Official Transcript of Proceedings NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title: Public Scoping Meeting for the Environmental

Impact Statement for Holtec International's Hi-store Consolidated Interim Storage Facility for Spent Nuclear Fuel Located in Lea County,

New Mexico

Docket Number: N/A

Location: Carlsbad, New Mexico

Date: May 3, 2018

Work Order No.: NRC-3674 Pages 1-192

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

	<u> </u>
1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
3	+ + + +
4	PUBLIC SCOPING MEETING FOR THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
5	STATEMENT FOR HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL'S HI-STORE
6	CONSOLIDATED INTERIM STORAGE FACILITY FOR
7	SPENT NUCLEAR FUEL LOCATED IN
8	LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO
9	+ + + +
10	THURSDAY,
11	MAY 3, 2018
12	+ + + +
13	CARLSBAD, NEW MEXICO
14	+ + + +
15	The Public Scoping Meeting was convened in
16	the Meeting Room at the Eddy County Fire Service, 1400
17	Commerce Drive, at 7:00 p.m., Chip Cameron,
18	facilitating.
19	
20	NRC STAFF PRESENT:
21	CHIP CAMERON, Facilitator
22	BRIAN SMITH, Deputy Director, Division of Fuel Cycle
23	Safety, Safeguards, and Environmental Review,
24	Office of Nuclear Material Safety and
25	Safeguards (NMSS)

1	JILL CAVERLY, Environmental Review Project Manager,
2	Environmental Review Branch, NMSS
3	JOSE CUADRADO, Licensing and Safety Review Project
4	Manager, Spent Fuel Licensing Branch, NMSS
5	JOHN McKIRGAN, Chief, Spent Fuel Licensing Branch,
6	NMSS
7	CINTHYA ROMAN, Chief, Environmental Review Branch,
8	NMSS
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
24	
25	

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 7:04 p.m. 3 MR. **CAMERON:** Hey, good evening, everybody, and welcome. My name's Chip Cameron, and 4 5 it's my pleasure to serve as your facilitator for tonight's meeting. 6 7 And this is a Carlsbad edition, so to speak, of a series of public meetings that the Nuclear 8 9 Regulatory Commission is holding on its review of the license application that we received from Holtec 10 International to build and operate an interim spent 11 fuel storage facility in Lea County. 12 And the focus of the meeting is something 13 14 called scoping. And scoping is a term that's used in 15 the connection with of the preparation an 16 Environmental Impact Statement under the federal law, the National Environmental Policy Act. 17 And we're going to try to keep the acronyms down. But three 18 19 you will hear tonight are NRC, EIS, Environmental Impact Statement, and NEPA, National 20 Environmental Policy Act. 21 So scoping is pretty simple really. 22 23 what should be considered by the Agency, in this case

So scoping is pretty simple really. It's what should be considered by the Agency, in this case the NRC, when they prepare an Environmental Impact Statement. What should be covered, what should be

24

addressed, and what does it need to be addressed in the Environmental Impact Statement. Therefore, what's the scope of it?

And the NRC staff is here to tell you

about their review process, the environmental process, but also the safety review. Those are the two primary segments of how the NRC decides whether to grant a license or to deny a license. So we have environmental review, we have the safety review.

Tonight, we're going to focus on the scoping part of the environmental review, and after the NRC receives comments in meetings like this or in writing, they're going to prepare what's called a scoping report, and that will be available to the public. They'll summarize what they heard in the scoping meetings.

But they're also going to use those scoping comments to prepare a draft Environmental Impact Statement. I want to emphasize draft, because it won't be finalized until the come out here again and they get written public comments on that draft Environmental Impact Statement. And then they'll finalize it.

So two objectives, key objectives tonight. One is for the NRC to clearly explain their review

process to you, review of the license application.

And secondly to give them an opportunity to listen to your comments, advice, recommendations on this particular project.

And those two objectives correspond to the format for the meeting. First of all, we're going to have presentations from the NRC staff, and they're going to be fairly crisp, too, okay. And the second part of the meeting is public comment, and we're going to ask you to come up here to the microphone and give us your comments.

And we are taking a transcript. We have Matthew McMullen over here, and he's our court reporter. And that transcript will be made public, and it's going to be probably about three weeks. But it'll be on the NRC website, and the NRC staff will tell you how to access that.

We have a lot of people signed up to speak, and I think it's going to be a pretty interesting meeting. Some of the people we've heard at the previous two meetings that we did Monday in Roswell and Tuesday in Hobbs, but they can speak again.

But because we have so many people, instead of having five minutes, which we, which I like

to give people five minutes. You can usually make your comments, thoughts known in five minutes. We're going to go four minutes, okay. Because we would like to get out of here before midnight, okay. But no, we've been finishing up about 10:45.

And I'll remind you at the three-minute mark, gentle reminder, can you finish up. And I know people are getting sick of me, tired of me saying to every speaker, can you finish up. So I'm going to take something from the soccer world.

When you got a minute left, I'll just tell you, you got the yellow card, all right. And then when your time's up, we're going to be nice and gentle and give you the pink card instead of the red card, okay.

But any rate, I apologize if I have to ask you to stop, because I know you spent a lot of time preparing remarks. But luckily, you can amplify on your remarks you give tonight by submitting written comments, and the staff will tell you how to do that.

The staff is not, they're here to listen carefully to what you're saying. But they're not going to respond to any comments that you have. And sometimes people ask questions during their comments. And they're not going to be answering those questions.

But when they evaluate the transcript, the comments from the meeting, they'll carefully look at what you suggested.

And I just want to tell you that we do have Beverly Allen here. Beverly, where are you? Beverly is from Senator Tom Udall's office, and thank the Senator, thank you for being here, and thank the Senator for having you come to the meeting. I just wanted people to know that you were here.

MS. ALLEN: Diane Ventura from Senator Heinrich's office is on her way.

MR. CAMERON: Okay. So when Diane gets here, maybe we'll just interrupt and we'll introduce her. So when we do get to the comment period, I'm going to call four or five names in a row. And it cuts down on the time if you can get ready to come up and speak.

So we're going to try to be really crisp and efficient tonight. But I think you're going to hear a lot of good comments. NRC's going to hear a lot of good comments. And one other thing is is that when we do these public meetings on a license application, the public is always interested in talking to the license applicant, in this case, Holtec International.

Well, we do have Joy Russell here from Holtec International, and some of her colleagues. And she'll be available after the meeting to talk to you about any questions that you might have.

So let me introduce the NRC staff for you.

So let me introduce the NRC staff for you.

We're going to start out with Cinthya Roman, okay.

And Cinthya is the Branch Chief of the Environmental

Review Branch at the NRC in the Office of Nuclear

Materials Safety and Safeguards. She's going to

introduce some information about the NRC.

And then we're going to have Jill Caverly, who's right here. Jill is the project manager for the environmental review of the Holtec International license application. So she is a key person, and she's going to tell you about that review.

And our senior NRC official is Brian Smith. And Brian is the Deputy Director of the Division of Fuel Cycle Safeguards and Environmental Review, and he'll also close out the meeting for us when we're done hearing from all of you.

And we have our safety analysts here with us from the Agency, and we have the Branch Chief, John McKirgan. And we have the Safety Project Manager, Jose Cuadrado. And the, oftentimes there's a correspondence between the environmental review

1 material and what the NRC needs, the safety findings 2 they need to make. 3 So they're here to listen to, if there's 4 any safety issues, they're here to listen to that. 5 And finally, and I'll get out of here, we have Dave MacIntyre here somewhere. 6 There's Dave 7 MacIntyre, he's a senior Public Affairs official at NRC Headquarters in Rockville. We have Bill Maier. 8 9 Bill, are you here? Bill Maier, okay. He's from our regional, he's the Regional Liaison Officer at the NRC 10 office in Texas, Region IV. So he's with us, and we 11 with Office 12 have Angel Moreno, who's our of Congressional Affairs. We also have some other people 13 14 here from Congressional Affairs. But final thing, just be courteous to 15 You may hear things that you don't agree 16 17 with, but just respect the person who's given that. And Cinthya, are you ready? Okay, Cinthya Roman. 18 19 MS. ROMAN: Hi. First I want to say a couple of things in Spanish. He provided a lot of 20 information, so I just want to make sure that people 21 that speak Spanish have that information. 22 23 (Foreign language spoken.) 24 MS. ROMAN: Good evening, as Chip mentioned, staff in my branch is going to be working 25

on the Environmental Review Branch for the Holtec license application. And our main goal today is to hear from you, so I'm going to be very brief.

