



VIRGINIA POWER

July 5, 1985

Mr. Harold R. Denton, Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Attn: Mr. H. L. Thompson, Jr., Director
Division of Licensing
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Serial No. 85-362
E&C/RWC:cwh
Docket Nos. 50-280
50-281
50-338
50-339
License Nos. DPR-32
DPR-37
NPF-4
NPF-7

Gentlemen:

VIRGINIA POWER
NORTH ANNA POWER STATION UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2
SURRY POWER STATION UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2
IMPLEMENTATION OF INTEGRATED SCHEDULES
FOR PLANT MODIFICATIONS

As requested by Generic Letter 85-07, dated May 2, 1985, the completed survey form regarding integrated schedules for plant modifications is provided in Attachment 1. In a meeting with members of the NRC staff on March 15, 1985, we provided additional information concerning our intention to submit an integrated living schedule license amendment late this year.

If you would like additional information on this subject, please contact us.

Very truly yours,

for

W. L. Stewart

Attachment

8507120135 850705
PDR ADOCK 05000280
P PDR

A001
41



cc: Dr. J. Nelson Grace
Regional Administrator
Region II

Mr. M. W. Branch
NRC Resident Inspector
North Anna Power Station

Mr. D. J. Burke
NRC Resident Inspector
Surry Power Station

Mr. Steven A. Varga, Chief
Operating Reactor Branch No. 1
Division of Licensing

Mr. Edward J. Butcher, Acting Chief
Operating Reactor Branch No. 3
Division of Licensing

ATTACHMENT

RESPONSE FORMAT - GENERIC LETTER 85-07

PLANT NAME:

North Anna and Surry Power Stations

UTILITY:

Virginia Power

I. INTENTIONS

A. Intend to work with the staff to develop an ILS

Yes

B. Have reservations that must be resolved before developing ILS

N/A

C. Do not presently intend to negotiate an ILS with the staff

N/A

D. Plan to implement an informal ILS only

N/A

STATUS

A. If you answered I.A above:

1. Have you settled on a method for prioritizing the work at your plant(s)?

Circle One: Yes (No)*

If yes, select best description:

Engineering judgment	<u>SEE*</u>
Analytic Hierarchy process	<u> </u>
Risk based analysis	<u> </u>
Cost-benefit analysis	<u> </u>
Other (please describe)	<u> </u>

If no, provide estimated date for selecting a methodology:

10/85
Date

or

If not presently available, provide estimated date for scheduling the selection of a methodology:

N/A
Date

*Current engineering judgment based priority matrix is being reviewed and will be revised as appropriate.

2. What is your estimated date for making a
submittal to the NRC-

or

12/85
Date

If not presently available, planned date for
scheduling a submittal to the NRC

B. If you answered I.B above: - N/A

1. Please explain your reservations on separate sheet(s)
or provide your schedule for supplying an explanation
See separate sheet(s) N/A

or

Separate submittal scheduled for

N/A
Date

2. If available to meet with the staff to discuss your
concerns, propose a time frame for such a meeting and
provide a contact that can make arrangements
Contact/Time Frame N/A

Phone Number _____

C. If you answered I.C - N/A

1. Would you be willing to meet with the staff to discuss the
development of an ILS for your facility(s)?

Circle One: (Yes) No

If yes, propose a time frame for such a meeting and provide
a contact that can make arrangements.

Contact Meeting with NRC already held March 15, 1985

Time Frame _____

Phone Number _____

If no, any constructive comments you have would be appreciated

III. ADDITIONAL

Please make any suggestions you may have as to how a utility sponsored
availability /reliability project might be credited for plant safety
enhancement. Provide additional constructive comments as appropriate.

NONE