Vepco

VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY
Surry Power Station
P. O. Box 315
Surry, VA 23883

SEP 2 6 1980

Serial No: 041

Docket Nos: 50-280
50-281

License Nos: DPR-32
DPR-37

Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Director
Office of Inspection and Enforcement
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region II
101 Marietta Street, Suite 3100
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Dear Mr. O'Reilly:

Pursuant to Surry Power Station Technical Specifications, the Virginia Electric and Power Company hereby submits the following Licensee Event Reports for Surry Units 1 & 2.

Report No.	Applicable Technical Specifications
80-060/03L-0	6.6.2.b(2)
80-024/03L-0	6.6.2.b.(2)

These reports have been reviewed by the Station Nuclear Safety and Operating Committee and will be placed on the agenda for the next meeting of the System Nuclear Safety and Operating Committee.

Very truly yours,

J. L. Wilson
Station Manager

ENCLOSURES

cc: Mr. Victor Stello, Director (3)
Office of Inspection and Enforcement

Mr. Norman Haller, Director (3)
Office of Management and Program Analysis

A002
S
1/1

8010010576

S

ATTACHMENT 1
SURRY POWER STATION, UNIT 1
DOCKET NO: 50-280
REPORT NO: 80-060/03L-0
EVENT DATE: 08-31-80

TITLE OF REPORT: LOW FLOW ALARM ON RM-GW-101/102

1. Description of Event:

A Low Flow Alarm was indicated for RM-GW-101/102. The Process Vent System was isolated, as per AP 5.16. Tech. Spec. 3.4.B.5.b applies, thus this event is reportable in accordance with Tech. Spec. 6.6.2.b(2)

2. Probable Consequences and Status of Redundant Systems:

No discharges were in progress at time of failure and system was immediately isolated upon indication of the alarm. The Health Physics accountability sample taken shortly after this occurence indicates that the releases made prior to process vent system isolation were within allowable limits. The health and safety of the public were not affected.

3. Cause of Event:

Investigation showed cause of failure to be vaccum pump drive belt.

4. Immediate Corrective Action:

Isolated Process Vent System as per AP 5.16.

5. Subsequent Corrective Action:

Replaced broken belt, placed back in service

6. Action Taken to Prevent Recurrence:

None required

7. Generic Implication

Not Applicable