First, I want to give you a very quick overview of what NRC does and our role in regulating the Holtec project. Our agency is charged by federal law to be the nation's only regulator of commercial nuclear fuel, nuclear materials, independently ensuring these materials are used, handled, stored safely, securely.

Our mission is to protect the public health and safety, promote the common defense and security, and protect the environment by regulating the civilian use of radioactive materials. To accomplish our mission, we carefully review each license application we receive before making a decision on whether or not to grant the applicant's request. Next slide.

NRC regulates the operation of 99 nuclear power reactors that generate about 20% of the electricity in the United States. We also regulate civilian use of nuclear materials, research reactors at universities, transportation of nuclear materials, and their storage and disposal.

NRC strives to be open and transparent in

its review. As such, stakeholders have many opportunities to participate in public meetings on environmental and safety issues. This scoping meeting is one of those opportunities. Next slide.

As an independent regulator, the NRC determines whether it is safe to build and operate a storage facility at the proposed site. The NRC does not promote or build the nuclear facility. Also, we do not own or operate the nuclear facility. Again, our mission and our regulations are designed to protect both the public, workers, and the environment.

Holtec is applying for a license to store waste. Holtec is not asking for permission to reprocess or generate more nuclear waste. NRC does not select the location for the storage facility, we just evaluate the impacts of building and operating the storage facility at the location proposed by the licensee.

As we will explain later in this presentation, the results of our environmental review will be documented in an Environmental Impact Statement, which is also a public document. This analysis, along with other factors, will form the basis for the staff decision to issue a license or not.

1 Now, Jill Caverly will provide additional 2 details about the Holtec project and the environmental 3 review process. Thank you. 4 MS. CAVERLY: Good evening, I'm Jill 5 Caverly, and I'm going to be the Environmental Project Manager for the review. The next few slides will be 6 7 specific to the Holtec storage facility application 8 and its review. 9 Holtec has applied for a license to 10 construct and operate the storage facility under 10 CFR Part 72, or the NRC's regulations governing 11 storage of spent nuclear fuel and reactor-related, 12 greater than Class C waste. 13 14 If granted, the Holtec would receive a 40-15 year license to construct and operate a consolidated interim storage facility. 16 The current application 17 before the NRC requests construction and operation of only the first phase of up to 20 planned phases. This 18 19 is the current -- in this current application, Holtec is requesting storage of up to 500 canisters of spent 20 nuclear fuel. 21 This spent fuel would come from shut down 22 and operating nuclear power plants from around the 23 24 country. Holtec anticipates applying for up to 20

phases of construction and operation of 500 canisters

of spent nuclear fuel each, for a total of 10,000 canisters of spent fuel storage.

These additional phases would require separate applications from Holtec, and would be subject to their own safety and environmental reviews. The Environmental Report provides information on the full build-out of the site for 10,000 canisters. Next slide, please.

This slide shows the approximate location for the proposed consolidated interim storage facility in New Mexico. As you can see, the facility is located approximately halfway between the cities of Carlsbad and Hobbs in Lea County. Next slide.

Holtec plans to use the HI-STORM UMAX system for the storage of the spent fuel. HI-STORM UMAX stands for Holtec International storage module underground maximum capacity, and is an NRC-certified design. That means that we have evaluated it and determined it meets NRC regulations and can safely store spent fuel.

The system is a dry, in-ground, spent fuel storage system, and each of these modules holds one canister of spent fuel. Holtec has applied for storage of 500 canisters of spent fuel. The canisters' transfer facilities would be below ground.

This is a low profile design, as seen in the conceptual drawing from the Holtec application. Next slide.

This flow chart provides the overview of the license application process, which could be three-parallel-phrase described generally as а After the application is submitted, NRC conducts an acceptance review to determine if the application has sufficient information to begin a detailed technical review. If so, NRC dockets the application, and this begins the safety and environmental review phases.

From a safety standpoint, we work through a separate review to decide if the license should be issued. The result of this phase of the review is a safety evaluation report. This is graphically represented on the left column of the flow chart in the steps in orange.

The environmental review results in an Environmental Impact Statement, which describes the impacts on the environment from the proposed project. On the right side, you'll see the adjudicatory hearings. This blue box on the figure refers to the opportunity for the public to request a hearing on the application.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 These hearings would be held if a petition 2 to intervene is granted. So the results of these three processes, a hearing if granted, the results of 3 4 the environmental review, documented in the EIS, and 5 the safety review, documented in a safety evaluation report, will factor into NRC's final decision whether 6 7 or not to grant the license to Holtec for the storage 8 facility. 9 It's important to note that the focus of 10 this meeting is the environmental review process. Next slide. 11 This flow diagram outlines 12 the environmental review process, or the middle column of 13 14 the previous slide. The opportunities for the public 15 involvement are highlighted in light blue. The NRC starts its review by publishing a 16 notice of intent to inform the public of our plan to 17 prepare an EIS and to conduct a scoping process. The 18 19 light blue box on the right side identifies current scoping process, in which this meeting is 20 included. 21 The purpose of this phase is to gather 22 more information to use to help us prepare our EIS. 23 24 Comments gathered from this meeting, as well as many

other information collections, will be independently

evaluated for impacts of this particular project on the environment.

We will document your comments today in a meeting transcript. The public can also provide written comments through the end of the scoping period.

We will analyze all the information gathered, develop a draft EIS, and issue it for public comment. At that time, we again invite the public's comment on the draft EIS, that's the lower blue box, the lower left blue box. At that time, the staff will continue -- oh, sorry. At that time, the staff will schedule a meeting to hear your comments. The staff will evaluate those comments and consider modifying the draft EIS before issuing a final EIS.

The final EIS and the results of the safety evaluation, or the Safety Evaluation Report, will contribute to our final decision. Next slide.

The environmental is based on the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act, or NEPA. NEPA requires federal agencies to apply a systematic approach to evaluate the impacts of its actions on the environment. NRC will prepare an EIS in accordance with regulations and guidance. Next slide.

This is a graphical representation of the types and sources of information that NRC gathers when preparing an EIS. We will conduct a site visit and meet with local and state officials and other federal agencies. We will also meet with tribes.

We are currently gathering information for scoping that will help determine which issues should be considered in our review. We also expect to request additional information from Holtec following the completion of this process. Next slide.

The NRC will gather information on a wide range of topics related to our environmental issues. This slide shows the resource areas that we will consider in our Environmental Impact Statement. The NRC typically includes these environmental resource areas in its reviews.

So this slide is a high-level timeline for our anticipated environmental review. This stepwise approach meets our responsibilities under the National Environmental Policy Act. We started the review with a notice of intent to conduct scoping and prepare an EIS. This started the 60-day scoping period. This public meeting is part of that scoping process. We will continue to gather and analyze information related to the review and develop the draft EIS.

1 We tentatively expect to publish the draft 2 EIS in June of 2019. At that point, we'll publish a 3 notice of availability, and that will start a 45-day 4 period for the public and other agencies to comment on 5 the draft EIS. These comments will also be addressed the analysis adjusted 6 and if necessary. Wе 7 tentatively expect to issue the final EIS in mid-2020. 8 Next slide. 9 So the scoping process helps the NRC to 10 determine the scope of the EIS and identify significant issues to be analyzed in depth. 11 helps to identify and eliminate issues which are not 12 significant. 13 14 Finally, it helps us to identify other environmental reviews and consultation requirements 15 16 related to the proposed action. So in other words, we 17 want to hear from you, because you live in the local area and bring issues to our attention that we may not 18 19 be aware of. Next slide. The NRC is requesting information and 20 input specific to this proposed facility regarding 21 what should be included or excluded from the scope of 22 23 the EIS. Some examples of information that NRC is 24

requesting are, are there any local projects that are

being planned or developed nearby? Have you identified wildlife or habitat that should be considered? Are there cultural resources that should be considered in the evaluation? Are there particular populations nearby that should be considered? there any other unique characteristics of the project site or local communities that the NRC should consider in its evaluation? Next slide.

