

# EXAM ASSIGNMENT TICKLER

<b>Chief:</b>	K. Clayton	<b>Facility:</b>	CP	<b>Date of Written Exam:</b>	6/20/2018
<b>U/I:</b>		<b>Start of Op Test:</b>	6/1/2018	<b>End of Op Test:</b>	6/16/2018
<b>Written Exam Developed By:</b> NRC			<b>Operating Test Developed By:</b> NRC		
<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Date Complete</b>	<b>Initials</b>	<b>Notes</b>	
10/9/2017	Examination administration date confirmed	8/30/17	KDE	For NRC prepared exams, arrangements made for the facility to submit reference materials	
11/13/2017	NRC examiners and facility contact assigned	8/30/17	KDE		
11/13/2017	Facility contact briefed on security and other requirements	8/30/17	KDE		
11/13/2017	Reference material due for NRC prepared exams	8/30/17	KDE	ES-201 Att-3	
11/13/2017	Corporate Notification Letter sent	11/14/17	KDE	ES-201 Att-4 produced by CE	
11/27/2017	NRC developed written examination outline sent to facility contact	N/A	KDE	ES-401-1/2 and ES-401-3 NRC writing exam	
1/8/2018	Operating test outline(s) and other checklists due	N/A	KDE	ES-201-2, 201-3, 301-1, 301-2, 301-5, D-1	
1/22/2018	Operating test outline(s) reviewed by NRC and feedback provided to facility licensee	N/A	KDE	ES-201-2 signed by CE & BC	
3/26/2018	Proposed exams, outlines, supporting documentation, and reference materials due.	4/2/18	KDE	Exams prepared by the NRC are approved by BC and forwarded for facility licensee review	
4/2/2018	Peer review of written exam complete	4/2/18	KDE	Document review on ES-401-9 N/A	
4/23/2018	Written exam and operating test reviews completed	4/2/18	KDE	Document review on ES-401-9 N/A	
5/7/2018	Feedback approved by Branch Chief			N/A	
5/7/2018	Examination review results discussed between NRC and facility licensee	5/11/18	KDE		
5/14/2018	Preliminary license applications & waiver/excusal requests due	5/14/18	KDE	NRC Forms 398/396	
5/14/2018	On-site validation & 10% audit of license applications	5/14/18	KDE		
5/21/2018	Preliminary license applications and waivers reviewed	5/21/18	KDE		
5/28/2018	Final license applications & waiver/excusal requests due	5/30/18	KDE	ES-201-4 prepared by LA	
6/4/2018	Written exams and operating tests approved by Branch Chief	6/6/18	KDE		
6/4/2018	Request facility licensee management feedback on the exam	6/6/18	KDE		
6/4/2018	Final applications reviewed and audited; exam approval and waiver/excusal letters sent	6/6/18	KDE	LA produces / BC signs Exam Approval Letter (ES-201 Att-5)	
6/4/2018	Proctoring/written exam administration guidelines reviewed with facility licensee	6/6/18	KDE		
6/4/2018	Approved scenarios and JPMs distributed to examiners	6/6/18	KDE		
6/11/2018	Administer Operating Test	6/11/18	KDE		
6/23/2018	Examiner's document op test results on ES 303's	7/12/18	KDE		
7/10/2018	Post exam comments and graded exams received	7/16/18	KDE	ES-403-1 to BC	
7/18/2018	Post exam written comments reviewed and incorporated and NRC grading completed	7/16/18	KDE		
7/18/2018	Post exam operating test comments reviewed and ES-303-1 updated	7/16/18	KDE		
7/25/2018	Chief examiner review of operating test and/or written exam grading completed	7/16/18	KDE	Signed ES 303's to BC	
8/1/2018	Branch Chief review of exam results completed	7/18/18	KDE		
8/15/2018	Waivers/deferrals reviewed for impact on licensing decision	7/18/18	KDE		
8/15/2018	License/Proposed Denial letters mailed; Facility notified of results	7/19/18	KDE		
8/15/2018	RPS/IP number of examinees updated	6/18/18	KDE	print Report-21	
8/22/2018	Examination Report Issued	8/1/18	KDE	produced by CE	
8/29/2018	SUNSI checklist complete and exam docs to ADAMS	8/9/18	KDE	SUNSI checklist to LA	
9/5/2018	Final Denial Letters mailed (if no request for informal review)	8/9/18	KDE	21 days after proposed denial letters mailed. holding for Appeal	
9/5/2018	Ref Mat'l Returned after Final Resolution of Appeals	8/9/18	KDE	writing on Appeal Res.	

