from WIPP on the proposed CIS site.
- The impacts from the local oil and gas industry on the proposed site need to be analyzed.

Seismic Impacts On Stored Casks Must Be Stated

- Although the ER gives a statement on recent seismic activity in the area, there is no analysis of what many 3.0-4.0 fracking-induced earthquakes will have on the buried casks.

Impacts Of Future Railroads And Electric Lines Must Be Analyzed

- The railroads and electric lines are not in place, but must be analyzed.

How many of the estimated 135 jobs will go to locals and how many are only temporary?

- The total number of annual workers at the site could total as many as 135 when short-term, construction jobs are combined with the operating workforce. How many of these jobs will create long-term careers for local communities? How many jobs and careers will benefit local residents?

Sincerely,

Signature Ascension Marquez Date 1-25-2018
Name (Print) Asencion Marquez
City & State Artesia, NM 88210

May Ma
Office of Administration
Mail Stop: TWFN-7- A60M
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555- 0001

RE: Docket ID NRC-2018-0052; Holtec International's HI-STORE Spent Fuel Waste Facility

Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

I respectfully submit these scoping comments on the Holtec Environmental Report (ER) to bring up to 100,000 metric tons of spent fuel, high-level radioactive waste, from nuclear reactors around the country to southeast New Mexico. I am submitting the following comments because I do not consent to New Mexico becoming a national radioactive waste dumping ground. I do not consent to transporting up to 10,000 canisters of highly radioactive waste through thousands of communities nationwide. I do not consent to the risk of contamination of our lands, aquifers, air, or the health of plants, wildlife, and livestock. I do not consent to endangering present and future generations.

I formally request a 60-day Extension Of Time For This Comment Period. A 60-day comment period places an undue burden on the public to respond to this 543-page technical document. I formally request additional Public Scoping Meetings for other communities in New Mexico and nationwide that will be impacted by the transport and that any additional meetings have time for the public to make verbal comments to those present.

A thorough Environmental Justice analysis must be complete to consider all possible future impacts from this facility to the local communities and those along transport routes, including but not limited to: economic and health impacts. I also request proper Tribal Consultation for any affected indigenous nations whose people, cultural resources, or sacred places may adversely impacted at the site and along transportation routes.

This Holtec Proposal Is Contrary To Current Law

- Current law only allows the U.S. Department of Energy to take title to commercial spent fuel "following commencement of operation of a repository" or at a DOE-owned and operated monitored retrievable storage facility. The Holtec site meets neither requirement, as it is a private facility.

Holtec Must Remove Copyrights And All Redactions in the Environmental Report

- NRC must require Holtec to produce an ER that has no such copyright restriction and has no redactions.

The Impacts Of Permanent Storage Must Be Analyzed

- The Environmental Report (ER) is inadequate and incomplete because it does not analyze the impacts of the spent fuel being left at the Holtec site indefinitely.

More Alternatives Must Be Analyzed

- Keeping the spent fuel casks in some form of Hardened On Site Storage (HOSS) on the reactor sites must be analyzed.
- The alternative of consolidated storage being done at an existing licensed Independent Spent Fuel Storage Facility (ISFSI) must be analyzed.
- The waste can and should remain on site for many more years and does not need to move until thorough analyses of alternatives are complete.

The Environmental Report inadequately discusses the transportation Risks

- This ER must include all transportation routes and the potential impacts of accidents or terrorism incidents on public health and safety along all the routes.
- The ER is incomplete because it does not discuss how rail shipments from reactors without rail access would be accomplished and the risks and impacts of such shipments.

The Consequences To An Accident-Exposed Individual Must Be Analyzed

- Terms like “collective dose risk” and “person-rem” are used to ignore the potential impacts to a single individual.
- All possible exposures to humans from routine releases from transport casks and site storage must be clearly defined in plain language, for individuals near waste canisters on occasion and workers who are transporting or working at the site long-term.

Cracked And Leaking Canisters Must Be Addressed

- The ER does not analyze exactly how radioactive waste from a cracked and leaking canister would be handled, since there is no wet pool or hot cell at the site.

More Cumulative Impacts Must Be Analyzed

- The ER mentions the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) but does not analyze the impacts of a radiologic release from WIPP on the proposed CIS site.
- The impacts from the local oil and gas industry on the proposed site need to be analyzed.

Seismic Impacts On Stored Casks Must Be Stated

- Although the ER gives a statement on recent seismic activity in the area, there is no analysis of what many 3.0-4.0 fracking-induced earthquakes will have on the buried casks.

Impacts Of Future Railroads And Electric Lines Must Be Analyzed

- The railroads and electric lines are not in place, but must be analyzed.

How many of the estimated 135 jobs will go to locals and how many are only temporary?

- The total number of annual workers at the site could total as many as 135 when short-term, construction jobs are combined with the operating workforce. How many of these jobs will create long-term careers for local communities? How many jobs and careers will benefit local residents?

Sincerely,

Signature Irene Bustamante Date 07/25/2018

Name (Print) Irene Bustamante

City & State Artesia, NM 88210-1638