These are the ways that you can submit comments on the scope of the EIS. You may present your comments orally or in writing at this public meeting. You may submit comments through the regulations.gov website by searching for the docket ID NRC-2018-0025, submitting those comments there.

You may also mail your comments to the address on this slide. But remember that all the comments should be submitted by May 29 to ensure that they will be considered. That's the end of the scoping period.

Additional information on the application and the review can be found on the federal rulemaking website, or at NRC's public document room, or through project-specific website for the Holtec application. In addition, we've provided libraries of Hobbs, Carlsbad, and Roswell with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Environmental Reports for your review.

If you want to be on our mailing list or email list, please make sure that your name and address are provided to one of the NRC staff at the registration area. This is just one way to ensure that you will be notified of upcoming meetings at the issuance of draft and final EIS.

At the bottom of the slide you'll see the points of contact for the Holtec application. So that's wrapping up my portion of the presentation, but please remember to submit all your comments by May 29. Thank you. Brian.

MR. SMITH: Good evening, welcome, and thank you for attending our public meeting tonight.

We look forward to hearing all of your comments.

The NRC's job is to protect the public health and safety and the environment by thoroughly reviewing each license application we receive before deciding whether or not to grant an applicant's request. We understand that in the audience tonight, there are those who may oppose Holtec's license application, as well as those who may support it.

I want to assure you that we want to hear from both sides this evening. However, I want to remind you that the purpose of this meeting is to

1 gather comments for the scoping of our EIS. 2 to know what important information and issues we need 3 to consider and analyze in our EIS. 4 We treat all the comments we receive the 5 same, whether a comment was made one person, or by a hundred people. We give each comment we receive the 6 7 same careful consideration through the preparation of the EIS. We will consider all of the oral and written 8 comments we receive here tonight, as well as those we 9 receive via letter, email, or through the federal 10 rulemaking website, regulations.gov. 11 The EIS, combined with NRC's safety and 12 security review of Holtec's license application 13 14 request, will result in an NRC licensing decision to 15 either approve the license request or disapprove it. 16 I'll end my remarks there and turn it over to Chip. 17 MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank you, thank you very much all of you. And I introduced Beverly Allen 18 before from Senator Udall's office, and we have Diane 19 Ventura here with us from Senator Heinrich's office. 20 So thank you both for being here. 21 And is the review process clear to all of 22 Basically, does anybody have a question about 23 24 the review process? MS. HADDEN: I understand there was an 25

1 email set up now for comments to come in. Have you 2 announced that already? We need to issue a Federal 3 MS. ROMAN: 4 Register Notice. That's going to take a couple of 5 days. But you should see it soon, probably next week. And we apologize for the 6 MR. CAMERON: 7 feedback, but let's get started with our comments 8 tonight. And we're going to go to elected officials 9 first, and we're going to lead off with the Mayor of 10 Carlsbad, Mayor Janway, then we're going to go to Commissioner Susan Crockett, and then three City 11 Council people. 12 But I'll do that later. Go ahead, 13 Mayor. 14 MR. JANWAY: Start over here. Good 15 evening. As the Mayor of Carlsbad, I'd like to welcome the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to our town. 16 17 Tonight's scoping meeting has been development for a long time. We're here thanks to the 18 19 hard work of the members of the Eddy-Lea Energy Alliance, Holtec, and NRC itself. And this is a key 20 milestone in a very lengthy effort. 21 To best understand this project, you need 22 to go back quite a bit further than that, to the Blue 23 Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future, which 24 of course included former Senator Pete Domenici. 25

BRC's mission was to draft recommendations on closing the fuel cycle.

Spent fuel was sitting at locations across the country and a repository is years from development. The BRC's recommendations included an interim storage facility and a consent-based formula for moving forward. Tonight's effort is a combination of those two recommendations.

You can't talk about consent-based in the nuclear industry without looking at Carlsbad. This community's efforts when it came to the waste isolation pilot plan are legendary. While activists from other parts of the nation have suddenly all convinced themselves they can speak for our interest here, residents of Carlsbad have taken the approach that we want to examine the actual scientific data and make a wise decision.

Most of our citizens took that approach decades ago, and they are taking it again now. Carlsbad may well happen, and we believe we can make this consolidated storage facility happen as well. What we're examining now is a Holtec system that is the safest in the world. They have a transportation system that is the most robust system ever licensed. This is an excellent proposal.

1 Carlsbad, as well as Hobbs, Eddy County, and Lea County has passed resolutions in support of 2 3 this interim storage facility because we know there's 4 a great need for these facility, and because we're in 5 the ideal remote site with Holtec's subsurface system. This is a system that has been designed 6 7 with safety and security in mind, and we appreciate 8 all the hard work that brought us to this point. 9 strongly support this project believe it is vital to the future of Carlsbad and the 10 Thank you. 11 area. MR. CAMERON: Mayor, thank you very much. 12 And we're going to do two things here that will help. 13 14 It's maybe, can we put one of the cordless mics there? 15 We're going to get a better mic, but also in a few 16 minutes we're going to get -- okay. 17 MS. CROCKETT: I'm good. Ready? We're playing musical mics. All right, good evening, I want 18 19 to welcome the NRC. And thank you so much for taking time to listen to the stakeholders. 20 My name is Susan Crockett. I am Chairman 21 the Eddy County Commission, President of 22 Carlsbad Department of Development, member of the 23 24 Eddy-Lea Energy Alliance. And I'm also on the Mayor's

25

Nuclear Task Force.

I have been involved in the development of the interim storage facility for over eight years, and I have educated myself and learned about the facility. And I'm confident in the safety and security of the proposed design. There is a huge need for this project throughout the country, and we have a great site for it.

I have learned, as I've educated myself, that we have spent fuel stored on rivers, around lakes, oceans, and in communities that don't need this in their communities. This puts these areas at an environmental risk. Our site is remote and a great location until a repository is developed.

Holtec's proposed casks passed all the NRC testing and modeling with flying colors, and I think the 15-inch thick wall of the cask with the impact limiters make it indestructible. Holtec's subsurface system is the safest and most secure system in the world.

I come from a fourth generation farming family, and it was mentioned in a previous meeting that this facility could have an economic impact on farmland. If there were a leak of radioactivity, it could possibly affect the Pecos Valley Compact. That comment is totally unfounded and not true.

I am a lifelong resident of Eddy County, my husband is a lifelong resident of Eddy County. We have raised our two grown children here, and our oldest son, daughter-in-law, and my eight-month-old grandson live here. And all of them support this project and have no fear of living near this proposed site.

I have never been one to believe in hype and hysteria. I believe in following science, engineering, and testing to come to informed decisions, and I fully support this project. thank you for your time and for listening to the stakeholders. We appreciate it.

MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank you, thank you very much, Commissioner. And we'll move this back a little bit and it'll give, I think there's going to be plenty of light. Okay, Lisa, did you want to come up and talk to us? And just introduce yourself to everybody. Thank you.

MS. ANAYA-FLORES: Good evening, NRC members. My name is Lisa Anaya-Flores. I am a lifelong resident of Carlsbad. I am retired from the Carlsbad School District, and I serve our community as a city councilor. I am a mother and a grandmother, a wife, sister, aunt.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 I've been following the development of the 2 interim storage facility for some time. I have to say I'm impressed by the safety and security of 3 4 design. There's no question of its need in our 5 nation. I believe we have an ideal setting for it. And I believe that it's an ideal place to have these 6 7 spent fuels stored until a repository is developed. 8 I strongly, strongly support the project. 9 Thank you for hearing my comments, and I appreciate 10 you being here. MR. CAMERON: Thank you very much. 11 And we're going to go to three members of 12 the Carlsbad City Council now. And let's go to Ed, Ed 13 14 Rodriguez, and then JJ Chavez and Mark Waltersheid. 15 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. And again, welcome to my little neighborhood here. 16 My name is 17 Eddie Rodriquez. I am a city councilman for Ward 1. I am also the Mayor pro tem for Carlsbad. Been here 18 19 my whole life, and I welcome you to our little slice of paradise here. 20 I too am in total support of Holtec coming 21 into our neighborhood. There is a lot of safety 22 precaution that has been looked at, examined, and 23 24 passed by you sitting at this table. All of those

safety features are designed to protect everyone. All

along the route, everywhere we go, everywhere it moves from beginning to end, you guys have considered all safety aspects and addressed them.

