Official Transcript of Proceedings NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title: Public Scoping Meeting for the Environmental

Impact Statement for Holtec International's Hi-store Consolidated Interim Storage Facility for Spent Nuclear Fuel Located in Lea County,

New Mexico

Docket Number: N/A

Location: Gallup, New Mexico

Date: May 21, 2018

Work Order No.: NRC-3721 Pages 1-115

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
3	+ + + +
4	PUBLIC SCOPING MEETING FOR THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
5	STATEMENT FOR HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL'S HI-STORE
6	CONSOLIDATED INTERIM STORAGE FACILITY FOR SPENT
7	NUCLEAR FUEL LOCATED IN LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO
8	+ + + +
9	MONDAY,
10	MAY 21, 2018
11	+ + + +
12	GALLUP, NEW MEXICO
13	+ + + +
14	The Public Scoping Meeting was convened in
15	the Gallup Downtown Conference Center, 204 West Coal
16	Avenue, at 6:00 p.m., Chip Cameron, facilitating.
17	
18	NRC STAFF PRESENT:
19	CHIP CAMERON, Facilitator
20	BRIAN SMITH, Deputy Director, Division of Fuel Cycle
21	Safety, Safeguards, and Environmental Review,
22	Office of Nuclear Material Safety and
23	Safeguards (NMSS)
24	JILL CAVERLY, Environmental Review Project Manager,
25	Environmental Review Branch, NMSS

1	JOSE CUADRADO, Licensing and Safety Review Project
2	Manager, Spent Fuel Licensing Branch, NMSS
3	DAVID McINTYRE, Public Affairs Officer, Office of
4	Public Affairs
5	JOHN McKIRGAN, Chief, Spent Fuel Licensing Branch,
6	NMSS
7	ANGEL MORENO, Congressional Affairs Officer, Office
8	of Congressional Affairs
9	CINTHYA ROMAN, Chief, Environmental Review Branch,
10	NMSS
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2 E	

PROCEEDINGS

1 2 6:02 p.m. 3 MR. CAMERON: Okay, everybody, we're going 4 to get started. It's a little bit after six o'clock. 5 And my name is Chip Cameron, and I'm going to serve as your facilitator for tonight's meeting. And in that 6 7 role I'll try to help all of you to have a productive 8 And I want to welcome you all to the NRC 9 public meeting. NRC is for the United States Nuclear 10 Regulatory Commission. And we're going to try not to 11 use many acronyms at all tonight, but one you are 12 NRC, for Nuclear 13 hear is 14 Commission. You're also going to hear NEPA, that's 15

National Environmental Policy Act. And you're also going to hear EIS, that stands for Environmental Impact Statement.

And the meeting tonight is about the NRC's environmental review process to help the NRC to decide whether to grant a license to the Holtec International Company to construct and operate an interim spent fuel storage site in Lea County, New Mexico.

And the NRC staff is here, and I'll introduce them in a few minutes. The NRC staff is here tonight to explain its license application review

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

process to you. Not only the environmental review, but the safety review. Those are the two major components of the NRC review process.

And after the NRC gives its presentations, gives its information to you, then the NRC wants to listen to your comments and your recommendations on something called the scope of the EIS. And the scope is a very simple concept. It's what should the NRC consider in putting together the Environmental Impact Statement, the EIS. What issues doesn't it have to consider?

And that's where they're asking advice from all of you. And it'll be clear, after their presentations, what that's all about.

Now, your comments tonight are going to be part of a formal record. We have Bruce Carlson right here, he's our court reporter. He's taking a transcript of the meeting tonight of all your comments, of the NRC presentations. And that will be publicly available to all of you within two to three weeks, I think.

Now, I have a list of names of people who want to comment tonight, and we got those basically from the blue cards that people filled in when they come in, came into the meeting. And I'm going to call

your name, and I'll ask you to join me up here at the podium, and then we'll listen to your comment.

And I'll try to call four names at a time so that you're prepared; you know when you're going to come up next to comment. But because we have so many speakers tonight, and that's really totally gratifying that we have so many people coming out to talk to us, but because we have so many, I'm setting a four-minute time limit for each comment.

Fortunately, you can amplify on your comment. You can amplify on anything you heard here tonight in a written comment to the NRC. And they'll be telling you how you do that.

And one of the useful things about these meetings is not only for the NRC to hear your comment, but you may hear other people in the audience say something that'll make you think about, you know what, I want to comment on that also. So even though it's four minutes, you're going to hear a lot of useful comments.

Now, the NRC staff is here tonight to listen carefully to what you have to say. They're not going to be responding to any of your comments, not going to be responding to any questions that you have in your comments. But they will evaluate your

comments and your questions when they prepare the draft Environmental Impact Statement.

We do have some representatives of your, the staff of your elected congressional representatives. We have Jim Dumont, Jim. It's Jim Dumont, who's with Senator Heinrich. And Brian Lee, who's with Congressman Lujan. Brian, there's Brian right back there. And thank the Representative and the Senator for having you come here tonight.

There are also representatives of the license applicant here tonight, Holtec International. They'll be here after the meeting to talk with you if you have any questions for them. And just let me introduce Joy Russell from Holtec, right back there.

Let me introduce the NRC staff. First we're going to hear from Cinthya Roman, Cinthya. And Cinthya's the Chief of the Environmental Review Branch in the NRC's Office of Nuclear Materials Safety and Safeguards. And she's going to open the meeting up for us.

qoinq hear from Jill we're to is the Project Caverly. Jill Manager for the Holtec Environmental review of the license application. And you're going to hear a lot of information from her.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

We also have Brian Smith here. He shouldn't look relaxed because he was, his flight was delayed, and then he had a flat tire coming here. But he looks relaxed, okay. But Brian is the, he's our senior NRC official. He's the Deputy Director of the division where Cinthya's branch and Jill's branch is, and it's the Division of Fuel Cycle Safeguards and Environmental Review. So those are our three speakers.

But let me introduce quickly a few others who are here. We have Jose Cuadrado, who's right here. Now, Jose's the Project Manager for the safety review of the Holtec license application. And we have Jose's Branch Chief, John McKirgan, here, and that's the branch, he's Chief of the Spent Fuel Licensing Branch.

Now we also have Dave McIntyre back there, he's from our Office of Public Affairs in Rockville, Maryland. And we have Angel Moreno. Angel is right back there, he's from our Office of Congressional Affairs.

And a final point is just let's extend courtesy to everybody. You may hear comments that don't agree with your own, but let's respect the person giving them. And I have to say, I have to emphasize, we've done three meetings on this subject

1 in New Mexico, and we've had lots of people there. And at every one of those meetings, people were very 2 3 courteous. So I know we're going to continue that. 4 But we did have a little kerfuffle at the 5 end of the Carlsbad meeting about timekeeping. So tonight we have official timekeepers, rather than 6 7 unofficial timekeepers like myself. We have official timekeeper, Miriam Juckett, okay. 8 9 We're setting a four-minute limit, as I 10 said, for comment. And Miriam is going to hold up a sign when you have one minute left, okay. And then 11 when your four minutes are up, she's going to hold up 12 that red sign. 13 14 Now, since we're doing the timekeeping, 15 going to have little bit we're to be a 16 disciplined. When that time is up, I'm going to have 17 to ask you to stop and we're going to call the next person up. So plan accordingly for your comments so 18 19 that you can get it all in in four minutes. don't get it all in in four minutes, then send in a 20 written comment. 21 And with that, one other last important 22

And with that, one other last important thing is that there's a little sign here that says restroom, well, it's because they're back there, if you need to know. Okay, with that, Cinthya.

23

24

1 MS. ROMAN: Can you hear me? Can you hear Can you hear me? First I want to say a 2 3 couple of things in Spanish. 4 (Foreign language spoken.) 5 MS. ROMAN: Good evening. As mentioned, the staff in my branch will be working on 6 7 the environmental review for the Holtec license application. And our main goal today is to hear from 8 9 you, so I am going to be very brief. 10 First, I want to give you a very quick overview of what NRC does, and our role in regulating 11 the Holtec project. Our agency is charged by federal 12 law to be the nation's only regulator of commercial 13 14 nuclear materials, independently ensuring materials are used, handled, and stored safely and 15 16 securely. Our mission is to protect public health 17 and safety, promote the common defense and security, 18 19 and protect the environment by regulating the civilian use of radioactive materials. To accomplish our 20 mission, we carefully review each license application 21 we receive before making a decision on whether or not 22 to grant an applicant's request. Next slide. 23

> The NRC regulates the operation of 99 nuclear power reactors that generate about 20% of the

24

electricity in the United States. We also regulate civilian use of nuclear materials, research reactors at university, transportation of nuclear materials, and storage and their disposal.

NRC strives to be open and transparent in its review. As such, stakeholders will have many opportunities to participate in public meetings on environmental and safety issues. This scoping meeting is one of those opportunities. Next slide.

So, what is the NRC role regarding the Holtec proposed facility? As an independent regulator, the NRC determines whether it's safe to build and operate a storage facility at the proposed site. The NRC does not promote or build any nuclear facility. We do not own or operate the facilities. Our mission and our regulations are designed to protect both the public, workers, and the environment, as I mentioned earlier.

Holtec is applying for a license to store waste. Holtec is not asking NRC for permission to reprocess or generate more nuclear waste. NRC does not select the location for the storage facility; we just evaluate the impacts of building and operating the facility at the location proposed by the licensee.

As we will explain later in these

1 presentations, the results of our environmental review will be documented in Environmental 2 an 3 Statement, which is a public document. This analysis, 4 along with other factors, will form the basis for the 5 staff decision on whether to issue a license or not. This concludes my remarks. 6 And now Jill 7 Caverly will provide additional information about the 8 environmental review process. Thank you. 9 MS. CAVERLY: Hello, I'm Jill Caverly, and 10 I'm going to be acting as the Environmental Project Manager for this project. 11 The next few slides are going to 12 specific to the Holtec review. Holtec has applied for 13 14 a license to construct and operate a storage facility under 10 CFR Part 72, the NRC's regulations governing 15 the storage of spent nuclear fuel and reactor-related, 16 17 greater than Class C waste. So if granted, Holtec would receive a 40-18 19 year license to construct and operate the consolidated The current application interim storage facility. 20 before the NRC requests construction and operation of 21 only the first phase of up to 20 planned phases. 22 the current application, Holtec is requesting storage 23 24 of up to 500 canisters of spent nuclear fuel.

The spent fuel would come from shut-down

and operating nuclear power plants from around the country. Holtec anticipates applying for up to 20 phases of construction and operation of 500 canisters of spent nuclear fuel each, for a total of 10,000 canisters of spent fuel storage.

These additional phases would require separate applications from Holtec and would be subject to their own safety and environmental reviews. The Environmental Report provides information on the full build-out of the site for 10,000 canisters. Next slide.

slide So this shows the approximate location of the proposed consolidated interim storage facility in southeastern New Mexico. And as you can proposed facility would see, the be located approximately halfway between the cities of Carlsbad and Hobbs in Lea County. Next slide.

Holtec plans to use a HI-STORM UMAX system for the storage of the spent fuel. HI-STORM UMAX stands for the Holtec International storage module underground maximum capacity and is an NRC-certified design, which means that we have evaluated it and determined that it meets NRC regulations and can safely store spent fuel.

This system is a dry, in-ground, spent

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

fuel storage system. Each of the modules holds one canister of spent fuel, and Holtec has applied for storage of 500 canisters of spent fuel. The canisters' transfer facilities would be below ground. This is a low profile design, and this is a conceptual drawing from the application. Next slide.

So this a flow chart that provides an overview of the license application review process, which is generally described as a three-parallel-phrase process. After the application is submitted, the NRC conducts an acceptance review to determine if the application has sufficient enough information to begin a detailed technical review. If so, NRC documents the application, and that begins the safety and environmental review paths.

From a safety standpoint, we work through a separate review to decide if a license should be issued. The results of the safety review phase is a Safety Evaluation Report. This is graphically represented on the left column of the flow chart, or the steps in orange.

The environmental review results in an Environmental Impact Statement, which describes the impact on the environment of the proposed project. On the right-hand side, you'll see the adjudicatory

hearings. That blue box on the figure refers to the opportunity for the public to request a hearing on the application.

These hearings would be held if a petition to intervene was granted. So the results of these three processes, a hearing if granted, the results of an environmental review documented in an Environmental Impact Statement, and the safety review, documented in a Safety Evaluation Report, will factor into NRC's final decision on whether or not to grant the license to Holtec for the storage facility.

It's important to note that tonight's focus will be on the environmental review process. So this diagram outlines the environmental review in more detail, or the middle column of the previous slide. The opportunities for public involvement are highlighted in light blue.

The NRC starts the environmental review by publishing a notice of intent to inform the public of our plan to prepare an EIS and conduct the scoping process. The light blue box on the right side identifies the current scoping process, of which this meeting is included.

The purpose of this phase is to gather more information to use to help us prepare the EIS.

Comments gathered from this meeting, as well as many other information collections, will be independently evaluated for impacts of this particular project on the environment.

We will document your comments today in this meeting transcript. The public can also provide written comments through the end of the scoping period.

It's important to know that we analyze the information gathered, we'll develop an EIS, and issue it for public comment. At that time, we will again invite the public's comment on the draft Environmental Impact Statement, and that's the lower blue box on the left side. At that time, we will schedule a meeting to hear your comments on the draft EIS. NRC will evaluate those comments and consider modifying the draft EIS before issuing a final EIS.

The final EIS and the results of the safety review, the Safety Evaluation Report, will contribute to NRC's final decision on the application. Next slide, please.