Replaces NUREG-1021, Revision 11, Forms ES-201-1 and ES-501-1

Facility: CPNPP		Date of Examination: June 11, 2018		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c**
1. WRITTEN	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model in accordance with ES-401 or ES-401N.	K	NA	K
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 or ES-401N and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	K		K
	c. Assess whether the outline overemphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	K		K
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	K		K
2. SIMULATOR	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	K		K
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	K		K
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conforms with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D and in Section D.5, "Specific Instructions for the 'Simulator Operating Test,'" of ES-301 (including overlap).	K		K
3. WALKTHROUGH	a. Verify that the systems walkthrough outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) The outline(s) contains the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form. (2) Task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form. (3) No tasks are duplicated from the applicant's audit test(s). (4) The number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form. (5) The number of alternate-path, low-power, emergency, and radiologically controlled area tasks meets the criteria on the form.	K		K
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) The tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form. (2) At least one task is new or significantly modified. (3) No more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations.	K		K
	c. Determine whether there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	K		K
4. GENERAL	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including probabilistic risk assessment and individual plant examination insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	K		K
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41, 55.43, and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	K		K
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	K		K
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections and the last two NRC exams.	K		K
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	K		K
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	K		K
a. Author: NRC b. Facility Reviewer (*): N/A c. NRC Chief Examiner (#): Kelly Clancy / J. L. O'Connell d. NRC Supervisor: Vincent Gaddy / Vincent Gaddy		Printed Name/Signature Date: 6/5/18 6/5/18 6/5/18		

\* Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines.  
 # The independent NRC reviewer initials items in column "c"; the chief examiner's concurrence is required.

Facility: Comanche Peak		Date of Exam: June 20, 2018		Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Item Description				Initial			
				a	b*	c*#	
1.	Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.			KC	N/A	KC	
2.	a.	NRC K/As are referenced for all questions.			KC		KC
	b.	Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.					
	c.	Correct answer explanation and distractor analysis provided (ES-401, D.2.g)					
3.	SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401			KC		KC	
4.	The sampling process was random and systematic. (If more than four RO or two SRO questions were repeated from the last two NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR/NRO OL program office).			KC		KC	
5.	Question duplication from the licensee screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate. ___ The audit exam was systematically and randomly developed, or ___ the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started, or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the examinations were developed independently, or ___ the licensee certifies that there is no duplication, or ___ other (explain).			KC		KC	
6.	Bank use meets limits (no more than 75% from the bank, at least 10% new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO/SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.			Bank	Modified	New	
				13/6	2/2	60/17	
				KC		KC	
7.	Between 38 and 45 questions of the questions on the RO exam and at least 13 questions of the questions on the SRO-only portion of the exam are written at the comprehension/analysis level (see ES-401, D.2.c); enter the actual RO/SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.			Memory	C/A		
				36/9	39/16		
				KC		KC	
8.	References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.			KC		KC	
9.	Question content conforms to specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.			KC		KC	
10.	Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in Appendix B.			KC		KC	
11.	The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple-choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.			KC		KC	
a. Author b. Facility Reviewer (*) c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) d. NRC Supervisor				Printed Name/Signature NRC N/A Kelly Clayton / Kelly Clayton Vincent Gandy / Vincent Gandy		Date 5/11/18 6/4/18 6/6/18	
Note: * The facility reviewer's initials or signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer Initials items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence is required.							

Facility: Comanche Peak		Date of Examination: June 2018		Operating Test Number: 1	
1. General Criteria		Initials			
		a	b*	c#	
a.	The operating test conforms to the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	KC	/	KC	
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	KC	/	KC	
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s) (see Section D.1.a.).	KC	/	KC	
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	KC	/	KC	
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	KC	/	KC	
2. Walkthrough Criteria		-	-	-	
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>initial conditions</li> <li>initiating cues</li> <li>references and tools, including associated procedures</li> <li>reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee</li> <li>operationally important specific performance criteria that include— <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature</li> <li>system response and other examiner cues</li> <li>statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant</li> <li>criteria for successful completion of the task</li> <li>identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards</li> <li>restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	KC	/	KC	
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walkthrough outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and ES-301-2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last two NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	KC	/	KC	
3. Simulator Criteria		-	-	-	
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4, and a copy is attached.		KC	/	KC	
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> <p>Printed Name/Signature</p> <p>a. Author <u>NRC Region 4</u></p> <p>b. Facility Reviewer (*) <u>N/A</u></p> <p>c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) <u>Kelly Clayton / Kelly Clayton</u></p> <p>d. NRC Supervisor <u>Vincent Gentry / Vincent Gentry</u></p> </div> <div> <p>Date</p> <p><u>5/11/18</u></p> <p><u>6/4/18</u></p> <p><u>6/6/18</u></p> </div> </div>					
<p>* The facility licensee signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests.</p> <p># The independent NRC reviewer initials items in column "c"; the chief examiner concurrence is required.</p>					

