

MEMO ROUTE SLIP Form ERDA-93 (1-75) ERIDAM 0240		See me about this. Note and return.	For concurrence. For signature.	For action. For information.
TO (Name and unit) IE Chief, FC&EB IE:HQ(4) Licensing(4) DR Central Files J. Rizzo, OMTPC	INITIALS	REMARKS American Electric Power Service Corporation Indiana and Michigan Power Company D. C. Cook Plant Unit #1 Docket # 50-315		
	DATE			
TO (Name and unit) A/D for Info. Processing Region I Region II PDR Local PDR	INITIALS	REMARKS		
	DATE			
TO (Name and unit) NSIC TIC R. Callen R. Vollen	INITIALS	REMARKS		
	DATE			
FROM (Name and unit) G. Fiorelli IE:III	REMARKS Attached is a copy of licensee's reply dated October 30, 1975, to IE Bulletin 75-04A.			
PHONE NO.	DATE 11/04/75			

USE OTHER SIDE FOR ADDITIONAL REMARKS

GPO : 1975 O-577-369

PR  
80 2



AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER Service Corporation



2 Broadway, New York, N. Y. 10001  
(212) 422-4800

ROBERT S. HUNTER  
Vice President - Nuclear Engineering

October 30, 1975

Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant  
Docket No. 50-315  
DPR No. 58

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Office of Inspection and Enforcement  
Region III  
799 Roosevelt Road  
Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Attention: Mr. J. G. Keppler, Regional Director

Gentlemen:

Item 6 of IE Bulletin 75-04A requires a schedule for accomplishment of any revision to our policies and procedures and any proposed changes to the facility, to assure that consideration for alternate methods for accomplishing an orderly plant shutdown and cooldown are provided in case of loss of normal and preferred alternate shutdown and cooldown systems at the Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant. Our letter to you dated June 27, 1975 provided this schedule.

In addition, Item 6 requests summary reports detailing our monthly progress. This letter is the fourth such monthly report. The three previous reports were dated July 30, August 27, and September 30, 1975.

The guides for performing local control of critical components and for writing a procedure for cold shutdown following the postulated event have been sent to the plant along with an index of the equipment covered in the guides. These have been used in the preparation of



1-2

Mr. J. G. Keppler

- 2 -


October 30, 1975

a first draft procedure which was reviewed by plant personnel. A second draft is now being prepared to incorporate their comments. The final procedure is scheduled to be ready by December 1, 1975.

A design change request has been generated which lists changes to be made to air lines on a number of air operated valves to make local control possible. This request also includes information on local instrumentation panels to be installed to monitor critical parameters following loss of control from the control room. This request is now under review and is soon to be submitted to our Change Control Board.

Following approval of this design change request, the Electrical Engineering Division will finalize the design of the local panels and material availability for changes will be determined. At that time, preparation of a schedule for the implementation of the changes will be possible.

Very truly yours,



R. S. Hunter  
Vice President-Nuclear Engineering

RSH:ma

cc: Gerald Charnoff  
R. C. Callen  
P. W. Steketee  
R. Walsh  
R. J. Vollen  
R. W. Jurgensen - Bridgman

1. The first group of people who were  
2. to be interviewed were the  
3. members of the family who were  
4. living in the house at the time  
5. of the murder. They were  
6. interviewed separately and  
7. their statements were taken  
8. down in writing. The first  
9. person interviewed was the  
10. mother of the deceased.

11. The next person interviewed was  
12. the father of the deceased.  
13. He stated that he had been  
14. in the house at the time  
15. of the murder and that he  
16. had seen the deceased being  
17. taken away by a man who  
18. he did not know.

19. The next person interviewed was  
20. the sister of the deceased.  
21. She stated that she had been  
22. in the house at the time  
23. of the murder and that she  
24. had seen the deceased being  
25. taken away by a man who  
26. she did not know.

27. The next person interviewed was  
28. the brother of the deceased.  
29. He stated that he had been  
30. in the house at the time  
31. of the murder and that he  
32. had seen the deceased being  
33. taken away by a man who  
34. he did not know.