So our environmental review is based on the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act, or NEPA. NEPA requires federal agencies to apply a systematic approach to evaluate the impacts of its

1 actions on the environment. NRC will prepare the EIS in accordance with those regulations. 2 3 please. 4 This is a graphical representation of the 5 types and sources of information that NRC gathers when preparing the EIS. We will conduct a site visit and 6 7 meet with local and state officials and other federal 8 agencies and tribes. We are currently gathering information for 9 scoping to help us determine which issues should be 10 considered in our review. We also expect to request 11 information from Holtec following additional 12 completion of these activities. Next slide. 13 14 The NRC will gather information on a wide range of topics related to the environmental issues. 15 16 This slide shows many of the resource areas that we 17 will consider in our Environmental Impact Statement. We typically include all of these in our impact 18 19 Okay, next slide. statement. Thanks. This slide is a high-level timeline of our 20 anticipated environmental review. The 21 stepwise approach meets our responsibilities under the National 22 Environmental Policy Act, or NEPA. 23 We started the review with a notice of 24

intent to conduct scoping and prepare an EIS, and that

started a 60-day scoping period. The NRC has since received several requests to expand the scoping period and did so. The revised scoping period will end on July 30. We will continue to gather and analyze information related to the review and develop and publish a draft EIS.

At that point, we'll publish a notice of availability that starts at least a 45-day period for the public and other agencies to comment on the draft EIS. Those comments, like I said earlier, will be addressed, and analysis adjusted if necessary. Next slide.

So the scoping process helps the NRC to determine the scope of the EIS and identify significant issues to be analyzed in depth. identifies and eliminates issues which are significant. Finally, it identifies other environmental reviews and consultation requirements related to the proposed action. Next slide.

The NRC is requesting information and input specific to the proposed facility regarding what should be included or excluded from the scope of the EIS. Some examples of the information NRC is requesting are, are the local projects that are being planned -- are there local projects that are being

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

planned or developed nearby? Have you identified any wildlife or habitat that should be considered?

Are there cultural resources that should be considered in the evaluation? Are there particular populations nearby that should be considered? Are there unique characteristics of the project site or local communities that NRC should consider in its evaluation? Next slide.

There are several ways you can submit comments on the scope of the EIS. You may present your comments orally or in writing at this public meeting. You may submit comments through the regulations.gov website by searching for the docket ID listed here, and I'll say it for the record, NRC-2018-0052.

You also may mail comments to the address on the slide. You may also email your comments to the address listed on this slide. So we've extended the scoping period until July 30, so please, if you choose to provide comments in one of these four ways, please do so by July 30. Next slide.

So for additional information on this application and review, you can access many different publications, including the federal rulemaking website, NRC's public document room, and through the

NRC's project-specific website for the Holtec application, and that's listed on the slide here.

We've also asked that the public libraries in Hobbs, Carlsbad, and Roswell to hold a copy of the Environmental Report for your review. If you want to be on our mailing list, please make sure that your name and your email was provided to one of the NRC staff in the back of the room. This is one way to ensure that you'll be notified of upcoming meetings and the issuance of the draft and final EIS.

So at the bottom of this slide are the NRC points of contact, it's Jose and myself. Feel free to contact us if you have any further questions. Please remember to provide all those comments by July 30. I'm going to turn it over to Brian for some closing remarks.

MR. SMITH: Good evening, everyone. Welcome, and thank you attending our public scoping meeting tonight. We look forward to receiving your My name's Brian Smith, I'm the Deputy comments. the Division of Fuel Cycle Safety, Director of Environmental Safequards, and Review at NRC's Headquarters in Rockville, Maryland.

My division has the responsibility for leading this Environmental Impact Statement review,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and it's a responsibility that we take very seriously.

I know there's those of you in the audience who may be supportive of the project and those of you that may be against the project tonight. We want to hear from both sides. But most importantly, we want to hear from you what you think is most important for us to review as part of our Environmental Impact Statement review.

We treat all the comments the same, whether submitted by one person or many. And as we complete our Environmental Impact statement, we will consider every comment that we've received.

Through the last few public scoping meetings, there was a consent-based process that was brought up by several commenters. I want to provide a little bit of clarification on that. This was a DOE initiative that they started a while back, and then subsequently ended.

The NRC does not follow a consent-based licensing process. The way the NRC process works is we establish the regulations that applicants have to meet. The applicants then choose a site and then prepare a license application that is submitted to the NRC for review. We do our review, safety, security, environmental review. And if the applicant satisfies

all of our regulations, we then issue a license.

So once again, we look forward to hearing your comments tonight. And with that, I'll turn it over to chip.

MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank you, thank you all for that clear explanation of the process. Was that clear to everybody, how the NRC process works? Okay, well we're going to go to public comment now, and I'll ask you to come up here. And we have a State of New Mexico representative with us tonight, Stephanie Garcia Richard. And I'm going to ask Representative Richard to come up. Then we're going to go to Mr. Norman Patrick Brown, Mervyn Tilden, and then Jonathan Perry. So this is Representative Richard.

REPRESENTATIVE RICHARD: (Native language spoken.) Good evening, everyone. (Native language spoken.) My name is Stephanie Garcia Richard. I represent Los Alamos, so I am very familiar with the NRC, with the process that we are considering here this evening.

I am very gratified to see so many people in attendance, because truly, this is a matter of national importance that we are here to speak about this evening. And so I'm happy to see so many New

Mexicans, so many local people here to give their comments on this process.

It's my job in the state legislature to represent my people and their concerns about safety. And so that's the capacity that I'm here this evening. I'm here to represent the people that I represent in New Mexico and some of the concerns and health and safety, environmental concerns that they have shared with me with you all here this evening.

And I would really urge the NRC to take into account the folks that are going to speak to you tonight, because they have history of a long legacy of nuclear matters. They live with a legacy uranium here in this community, as we do in Los Alamos. We live with the legacy storage of defense waste. And so I really hope that you take into account their comments.

I learned on Friday, we had a legislative hearing about this same matter, and I learned that there was site that was approved in Utah over the objections of the public there. And so I really hope that, you know, that that does not become the case here. Because I know that a lot of these scoping meetings have had much opposition.

And so I hope that we are not going to approve a license over the objections of New Mexicans.

The site in Utah has actually not even been used because there's so much opposition that they are actually not even granting access to it or approving the lease to access it.

So some of the concerns I have been sent here to share with you this evening, these come from about seven of my Senate colleagues and about 21 of my House colleagues, and they include analysis of the transportation routes that would be utilized, the state permits that have been requested and what permits would be required for this facility.

The potential liability to the state and financial assurances to New Mexico. Emergency preparedness and need for equipment and training. Water contamination risks. Seismic concerns. The stability and suitability of the site. Contamination risks due to the oil, gas, dairy, ranching, and other industry within the area that we are speaking of.

Longevity and viability of the transportation of the storage casks and canisters, and how damage or cracked waste containers would be handled at the facility if there is indeed going to be no hot cell or that kind of facility to care for these casks should they rupture.

So that is my comment this evening. I

1 very much appreciate being included, and I 2 forward to listening to the rest of the 3 community and hearing their comments. Thank you very 4 much. 5 MR. **CAMERON:** Thank you, thank Representative Richard. Mr. Brown. Then we'll go to 6 7 Mervyn Tilden and then Jonathan Perry. This is Mr. 8 Brown, Norman Patrick Brown. 9 MR. BROWN: I'd like to say good evening. My name is Norman Patrick Brown, I'm a co-founder of 10 one of the largest grassroots organizations, the Diné 11 Bidziil Coalition, which means the people's strength. 12 I've been very honored over my lifetime to 13 14 speak on behalf of my grassroots community. 15 asked by Grandma to come here to speak my truth and to speak her truths. As you know, we have suffered the 16 horrible uranium legacy. We call these crimes against 17 humanity. Earlier, I saw your logo saying that you 18 19 protect the environment and the people. 20 We believe that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, through factual history, their collusion 21 with energy corporations that come out to our land. 22 You are 70 years late in reaffirming your trust 23 24 responsibility to -- you have failed in your trust

25

responsibility to my people.

Because of your failure, we have lost thousands of our men. I'm speaking on behalf of my mothers, my aunties, my sisters, my daughters and nieces who've lost their fathers, their grandfathers, their uncles and their brothers to uranium mining. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission knew the effects of uranium and they still allowed our Navajo men into those mines.

So I'm here to remind you we are at the front end of the nuclear fuel cycle. How are you going to fix the DNA, the radioactive DNA in our DNA? How are you going to fix the air and the water and the land? There's a historical legacy here that you must examine. You all are going to leave here. We are stuck here.

I want you to listen to my people when they come here and they tell you what is happening to them and what has happened to them, and what is going to happen to us in the future. There is no safe way to store uranium. There is no safe way.

It's hard to believe, under this administration, the Trump Administration, with all the environmental rollbacks and the hard-earned fight and struggle for these laws that we have now that protect the land and environment. I just want to remind you

1	that you have failed. If the Navajo nation or other
2	indigenous nations asks you not to transport this
3	garbage across our lands, will you adhere to them?
4	We made a treaty. In that treaty, you
5	promised us certain things, and you guys have failed.
6	I've been to dozens of scoping meetings over my
7	lifetime, US Forest Service, sacred sites issues,
8	uranium issues, the Rica issues. And each time, the
9	NRC has failed to install and has failed to initiate
LO	our concerns into law. You and the uranium companies
L1	to my people, to my elders, are one and the same.
L2	MR. CAMERON: And Mr. Brown, I'm going to
L3	have to ask you to
L4	MR. BROWN: I just want to say thank you.
L5	I hope you understand this anger that we have. We are
L6	the poorest of the poor. But we are the rich
L7	guardians of this land. So I want you to acknowledge
L8	that when you leave here. You're leaving, we're still
L9	here.
20	MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank you, thank you
21	very much, Mr. Brown. Thank you.
22	And Mr. Tilden, Mervyn Tilden is up next,
23	and then we'll go to Jonathan Perry.
24	MR. TILDEN: Good evening, everyone, I
25	want to thank everyone here involved that made this

1 meeting possible. I want to point out one thing in advance, the incorrect name that has been used for me. 2 My name is Mervyn Tilden, not Marvin. 3 4 This is a fact in everything that the NRC 5 or any uranium mining extraction company that comes out to our land had been guilty of, and that's 6 7 providing misinformation from the outset. Again, my 8 name is Mervyn Tilden, I am a resident of Church Rock. 9 I'm also living here temporarily in Gallup. 10 I want to address several things that talk about the safety or the transportation of nuclear 11 waste and nuclear, to the nuclear storage. The first 12 one is that there is no safe --13 14 MR. CAMERON: And can you just back a 15 little bit off from the mic so they can hear you. 16 MR. TILDEN: Okay, sorry. There is no 17 safe transport or storage of nuclear waste. Ι represent Eastern Navajo Dine against uranium mining, 18 19 which is comprised of 23 Navajo chapters on the Eastern Navajo Agency, which is in the part of the 20 Four Corners Area otherwise known as the National 21 Sacrifice Zone. 22 I would like to know what kind of meetings 23 24 are going to be held in the Arizona chapters along the I-40 route, the Navajo chapters. Are there going to 25

be public meetings? This is a concern, safety, in regards to the storage factor.

There was an incident in February 2014 where a single drum burst at the waste isolation pilot project plant. And the chief soulistic was cat litter, which is used to absorb liquid nuclear waste. The canister was, it exploded. So what kind of safety factors are going to be used for the transfer?

Everything that I've been reading, nuclear transportation has gone through 43 states in the United States. The Department of Transportation regulates this. How is this regulations, these regulations, being given to the Navajo people along the way, beginning from -- oh, and also the Laguna of Agua Pueblo People, what is their involvement?

But along the way, there are chapters that are also going to be affected. And this goes back to the fact that in July 16, 1979, there was the nation's largest nuclear accident, which spilled radioactive waste into the Rio Puerco that went down the Rio Puerco past the Navajo chapters along the way. And nothing was ever done for cleanup. Nothing was ever done for cleanup in the Church Rock and Crownpoint areas.

We have not heard anything from the NRC or

the State of New Mexico or any other agencies regarding cleanup or reclamation of the mines that are already there. So what's going to happen if there is an accident along the way?

In the like one minute left, I want to

In the like one minute left, I want to point out the fact that there needs to be intergovernment relations with the Navajo Nation, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, and any other tribe. This includes public record inspections, protections against radioactive waste spills, and the fact that the nuclear industry is on a decline.

Also, the notice for this meeting here was in the Gallup Sun, dated May 18. And also the deadline of that notice was, to preregister, was May 18. So you're not giving them enough time, Nuclear Regulatory Commission or anybody else involved in promoting this deadly transport of nuclear waste.

The half-life of nuclear, of uranium-238 isotope is 4.5 billion years. In human terms, this is forever. We've got to be careful, not only from the extraction of the uranium but for the transport to the storage. Thank you.

MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank you. Thank you, Mervyn, thank you very much. And is Jonathan? Here comes Jonathan Perry, he's coming up. And then we're

1 going to go to Kimberly Wahpepah, Be Sargent, Lillie 2 Lane, and Rosemarie Cecchini. 3 MR. PERRY: Good evening, everyone. 4 (Native language spoken.) It's good to see everyone 5 I'd like to make a few comments. First off, I'm very disappointed in the presentation, coming into 6 7 our area here. It would be much more courteous to have translation, verbal translation for my people 8 here who don't really understand the full technical 9 10 terms of the presentation. Number two, the presentation of our people 11 and how we conduct our business, we would like to be 12 respected. And four minutes is not long enough for us 13 14 to present and also to identify ourselves as people, 15 as Dine. Now, I am a Council delegate for Eastern 16 17 Navajo Agency, I represent eight chapters. And I am strictly, and I have always been against anything 18 19 regarding nuclear, nuclear development. The reason being is that we have a long history here, as stated 20 by some of my people earlier, that we have many 21 generations are going to be impacted. 22 Today I was very disappointed to hear that 23 24 our Navajo Nation Government, Navajo EPA, was told not

to have these discussions with the Council delegates.