Facility: Comanche Peak Date of Exam: June 2018 Scenario Numbers: 1 / 2 / 3 / 4 / 5 Operating Test No.: 1

QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES		Initials		
		a	b*	c*
1.	The initial conditions are realistic in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	KC	NA	KC
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	KC		KC
3.	Each event description consists of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated</li> <li>the malfunction(s) or conditions that are entered to initiate the event</li> <li>the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew</li> <li>the expected operator actions (by shift position)</li> <li>the event termination point (if applicable)</li> </ul>	KC		KC
4.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	KC		KC
5.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	KC		KC
6.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	KC		KC
7.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	KC		KC
8.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	KC		KC
9.	Scenarios are new or significantly modified in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	KC		KC
10.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	KC		KC
11.	The scenario set provides the opportunity for each applicant to be evaluated in each of the applicable rating factors. (Competency rating factors as described on Forms ES-303-1 and ES-303-3.)	KC		KC
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	KC		KC
13.	Applicants are evaluated on a similar number of preidentified critical tasks across scenarios, when possible.	KC		KC
14.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	KC		KC
Target Quantitative Attributes per Scenario (See Section D.5.d)		1 / 3 / 4	-	-
		Actual Attributes	-	-
1.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	2 / 2 / 3 / /	KC	KC
2.	Abnormal events (2-4)	4 / 4 / 4 / /	KC	KC
3.	Major transients (1-2)	1 / 2 / 2 / /	KC	KC
4.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	2 / 2 / 3 / /	KC	KC
5.	Entry into a contingency EOP with substantive actions (≥ 1 per scenario set)	0 / 1 / 1 / /	KC	KC
6.	Preidentified critical tasks (≥ 2)	2 / 3 / 2 / /	KC	KC

\* The facility licensee signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests.  
 # An independent NRC reviewer initials items in column "c"; chief examiner concurrence is required.

Facility: <u>CPNPP</u>		Date of Exam: <u>6/20/18</u>		Exam Level: <u>RO</u> <u>SRO</u>	
Item Description	Initials				
	a	b	c		
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	<u>JK</u>	<u>JK</u>	<u>KE</u>		
2. Proposed answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented (facility reviewer initials not required (N/R) if NO post-examination comments are submitted)	<u>JK</u>	<u>JK</u>	<u>KE</u>		
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	<u>JK</u>	<u>JK</u>	<u>KE</u>		
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80% $\pm$ 2% overall and 70% or 80%, as applicable, $\pm$ 4% on the SRO-only exam) reviewed in detail	<u>JK</u>	<u>JK</u>	<u>KE</u>		
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	<u>JK</u>	<u>JK</u>	<u>KE</u>		
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by one-half or more of the applicants	<u>JK</u>	<u>JK</u>	<u>KE</u>		
Printed Name/Signature		Date			
a. Grader	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6/21/18</u>			
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6/21/18</u>			
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<u>Kelly Clayton / Kelly Clayton</u>	<u>6/26/18</u>			
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<u>Vincent Gaddy / Vincent Gaddy</u>	<u>7/18/18</u>			
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.					

# IP 21 Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 06/10/2018 to 06/16/2018

Phase Code: 5 - Operational

Region: 4

Exam Week	Site / Docket # / Insp Rpt #	# Candidates				Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
		RO	LSRO	SROI	SROU				
06/10/2018	Comanche Peak / 05000445 / 2018301 CAC #: 000500	6	0	6	3	FB-OR-INITIAL LICENSE EXAM ADMINIS TRATION (EXAD)	NNN	Clayton, Kelly	Clayton, Kelly Hayes, Mahdi Kirkland, John Osterholtz, Clyde
06/10/2018	Comanche Peak / 05000446 / 2018301 CAC #: 000500	6	0	6	3	FB-OR-INITIAL LICENSE EXAM ADMINIS TRATION (EXAD)	NNN	Clayton, Kelly	Clayton, Kelly Hayes, Mahdi Kirkland, John Osterholtz, Clyde

Sites: CP

Orgs: R40KB300000

Exam Author: NNN

Activity Codes: EXAD, OV

6/18/2018 9:24:16 AM

### 1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 6/11/2018 – 6/18/2018 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC's chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC's chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