In the design that Holtec has moved forward with, and which their design is actually now being implemented by another company in order to ensure the safety of their fuel rods in the same manner as Holtec is able to secure.

Now one thing I'd like to address is the transportation of those casks. These are very heavy, as you know. They will have to travel by rail. And there has been made comments about environmental justice.

Environmental justice as defined by EPA is the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of all people, regardless of race, color, national origin, or income with respect to the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies.

This was intended to protect people, and we all understand that. Now, the basic concept is good. But if it's misused or misappropriated or mislabeled because we don't agree with something that is going to happen, that does not make it an environmental injustice.

If we were to build a coal plant and decide to build it, it's a brand new coal plant that we're going to build, and we look at a poor neighborhood that cannot defend itself against our actions, then that would be a social, an environmental injustice. That's not what we're talking about here.

We are talking about railroad, which is the backbone of America. It was built, it was laid, and we built American cities around that for that very reason, so that we could get the goods that we wanted and that we needed in order to conduct business and further our own ventures.

So as we move forward here, I want to be careful that we don't use that environmental justice as a golden ticket, a claim that we can make just because we don't agree with something that's going to happen.

The proposed Holtec location is 35 miles from Carlsbad and Hobbs, as has been well described. Carlsbad and Hobbs are by no means poor communities. We have very robust industries, we have a lot of oil and gas, and we have very high paying salaries here. So because environmental justice cannot be applied to the cities, it's being applied to the rail transport system.

And that's not an accurate application of that. And I would hope that as you look forward and move forward with this that environmental justice is considered for what it really should be. And in the event of an accident on the rail, the least of the worries would be the cask and any radiation leak.

Those casks would survive. They've been dropped on a spike, they've been submerged in water, they've shot at by a rocket to show that it could survive a jet impact. So you have done your due diligence, and we are trying to do our due diligence here in getting this thing done and passed. And I ask that you consider all of these as you move forward.

And believe t.hat. instead of environmental justice, we as Americans should be talking about responsibility. We have all the waste that has been mentioned before stored at facilities, and we should be very worried about where it's stored, because it's stored very close to waterways. on the ocean in a fault area. That should really We need to get that out of there. concern us. they're in very populated areas.

So I strongly support the Holtec project and believe it will make safer the lives of American citizens of all race, color, creed, and ethnicity.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 Thank you for your time and once again, welcome to our little slice of heaven. 2 3 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, thank you very 4 much. And we're going to hear from JJ Chavez and, 5 Chavez, and Mark Walterscheid. But we also have Eddy County Commission James Walterscheid here with us. 6 7 Okay. Good evening, my name is JJ 8 MR. CHAVEZ: I was recently elected to the Carlsbad City 9 Council. Myself and my family are lifelong residents 10 Myself and several of my peers grew up 11 of Carlsbad. the WIPP project, by getting informed by 12 presentations at school, by having family members work 13 14 there, friends and neighbors work at the WIPP and URENCO facilities. Carlsbad, Hobbs, Eddy, Lea. 15 16 We have a strong understanding of the 17 nuclear issues, such as transportation and storage. Not only do we have an understanding, the community 18 19 came together to reopen WIPP after the 2014 events. The community knows how vital the facility was to the 20 city and to the United States. 21 As the community knows how vital Holtec is 22 to the community and to the United States by it being 23 24 safe, stable. And it's placed 35 miles from any

population. The containers have been tested in every

1 which way imaginable, and will withstand with stringent safety measures. The intermittent storage 2 3 provides a great temporary storage until a repository 4 is developed. I strongly support the project. Thank 5 you. Thank you, Mr. Chavez. 6 MR. CAMERON: 7 MR. M. WALTERSCHEID: Okay, my name is Mark Waltersheid, I'm a lifelong member, I've lived 8 9 here all my life. Member of this group, right. a member of this Carlsbad City Council, I'm in favor 10 of the NRC's process of permitting this proposed 11 facility, and I will stand very vigilant in every step 12 of the process. 13 14 We are very diverse in our business 15 makeup, and this project would only strengthen our 16 economy here. Thank you. 17 MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank you. And is Eddy County Commissioner James Waltersheid here? 18 19 Thank you, Commissioner. MR. WALTERSCHEID: 20 J. Good evening, members of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, we 21 welcome you to Carlsbad and Eddy County. 22 And like Councilor Eddie Rodriquez said, this is the slice of 23 24 heaven. That's the way we think of it. The weather's

been fairly good here today, so I hope you all enjoy

it.

I would like to point out to, one thing I've heard, I was at the NRC scoping meeting in Hobbs last year. And it was brought up about, the business about the nuclear spent rods need to stay put at the power plants. And I think it's a no-brainer. They've got to come out of those power plants because of the reason that they're mostly located on lakes, rivers, streams, and oceans.

I've seen the San Onofre plant in California when I was there, living there about 30, 40 years ago, and it's right on the water, you know. And the reason they're all on these bodies of water is the cooling. There is no doubt about that.

And so I mean, to me, I think there was, I've heard it said there was like 200 sites across the country that they're holding these spent fuel rods and will hold in the future. And they're going to keep holding them, and some have been there 30 years or more in these swimming pools being cooled.

So anyway, you would think from a practical way of looking at this thing, they need to be put somewhere away from lakes, any kind of bodies of water. There is no doubt in my mind about that. I think that was a, I can't understand why people

would argue that point.

But anyway, it appears that this is, you know, it's going to be probably out west. That's the least population center. Nevada looked like a good place for the repository, people in Nevada weren't too happy with it. So this area has WIPP, and there's a lot of people are educated and have a lot of knowledge about the nuclear industry here.

And so this appears to be a pretty good place to put it. But anyway, I just think the Nuclear Regulatory Commission will, trust that they will do a good job in thoroughly scrutinizing this application. So thank you for doing this, thank you.

MR. CAMERON: Thank you, County Commissioner. We're next going to go to Michael Hernandez, and then John Heaton and Police Chief Skinner, Fire Chief Lopez if he's here. John Moyer. And those are our next ones, and here's Michael.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Good evening, my name is Michael Hernandez, and I'm employed by the city of Carlsbad as the City Administrator. I'm a longtime resident of Eddy County. I want to thank the NRC for taking time to come visit this site and learn the information from the locals.

My family and myself have lived here for

1 many years, and we are well acquainted with WIPP and the URENCO projects. My family and myself like and 2 3 support these projects and what they do to our area. 4 The proposed site is an ideal location. 5 The remoteness, the 35 miles from any populated area is key, it's really important. This location would be 6 7 great to temporarily store the fuel until a repository 8 is developed. 9 I very much endorse this project and 10 encourage the NRC to expedite the licensing process, and I thank you for your time. 11 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Mr. Hernandez. 12 And now we have John Heaton. 13 14 MR. HEATON: Thank you again. Good evening, welcome to Carlsbad, and thank you for being 15 I am the current Chairman of the Eddy-Lea 16 17 Energy Alliance, and we do really appreciate you being here to listen to the community. 18 19 Eddy-Lea had purchased 1000 acres of land for the GNEP project. It didn't materialize. 20 when the focus of the Blue Ribbon Commission on 21 Consolidated Interim Storage occurred, we recognized 22 that there was a huge problem in the country, as you 23 24 already heard. Many power plants, or most of them,

rivers,

streams,

on

all

located

are

25

lakes,

oceanfronts, and some are in very seismic sensitive areas.

Fuel pools are overpacked, and many sites had no storage capacity at all. And now the taxpayer is paying the utilities to maintain their fuel because DOE didn't take the fuel in 1998 by contract. The utilities had never anticipated on-site storage, and now a third of the population of the United States lives within 50 miles of a power plant. That's 120 million people.

We all know we need a repository. But in the absence of one, and knowing it will be several decades before there is one, the BRC recommended interim storage. We then recognized that we had an ideal site. Remote, 35 miles from any population, seismically stable, dry, a local nuclear workforce, and a population with two nuclear facilities that what I call have a high nuclear IQ.

The local population understands nuclear materials and know they can now be handled competently. Even WIPP, which was designed with a HEPA filter system in case of a leak from a rock fall, worked just as it was designed to do.

Eddy-Lea spent considerable time evaluating the systems travel to manufacturing sites

of the big three and invited bids. We chose Holtec not only because they are a great company with a fabulous record, but they have the best, safest, most secure system in the world. And a contract is a contract, but they are doing everything that they agreed to do and even more.

I think that represents the character of the company, their leadership, and their great employees. We are very impressed by Holtec. The Holtec system is, as you've heard, a subsurface system and has virtually no interaction with the environment. It is resistant to floods, hurricanes, tornadoes, seismic events, terrorist attacks, rockets, and plane crashes.

Because of its robustness, it was the system, as you heard, chosen for San Onofre, which is literally on the oceanfront. And it is impacted by the San Onofre, or the San Andreas fault. Holtec's high star transportation cask has a 15-inch wall of steel and lead and is virtually indestructible.

Testing requires to be dropped on the most vulnerable point, dropped on a spike, burned in jet fuel at 1400 degrees, and then submerged in 30 feet of water, and it cannot leak. The release of the cask on the surface of the cask is five times less than the

NRC standards at two meters. At only a few meters more, it is indistinguishable from background.

It will travel on a unit train with a security guard, with security guards, travel at reduced speeds, and will be satellite tracked. We can't tell you that there won't be an accident. There are a lot of crazy people that will pull in front of a train. But we can tell you that there won't be a release.

This is deja vu for us. We went through this same thing with WIPP. We went through all the fearmongering. WIPP has shipped more than 12,000 shipments and traveled over 14 million miles. That's like going to the moon and back 28 times, without a serious accident and absolutely no release.

We hear comments that say why would we ship it twice and double risk. Believe me, a repository will be in the West, and moving the spent fuel two-thirds of the way to the repository will only impact the mileage traveled slightly more. There is really no real increase in travel risk.

There are no pumps, no moving parts, it just sits there and decays. I can't think of a more totally benign project. It is a great economic development for our area, and we support it strongly.

1 And again, thank you for being here. MR. CAMERON: Thank you, John. 2 3 go to Chief Skinner, Chief Lopez, 4 Assistant Chief Moyer. But then we're going to go to 5 Don Hancock and Melanie Deason. Hi, Chief. Good evening, my name is 6 MR. SKINNER: 7 Shane Skinner, and I'm Chief of Police of the Carlsbad 8 Police Department. 9 I appreciate the opportunity to speak Obviously, you'll have a lot of people to 10 come up here and speak about the nature of the 11 project, and I tend to stick to what I know, what I 12 see, what I experience. And that is is, obviously as 13 14 a key member of this city's emergency services, we've 15 had the opportunity to work with WIPP over a long 16 period of time. WIPP has not only been a local facility 17 that has employed a large local workforce, but it has 18 19 long been integrated with the community of Carlsbad. I have witnessed the great effort that WIPP has placed 20 on educating the community and local citizens on 21 nuclear material. I've often spoke to many citizens 22 who feel very informed and are pleased the WIPP 23

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

As a key stakeholder in the community's

facility is here in our area.

24

41 public safety, I must say that WIPP has always been accommodating in engaging with us on a proactive With the help of the WIPP staff, we have coordinated on-site visits, training opportunities for staff members, development of emergency response plans, and dedication to a mutual and continual communication. Ι feel like WIPP has always been transparent in their efforts to be part of the solutions that arise for potential problems that I face and encounter as the Chief of Police. Therefore based on my knowledge of the WIPP facility and my past working experience with industry team leaders, it has become glaringly apparent that safety and security has always been a top priority. I know that there's going to be a lot of people that come up and speak for good and for bad. I feel like our, I'm very proud of our community, that we're very involved on both sides of it. I know that you will get a lot of good information, a lot of good

But me as the Chief of Police, if this was approved by the Commission, we would welcome to, you know, to endeavor to build the same kind of great

comments and come to a good decision.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 working relationship that we have with the WIPP facility. Thank you. 2 thank you, 3 MR. CAMERON: And Chief 4 Skinner. And is Chief Lopez here? Oh, here he comes. 5 Okay, great. Good afternoon, as he said, 6 MR. LOPEZ: 7 Richard Lopez, Fire Chief. Born and raised 8 Carlsbad, and honestly I don't even plan on leaving 9 when I retire. I plan on staying here a while, 10 especially with the four grandkids here. Anyways, as Chief Skinner said, we train 11 with WIPP on a regular basis, to the point that with 12 the equipment and the training that we have, Carlsbad 13 14 Fire Department's Hazmat Team, we're ready to handle 15 radiological release of one ever happened, which the we're 16 likelihood is not very high. So comfortable with that. 17 On another note, WIPP has been able to 18 19 assist us in first response around their area. So their fire department, their fire and EMS 20 provided first response to these incidences until we 21 get there and we can continue on and mitigate that 22 situation. 23 24 So again, we support this project. I look forward to the facility. I have no reservations about 25

1 it. And I appreciate you guys this evening, thank 2 you. 3 MR. CAMERON: And thank you very much, 4 Chief. And is Assistant Chief Moyer here? 5 Well, in that case, let's go to Don Hancock. And then we'll go to Melanie Deason and Joy Russell. 6 7 Don Hancock. 8 MR. HANCOCK: Good evening, I'm Don 9 Hancock from Southwest Research and Information Center 10 in Albuquerque. The Holtec Environmental Report is the 11 basis for the draft Environment Impact Statement that 12 Even a quick review indicates 13 NRC is going to do. that there are many factual errors in the document, 14 15 unsupported assertions that are contrary to law, and 16 important omission that casts severe doubt on the 17 reliability of Holtec's application documents, ability to be truthful and transparent to the public, 18 19 and its nuclear safety culture. I don't have time to go into all of that, 20 but I do have a handout that's outside. It's called 21 Don't Rely on Holtec. I would be delighted for Holtec 22 to actually respond to that. 23 24 Holtec also doesn't own any of the spent 25 fuel, doesn't want to own any of the spent fuel,

doesn't want to pay for any of the costs of transporting the material. But, and they want the federal taxpayers to pay for it. But the current law does not allow that to happen. So we're in a situation where they're proposing something legally can't happen without changing the law.

Turning to NRC and its responsibility to do this environmental review that's been talked about, a number of, there are many things that could be mentioned about what would be needed in an adequate draft Environmental Impact Statement. I'm going to mention a few of them.

An adequate Environmental Impact Statement should provide a comparative safety analysis of the Holtec proposal versus continuing storage at the reactors where the waste is located. You've heard comments tonight about relative safety. NRC documents should actually document a comparative safety analysis.

Adequate EIS should provide an analysis of whether continued storage at the reactors meets NRC's regulation. The analysis should include the time frame in which continued storage at existing license sites would not meet the requirements of 10 CFR 51.23, NRC's continuing storage rule.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

An adequate EIS should discuss whether any or all of the existing 70 licensed ISFSIs at reactor sites are reasonable alternatives for storage. An adequate EIS should consider the impact of the waste never leaving the Holtec site, since there is no repository or other disposal site. And neither Holtec nor NRC can guarantee that there would be such a site.

An adequate EIS should consider whether Holtec's site meets the requirements of 10 CFR Part 60 for geologic disposal of spent fuel. There is no geologic repository, there's no basis to state that there will ever be such a site. Thus, all the waste that would come here could stay here forever.

An adequate EIS should provide the amount of radioactivity in each canister, including the maximum amount and the median amount for the analysis of routine of accident releases during transportation to the site, handling, and storage of the waste at the site. Again, this is something that the Holtec Environmental Report does not include.

An adequate EIS will consider the impacts on oil, gas, and potash production, processing, and transportation on the Holtec site. And inversely, an adequate EIS will consider the impacts of routine and accidental releases from the Holtec site on the oil or

1 gas, potash, dairy, livestock, and other agricultural 2 activities. An adequate EIS will consider the impacts 3 4 of military and civilian aircraft crashes into the 5 UMAX containers at the site. So we know that these issues have not been 6 7 adequately addressed in the Holtec EIS. So if there's 8 a lot of work that's going to have to be done to 9 actually address all of those issues that Holtec should have addressed but did not address. So that's 10 a heavy burden that NRC holds that we will be 11 interested in seeing how it does and have further 12 comments on the draft EIS. 13 Finally, there are two basic questions 14 15 that NRC is not going to answer but need to be strongly considered by people here and all across the 16 17 nation. First, if the waste is safe where it is, why bring it to New Mexico? Obviously, if it's not safe 18 19 where it is, that creates difficulties in terms of why allowed to be there, 20 is it how would transported, etc. 21 Secondly, if waste storage actually is 22 good for the economy, why do the nuclear reactor 23 24 communities not want to keep it? Thank you.

Okay.

Thank you.

MR. CAMERON:

25

Thank

you, Don.

And we have Melanie Deason coming up now and I believe she has a demonstration that she's going to do or bake a cake. I'm not sure what's going on, but here she is.

Okay. This is Melanie.

MS. DEASON: Thank you. I've been speaking the last couple of nights at other locations in science and I wanted to play a bit with the hydrology around here and give a demo. It's been real serious and heady and I want to give you a visual. Hopefully some of you can see what's going on here.

This demo is something that a fifth grader can do, and so be welcome to teach others. And also welcome to New Mexico's underground called playa lakes or New Mexico lakes in Southeast New Mexico. This will be a little demo about the science of hydrology.

The glass basin here underneath is like a mixing bowl, clear glass. It represents the playa basin which holds New Mexico's underground water resources, especially around here and up around Las Vegas. These are recharged by playas above including those Holtec is admitted are on their site plus four others nearby.

Now the green colander, you know, like

1 straining spaghetti, that will represent the ground 2 above the water table and aquifers and which allows 3 permeability or migration between these two areas. 4 Now the white rice; I've already poured it 5 to save me time, represents the soil. And it's poured into the colander. Now playas are unique and briefly 6 7 they recharge our water tables and aquifers. 8 the funnel here, kitchen funnel, 9 represents the draining capability of playa lakes into the underground aguifer. It's like having a big plate 10 with a drain on it, and that big plate could be 11 thousands of acres draining down. And so you have it 12 hanging here on the edge. In this case it will sit 13 14 right here. It's perfect. My kitchen was well-15 stocked this morning. So the funnel represents the draining 16 capability of our playa lakes into the underground 17 aguifer and what we call in New Mexico our desert 18 19 But you don't see them. So I'm going to now open this gallon of water here and I'm going to pour 20 from this unopened gallon. 21 PARTICIPANT: What are we seeing here? 22 23 MS. DEASON: Water is going down into the 24 aquifer below through the soil, through a special form

of hydrolity that playas give us. It's very unusual.

Basically it's water. It's clean. Nobody's mussed with it. Go a little more.

Now we have Ogallala Aquifer and several others in this region and they serve eight states, the Ogallala does, including New Mexico.

Holtec states that their two playas will capture the site's water with a capacity of up to seven-and-a-half inches of rain in one day event if necessary. Now that's a little over an acre-foot of water for those of you who do agricultural farming, etcetera.

Now this little bottle represents water from rain and snow being stored on Holtec's site. Now I'm making it red so that we can see it. Since you can't detect radiation with the five senses, it's been dyed with food coloring so we can see it. After all Holtec's site proposes storing the nation's high-level nuclear waste as rods. Now that's pretty hot stuff. However, since their containers need air circulation between the steel and concrete, it will contaminate the soil nearby regardless. Plus with those vents they grab the air above at ground level. By the way, their canisters are designed for breathing in and out just like we do, and the same air that we breathe.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Now let's imagine they've had a rain event or maybe a big snow storm like Goliath a few years ago at Christmas. Let's pour Holtec's water, which we know is radioactive, it's given on that site -- they say they're catching it. We're going to pour it down the drain into the water table below. Now their water table is only 35 to 50 feet below, however, remember their cylinders, like tubes standing upright, they're storing these casks, are buried over 20 feet in the ground. So that's way over halfway to the water table by the reports on emission. And everything below ground is the water table and the aquifer is starting to turn red, you will see here.

(Pause.)

MS. DEASON: Now remember in real life you can't see it, but it's still there.

I think we're good. I don't have to pour the second in there. I think you get the point.

Maybe water, if we have a big flood event, it will flood the concrete tower vents too that they have in their design and down their air gaps. Oops. Hydrogen explosion and the concrete tops blow off. Remember Fukushima, Chernobyl. That's what it was about. There was a hydrogen explosion when water got in there.

1 Now let's pretend we're going to drill a well somewhere else. For sake of time I'm not going 2 3 to do that. 4 MR. CAMERON: Melanie --5 MS. DEASON: I'm closing. MR. CAMERON: -- I'm going to have to ask 6 7 you to --8 MS. DEASON: I'm closing. 9 MR. CAMERON: Okay. 10 MS. DEASON: We're drilling the well. in another state maybe drilling that well. See if I 11 can get in here. I don't want to make a mess. 12 promised I wouldn't. 13 14 Anyway, I was going to use the syringe to drill a well; we all know what wells are good for, and 15 suck up some water and put it in a glass. I have to 16 ask who wants to be the first to pour radioactive 17 water onto their crops or feed it to livestock making 18 meat and milk for the families around the nation? 19 Better yet, who wants to be the first to take a drink 20 of this water? Any takers? I've heard some in the 21 room that probably said they would. 22 But be careful you don't get a second chance. Think again and follow 23 24 the hydrology. It's right here in this fifth grade

25

science project.

And thank you all for allowing me the opportunity to give you this simple example. And NRC members, please deny Holtec's application in its entirety. As I've said before the proposal is genocide. The definition is destroy an ethnic group of nation. We in New Mexico and elsewhere, we matter. I'm Melanie Deason. Do not consent.

(Applause.)

MR. CAMERON: Okay. Thank you. We're going to go to Joy Russell and then Russell Hardy, John Waters, Karen Howard-Winters. This is Joy Russell.

MS. RUSSELL: Good evening. Can you hear me? Good.

Good evening. My name is Joy Russell.

I'm Vice-President of Business Development and Communications for Holtec International. I've been an engineer at Holtec for over 20 years. I want to thank the NRC for the opportunity to come to speak to you this evening. I want to give Holtec's commitment that we will provide quality and timely responses to any questions that you have that you are gathering from the folks here and the other meetings that we had. So we make that commitment to continue to provide timely and quality responses to continue the licensing

process.

Holtec already holds 13 licenses from the Nuclear Regulatory Commission for storage systems which are used in the United States.

I also want to say it's my pleasure to speak with you this evening. I want to provide you facts about the HI-STORE project. We have a table located right by the door when you came in. I had the pleasure of speaking with several of you when you came in. My colleague Joyce Tomlinson is also here. Please feel free to ask us any questions that you may have. Joyce is also an engineer at Holtec. She is our licensing manager.

We're proud to have the partners that we do in the ELEA organization. As you've heard from many of them this evening, they are long-time members of this community. And we were invited by them to come into this community after they evaluated our dry storage system to be determined as the safest and the most secure system that's available in the world. The nuclear community also agrees with that because over 60 percent of the nuclear plants in the United States use our storage system.

Holtec International's core business is and has been for the past 32 years the safe storage of

American company. We're American-owned. Everything we deploy is manufactured here in the United States. We have a factory in Pittsburgh, a factory in Southern Ohio and a new factory in Camden, New Jersey. We employ around 1,000 people at those factories in the United States. We ship our equipment all over the world. We're the largest exporters of nuclear storage equipment in the world. So we've very proud of that.

We're also very proud of our safety record. We have an impeccable safety record. None of our equipment has ever experienced a safety issue. None of our equipment has ever leaked. Never has our equipment ever caused any injury.

ELEA selected our system based on its safety and security. We commit that the system that we are deploying is indeed safe and secure. We did provide some information. Again, any additional questions that you may have -- I want to make sure you understand spent nuclear fuel is solid. Our canisters are seal-welded. There is no contamination. Please understand the difference between contamination and radiation. If the system doesn't leak, there's no potential for contamination. I want to make sure everyone understands that concept.

1 The dose that's received from our systems 2 are very low. It's equivalent to a chest X-ray when 3 you're near it. And as you get away from it, as John 4 Heaton said, it's essentially indistinguishable from 5 background radiation. We're very proud to be a part -- to have 6 7 the opportunity to become a part of this community. As you've heard tonight, this community is rich in 8 9 knowledge. The WIPP and the URENCO site provide the 10 nuclear IO that's unmatched in many parts of the country. I applaud you for that. 11 I just want to close by saying that we're 12 proud to offer a temporary, safe and secure storage to 13 14 spent nuclear fuel from the United States. We don't 15 intend to bring anything in from overseas. It's all 16 from the United States. We commit to being good 17 stewards of the environment and we commit to being good neighbors. And again I think you for this 18 19 opportunity and we -- I again echo my commitment to the NRC for the licensing process. 20 Thank you. MR. CAMERON: Thank you. Thank you very 21 22 much, Joy. 23 (Applause.) 24 MR. CAMERON: This is Russell. Good evening. 25 MR. HARDY: My name is

Russell Hardy. I'm a lifelong resident of Southeast New Mexico. I support the proposed project for the interim storage of spent nuclear fuel at the site proposed between here and Hobbs. The reasons that I support this project are fourfold: No. 1, I believe that the NRC-approved Holtec HI-STORE UMAX canister system is a very robust containment device that will keep the spent nuclear fuel in a safe condition once it's placed in the interim storage facility.

Second, I believe that the proposed site is a safe place to hold the spent nuclear fuel because it is geologically stable and has been contractually withdrawn from potash, oil and gas activities for the duration of the time that the facility will be in operation.

Third, I believe that the local region is supportive of and will continue to be supportive of nuclear-related activities and is well-positioned to complement this project by having an abundance of nuclear trained workers, engineers, contractors and emergency responders.

Lastly, as the director of the Carlsbad Environmental Monitoring and Research Center, an entity of New Mexico State University that performs an independent environmental monitoring program in

conjunction with the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant, I know firsthand that there will be extensive environmental monitoring activities conducted with the licensing of this proposed facility, as well as continued environmental monitoring after spent nuclear fuel is delivered and then placed at the facility. This will ensure that the workers, the communities and the environments are safe and readily informed of any potential releases or detections at above background levels of alpha, beta and gamma-emitting isotopes.

My only recommendation is that through the scoping and licensing process the NRC mandate as a part of this scoping and licensing process that Holtec be required to provide sufficient funding for the duration of this project such that an independent monitoring organization like the Carlsbad Monitoring Environmental and Research Center engaged to work on behalf of the communities of Hobbs and Carlsbad, Eddy and Lea Counties, to independently monitor the proposed site and later the operational facility for the presence of radioactive isotopes fission products that are likelv associated with the interim storage of spent nuclear fuel.

Having an independent organization such as

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CEMRC perform an independent environmental monitoring in tandem with any licensing-required program environmental monitoring provides level redundancy with respect to the environmental data being collected and analyzed, and more importantly ensures a level of honesty and transparency with respect to the results that are communicated to the regulators and the public at large. As a community we witnessed the importance of having an independent environmental monitoring program during the radiation release event at the WIPP facility, and I believe it's imperative to emulate this independence with respect to any proposed siting of any nuclearrelated repository in the future. Thank you.

MR. CAMERON: Thank you very much.

John, you can -- if it's easier to put it in there, you can just put it in. There you go. Okay. Go ahead.

MR. WATERS: First of all my name is John Waters. I'm from Carlsbad. I've lived here most of my life. I want to thank you for coming to Carlsbad and listening to us. Certainly there are folks from other places, but the stakeholders here in Carlsbad, whether they are for or against it, really appreciate you spending the time to come here and talk to the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

community and listen to the community. So again on behalf of the community, thank you for coming.

My wife and I were raised here in Carlsbad. In turn we've back to Carlsbad, raised our four children. We chose to come back to Carlsbad to raise our kids after WIPP opened, but when I was here throughout high school and throughout the early parts of my career I was here and watched WIPP. And you've heard a lot of our Carlsbad citizens talk with pride about WIPP. It's because we are proud.

We're proud that here in New Mexico, in Carlsbad, specifically in Southeastern New Mexico, that we took that mantle of protection on and we brought a project in that we knew helped save a major problem in the United States. It seems a little wacky, but when we go to Washington, D.C., we're very proud of it and we don't mind; it doesn't matter who we're talking to, telling people that we're proud of that.

And we're solution seekers here in this community. We're very busy. We do a lot of different things. We have a very diverse community. But one of the things that I'm very proud of is that we don't look at other places and say, oh, leave that over there. That's their problem. We don't. We take --

New Mexico, we were there at the beginning of the nuclear age and I'm proud to say that Carlsbad is helping at the end of the fuel cycle.

And solutions are what I'm here to talk about. You're aware that Carlsbad, Eddy County, went out and they worked really hard. A lot of people have talked about what we went through to bring WIPP in. It wasn't just, oh, my gosh, we need money or we need jobs. It was a very long process that we educated ourselves and I'm proud to say that the community is very educated. At the time I worked for the National Park Service and you couldn't probably find a group of more anti-nuclear folks on the plant.

But one of the things that I was very excited about is that the folks from the nuclear industry came forward and talked to us to educate us. It was a process to where we brought up questions. A lot of people were very educated. They brought up a lot of questions and they never failed to come back with answers. They never failed to come back after, hey, we've looked into this. And I want to say that that's something that I'm very proud of is that the community has educated themselves. You've got a well-educated community here, and what that's produced is that's produced a very solid workforce that is one of

the most adept at working in the nuclear industry in the entire world. I would stack them up against anybody.

We have two national laboratories with lots of employees here. We have more Ph.D.s per capital than any town in New Mexico save maybe Los Alamos. A very smart group of people that are here. I'm very proud of that. Our children learn from these folks. It's just a tremendous place to live, and it is our little slice of heaven and I think that it's great.

We've cleaned up 22 sites with WIPP around the world -- around the United States. I mean, if you're in Argonne National Laboratory in Chicago, you can thank WIPP. If you've been to -- and I have a background in environmental biology and one of the things they always taught us about was Rocky -- the -- your Rocky Flats area in Colorado, and that's cleaned up now. It's cleaned up because the people in Carlsbad worked, learned and fought hard enough to get something in here that was a solution for the country. Proud of that.

We have another solution here in Southeastern New Mexico and I'm really excited to say that -- you know, there is a problem out there, and

we're not here to debate the nuclear energy industry. We're here to talk about the waste and find a safe solution for it. Right now it's a temporary solution, and we realizes that. We've heard a lot of people talk about the possibility of a repository. And it's a while off. I mean, anybody that looks at that, that reads the documentation, you know that a repository is out there, but it's out there in the future.

I have a little more positive outlook on that. From an economic development standpoint I see the opportunity here. I see the opportunity of taking care of this waste in a safe manner temporarily until one of two things happens: We all know about the repository. Nuclear energy, it's no carbon emission, it's a great source of baseload energy. And you know the one issue we got to deal with is what do we do with that waste afterward? That's what we're to talk about.

You can reprocess it. Technology and economics are coming to such a place that it will be feasible in the United States to reprocess this, to recycle it. Eighty percent of that power is left in that rod when it's pulled out of our reactor. Wouldn't it be great if we couldn't just recycle that and end up with a soup can full of waste instead of

something larger than that? I don't look at it as waste. I see it as a resource.

And it's something in the future that if you're going to look at the possible negative things in an EIS, you got to look at the possible positive things as well. This gives our country another solution, maybe a more permanent solution to deal with that nuclear waste in the future. And I think that's something worth mentioning and it's something to look forward to in the future from our perspective to solve another problem.

The operation of a such a facility would also offer an opportune place to study the aging process in the -- with the fuel and the containers. It's my hope that the NRC's Regulatory Research Program would take advantage of the central facility as the Department of Energy has with WIPP and fund some of those research projects to take a look at and learn as much as they can about the temporary solution so they learn more about the permanent solution.

So again, I have comments that are written here. I certainly will submit those, but I tried to summarize them. Thank you again for coming to Carlsbad.

(Applause.)