Why is the federal government, why is NRC dictating to the Navajo Nation how we can have these discussions? That is a major disappointment.

So I'm asking my congressional representatives and those with the state and the level, please the federal allow Navajo Government to have these discussions internally, as well as with our communities. It is very important.

Now, in terms of providing comments on this particular project, we don't even know what the project is specifically. There has been no detailed information on this given to my community members. Sure, we got the process at NRC, but how can we make adequate comments if we don't even know what the full extent of the project is going to be? That needs to be shared.

Now, I ask for respect for the Navajo Nation because of our laws, of course. That we have Radioactive and Related Substance, Equipment, Vehicles and Person and Materials Transportation Act 2012. Different routes that will be used, of different transportation forms will be used transport these things to the location. And that's going to be a big question as to the emergency response.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

But also, can our infrastructure, of the state, can we handle these type of transportation? No, we are very, very inadequately set up in terms of our railway, in terms of highways. It's going to be a very dangerous thing to undertake, and I ask for you to understand that.

The other one is the United States is part of the Organization of American States, which adopted the American Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples on June 15, 2016. And there, there's two articles I want to point out. The first article is the right to protection of a healthy environment.

Indigenous peoples are entitled to be protected against the introduction of and abandonment, expiration, transit, and discriminate use or deposit of any harmful substance that could be negatively affect the indigenous communities, lands, territories, and resources.

The second one is Article 12, Indigenous law and jurisdiction. The indigenous law and legal system shall be recognized and respected by the national and regional, international legal systems.

Again, this is where I ask that we, as a Navajo Nation, be allowed to have our indigenous laws and jurisdictions respected, not only written laws,

but our traditional law, the Navajo fundamental law that exists that connects us to our land, to air, and to our people, no matter where it's at on the face of the Earth, it's still our mother. We have to respect it and we have to protect her.

So I'm used to time limits, so I'm going to say thank you for hearing my comments. But in respect to my colleagues on the Council, especially at Eastern, we do oppose any type of nuclear development. Thank you.

MR. CAMERON: Thank you very much, Mr. Perry. Okay, Kimberly, and then we'll go to Be Sargent, Lillie Lane, and Rose Marie Cecchini.

MS. WAHPEPAH: (Native language spoken.)
Welcome. First of all, I've been born and raised
here. I was born west of Gallup, Granulito. That's
a tribal land. First of all, I would ask that the NRC
take accountability for the oil and gas, because
Standing Rock has happened. Who is going to protect
our Indian people? Who is going to stand up for us?

Because there's no law. There's police

officers across the street, and there's no one to protect us here. A lot of our elders have mental problems because of the uranium. There's no one to help them, no doctors or nurses, but yet you guys

still come over here wanting to do this in a good way, but really it's not solving nothing.

As being indigenous, it's very hard. We live in poverty. Some of us have no transportation in rural areas. But yet, we have no say in our own land. And it's up to us to preserve the land as who we are. We are not something as costumes, nor we're not a joke. Nor we don't, we're not, we're mocked and we shouldn't be discriminated against. We are not puppets, we're not guinea pigs. We are human beings, we have rights too.

And since this uranium thing has happened, this has been going on since 1970 and nothing has been resolved. And we sit here on our sacred lands trying to plea for help throughout the corporations that are coming just to make money off our lands. I don't appreciate it, because most of them are dying.

At the same time, there's no medicine to help those that are affected by uranium. Our Earth is dying every day because of pollution, of gas. At the same time, we have corporations coming here making money off of our land and on sacred sites. We have Bear Ears also to protect also.

And at the same time, it should not be messed with, it should not be touched. Our land

1 should not be touched. Because many historical trauma has been through us as we walk through this historic, 2 here on 66, the Long Walk. Also, our missing and 3 4 murdered have been affected by these oil camps, by the 5 man camps. They've been raped, sex trafficking also with the oil companies. And much more needs to be 6 7 done. So I ask all the legislature and their 8 leaders of New Mexico to help our people right now. 9 And at the same time, I ask for solidarity for our 10 community right now. 11 Thank you. MR. CAMERON: Thank you very much, thank 12 13 you. And Be, Be Sargent. 14 MS. SARGENT: So here we are again, 15 listening to the eloquence of ourselves. You know, those sausages that they showed in the beginning, that 16 17 we're all going to follow these sausages, this person's going to ask this person. And it's all going 18 19 to be fair in the end. It's absolute bullshit and we all know it. 20 These companies, which never should have 21 been started in the first place, can't take care of 22 their own shit and they're bringing it here. It only 23 24 encourages other companies to start and be polluters.

The whole thing is so fucking bad, I want to scream.

1 MR. CAMERON: Lillie Lane. This is Lillie 2 Lane. LANE: 3 MS. (Native language spoken.) 4 That's my introduction in Navajo. Navajo people, we 5 have clans, and that's why we're all connected. a lot of us native people in this audience, we 6 consider each other relatives. 7 And so we are the first, we are the first people on this continent. 8 9 And we have traditions, beliefs, songs, prayers that connect us to places within where we 10 live. And here in the Southwest, we, the Southwest is 11 a native land to maybe 30 tribes or so. 12 And so, and we're the ones that have, like my brothers and sisters 13 14 talked before me, we're the ones that paid for liberty 15 in the United States. And so Navajo people, uranium was mined on 16 lands. Those uranium, that uranium was used to build 17 bombs for the wars. And then Navajo people, they used 18 19 our language in the South Pacific. They used the Navajo language to win the war against the Japanese. 20 And then, but our people died. Our people died in the 21 communities where there are a concentrations 22 23 uranium mines. 24 And this is Monument Valley, these are beautiful places. Cove, Arizona, Church Rock, 25

Mexico, and Cameron, Arizona. These are where all the uranium mines are. And they did not get cleaned up. All the companies fled. They made their money. They fled our beautiful people, our lands. And then now we're dealing the sickness, and we're also dealing with areas that are not safe for our people.

And so in my eight-to-five job for the Navajo Nation, I work with uranium mines, I work with communities to try to clean up these mines. And in this, the president's, President Russell Begaye's administration, they assigned me to be on the Tribal Caucus for radioactive transport and radioactive materials transport.

And so on behalf of the Navajo Nation, and on behalf of those tribes who do not have a representative, I am a member to the Tribal Caucus for these issues that are being discussed tonight. And so, and that covers my job. What I've learned covers the whole lifetime of uranium, from extraction to processing to now the spent nuclear fuel.

And so it's a new subject for me, but I'm passionate about the work that I have done earlier, and that's to advocate for the cleanup of over 500 uranium mines on the Navajo Nation. And this is throughout the entire, the Nation. And so thank you

1 for listening to me. (Native language spoken.) MR. CAMERON: Thank you, thank you, Lillie 2 3 Lane, thank you. And this is Rose Marie Cecchini. 4 MS. CECCHINI: Good evening, everyone, I'm 5 Rose Marie Cecchini. I'm a Maryknoll Sister, and I'm coordinating the Office of Life, Peace, Justice, 6 7 Creation Stewardship, under the auspices of Catholic Charities of Gallup Diocese. 8 9 people of faith in concerned 10 communities of New Mexico, we want our voices heard regarding this Holtec Environmental Report to bring 11 100,000 metric tons of spent fuel, high-level 12 radioactive waste from nuclear power plants from 13 14 around our country to southeast New Mexico. 15 In light of our covenant relationship with 16 our creator, to be responsible stewards of God's gift intended for the life and wellbeing of the entire 17 community of light, we strongly oppose plans to make 18 19 Mexico a national radioactive waste dumping ground, or to transporting up to 10,000 canisters of 20 highly radioactive waste, irresponsibly putting at 21 risk the lives, health, and environment of thousands 22 of communities throughout our country. 23 24 There are moral, ethical, and

environmental justice principles that must be included

in all decision making that threatens human life, communities, wildlife, the environment that sustains us all. We should not have to risk the death-dealing contamination of our land, aquifers, air, the health of vegetation, wildlife, livestock, endangering present and future generations in our country.

This Holtec proposal is contrary to current law. Current law only allows the US Department of Energy to take title to commercial spent fuel, quote, Following commencement of operation of a repository, unquote, or at a DOE owned and operated, monitored, retrievable storage facility.

The Holtec site meets neither requirement, as it is a private facility. The Holtec plan is an ill-fated experiment, a temporary stopgap measure that contributes nothing toward a long-term strategy for storing our nation's spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste in a way that will protect public safety and national security, that will preserve our natural resources and environment.

A stopgap, temporary plan is not a solution to the real challenge. It does not provide the required long-term solution of verifiably safe, permanent storage of lethal radioactive waste from the over 99 nuclear power plants in the US.

1 Nuclear waste should be stored in 2 hardened, secure facilities at or near nuclear sites, 3 radioactive, radiation sites until а permanent, 4 scientifically proven, publicly acceptable and 5 ethically chosen waste solution is implemented. Ending the generation of nuclear waste is also 6 7 essential in addressing the challenge. The environment report is inadequate and 8 9 incomplete because it does not analyze the impact of left 10 the spent fuel being at the Holtec indefinitely. Holtec is requesting from NRC a 40-year 11 license to store the waste in Lea County, with the 12 opportunity to extend the license for 120 years. 13 14 But. Holtec stated in its license application that the waste could be stored there for 15 More alternatives need to be analyzed. 16 Keeping the spent fuel cask in some form of hardened 17 onsite storage on the reactor sites must be analyzed. 18 19 The alternative of consolidated storage being done at an existing licensed independent spent 20 fuel storage facility also must be analyzed. 21 must look at the 34 closed, shut-down nuclear power 22 plants with sizable territory and highly guarded as 23 24 sites for possible permanent storage. Thank you.

MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank you. Thank you,

1	and we're going to go to Roger Lewis next, here's
2	Roger. And then we're going to go to Oray and Casey,
3	Dennis Romero, and then Ed Mayer.
4	MR. LEWIS: Good evening everybody. My
5	name is Roger Lewis, I live up here near the impacted
6	area where all your mines work. Northeast Church Rock
7	area.
8	And let me go back. When I was in the
9	Military I served in a unit with the Persian Missile
LO	that had a nuclear armament.
L1	And it had a warhead that a nuclear, maybe
L2	like 25 yield megaton and you could actually touch it.
L3	And I think it was emitting radiation, we didn't know
L4	about it.
L5	Then when I came back I got hired by
L6	United Nuclear Corporation and I worked for them for
L7	about maybe 14 years. And I know how to extract the
L8	uranium away from grinding all the way to packaging
L9	and drying. I knew every step of the way, all the way
20	across. I knew how to do it. We knew how to do it.
21	And a lot of our people I guess were
22	affected by it today. And as we speak right now,
23	there is nothing good about it, nothing.
24	(Applause.)
25	MR. LEWIS: I lost a brother, I lost a
I	I and the second

1	sister through all this scourge.
2	(Foreign language spoken.)
3	MR. LEWIS: Nothing will cure it. And
4	right now it has the hurt the Mother Earth down below
5	us. We don't see it. It's just how this inland is,
6	this flat area, there is nothing there. We'll never
7	see it. That's what it is.
8	It's so much, be able to do so much damage
9	maybe we'll never see it. That's the way it is.
10	That's the way I see it.
11	And the mines right now, the tailings,
12	everything, that's still piled up right there. The
13	wind was blowing today. I was out there watering my
14	livestock and it's still sitting there. What's it
15	doing there?
16	You know to people that left it there,
17	they never came back to pick it up.
18	(Applause.)
19	MR. LEWIS: Yes. That's the way it is.
20	People just come here, they do studies, studies,
21	studies, studies, nothing is coming out, coming back.
22	At least they should say, can we help you
23	here, can we help you do this. No, they don't do
24	that.
25	We're trying to fight that radiation
J	I

1	Exposure Compensation Act today. They're still
2	fiddling around with. New Mexico legislature and all
3	this, the federal government, they don't want to pay
4	us back of all the ill effects from it.
5	I was a miller, so, a lot of miners are,
6	we're still trying to compete with the day in and day
7	out, nothing is ever done to us to help us back.
8	Nothing. So I'll just say:
9	(Foreign language spoken.)
LO	MR. LEWIS: No matter how you look at it,
11	it will never come up to anything good. That's the
L2	way I see it.
L3	MR. CAMERON: Thank you very much. And,
L4	Ovray and Kasey, do you want to come up? This is
L5	Ovray and Kasey.
L6	PARTICIPANT: We are hurting Mother Earth
L7	a lot by this radioactive waste. We don't see it
L8	because it's radioactive.
L9	It can hurt your DNA. It can do a lot of
20	things beyond what we know. And people just leave it
21	there, they don't move it, it just stays in one place.
22	And when the people move it, it starts
23	spreading to towns, cities, and it starts to ruin how
24	we live.
25	(Applause.)
	I

1 PARTICIPANT: The only reason I came is 2 Ιf you have children of my son. 3 understand, if you have a future, you understand. 4 Thank you. 5 (Applause.) Thank you. Thank you very 6 MR. CAMERON: 7 much. Dennis. Dennis Romero. There's Dennis and then we're going to go to Ed Mayer and then Thompson 8 9 Bell and Teresa Lowry. Thank you. 10 MR. ROMERO: Good evening. So, thank you for coming here, thank you for allowing the public to 11 I'm going to give you a little background 12 speak. about myself and then I'm going to go straight to the 13 14 point here. So, I have a BS in civil environmental 15 16 engineering, I am a licensed engineer, master's 17 degrees in geology and chemistry from Mexico Tech and a certificate of waste management from your DOE and 18 19 work program out of New Mexico Tech. I worked for Los 20 Alamos National Lab for ten years and I was an NMED employee for ten years. 21 And, you're not going to find a more 22 supportive person than nuclear technology and the 23 24 promise it holds. And that being said, I'm going to

strongly recommend that

you,

I

would

1	Applicant withdraw its application.
2	(Applause.)
3	MR. ROMERO: I've done a dime in the Nuke
4	Navy. Here's the beautiful thing about the Nuke Navy.
5	Close to 60 years with zero accidents. They do it
6	right.
7	You work for the NRC you'll nothing but
8	the upmost respect for you and your profession and
9	your agency. But, privatizing waste storage does not
10	seem to be a wise choice.
11	You have had, for example, I look at, I
12	worked on the WIPP project, I've worked on the Yucca
13	Mountain project, I analyzed TRUPACT I and II
14	containers.
15	Here is the bottom line, those were
16	beautifully designed facilities, beautifully designed,
17	and you know what, you still had an accident. Okay.
18	(Applause.)
19	MR. ROMERO: I'm not here to put down Los
20	Alamos National Lab, my former employer. I love the
21	people that worked up there, they're good people,
22	okay. Everybody in the world has some element of
23	goodness and kindness, but you still had an accident.
24	One error ladies and gentlemen.
25	So I urge, as part of your scoping, please
	1

examine all risks associated with the packaging at the sites, with the transport, the risk associated with the transport of the material coming into New Mexico as well as the offloading and the storage.

I know NRC is huge on risk assessment, you do a beautiful job in every document I've ever seen, I ask you not just to look at the small footprint of the facility, but to look at the entire operation from the time it goes from a nuke plant to the time it arrives in New Mexico. Okay, so that's the first thing I'll ask you to do is to properly assess risks.

I will also ask that you require the Applicant to do something very similar to what DOE did with WIPP. They had to pay for a neutral third party analysis.

I understand the NRC has some great technical people. What DOE did is they paid for the environment interim research institute, PhD level folks to adequately assess the technical feasibility of WIPP, the TRUPACT containers contact handled waste. I ask that you require the Applicant to do that.

I ask that you require the Applicant to provide a full-fledged economic analysis. They are doubting the fact, and I have no reason to doubt them, they're probably great people at a good company, but

1 they're saying, we're going to bring 135 jobs in New Mexico. 2 3 I'm here to tell you, unless you raise 4 Sandia and Los Alamos National Labs or WIPP, you are 5 not going to get those people, so you're going to have 6 train them up or even have to bring them in from out 7 of state. I'm also going to ask to look at certain 8 9 political issues. You know, the top priority should 10 be public health, welfare and safety. And I go to a friend of mine, Steve Zappi, who was the WIPP manager, 11 the program manager for New Mexico Environment 12 13 Department. 14 He did a beautiful job. He knew his 15 stuff, technically competent, and he didn't back down 16 from anybody. 17 Unfortunately, and I won't say this to make this political, but it is political. The 18 19 Martinez Administration came in, they wanted LANL to move more waste to WIPP. They made Steve Zappi a food 20 He went to restaurants. 21 inspector. Within two years of removing Steve Zappi 22 from that position, WIPP had an accident from a 23 24 packaged stored, drum at LANL, packaged at LANL, that I guarantee you Steve Zappi would not have left in 25

48 1 package. 2 So what I am saying is, I'm a proponent of 3 nuke power, I'm a proponent of nuke technology and I'm 4 asking you to seriously consider this application and 5 possibly deny it. And I thank you all very much for 6 your time. Thank you. 7 (Applause.) 8 MR. CAMERON: Thank you. Thank you very 9 We're going to go to Ed Mayer now and then much. 10 Thompson Bell and Teresa Lowry. This is Ed Mayer. MR. MAYER: Thank you. Good evening, my 11 name is Ed Mayer and I'm a program director at Holtec 12 International. 13 14 And specifically, I'm a program director for this project. And I appreciate the complements to 15 16 the Nuclear Navy. joined Holtec I served the 17 Before I Nuclear Navy for 27 years. I served on submarines and 18 19 commanded two of them. And I have a understanding of Holtec's technology and their very 20 high standards. It's the safest and most secured 21 technology on the planet. 22

Thanks, Chip. Well, I'll just start over.

My name is Ed Mayer and I'm a program director at

23

24

to the Nuclear Navy.

Before I came to Holtec I served in the Nuclear Navy for 27 years on submarines and I commanded two of them. And I have a deep understanding of Holtec's technology, HI-STORE UMAX. And it's the safest and most secured technology on earth.

So, I'll start today by saying it's a pleasure to be here. And first, I'd like to provide Holtec's commitment to the NRC to provide quality responses in a timely manner to ensure, first, the accuracy of our submission, but also to meet the schedules for the NRC's review.

And I'd also like to provide some facts about HI-STORE. It's a consolidated interim storage facility, and ask for your support.

The Eddy-Lea Energy Alliance, also known as ELEA, is our partner. ELEA was formed in 2006 with the expressed mission of providing economic benefit to New Mexico.

All of its members are long time proud residents of New Mexico. And the power teaming with them, it's a public-private venture, is that they understand the community and they understand the local area. And that's what they bring to the table for us,

1 as Holtec International, that deep understanding. 2 Holtec International is 3 technology company and our core business, for the past 4 32 years, is the safe and secure storage of nuclear 5 fuel. All the equipment supplied by Holtec designed, engineered, fabricated and most cases, 6 7 operated by Holtec employees. 8 And we're an American company. We 9 fabricate everything in the United States. We have 10 three production facilities. One in Orrville, Ohio, in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania and where we're 11 one located, we, as myself, Stephan and Joy, are located 12 That's the third production facility. 13 in Camden. 14 And we have an impeccable safety record. 15 None of our equipment has ever experienced the safety 16 issue, has leaked or caused injury. And to get back to ELEA, the members of 17 ELEA asked Holtec to be their partner in 2013 after 18 19 careful evaluation of the safety and security of our used fuel storage and transportation of equipment. 20 And that transportation is the HI-STORE 190 and the 21 storage is the HI-STORE UMAX. 22 Holtec, without standing support 23 24 ELEA, will obtain the NRC license to construct and

operate the consolidated interim storage facility.

1	And the name is HI-STORE, so that's what we call it.
2	Once appropriate contractual and funding
3	provisions are in place, Holtec will construct and
4	operate a facility. Local residents and local
5	businesses will be hired to construct and operate the
6	facility.
7	And we're going to bring high paying jobs
8	to the area and we categorize
9	PARTICIPANT: I have to interrupt.
10	MR. MAYER: and we have to categorize
11	
12	PARTICIPANT: This is public comment, why
13	is Holtec allowed
14	MR. CAMERON: Will you sit down.
15	PARTICIPANT: to talk during public
16	comment?
17	MR. CAMERON: Fair enough. Now, please
18	sit down
19	PARTICIPANT: They're not a member of the
20	public.
21	MR. MAYER: Actually, I am a member of the
22	general public and that's why I am here. You'll note
23	that I'm not sitting in the front row and I have a
24	desk in the back up front.
25	So, we're going to hire local residents

1 and companies and we're going to provide high paying jobs to the area. High paying \$60 to \$70,000 jobs. 2 The people in New Mexico are rich in 3 4 technical knowledge, especially in nuclear technology 5 with two national laboratories, three Air Force bases, an Army base and URENCO and also the waste isolation 6 7 processing plant. 8 The geology site characteristics, 9 environment and other factors on the proposed site are ideal for the storage of used nuclear fuel. 10 Our mission is to offer a temporary, safe 11 and secured storage facility for the nation's nuclear 12 13 waste. 14 And a temporary is measured in decades. 15 I heard 300 years, it's not 300 years, So, 16 measured in decades and it will be permanently stored 17 in a deep repository, either Yucca Mountain or something like Yucca Mountain. But again, interim 18 19 storage. We're going to be good stewards to the 20 environment neighbors 21 and dood to the local safe technology 22 communities with our that will minimize impacts to the environment. And will help 23 24 diversify the economy by providing well-paying jobs to

New Mexico.

1	So, I close by saying, if you have any
2	questions, myself, Stephan, who is our vice president
3	for engineering, and Joy, our vice president for
4	external communications and mark development, we'll be
5	in the back, we'll answer any questions you have. So
6	thank you.
7	MR. CAMERON: All right
8	PARTICIPANT: Boo. We don't want it.
9	MR. CAMERON: we're going to go to
10	Thompson Bell. Now, Thompson.
11	PARTICIPANT: We don't want your waste.
12	MR. CAMERON: And then we're going to go
13	to Teresa Lowry. This is Thompson Bell. And after
14	Teresa we'll go to Terry Sloan and Larry King and
15	Talia Boyd.
16	MR. BELL: As you said, for four minutes,
17	I felt like I was back on a long walk trying to get
18	out of the time, you know.
19	(Laughter.)
20	MR. BELL: So, I just want to show you my
21	family here that involved in this Red Water Pond, this
22	community. And my daughter is actually part of the
23	design team with the
24	(Applause.)
25	MR. BELL: what do you call it, the

1 dump site. Where they're going to move the uranium. 2 Like I said, my name is Thompson Bell, this is my little boy's and my wife Rose. 3 And my boy 4 is 12, 11, 10 and a 4-year-old. And my oldest 5 daughter. We know what it means to be impacted, 6 7 right there. As you live close to the uranium mines and be ignorant enough to work in it also. 8 9 As you know that as I was working, I was 1,670 10 inside the mine feet down, as you contaminated day-by-day as you work, and you take it 11 home, you don't know what you have given your family. 12 So, because of that, right now as you see me, I have 13 14 four limbs but yet you don't see the torment that I have with things I have health wise. 15 It hurts, it itches and things like that 16 17 just, you don't see it. So, when you have these effects, you have contaminations that penetrates. And 18 19 penetrations that you can't see. 20 And these things that our body takes that most people, not even the medical groups don't even 21 They just think it's all lumps. 22 know about. thing about it is, like you said, they always pinpoint 23 24 the lumps, but it's the whole body.

So, the thing that I want to stress here

1 is that it is dangerous and deadly when you get contaminated. I don't know how many things that were 2 3 named here, of all the contamination done, this Arroyo that was flooded, all of that hasn't even been 4 5 touched. Second of all, I'd say the structure. 6 7 all know about the second law of thermal dynamics, 8 things wear out. Things that can't hold together 9 after so many years. 10 When you are born you're already dying, Things that we make, it comes apart. And as 11 right? a mechanic I see things hold back by 2,000 psi by a 12 But when it breaks, what happens, it 13 little O-ring. 14 makes a mess. 15 And things about structure. When you have to open it, guess what, it has a hinge. Don't they 16 17 get rotten and rusted also? Things that we make is actually no good. 18 19 And also, one of the things that EPA did to us, as a family, they told us we're going to be 20 here, everything was 21 involved but yet determined before we even argued about it. So, being 22 things that we say, I don't know if it's going to be 23 told. 24

And so, these things that I'm trying to

1	tell you is that, I don't know if we're going to be
2	hurt just because of us, as Navajo Nation, as people
3	here.
4	And I can't imagine every one of you take
5	a can tonight and throw it to your neighbors,
6	neighbor's backyard, and see how it likes it. When
7	you throw that can into our backyard with those cans
8	bigger than our trucks.
9	That's what I think it is, trash in our
10	backyard. Thank you.
11	(Applause.)
12	MR. CAMERON: Thank you. Thank you, Mr.
13	Bell. And is Teresa, Teresa is coming up. And then
14	we'll go to Larry King and Talia Boyd.
15	MS. LOWRY: Hello, I just wanted to speak
16	to some personal experience that I've had lately and
17	that is, in the last four weeks going down I-40 I have
18	been run off the road by semis twice. Completely off
19	the road.
20	So there is no way any vehicle coming
21	through this town can be guaranteed to pass through
22	safely. There are a lot of trucks on our highway and
23	I am totally against this.
24	You need to keep that stuff wherever it's
25	at and not traveling on the highway. Not any highway.
l	I and the second

1	Somebody created it, let them deal with it in their
2	own backyard. Thank you.
3	(Applause.)
4	MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Teresa. Mr.
5	King. Larry King, okay. And then you go ahead and
6	then Mr. Sloan, did you want to
7	Okay. Go ahead.
8	MR. KING: Oh, did I go
9	MR. CAMERON: No, no, don't worry about
10	it.
11	MR. KING: Good evening everybody. My
12	name is Larry King. I live up near the old Church
13	Rock site.
14	Back in '96 there was an aggressive
15	organization that was formed to challenge the NRC
16	license, that NRC granted a license to a mining
17	company from South Texas. And the grassroots
18	organization that I joined, we challenged more than
19	five issues that they mining company had on their EIS.
20	And for close to 20 years we went through
21	court with NRC and all of the hearings were always
22	held in D.C. Away from the community defective sites.
23	And each one NRC sided with the mining company.
24	NRC is always with the mining company.
25	Any industry, any polluters. So they won't listen to
	I .

1	us. But I plead with you, listen to the community.
2	There is an outstanding objection to this company,
3	Holtec.
4	I can guarantee you, there are going to be
5	some accidents. Our roads in New Mexico aren't the
6	best in the nation. It's bad.
7	There's always barrels, orange barrels,
8	when I go from here to Albuquerque. There is always
9	orange barrels.
10	Roads are being repaired all the time.
11	There wasn't any discussion on the roads that were
12	going to be taken.
13	There is more than 60 years of uranium
14	legacy that's in our area. There's one right behind,
15	in my own backyard. And also, where my cousin's
16	brother live, Thompson Bell and the family, Red Water
17	Pond.
18	They're sandwiched in between two
19	abandoned mines. And they've been dealing with EPA,
20	another government agency.
21	And they've been told that the mine legacy
22	was going to be cleaned up 2010, '12, '16. Now it
23	goes all the way up to 2022. What are they waiting
24	for, people to die off?
25	So, NRC, are you going to listen to the

1 people and all these objections? It seems like it's a no-brainer, everybody is objecting to this new 2 proposed plan. 3 4 So we need to, our area is already dealing 5 with a mine legacy for more than 60 years left by a mining company. Okay, one minute. But I always go 6 7 over, let me tell you that. 8 (Laughter.) 9 MR. KING: But we can't allow another 10 proposed waste to come into our area, we need to address our local legacy first. We need our elected 11 officials to stand with the public and say no to this 12 13 new company. 14 And also, on your slide it says that you're going to keep the tribe in the loop in the EIS 15 16 And I just heard my, one of elected 17 officials says that Navajo Nation EPA was told not to make any comments. Why? But yet you showed us up 18 19 there that the Navajo Nation, the tribal's, are going to be included in these input. 20 So, I plead with you, keep it out of our 21 Keep it out. And just like the lady before me 22 area. said, keep it where it's being planned. 23

(Applause.)

there.