### 2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 6/11/2018 – 6/18/2018. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. Jordan Ruby	Exam Supervisor	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/14/17	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/25/18	
2. Jack White	S.M. TEST ENGINEER	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/14/17	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/25/18	
3. Rick Walker		<i>[Signature]</i>	8/14/17	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/25/18	
4. David Gordon	S.M. ENGINEER	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/14/17	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/25/18	
5. Richard Nichols	Exam Developer	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/14/17	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/25/18	
6. Janyce Porter	Records Coordinator	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/14/17	<i>[Signature]</i>	3-29-18 *	
7. Karen Clellan	ADMIN	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/14/17	<i>[Signature]</i>	6-25/18	
8. Mark Wilson	S.M. ENGINEER	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/14/17	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/25/18	
9. Jay Lloyd	Shift Dr. Manager	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/15/17	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/25/18	
10. Ken Schaaf	Contract Exam (telecom)	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/15/17	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/25/18	
11. Peter Schell	ops support manager	<i>[Signature]</i>	11-16-17	<i>[Signature]</i>	6-25-18	
12. Tim Vignat	Fuel Handling Coordinator	<i>[Signature]</i>	2-12-18	<i>[Signature]</i>	6-25-18	
13. Nick Ryan	Core Performance Engineer	<i>[Signature]</i>	2-12-18	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/25/18	
14. Christine Miller	AO	<i>[Signature]</i>	2-13-18	<i>[Signature]</i>	6-25-18	
15. Erica Mitchell	Shift Manager	<i>[Signature]</i>	7-13-18	<i>[Signature]</i>	6-21-18	

NOTES: \* Retired from CPNPP and out of the Nuclear Industry



1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 6/11/2018 - 6/18/2018 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC's chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC's chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 6/11/2018 - 6/18/2018. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. Tony Kade	REACTOR OPERATOR		04/17/18		06/21/18	
2. Justin Smith	Reactor Operator		04/23/18		6/23/18	
3. Bill Gross	Instructor		4/27/18		6/25/18	
4. Christopher M. Mize	SRO		5/12/18		6/25/18	
5. Victor Placido	SRO		5/14/18		6/25/18	
6. JOSEPH P. EGAN	REACTOR OPERATOR		5/14/18		6/20/18	
7. DAVID A. ROSE	REACTOR OPERATOR		5/14/18		6/20/18	
8. Casey Wright	SRO		5/14/18		6-20-18	
9. Rick Rodgers	SRO		5/17/18		6-25-18	
10. VICTOR EVERETT	Reactor Operator		5/17/18		6-21-18	
11. Austin Hunsatt	RO		5/18/18			
12. Kelsy Beaman	SRO		5/18/18			
13. Matt Kalk	SRO		5/18/18			
14. Lora Stock	Shift Manager		5/18/18			
15. Tom Morales	Cps Training Manager		5-25-18		6-25-18	



























NOTES:

## 1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 6/11/2018 – 6/18/2018 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC's chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC's chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

## 2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 6/11/2018 – 6/18/2018. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. Rod Sheets	US / SRO		2/13/18		6/21/18	
2. Justin Smith	US / SRO		2/13/18		6/21/18	
3. Zach Murrmann	RO / RO		2/15/18		6/25/18	
4. Bob Smith	Instructor / RO-SRO		3-15-18		6/25/18	
5. Fred Parsons	RO / RO		3/21/18		6/25/18	
6. Carrie Hamilton	Admin		3/22/18		6/25/18	
7. Jeff Gandy	RO		4-2-18		6-21-18	
8. Aaron Kottenberg	RO		4/2/18		6/20/18	
9. Patrick Alexander	US/SRO		4/3/18		6/29/18	
10. LINDA DEERMAN	RO/RO		4/4/18		6/21/18	
11. CLINT BURGESS	US/SRO		4-10-18		6-20-18	
12. Robert Flores	RO		4/10/18		6/21/18	
13. SHANE BATEL-FIEW	EXAM MATERIAL		4-12-18		6-15/18	
14. Christopher Muege	US/SRO		4-17-18		6-21-18	
15. Dan Richter	RO		4-17-18		6-20-18	

NOTES:

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 6/11/18 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC's chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC's chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 6/11/18. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. <u>MATT IDEAL</u>	<u>UNIT SUPERVISOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>5-21-18</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6-20-18</u>	
2. <u>Loren Stark</u>	<u>Shift Manager</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>5-21-18</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6/25/18</u>	
3. <u>AUSTIN HAUGHT</u>	<u>RO</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>5-18-18</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6/25/18</u>	
4. <u>Kels Breiman</u>	<u>SRP</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>5-18-18</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6-21-18</u>	
5. <u>Brian Smith</u>	<u>OPS Director</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6/1/18</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6-25-18</u>	
6. <u>Dana Christensen</u>	<u>TR Director</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6/13/18</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>		
7.						
8.						
9.						
10.						
11.						
12.						
13.						
14.						
15.						

NOTES: