

VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY
RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23261

October 22, 1979

Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Director
Office of Inspection and Enforcement
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region II
101 Marietta Street, Suite 3100
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Serial No. 809/092779
PO/RMT:baw
Docket No. 50-280

License No. DPR-32

Dear Mr. O'Reilly:

We have reviewed your letter of September 29, 1979, in reference to the inspection conducted at Surry Power Station on August 27-29, 1979, and reported in IE Inspection Report Nos. 50-280/79-50 and 50-281/79-70. Our response to the specific infraction is attached.

We have determined that no proprietary information is contained in the reports. Accordingly, the Virginia Electric and Power Company has no objection to these inspection reports being made a matter of public disclosure.

Very truly yours,

W.C. Stallings
C. M. Stallings
Vice President-Power Supply
and Production Operations

Attachment

cc: Mr. Albert Schwencer

7911260 169

790632
OFFICIAL COPY

RESPONSE TO VIOLATIONS LISTED IN
IE INSPECTION REPORT NO.
50-280/79-50 & 50-281/79-70

NRC COMMENT

As required by Technical Specifications, section 6.4.D, the detailed written procedures provided for preventative or corrective maintenance operations which would have an effect on the safety of the reactor shall be followed. Paragraph 7.12.1.1 of procedure NDT-10.1, VEPCO's procedure for radiography of safety-related welds states in part, "If the density of the radiograph anywhere through the area of interest varies by more than minus 15, plus 30 percent then an additional penetrameter shall be used for each exceptional area...".

Contrary to the above, on August 28, 1979, nine feedwater weld radiographs were noted where the film density through the area of interest was more than 30 percent darker than the density through the penetrameter.

This is an infraction.

RESPONSE

The above information is correct as stated.

- 1.) "Corrective steps which have been taken by you, and the results achieved."

All film concerned with the Unit #1 Feedwater System (IEB 79-13) was reviewed by a Level II R.T. Interpreter (Contractor) and a VEPCO QA Level III R. T. Interpreter. Reradiography was performed, where necessary, to meet the requirements of Paragraph 7.12.1.1 of VEPCO Procedure NDT 10.1. All the film for Unit #1 meets the requirements of VEPCO Procedure NDT 10.1, except that evaluation was to the 1977 Edition of ASME, Section III, Subsection NC, Paragraph NC-5000 to the 2T Quality Level.

The contractor used to perform the radiography for VEPCO has been removed from the approved vendors list. The VEPCO Non-destructive testing individual, assigned to follow the contractor's work, has been disciplined.

- 2.) "Corrective steps which will be taken to avoid further non-compliance."

VEPCO Quality Assurance personnel will provide surveillance on all future radiography efforts.

- 3.) "The date when full compliance will be achieved."

The review of all film and the required reradiography was complete on September 8, 1979.

~~70-1200~~ 169