24

1	MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank you, Mr. King.
2	Thank you very much. We're going to go to Terry Sloan
3	and then we're going to go to Talia Boyd and then Anna
4	Rondon. This is Terry Sloan.
5	MR. SLOAN: Can you hear me okay? Okay,
6	thank you very much for allowing me to say a few
7	comments.
8	The reason I am here is I'm a small town
9	internist. I've provided care to Native Americans for
10	40 years. Thirty years in Gallup.
11	I have a long track record of fighting for
12	my patients. And I consider health to be my passion,
13	and it is the passion for need for this community.
14	Radiation itself is simply incompatible
15	with life. It damages all living organisms. We know
16	that biologically.
17	I was the medical director here when the
18	Church Rock spill occurred. Our entire medical staff
19	was totally aghast that this happened. Because
20	everybody said it was safe, that stuff wouldn't
21	happen.
22	And yet, it really was one of the largest
23	oil spills in the history of this country. The
24	response was inadequate.
25	And currently, actually, I have a list of

families that may have been exposed. And that list actually has never been revealed because they won't allow me to reveal it for patient confidentiality issues.

But needless to say, one of the things that I've noticed in my practice of internal medicine is the surprising and alarming increase in renal cell cancer in this region. I've seen more renal cell cancer in each year than most internist see in a lifetime.

We don't know exactly why. It's in the Four Corners area, and I actually have a graph. And Senator Udall has this graph, but it really shows a striking increase in the Four Corners area compared with the rest of New Mexico.

We don't know why, but we think it may be related to either radiation or petroleum product contamination and exposure.

I talked about the unintended consequence of radiation exposure. I had a young man, 18 years old, star track athlete who came in with a small lesion in the perirectal area. And in fact, what it turned out to be, because he had a nodule in his groin, was an aggressive form of amelanotic melanoma. He died in three months.

1 He was a runner for Shiprock High School and he ran a lot. I mean, he really trained hard. 2 3 This man had so much courage I've never forgotten him. 4 And I actually started running because of him. 5 Needless to say, what we found out later is that the track that he practiced on in fact had 6 7 high radiation levels. And there he ran for years. 8 That's where he practiced, that's where he became 9 good. And so what I'm saying is, once radiation 10 and where it is, it in fact can have 11 exists, unintended consequences. And so why, what I say is, 12 why New Mexico? 13 14 Why can't they just keep the waste of 15 local sites that produce it and they can handle that 16 waste and develop contracts or whatever to take care 17 of it. I think the reason New Mexico is picked is 18 19 because it's a small state, it's impoverished. feel like there is wide open areas, just like here. 20 But they don't realize that doctors see 21 and sometimes we have 22 patients with cancer And in the back of our mind it's the 23 explanation. 24 Church Rock spill, the radiation exposure in this

area.

1	And all I can say is, as part of a
2	physician here, that I really think you should deny
3	this application.
4	(Applause.)
5	MR. SLOAN: so that our state, my
6	state, New Mexico, is not contaminated with radiation
7	inadvertently. Thank you very much.
8	(Applause.)
9	MR. CAMERON: Thank you.
10	PARTICIPANT: We don't want it.
11	PARTICIPANT: We don't want it.
12	MR. CAMERON: Okay, is Talia, Talia Boyd?
13	And then we're going to go to Anna Rondon, Erin Lorenz
14	and Karthik Kavasseri.
15	(Foreign language spoken.)
16	MS. BOYD: Welcome to Indian land.
17	Welcome to Gallup.
18	God, there is so much to say and I want to
19	reiterate what everybody has said but, we want health
20	studies, we want clean water, we don't want waste.
21	New Mexico has been a nuclear sacrifice
22	state for tomorrow. We want water testing, we want
23	real answers and real solutions to what we've been
24	dealing with for decades.
25	Our people are sick and our sacred sites

1 are being desecrated on a daily, a lot by extractive And our people are sick for those 2 industries. 3 reasons. 4 We depend and we know how to utilize the 5 land and the power that exist within in it, but when compromised by such 6 things as extractive 7 industries and colonialism, then it compromises our 8 particular selves. I would like the NRC to take that into 9 consideration, the cultural impacts, the significance 10 of the land, the indigenous people that live down 11 These are all things that aren't included in there. 12 the EIS. 13 14 We want to talk about water, we want to 15 talk about air. Everything that is nuclear is We can't see it, we don't know how we're 16 invisible. 17 being, what pathways we're being exposed. So, there's so many things that aren't 18 19 included that we would like to have real answers to. And again, I'd like to just reiterate and appreciate 20 everything, especially what Council Delegate Jonathan 21 Perry mentioned. 22 Everything that he mentioned was on point. 23 Everything from under the united declarations of 24 indigenous rights of indigenous people. 25

1 The transportation routes include the and the railroads. All of 2 freeway our rural 3 communities will be impacted on some way. 4 Again, we don't have any answers as far 5 emergency response teams if anything should happen. Our communities are very well aware of the detrimental 6 7 impacts, again, with the 1979 uranium Church Rock 8 spill. 9 So, these are the answers that we want. We want health studies, we want some real solutions. 10 And we don't want the waste, you can keep the waste. 11 We've dealt with enough already, we've 12 been traumatized, and we're trying to heal. We need 13 14 to heal. Thank you. 15 (Applause.) MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank you. Thank you, 16 17 Talia. Anna, Anna Rondon. And then we're going to Erin Lorenz and then to Karthik Kavasseri. 18 19 MS. RONDON: Greetings relatives. (Foreign language spoken.) 20 MS. RONDON: As a Navajo woman, a private 21 I wanted to recommend during the scoping 22 meeting that you let the public know on why this a 23 24 rush process and why in 2012 Department of Energy was getting some lawsuits from the utility companies that 25

1 owned this waste onsite. So, it's because it's going to save \$15 2 3 billion from the government on lawsuits. I would like 4 to have the NRC explain the history since 1988 when 5 this process began. And also to look at the fracking that's 6 7 going on in Texas. There's a lot of earthquakes 8 happening and I think that needs to be looked into as 9 potential threats. Because those earthquakes are getting more 10 and more as our earth is becoming more unstable. 11 Because of the extractive industries. 12 I believe that the communities in Hobbs 13 Carlsbad strongly oppose this burial 14 15 Because it's going to contaminate in the future, the The cows, the dairy farms that provide 16 17 food for this country. So we stand in solidarity with the people 18 19 from Ennis County, Eddy County. And we hope to converse with them and stand in solidarity louder and 20 more forceful. 21 And I appreciate our state representative 22 that speaks so truthfully to this issue. Because New 23

Mexico is tired of being nuked, we're tired of our

children being exposed to radioactive nuclides that

24

1 have infiltrated into our children. 2 Through the Navajo birth cohort study, we have evidence that newborn babies that were in our 3 4 study have burden in their bodies equivalent to a 30 5 year old adult that has lived by a uranium mine waste tailing site. 6 7 I appreciate our doctor that provided 8 information on one example of why our people are 9 And to Norman Brown to how our miners, our dying. elders who have mined uranium since, for 70 years. 10 I've heard that Nuclear Regulatory 11 Commission made a statement that it's in morale to 12 leave the waste at these utility company sites. 13 14 was in morale, it was filthy, it was like a dirty White man to come to our land and dig up our earth and 15 16 leave with filthy drinking water contaminated this state now throughout the country. 17 We will not continue to be silenced with 18 the Nuclear Regulatory industrial complex. 19 here and it's going to end here and we're going to say 20 no to nuclear waste of any kind. 21 (Applause.) 22 MS. RONDON: fought the Nuclear 23 We 24 Regulatory Commission in 1998. They were trying to

put waste through here, the same project.

1 And we feel that deprivatization of this to these companies, somebody else is getting rich over 2 this \$2 billion that's going to go into Eddy County, 3 4 supposedly. 5 I would like to know who are these politicians in Washington and in this state. So with 6 7 that --8 MR. CAMERON: Thank you. 9 MS. RONDON: -- thank you. 10 MR. CAMERON: Thank you very much. (Applause.) 11 MR. CAMERON: And this is Erin Lorenz. 12 Hi, my name is Erin Lorenz 13 MS. LORENZ: 14 and I'm a physician that works here in the Gallup 15 I want to make clear that I speak on community. behalf of myself and not for any institution. 16 Folks in this community were reassured 17 that uranium mining was safe 70 years ago. 18 19 out that the mining itself and the residue nuclear waste has taken a toll on this community in the form 20 of kidney disease, lung disease, cancer. There's also 21 evidence that in utero exposure affects the most 22 vulnerable among us. 23 24 There is radioactive waste in the water, in the dust, the air, the livestock and even in the 25

1 plants growing on Navajo Nation. 2 is a direct result of private 3 companies and our complicity prioritizing financial 4 gain and winning the nuclear arms race above the 5 health and safety of the indigenous communities who still reside here. 6 7 How do you remove uranium, radon, arsenic, 8 lead and other heavy metals from every part of your 9 environment? If you have an answer, perhaps our time 10 would be better spent cleaning up the mess we already 11 And if you don't have an answer, maybe we 12 have. should consider the wisdom in welcoming more nuclear 13 14 waste into our community. Two generations after the commencement of 15 uranium mining on Navajo nation, many of the mining 16 communities no longer exist. And those tasked with 17 cleaning up the mines have not done so as you have 18 heard from several members of those communities this 19 20 evening. What will happen in 100 years, will Holtec 21 still be around? 22 23 happens if Holtec can't find a 24 storage place in Yucca Mountain or something like

that?

1 Who is responsible? I'm not asking for myself, I am asking for our kids and grandkids. 2 3 you. 4 (Applause.) 5 MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank you. And then we'll go to Terracita Keyanna, 6 Kavasseri. 7 Kathryn McGill Peters and Joe Pacal. MR. KAVASSERI: Hi, I'm Karthik Kavasseri, 8 9 I'm a family physician that works in this community. It looks like we have a handful of physicians here. 10 I'd like to first of all just kind of 11 highlight the fact, the NRC came here and we spent 12 the, half of this time talking about the mess that's 13 14 already here rather than the mess that's about to 15 Or potentially come. come. And I want the NRC to pay attention to 16 that because we don't know how else to get a hold of 17 you. We don't know how to tell you that we have fears 18 19 in this community that uranium waste is already here. 20 And there's a preponderance of evidence at this point that there are ill effects to the health of 21 this community. To my patients. 22 I think Talia Boyd brought up the Navajo 23 24 birth cohort, which has yet to be published.

study that's coming out with researchers from UNM.

1 And we'll be finding out a lot of things 2 about the extent of exposure in this community. hopefully some of health effects 3 the are 4 happening because of it. 5 But so far, in the preliminary results that everybody here can find online, we know that 83 6 percent of dust collected in this area has uranium in 7 About 15 percent of pregnant women, fathers and 8 then their infants have urine uranium level in the 9 10 95th percentile. Among many other things. And there are animal studies, population 11 studies and in vitro studies that show that there are 12 links of uranium exposure to kidney disease, cancer, 13 14 autoimmune diseases. There are a lot of questions still, like 15 Dr. Sloan brought up. I've also seen way more kidney 16 cancer here than I ever saw before and I can't explain 17 It's a total mystery to me. 18 that. 19 Well, maybe it's not а mystery everybody. But we don't have that smoking gun proof. 20 And while I have the ear of the NRC I ask for help 21 with cleanup, research. And then of course to help 22 prevent another disaster. 23 24 So that's really why we're here.

least why we were brought here.

1 Nothings disproves that scientific factor will be considered a fact today, like time. 2 3 think one of the, Mervyn it was, who brought up the 4 fact that the half-life of uranium is four and a half 5 billion years. That's not a length of time that I can 6 even comprehend. 7 And I just don't think that there is any 8 way that we can safely store the waste from uranium 9 that will last for that long. I mean, I think that's just insane to think that we could, we can't even 10 pretend that that's a possibility. 11 But he did say, how many years was it? 12 was 300 years in this last string, so I don't know 13 14 what the plan is for after that, but I can't imagine 15 that it stretches 4.5 billion years. What I do know is that there is plenty to 16 17 clean up, there is plenty of work to do. And working on more ways into this state is just, it's an absolute 18 19 travesty. Last little point I want to make, I got 20 invited out to the Red Water Pond Community, there 21 have been a few speakers here from there. 22 And like they were saying, they're sandwiched between three 23 24 radioactive sites.

The EPA is involved in one of them.

25

And

1 the plan is to move radioactive waste from one place, move it down the road and dump it into another place 2 that's technically outside the Navajo Nation. 3 It's incredible to me that we're able to 4 5 transport waste from across the country but we can't even move it out of this site here, down the road. 6 7 (Applause.) 8 MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank you. Is 9 Terracita, Terracita. And then Kathryn McGill Peters 10 and Joe Pacal. MS. KEYANNA: Good evening everybody, my 11 name is Terracita Keyanna and I come from the Red 12 Water Pond Road Community Association. 13 14 community is half a mile away from two uranium mines 15 and a mile away from a uranium mill. I have dealt with uranium issues my entire 16 And it started before I was even born. 17 life. children have to deal with that also. 18 19 So now I have seen differences between my I was away for school during one pregnancy 20 children. and my daughter was completely okay. My son on the 21 other hand, I was at home the entire pregnancy and he 22 was born with a hole in his heart. 23 24 I mean, how can you not see some evidence I mean, that's concrete evidence. 25 like that. Ι

1	didn't do anything else out of the ordinary. I didn't
2	change my diet, I didn't do anything differently. I
3	was just in my community.
4	So, now my kids all have to deal with
5	this. We have impacts in our health, our mental
6	health, our physical health, our emotional health.
7	And it impacts our environmental health.
8	And it infringes onto our native cultures
9	and our native religion. We no longer can have our
10	traditional use of our herbs.
11	We can't even go to, we can't even
12	practice certain things that we used to do because
13	morning prays used to be offered to the east in the
14	morning and for our community, the east is a big pile
15	of uranium. Why do we want to offer prays to that.
16	So, I mean, even our rights of freedom and
17	religion is infringed upon just because of uranium
18	issues. And all over New Mexico.
19	We don't need more uranium issues brought
20	into the State of New Mexico, we're not a dumping
21	site. This is a beautiful place. We've had enough.
22	I watched my grandmother die from
23	pulmonary fibrosis. I basically watched her
24	suffocate.
25	She used to work. She was just a janitor.
l	

1 She wasn't even somebody who worked inside the plant, she was just cleaning their office. And I watched her 2 suffocate and die that way. 3 How many lives do we have to lose to 4 5 uranium issues? When is it enough? How much is a human body, how much is a human life, how much do you 6 7 guys need? 8 You know, isn't that enough? You have 9 taken my grandmothers, you have taken some of my 10 grandfathers. My aunts and uncles are suffering from it. And I suffer from it too. My children are 11 suffering from it too. 12 I have lost three babies. I didn't even 13 14 get to see these three beautiful children because of 15 them. 16 I had a whole statement prepared but now 17 I have to go, I have to just condense everything into what I really wanted to say on my heart. Because I 18 19 have to go home because my other son has medical issues that need to be addressed. 20 So thank you and just please, I mean, this 21 is a plea to just say no, no more. We can't take this 22 23 anymore. 24 Like my father said, we suffer and you 25 can't see it. You can't see what we're suffering

1	from. It's a lifelong. So please, just hear our
2	pleas, understand that we don't want it. Thank you.
3	(Applause.)
4	MR. CAMERON: Thank you. Thank you.
5	Kathryn? Kathryn McGill Peters and then we're going
6	to go to Joe Pacal. And I apologize if I'm
7	pronouncing that incorrectly.
8	MS. PETERS: Hi. I just wanted to say
9	that I do not support this in any way. This seems to
LO	be a pattern that happens over and over around here
l1	that companies come from other places, they say, oh,
L2	we're going to make a lot of money, we're going to
13	bring jobs and we're going to help people. And
L4	instead, people around here get environmental
L5	disasters and those companies disappear and we're left
L6	to deal with the problems.
L7	I'm worried about the risk of derailment
L8	because my mother used to work for a railroad and I
L9	know they derail all the time.
20	I'm worried about the harm to the
21	environment, I'm worried about who's going to pay for
22	the cleanup when there is an accident because there
23	will be one. I guarantee it.
24	Okay, we as people keep saying we have
25	a lot of old uranium mines around here. We have

Τ	people on the Navajo Nation with no water because they
2	can't drink the drinking water where they live because
3	it's contaminated with uranium.
4	I'm involved in this, well, I'm not
5	involved in it but I'm trying to help raise money for
6	this project to some wells out in the eastern Navajo
7	Nation. And it's going to cost \$500,000. And they
8	have to dig thousands of feet into the ground to find
9	water that is not contaminated.
10	So, that is wrong that people in the
11	United States live today without water because their
12	water is contaminated and there is no one out there
13	helping those people. We've got the federal
14	government and the state and everyone is arguing about
15	who should build these wells.
16	And meanwhile, we have some charity that
17	normally digs wells in Africa that has come to the
18	Navajo Nation to try to help people get water. And
19	that's ridiculous in the richest nation in the world.
20	So, I'm opposed to this and I just wanted
21	to say that, and that's all I have to say. Thank you.
22	(Applause.)
23	MR. CAMERON: Thank you. Now, please
24	pronounce your last name for the transcript.
25	MR. PACAL: Okay. Hello everybody, my
l	

1 name is Joe Pacal. I've lived out in the Navajo 2 Reservation for the past 18 years. And everybody has made some great points 3 4 up here. One thing that hasn't been mentioned is 5 that, if a project like this is improved, whether it's here in New Mexico or Utah or Nevada, that's going to 6 7 be seen as a solution to a real problem for the 8 nuclear industry. 9 And the uranium mining companies and 10 private investors are going to see this as a green light for expanding uranium mining. And there are 11 uranium, New Mexico, we're going to have to deal with 12 a whole another era of new uranium mines popping up 13 14 all over the place. And right now, that's been kind of held 15 Things are set to go off and then Fukushima 16 happened and that kind of slowed things down. But I'm 17 just really concerned that if this kind of solution 18 19 goes forward we're going to see a lot more uranium mining popping up all over here and have a lot more to 20 deal with so thank you. 21 22 (Applause.) MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank you. Thank you, 23 24 Mr. Pacal. Our next speakers are Hamilene Yazzie,

Simone Jones, Holly Herr Stravers and Janene Yazzie.

1	So, Ms. Hamilene. Hamilene?
2	MS. YAZZIE: It's two r's. Harrilene. I
3	apologize, I wrote my r's together. I am not a
4	Hamilene.
5	(Laughter.)
6	MR. CAMERON: So, Harrilene.
7	MS. YAZZIE: She was actually before me,
8	but
9	MR. CAMERON: Oh, okay.
10	MS. YAZZIE: but I'll take her spot.
11	MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank you. Thank you
12	for clarifying.
13	MS. YAZZIE: Harrilene, thank you.
14	(Foreign language spoken.)
15	MS. YAZZIE: Just a few points. As said
16	earlier, as a lot of professionals that came up to the
17	podium this evening to speak, this is somewhat my
18	profession as well, is NEPA.
19	I work as an environmental protection
20	specialist. But I here am representing myself as a
21	private citizen as well as a mother.
22	Given what was displayed earlier on the
23	slide show, it's my understanding that this is
24	basically a yes/no proposition. That the application
25	has been submitted and is being evaluated for an

1 approval or disapproval, and doesn't necessarily open 2 its way to alternatives. 3 Most processes that I have seen, there is 4 a purpose and need. And in this case, interim storage 5 of spent rods in some location that would be feasible 6 for storage. 7 I would assume that that would be the 8 purpose and need from the NRC. And meeting that need 9 would be based on economic or technical viability. 10 So, again, I'm not familiar, too familiar with the NRC process but that's my take is, this is a 11 yes or no approval, disapproval. And alternatives, 12 whether they're technically or economically viable, 13 14 are reason for dismissal. It would have been nice to know that in 15 the presentation, to the public, so they understood 16 exactly what NRC is making a decision on and if it's 17 a yes or no versus alternatives with purpose in need. 18 19 Secondly, the application is riddled with I see how, I think, made by councilmen earlier, 20 that there was very little information presented to 21 the public to formulate a very detailed scoping 22 comments. You guys know locations, you know the sites 23 24 that you're going to be receiving the rods from,

potentially what kind of waste you're going to be

1	receiving.
2	Is it, I don't know, plutonium, is it, I
3	have no idea what you all may potentially be storing.
4	And each of those activities have different half-
5	lives. So what does that mean?
6	Human health risk assessments, this is
7	what I want, in the record. Human health risk
8	assessments that take into consideration the
9	synergistic elements described earlier.
LO	We, as Natives, we eat, hunt, sleep,
L1	smoke, digest almost anything and everything from
L2	prairie dogs to yucca plants. We have no shame.
L3	(Laughter.)
L4	MS. YAZZIE: And we do have no shame
L5	because we had to survive. And survival does mean
L6	using what we have.
L7	So, the social, again, the synergistic
L8	impacts associated to human health should be
L9	considered in the human health risk assessment. As
20	well as an ecological health risk assessment.
21	Like I said, we still eat prairie dogs.
22	It's not anything new to our family, I was raised on
23	prairie dogs. I also eat sheep and horse.
24	Geez, what else do we eat. What are those
25	little ones that you use for your teeth?

1	(Foreign language spoken.)
2	MS. YAZZIE: I don't know what they're
3	called but, yes, I eat those.
4	Okay, the alternatives, proponents provide
5	the application. And I would like to see those
6	applications in Window Rock and Crownpoint as well as
7	the Gallup library. In addition to the ones that were
8	listed earlier.
9	Seismic activity related to fracking, how
10	does that impact the area. I know that they're
11	looking at that.
12	Transportation corridors important, but
13	most importantly, I think, as said earlier, we've
14	given, we've taken uranium, you've used the energy and
15	now you're sending the waste back. You don't see a
16	nuclear power plant on Navajo at all or in New Mexico
17	period. Those are comments, thank you.
18	MR. CAMERON: Thank you.
19	(Applause.)
20	MR. CAMERON: Thank you very much. And I
21	think that there was some relevant comments there that
22	I'm going to ask Brian Smith from the NRC to come up
23	and just tell you about the NRC efforts with
24	communicating with the Navajo Nation. Go ahead Brian.
25	And then we're going to go on to Simone

1	Jones and the rest of the speakers.
2	MR. SMITH: All right. So, I'll be quick.
3	Jonathan Perry was one of the first speakers
4	PARTICIPANT: Can't hear you.
5	MR. SMITH: Sorry. Jonathan Perry,
6	Council delegate for the Navajo Nation was one of the
7	earlier speakers, he's back there. You may have seen
8	me walk out with him because I wanted to follow-up on
9	one of the statements that he made regarding
10	limitations on the amount of discussions that we've
11	held on this project within his nation.
12	We have sent a letter, we, the NRC, have
13	sent a letter to the Navajo Nation as part of our
14	consultation process. Which is kind of just the
15	opposite effect. We're reaching out to them to
16	communicate with them on this project.
17	So there was nothing in the letter asking
18	that they limit any type of discussion on this
19	project.
20	So, I just wanted to try to clarify that.
21	So, if anybody has any questions on that, just let me
22	know.
23	MR. CAMERON: Okay, that's good. Let's go
24	on to, thank you, thank you, Brian. This is Simone.
25	(Foreign language spoken.)
ļ	

1 MS. JONES: I'm here as a mother, as a 2 citizen. I don't live too far from here. I live just 3 a couple blocks from here as a matter of fact. 4 And also, my profession, I just put it out 5 there, I'm in Indian real estate services. But I'm trying to kind of keep my comments here to the kind of 6 7 input that you're seeking. First off, your materials in Navajo are, 8 9 I think your OCR might have gone really bad, is completely unreadable, not understandable. 10 number digits, so you might want to correct that kind 11 of going forward if there is any future scoping 12 sessions. 13 14 As far as local issues, everybody has pointed out, Church Rock, I actually live in, was born 15 and raised in Lepton, which is a community just down 16 I went to school in Sanders, they found 17 river. arsenic in the water recently. 18 I didn't realize this happened until I 19 went to college. Recently everyone was passing away 20 with cancer, David Bowie and what not. 21 I reached for my neck and I found my 22 thyroid was enlarged. Had that biopsies, all of that. 23 And one of the things the doctor asked me was, where 24

are you getting additional exposure, are you using

1 your microwave, all these different things. And I 2 thought, well, just look at my backyard. I think you guys are really remiss and not 3 4 really understanding just the extent of this AUM mine 5 cleanup project that we have out here. We also have, as people pointed out, we have a community north, 6 7 south and to the east of us. It's a huge community of the Navajo Reservation. 8 Certain projects that we have ongoing out 9 there, such as the Navajo Gallup water supply to bring 10 some waters to community. It's a huge environmental 11 justice issue out here, I think that you've heard. 12 One of the things, me living just a couple 13 14 blocks from here that I'm very concerned about, hearing about 10,000 transports at some point in this 15 That's like 10,000 shots at the wheel. 16 17 This town, we really have limited responses, we have limited hospitals. 18 We have two 19 here, we have one in Fort Defiance. Actually, my son got sick on me about a 20 year ago, it was very serious and we almost didn't 21 transport him to Albuquerque because of foq. 22 I mean, we're talking about a huge community and in terms of 23 24 a historic properties, we have Chaco. Ninety percent of the Navajo Reservation 25

1 has not been archeological surveyed. You've heard of the Code Talkers just down the road, this Fort 2 3 Wingate, where a lot of them were trained. South of us is a community called Zuni 4 5 where it was actually the point of contact with the Spanish conquistadors. 6 So we have a lot of history 7 here. The other thing that I just want you to 8 9 just be very aware of, again, is just the fact that we don't have a lot of facilities out here. People have 10 talked about dust in the air. 11 We don't have improved roads, we have a 12 lot of earth and roads out here and people drive on 13 14 that. And that's that particulate that comes up every 15 day. You see in the community, you drive here 16 17 Gallup you see it hang, you drive out Albuquerque you see it hang. I've driven, I've lived 18 19 in this community my whole life. I've probably lived along the route in my whole life. 20 And one of the things that I've also 21 noticed is the I-40 track has gotten really bad. 22 used to be able to just drive on it, hit your cruise 23 24 control. You cannot do that anymore, the truck

25

traffic is immense.

1 Railroads, I live, again, just up the 2 road, you hear it night and day coming in there. I think about it. I think what if something was to 3 4 happen. 5 You hear that, my kids hear this all the time, I say Murphy's Law. You know, something is 6 7 going to happen, Murphy's Law. I sometimes game Murphy's Law. Sometimes 8 9 I think, okay, if I go to this light, I'm pulling my phone out and it's going to turn green. 10 sometimes. 11 But again, as a mother, as a citizen, as 12 a person in this community I just really implore you 13 14 to really consider alternatives. Like, my friend and colleague I pointed out, we don't know a lot of this 15 information. 16 Where is the source of this material, what 17 is the material. I think you really have to take a 18 19 look at this community, you have to take a look at 20 whose here. In terms, part of input your seeking is 21 historic properties. You know, there's 500,000 22 23 members if my tribe, we're not replaceable. And we live to the north, to the south and to the east of 24

Gallup.

1 And so I really implore you to consider 2 that in your decision making as you go forward. 3 I hope, as citizens of the world, that we can find 4 different terms of, ways of energy. 5 But unfortunately, our needs and our wants kind of run this engine. We really need to change our 6 7 But, again, thank you for this opportunity to 8 give your comments, and --9 MR. CAMERON: Thank you. 10 MS. JONES: -- that's it, and I'm under time. Thank you. 11 12 Thank you. Thank you, MR. CAMERON: Simone. Is Holly here? This is holly, and next we're 13 14 going to go to Janene and then to Darin Yazzio and 15 Denise Brown. MS. STEVENS: My comments will be brief. 16 17 I wasn't planning on speaking because I don't like doing this -- and admire how effectively and 18 19 eloquently the rest of you have spoken. But I like to be a good student, so my comments -- in order to be 20 relevant -- fall under local issues. Local issue 21 being we have children here who cannot speak for 22 themselves. In 2015, I believe -- give or take a year 23 24 -- the Annie E. Casey Foundation concluded that the

children of New Mexico are ranked 50th of 50 states in

child health and well-being. I am a pediatric nurse
practitioner in Gallup, and I am a mother. Families
in New Mexico, as you have heard reiterated repeatedly
which is redundant, but anyway reiterated,
currently and historically contend with a
disproportionately high degree of economic, social and
environmental adversity. And I see the physical,
emotional, psychological and developmental challenges
faced by families and children in my work every day.
On behalf of the children of New Mexico who cannot be
here to speak for themselves who cannot begin to
comprehend the threats that this would potentially
bring to our lives, and who face an unjust degree of
adversity of preventable threats already to the
realization of their full human potential, do not
permit the shipment of nuclear waste to New Mexico.
And I would also appeal to you, the NRC, to listen
carefully to Sister Rosemary Cecchini and the others
that are proposing wisely not to allow this experiment
to threaten any community in this country. Thank you.
(Applause.)
MR. CAMERON: Thank you. Thank you very
much and is is Janene? Janene here? Here is
Janene.
MS. YAZZIE: (Native language spoken.) I

don't think I can say anything better than what has already been said. Coming here, it is always great to see our community come out and engage in these issues. And the power is right here among ourselves, speaking to each other and creating these solutions because I am tired of these processes. I am tired of coming to scoping meetings and being given a plan and all of these nice, printed out pamphlets that explain how great these projects are. And also stipulate that these guys are going to determine what the significant issues are that are pertinent to environmental review, or what is relevant, in terms of the comments being made here. All I can say is how dare you, a private company, come in without knowing ---

(Applause.)

MS. YAZZIE: Without knowing the history or the lived experience of the people here and regurgitate these promises about jobs and how good it is going to be for our economy and how safe your technology is. We haven't cleaned up the problem here. That's the overlying theme here. You're not the solution, you're part of the issue. Now, until you can show us what your plan is to not only clean up what has already been done to our communities and to listen to the concerns of everyone that stood up here

asking for the health impact studies, asking for the
ecological studies, asking for you to understand our
lived experiences and value that for what it is and
not determine in some other city or some other place
what is significant or not until you can do that,
these scoping periods aren't going to achieve what is
just. I actually really want to hear what your
definition is for social, economic and environmental
justice because none of nothing else beside the
term looks at any type of awareness or illustrates any
type of awareness of what that actually means. We are
tired of being your waste or your, exposed
expendable communities and your backyard for the waste
to be transported. We are tired of hearing that we
are dealing with this issues because nuclear power is
so safe. We are on our way to transition to renewable
energy, and we are tired of hearing these promises
that we need to continue to accommodate these
technologies that have devastated and destroyed our
communities, our public health and our environment
and the futures of our children because our babies are
being born with uranium in their bodies. And that
can't be taken out. So don't come back here unless
you really do have a plan to take into consideration
the concerns that are being shared here. And unless

you understand that it's not about tribal consultation, it is about free prior and informed consent. And unless you get that, this project is not going through. And we're not going to settle for participating in a scoping period.

(Applause.)

MS. YAZZIE: We are used to this fight. And we are used -- and we know -- we have years or experience and data of what happens when we allow these projects to come into our areas, and we are not going to allow it anymore. Thank you.

(Applause.)

MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank you. Nice job.

And Darin -- Darin Yazzio? And then we will go to

Denise Brown.

MR. YAZZIO: I am going to take off my glasses so I don't see you guys because, you know, stage fright and everything. Hello. Yá'át'ééh. The translation of "yá'át'ééh" is "it is good." If this plan follows through, it will not be good. It will still continue to get worse. My understanding is that we have no nuclear power plants in New Mexico and it sounds absurd that we receive the consequences rather than the benefits in terms of nuclear power instead of having low energy costs. Eighty-seven percent of

water in New Mexico is supplied by groundwater, percent of which is river water. Storage -- storage -- nuclear storage underground puts 87 percent of our water at jeopardy. And with the Farmington incident, it already tarnished our DNA. I am a -- I am a victim of that DNA because I have an enlarged head that I was born with, and if I wasn't born in a big city, such a Phoenix, they would have done an operation that would have hindered my intelligence and hindered my perceive of life. The assumed nuclear material hidden in these lands, and as the gentleman earlier discussed how, if we opened the storage containment, it is going to open the floodgates for companies wanting to mine more nuclear -- nuclear materials in these lands and putting our people more at risk.

The Holtec CFS description says that they will have a total of 10,000 nuclear waste in terms of canisters. I don't know what a canister is. Is that in tons? What are they going to carry in it? What -- how much and what is going to be in those canisters and how long will it be in our communities? Half-lives of different materials have been half-lives. So we could be looking at plutonium, which has the longest, or we could have other ones that have the half-lives of 30 years, which is still a decent amount

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

of time -- which can hinder our ability to recuperate and heal ourselves over time. Thank you for your time.

(Applause.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CAMERON: Thank you. And, Denise?

Denise Brown. And then we are going to go to Kathryn

Morsea.

MS. BROWN: Hello. (Native language spoken.) So hello, good evening everybody. My name is Denise Brown. I am speaking as part of the Nuclear Issues Study Group. You may have seen us around -- at the flea market and around time, flyering to get as many people here as possible. But also speaking as a person -- Navajo person who was born and raised in Gallup, New Mexico. So this issue is highly concerning and the reason why I am here is because, as a resident, the transportation of this waste unacceptable -- as many of my relatives and community members have stated. The fact that you're barely consulting with the Navajo nation, I think, is very unprofessional, unprofessional as well as the presentation of your font in Navajo. unacceptable, as my relatives honor and -- our native language and need to understand this issue. addition to that, indigenous peoples in the New Mexico

by uranium -- the uranium legacy. And you should also 2 3 seek consultation with them as well. 4 And the fact that the DOT has not been a 5 part of this discussion and has led to the inability 6 for us to speak about the transportation risks --7 because what is proposed as what -- as Anton and John Heaton have said in their comments is that it will 8 9 mostly be transported by rail. And the rail system that goes through Gallup is one of the few in New 10 Mexico, so is highly likely and highly probable that 11 we will be affected. 12 And so -- yes, that is pretty 13 much what I wanted to say. Thank you so much, the 14 NRC, for coming here. And I hope you take into consideration all of what has been said today. 15 16 you. 17 MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank you. (Applause.) 18 19 MR. CAMERON: Leona? Leona would you like to come up and talk? Leona Morgan. 20 MS. MORGAN: Good evening. 21 (Native language spoken.) I grew up close to here. 22 This is not really my community, but I went to high school 23 24 here. And I just -- I actually want to thank the NRC for coming here because they only scheduled three 25

region, such as Acoma Laguna have also been affected

scoping meetings in the vicinity of the proposed site.

And so I think a lot of you haven't really learned about this project, and I think this is the first time a lot of you are hearing about Unfortunately, we didn't get a presentation about the project as much as people would have liked. would say, first of all, go to more communities along the transport route -- as many as possible -- and make sure you include a proper presentation, something akin to what the Holtec folks presented at the Radioactive and Hazardous Materials Interim Committee last Friday. need something like Wе that with translation. So we have more Diné speakers here, not -- not as many Spanish speakers. So we need more --We need more of the materials more translators. translated. We need more announcements about the meetings.

And so there's -- there -- there's many communities along the transport routes. This is just one of them. And like Denise just said, this is a highly likely route that you all are going to allow Holtec to bring over 10,000 canisters across the nation. We don't know how many canisters are going to come through Gallup. And at one of the meetings in the south -- Southeast New Mexico, we are talking about

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the radiation that can come out of the transport casks. And what was said is, oh, it is just an x-ray. We don't -- we don't want additional x-rays. know, we don't -- we didn't sign up for that. Wе didn't consent to that. Like Janine mentioned, free, informed and prior consent. We were not priorly informed properly of this project. In these communities across the nation, you all need to do proper outreach.

We are doing your job. We are doing all of the outreach to the communities that have not been included. And so I think, this is -- New Mexico -- how many states is the waste going to go through? How many communities? And I mentioned several times at the previous meetings some of the impacts to indigenous peoples. If you go along this railroad, you are going to pass by two of our sacred mountains.

And like, in the DOE study that says if there is a leak or an accident it could contaminate a 42-square-mile area, you need to study the impacts to all of the sacred places that indigenous peoples hold important to all of us. We are just one tribe. And so -- and Terracita mentioned the traditional plants. And I think that's something that needs to be included in your environmental impact study is not just the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

plants and the animals, but the traditional medicines that we all use, still today. And so, I know a lot of people spoke about uranium mining, and you're probably going to say, oh, this doesn't -- this doesn't fit within the -- the -- it is not germane to what we are here for. You know, but we have lived with this already. We already know the impacts from radiation exposure. And as you heard tonight -- I am sure you are going to hear many more of these types of comments the more and more you go out there because I know you have another meetings tomorrow and we are going to hear from people in Albuquerque. But this is really This is where uranium came from. ground zero. they took it out and they made bombs and they made But then now you're trying to send the electricity. waste back to our communities. This is environmental racism and it needs to stop.

(Applause.)

MS. MORGAN: You all need to do -- you all need to abide by the Environmental Justice Executive Order. You all need to consider what Council Delegate Perry stated that we have a law against the transport of any radioactive materials through our traditional homelands. The Navajo Nation has the Radioactive Materials Transportation Act of 2012. And as I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

already stated at a previous meeting, this is -- this needs to be respected under the Organization of American States Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous People. Our laws are valid. And just because the United States doesn't legally have to notify us of any rail transport, that doesn't mean it is okay. And that doesn't mean that the people will stand up for this. We do not consent.

MR. CAMERON: Thank you. Thank you, Leona.

(Applause.)

MR. CAMERON: Now we have Geneva -- Geneva Peter?

MS. PETER: Good evening, my name Geneva Peter and I am with the Dineh Chamber of Commerce. I am one of the board members. represent some of the -- the business organizations on Just hearing my community here -the reservation. and earlier I had the -- the pleasure to meet and talk with every one of you with the NRC, Holtec and giving me the brief information of what I was looking for -not knowing that it was so much impact by the -- my community here. I think you really need to take this into consideration -- more in detail, emphasizing -- looking from my point of view, there

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

needs to be a lot of workshops that needs to be done. I mean, you are looking at the whole community from I-40, there's a reservation going to wherever the transportation is going to be. And you have to emphasize those things. I mean, it's -- it's got to take at least one day to do all this, you know, training and letting people know what -- more detail of what, you know, this whole project is about.

But then I look at the other point where, you know, transportation-wise, you know, I've dealt with trucking for so long. I was -- I grew up in trucking. There's a lot of similar issues. You know, you've got to be able to deal with the federal water carrier, US DOT. You know, these things are impacted by what we have to go by -- our guidelines. But more or less, to say, having -- hauling these hazardous wastes is very, very unsafe. You know, you look at the structure where transportation has to come from one side to the destination. We can't really say one -- you know, it's going to be a safe issue for these transportation to be following. You know, you look at -- you have to look at these scenarios. You may be -it may be impacted by the commercial carrier or people that are just along the roadway. You know, these are things that you guys have to take into consideration.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I dealt a lot with federal motor carrier with hazardous. You know, a lot of impact issues that 2 had occurred on the roadways in the 9-40. You know, these are the things that you really have to look -into consideration as well. The railroad -- rail -you know, not too long ago we had a derailment on the east side of Gallup here. You know, we say that it is 8 going to be а safe issue for carrying 9 radioactive wastes on the rail, but you have to really take into consideration of what all the communities are talking about. I was born and raised here in And I have all my relatives in Church Rock 12 Gallup. and not knowing -- having to hear them -- come up here, you know, I kind of had -- shed tears when one 15 of the ladies spoke. When you guys are all sitting here as a committee at the NRC and Holtec, think about the community of our part. You know, you look at the impact of what we did with Standing Rock. That was a 18 huge, huge amount of concerns that we had. Same with the King Gold Mine here. You know there is so many 20 impact that you have to take into consideration.

> And moving this forward, you have to let the community understand, you know, they may be opposed to it. You know, I am kind of opposing to it as well now because hearing all my community here.

1

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

13

14

16

17

19

21

22

23

24

1	wasn't really familiar with the nuclear waste how
2	much it was really impacting. But now I know. So
3	having don't just say, you know, there are so many
4	my- a lot of my native people here. If they decide
5	to oppose this, you have to be ready. You know, if
6	you're going to take up a lot of take upon a lot of
7	my indigenous people. You know, we're all sisters
8	across the United States. There's brothers that we're
9	all in clan, you know. And we're going to stand as
10	one against it if they decided not to you guys
11	would do it.
12	So you have to really take that into
13	consideration, as tribes we stand as one nation. We
14	support one another from different tribes. So, you
15	know do you have to really do your research and
16	take and take it into consideration. Communicate
17	here for a lot of the native people. So that's what
18	I just kind of wanted to brief out to you. Thank you.
19	(Applause.)
20	MR. CAMERON: Thank you. Thank you,
21	Geneva. I just wanted to make sure. I think I called
22	Kathryn Morsea. Did Kathryn come up? Oh, come on up.
23	This is Kathryn Morsea.
24	MS. MORSEA: (Native language spoken.) I
25	was born and raised and educated in California, but my

traditional homeland is an hour north of here in Crystal, New Mexico. I was born with the homing pigeon gene. So after I got educated to become a physician, I decided to come here and serve my people. So I work at Gallup Indian Medical Center in Family Medicine, in Palliative Care, in pain. And I am extremely concerned about this proposal -- as a physician. Now, I do not represent Gallup Indian Medical Center. I am representing myself, who is an employee there, and what I have seen.

We have seen a number of nasopharyngeal cancers -- cancers that are usually pretty rare, but they are a known consequence of uranium exposure. And they are in people -- we have seen them in people too young to have worked in the mines. Not only that, we see very high rates of lung diseases -- COPD, pulmonary fibrosis, asthma, et cetera. And almost nobody smokes, okay? And we also see a lot of inflammatory diseases -- things like rheumatoid arthritis, lupus -- and I could go on and on and on. Also, kidney disease. These are things that reflect a higher level of inflammation in the body something that uranium can cause. But the problem is, we are working really hard to take care of patients. We are -- we are -- they are very complicated. We are not

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

investigators. What we don't have is the link. We have suspicions, but we don't have a link. So what I am saying is that we do not have enough health data regarding what's already been done here.

My understanding from visiting with the folks from the Red Water Pond community north of Church Rock, it is there -- sitting with their homes -- they've lived there for hundreds if not thousands There's mine tailings there. The wind is of years. It -- there still has not been adequate blowing. There has been higher than usual levels of clean up. uranium found in the urine of babies through the Navajo health study. And also from what I understand is that when the Church Rock spill happened, there was a lot of debris that landed in the Puerco River -- the And that was dredged up and placed children's playgrounds here in the community. are the things that I have heard -- in addition to what I have experienced. And -- and to ask that this community take on additional risks, to me is untenable when we don't even really have the data that shows what actually happened. We just know that we have a health problems here lot of that are suspicious.

As safe as Holtec wants -- and the Nuclear

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	Regulatory Commission wants to picture and paint
2	paint a picture of how safe this can be, there is
3	always something that can happen. There's always
4	risks. And that's where I am concerned about. And
5	and I and as Janine Yazzie had pointed out, what I
6	am noticing is that these types of risks are often
7	falling on communities of color. People at economic
8	and racial disadvantages. And that as well is
9	untenable to me. I am for the health of my people and
10	what I am what I am hearing and seeing is extremely
11	concerning.
12	MR. CAMERON: Thank you. Thank you,
13	Kathryn.
14	(Applause.)
15	MR. CAMERON: We are going to go to Ace
16	Hoffman right now, and then to Sharon Hoffman. This
17	is Ace Hoffman.
18	MR. HOFFMAN: Hello, I am from Carlsbad
19	Carlsbad, California, which is about 15 miles from San
20	Onofre. And in the 1990s I started we moved there.
21	I immediately almost immediately began opposing
22	that plant. And every time I tried to bring up the
23	waste problem I was told it's solved. Yucca Mountain
24	is going to take the waste. Now, here we are, Yucca

Mountain is not moving forward really at all. And if

it were to move forward this -- over 300 problems that have been identified, and some of them are absolutely unsolvable, like volcanoes in the area and earthquakes in the area and underground water moving in the area.

When -- we spent the night here, hearing the problems with uranium mining in the Navajo Nation. And I -- I understand how -- how bad that is. When they use the uranium in a reactor, it makes plutonium. Plutonium has a half-life of 24,000 years. Uranium-235 has a half-life of 700 million years and uranium-238 of 4.5 billion years. Most of the waste is 238.

But that plutonium -- and there's dozens of pounds of it in each of these containers -- is about 30,000 times more radioactive than uranium-235 because you just simply do the math -- 24,000 years versus 700,000 years. Multiply it by I quess about 30,000. Also there's all these fission products. they're 1,000 -- 10,000 times more radioactive than plutonium and they can be bone seekers and thyroid had bladder cancer. seekers. Ι Never smoked cigarettes in my life. My wife had breast cancer. She never smoked anything in her life, either. Cancer is a terrible thing.

We were listening to the -- a book about what they discovered after the Hiroshima and Nagasaki

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

bombs were dropped. And they assured the soldiers that went -- that went in to study it that there was absolutely no danger from the radiation. And they said -- and this was written, you know, in 1946 -- what we were listening to in audio books on the way over here through the Painted Forest -- or, the Painted Desert and the Petrified Forest -- that there was no indication that any of the radiation damaged. Everyone that was killed was killed by the blast or the -- or the pressure or the -- the glass flying, and so forth.

and Rut that thousands we know now thousands of Japanese people were killed by radiation. So if you -- if you're going to let this stuff come, don't think that it's just the uranium coming back to you. It is literally 10 million times worse than the radiation. You do not want this stuff And yes, you're just going to give a green light to the industry, which doesn't need to -- we don't need this industry anymore. There's plenty of wind and solar and every other kind of energy that we -- we need.

(Applause.)

MR. HOFFMAN: But don't -- don't green-light this. Now, I am very briefly going to mention

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

this book that you can get. I have a bunch of copies and you're welcome to take one. I have been studying this issue -- in 1979 I had a comedy routine about Three Mile Island, so -- it's been a long time. Some of the people I have worked with already know or -- were on my newsletter list. Helen Kalvicod, John Gottman, Ernest Sternglass. These are all people that I've -- I've known. When I got bladder cancer, I decided I had better dump everything I know into a book -- just in case. So that's how this book came about. Just take a copy.

And by the way -- if I have just a little bit more time -- there's another solution. I was at the Atomic Testing Museum in Nevada a couple years ago. And one of the guys that had been working on the test -- they were testifying about their experiences with the test -- and he had studied carefully all the -- the electro-magnetic pulse and all that other stuff that comes up. And he has a solution that requires lasers to reduce the radioactivity of this waste. And that has to happen before it ever gets here.

And the last point is -- actually, the last point is we have all heard 10,000 containers, which of course is 20,000 transferred, here and back -- will probably -- while it's here, they are going to

1 want to reprocess it and use it in more --2 reactors. And so --3 MR. CAMERON: Okay. Thank you, Ace. 4 (Applause.) 5 MR. CAMERON: And this is -- this is And next we can go to Edith Hood. 6 Sharon Hoffman. 7 MS. HOFFMAN: Good evening. This 8 really an awesome hearing in the sense that the people 9 who have spoken tonight know a lot about the issues. The bad news is, you know about them for the worst 10 possible reasons. You've experienced them yourselves. 11 12 physicians, you've seen them. As The average community does not have this level of knowledge. 13 14 I want to emphasize to the NRC that the community that 15 has this personal knowledge is very much against anything nuclear in their community. 16 17 (Applause.) It has been said at least MS. HOFFMAN: 18 19 once tonight -- I think practically everything I thought of has been said at least once tonight -- but 20 I think this is actually the most important thing. We 21 have to stop making the waste. 22 As long as we're making more, this is going to impact some community 23

somewhere. And it is very fair to say this is not our

problem, we do not want it -- but nobody wants it.

24

And we all have to stop. And then, maybe then, we can look at solutions.

The other thing I wanted to talk about is I noticed in the NRC presentation that there's a distinction between environmental and safety concerns. And I truly do not understand that because the environmental impacts impact the safety of the people and the wildlife and the plants that live here. So we're asking, are there endangered species? Yes, humans.

(Laughter.)

There are a lot of humans. MS. HOFFMAN: And they are being endangered by this project. there is no way this is environmentally responsible. Moving the waste is irresponsible, making more waste is more -- is irresponsible. Putting it in the location that Holtec is proposing is irresponsible. None of this makes any sense and it is just going to facilitate more nuclear waste. We have to stop this. And I want to end with -- there's something else that we heard in the audio books today. We heard about the And before the trinity tests, nobody trinity tests. knew what would happen. And the scientists had a betting pool about what was going to happen -- what was going to be the yield of that -- of that bomb.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And Enrico Fermi, you know, who knows something -- was willing to bet anybody that it would end life on the planet as we know it. And to some degree, he was correct, right? Because we all have radioactivity in us and there's a lot of health effects from that. But more importantly, they were gambling on -- in multiple senses on something that they did not know what the result would be. And the nuclear industry is still doing that. And we do not have to put up with this. They have to stop it. Thank you.

(Applause.)

MR. CAMERON: Thank you. Thank you, Sharon. Now, Edith? Edith Hood? And then we're going to go to Susan Schuurman.

MS. HOOD: Good evening everyone. My name is Edith Hood, and I am from the Red Water Pond community. And when I listen to all of this, there are a lot of you that are very informed. And I think some of our are going to have advocate friends, your family -- the people. And my question here is where is the human rights aspect of all of what we're Where is it? All of us have a right talking about? to live in a safe and supportive environment America. Sometimes I feel like we are living in the third world here -especially with all the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

112 1 contamination that we live in back home -- in my backyard. 2 3 The next one I am going to ask is, where 4 and what is your back-up plan in case of an emergency? 5 Is it going to be sitting under some dust somewhere? 6 Where is it? And -- so we, the people of the 7 community here need our very informed and -- and we 8 need to educate everyone else. All I ask is not in my 9 backyard. Again, thank you. 10 (Applause.) Thank you very much. 11 MR. CAMERON: And 12 now, Susan? MS. SCHUURMAN: Thank you. What an honor 13 14 to follow Edith Hood. I am still shaking from 15 Terracita Keyanna's comments -- that made a lot of us The impact to her family has been -- I don't 16 17 know how anyone can consider approving a project after hearing her testimony. My name is Sue Schuurman. 18 Ι 19 have lived in New Mexico for 25 years and I am a cancer survivor. You know, when you get cancer, they 20

because that is one of the categories. I know you're

don't tell you how you got it. You ask, and they

say, well, we don't know. So -- you wonder, but you

I want to talk about cumulative impacts

don't know.

21

22

23

24

scoping for environmental impact statement, and I
think cumulative impacts could shut this proposal down
on itself just by itself. Uranium mines, cancer,
abandoned uranium mines, tailings piles, tailings
spill at Church Rock, Los Alamos National Labs,
plutonium in the Rio Grande, the Canyons, the kick-
and-roll disposal method, right? There's a lot of
contamination in the canyons at L'Antelope. The
Trinity site, the test without consent of the local
population. They had no idea what that explosion was
that morning. Generations of down-winders with health
impacts. The stockpile of over 2,000 nuclear warheads
at Kirtland Air Force Base in Albuquerque. The mixed-
waste landfill they don't know what's in there.
They know there radioactive waste from the Cold War
era in barrels that were dumped into unlined trenches.
That's right above our aquifer. I live in
Albuquerque. The website, waste isolation pilot plant
near Carlsbad with transuranic waste from atomic bomb
making, which after only 15 years had an underground
fire and a radioactive release.

This is cumulative impacts. If you add up all the environmental impacts that New Mexico has already experienced, I find it baffling that New Mexico could be considered for additional impacts. No

1	matter how safe you and Holtec make this project
2	appear to be, there will be environmental impacts to
3	New Mexico and to New Mexicans. And the CIS facility
4	would impact the people of Gallup because of the
5	deadly waste traveling through here on the trains. We
6	we heard trains while we've been sitting here
7	tonight. They're so often through Gallup. And trains
8	have accidents. A few weeks ago we saw 10 train cars
9	derailed in Odessa, Texas alone. It was the day of
10	the NRC hearing in Hobbs. If the NRC considers the
11	cumulative impacts of this project on New Mexico, you
12	will deny this licensed application. We don't want
13	it, and we do not consent. Thank you.
14	MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank you.
15	(Applause.)
16	MR. CAMERON: Now that's the last speaker
17	that we had for tonight. And usually what we do is
18	have the NRC senior official, who is Brian Smith,
19	close the meeting. I just wanted to thank you from
20	the facilitator's point of view for all of your
21	courtesy and your patience and your your comments
22	tonight. And I have to thank Knifewing and his
23	colleagues. Thank you, thank you, thank you.
24	(Applause.)
25	MR. CAMERON: I think this is the best

1	place that we have done a meeting, okay? And so,
2	thank you to you, Knifewing. And I will give you
3	Brian.
4	MR. SMITH: All right, first of all, I
5	want to thank everyone for coming out tonight. And
6	again, we at least the microphones work tonight.
7	All of our previous meetings, we've had microphone
8	issues. Luckily, they worked well tonight. Thank you
9	again for coming out tonight. We appreciate all of
10	the comments that we heard. We have not made a
11	decision on this project. This is just the very
12	beginning of our review both the safety review,
13	security review and environmental review. They're all
14	taken into consideration together and ultimately a
15	decision will be made at the end of the overall
16	project review. We are doing one more meeting. It is
17	tomorrow night in Albuquerque. So you can look
18	forward I am sure we will probably see some of you
19	again. So, appreciate your comments. Have a good
20	evening. Thank you very much.
21	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went
22	off the record at 8:47 p.m.)
23	