1 MR. CAMERON: Okay. Thank you. Thank 2 you, John. 3 We're going to go to Karen Howard-Winters 4 now and then Kyle Marksteiner, Anthony Lee Alanzo and 5 Ralph Jennings. This is Karen. MS. HOWARD-WINTERS: Hi, y'all. I'm back. 6 7 (Laughter.) 8 MR. CAMERON: And you -- okay. You're 9 going to go to the mic? 10 MS. HOWARD-WINTERS: Yes. 11 MR. CAMERON: Yes, okay. MS. HOWARD-WINTERS: Ηi, y'all in 12 Carlsbad. Thank you for having me and thank you, 13 14 Nuclear Regulatory Commission for listening to me 15 again tonight. And I know there's a lot of folks out there in Carlsbad. I'm from Odessa, Texas. Y'all may 16 not think that I'm a stakeholder here, but yes I am, 17 too, because y'all are thinking about putting this 18 19 project on an aquifer that I use, too. So don't think that I'm not a stakeholder in this project because 20 y'all are thinking about Holtec putting this project 21 So that makes my livelihood in 22 on oil that I use. So don't y'all think that I'm not a 23 Odessa, Texas. 24 stakeholder, too, because I am. 25 And also we're talking about an Environmental Impact Statement. There's a little lizard that was causing the oil field a whole lot of It was called the Dunes trouble back in 2011-2012. Sagebrush Lizard and it was causing -- oh, the oil people, they -- oh, they don't like him at all. was causing them a lot of trouble back then. don't know what happened to this little guy back then or what's happened to him now, but I'd sure like for y'all to check him out, because I'm pretty sure that he hangs around this area, too. It's called the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard. And they were going to make him an endangered species back then. But I think the oil people kind of got involved in that and -- I don't know what happened to him. Anyway, he became unendangered. So anyway, I think he's probably still endangered, but anyway not according to oil.

Okay. So at any rate I'm worried about him because that ground out there where Holtec is going to put this stuff in the ground gets really, really hot, so I worry about that little guy, you know, and what's going to happen to him and all of his little lizard babies and what's going to happen to him and his family, her and her family. So maybe we ought to check the environment about the Dune Sagebrush Lizard and what's going to happen to -- how it's going

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to impact him and her.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now as far as a ideal site, yes, it's only And there's a lot of fracking that goes on around here, too. And I have family that lives in Oklahoma and Oklahoma never used to have earthquakes, but since they started fracking there there's a whole lot of earthquakes that have been They had only three way back when before they started fracking, but now that they've started fracking they've had well over 100. So that's kind of something to think about because if we're going to some earthquakes around here with all fracking that's going on, that's something to think about when we start thinking about putting this stuff in the ground here.

Okay. Social injustice and the railroads. These rails, like I said before, are not meant to carry this load. They are old, they're antiquated, we're going to be carrying across D- bridges. Who's going to -- where's the money going to come from to beef up these rails? Who's going to pay for that? And who's going to pay for -- when there is some kind of radioactive -- oh, let's say a rail car falls off the track or whatever and there is a radioactive spill or something, just an accident that nobody's counting

1	on. Because when we're talking about odds, maybe
2	Holtec has never had an accident, but just because
3	they've never had an accident yet doesn't mean they're
4	not going to have one. We can't count on that.
5	So who's going to pay for that? What kind
6	of insurance is Holtec going to have to pay for
7	damages done to folks that in the farming industry or
8	whatever do I need to wrap up?
9	MR. CAMERON: Yes, if you could, please.
10	MS. HOWARD-WINTERS: Yes, sir. For the
11	farming industry or folks that are damaged by a
12	radiation spill or whatever? At any rate, I think
13	that pretty much I think I pretty much got
14	everything. Oh, I do want to say one more thing.
15	These caverns out here are a beautiful natural wonder
16	and it would really be a shame if anything were to
17	happen to harm them. And once the genie's out of the
18	bottle, you can't put him back in. Thank you.
19	(Applause.)
20	MS. HOWARD-WINTERS: I'm Karen Howard-
21	Winters and I appreciate your time. Thank you very
22	much.
23	MR. CAMERON: Thank you. Thank you,
24	Karen.
25	Kyle?
ı	· ·

1 MR. MARKSTEINER: I might register speak a second time so I can stand up some more. 2 3 feels good. I want to thank you all for coming out 4 5 here today. We've got a lot of out-of-town visitors and we welcome them all to Carlsbad. 6 7 My name is Kyle Marksteiner and I've been 8 in Carlsbad for about 20 years now. I first moved 9 here as a reporter for the local newspaper and when I 10 got here there was this project I was supposed to learn about called WIPP, Waste Isolation Pilot Plants, 11 and I thought what is this? I don't know about it. 12 So I went through the newspaper archives; 13 14 they actually had old microfiche back then, and I found a column written by the former publisher named 15 It was a fascinating column. 16 Ned Cantwell. 17 right when WIPP was first introduced to the community. And at the time there were a few people who were 18 19 hesitant about WIPP and a few opposed to WIPP. were anti-nuclear activists from other parts of the 20 state ready to go against WIPP. There were people at 21 the meetings holding signs, similar signs. 22 But what Mr. Cantwell suggested is that 23 24 the citizens of Carlsbad at that time just get all the

He didn't take a stand for or against the

facts.

project. He said Carlsbad residents should keep an open mind and keep themselves informed. How revolutionary is that, just to suggest that instead of automatically opposing something because it feels like we should be afraid it that we keep an open mind and inform ourselves?

WIPP has turned out to be a huge success, and I say that in -- considering and including the events of 2014. The residents of this community helped get WIPP open and confidently helped get WIPP back open over the past few years.

This project, I encourage my fellow Carlsbad residents to follow the original recommendation of Ned Cantwell to reject the NIMBY approach and to keep an open mind. Show me how this will be done safely and I will support it.

I would also ask my Carlsbad residents here tonight to be cautious about the tactics utilized by some anti-nuclear groups. The reality is that we have well-funded organizers from Texas and Northern New Mexico here today to do what they can to heighten the illusion of opposition to this project. These same groups will then go to other communities and do everything they can there to also delay or halt other projects all associated with the nuclear industry.

1 I've stayed in Carlsbad for the past 20 years because I believe the residents here are better 2 We put science first. 3 than that. We get all the 4 facts and we keep an open mind. I encourage everyone 5 do so with the consolidated interim storage Thank you. 6 facility. 7 (Applause.) 8 MR. CAMERON: Okay. Thank you. Thank 9 you, Kyle. 10 Anthony? Anthony Lee Alanzo is coming up and then we'll hear from Ralph Jennings. 11 12 Anthony? Good evening. 13 MR. ALANZO: My name is 14 Anthony Lee Alanzo. I was recently running for city 15 councilman here in Carlsbad, New Mexico. Α opportunity came for me, but I'm very proud to be a 16 citizen of Carlsbad and I thank you guys coming here 17 to Carlsbad and to listen to the people that are 18 19 opposed for it and the ones that are disagreeing to Thank you for the opportunity. 20 It's been very good to me and my family 21 for the years that I've been here. I love Carlsbad. 22 23 This is a community I love. I've raised two of my 24 sons here. I've been married 20 years. And I'm also

an employee of the WIPP site. I'm actually an RH and

a CH waste handler.

And hearing the design of Holtec, it is almost similar to what we do with RH shipments, and I'm very strongly proud of what I do, especially with my colleagues. If you heard our councilman J.J. Chaves, he pretty well understands the concept. He's one of my colleagues up there at the site. And hearing your project, I've heard of it, we've talked about it at WIPP and I'm more than willing to give a great opportunity not only for me, but for also for my family to get the opportunity to educate us, because we are educated to keeping this nuclear issue that we have across the nation. And we've done a great job.

In the 20 years that I've been at WIPP I seen the first shipment come in. I was part of the project when we reopened. And you guys actually overlooked us. And we did a great excellent job of reopening WIPP and we're still running the project. And seeing this new opportunity for Carlsbad and also the local areas in Hobbs, it's been wonderful to all of us. And now that we have another opportunity, at least my children will have an opportunity to not only get educated, but also have an opportunity in the future to find a great facility also to store nuclear waste here and clean up the sites.

1 I mean, I didn't think I was going to 2 capitalize on what John Waters said. Clearly we've I've been at those 3 cleaned up quite a few sites. 4 milestones. I was part of that project. And seeing 5 that we have another opportunity for us to expand the nuclear industry, we have the place for it. 6 7 oppose to it, but I highly recommend and I'm very, 8 very proud of what we do here in Carlsbad. 9 If you take in consideration, please look at us. We've got the knowledge. We have the IQ here. 10 I've got the colleagues. I stand behind then 100 11 percent. And this is the reason why I came tonight to 12 let you guys know we have the knowledge. And now if 13 14 you guys give another opportunity, that even expands 15 our mission. Thank you. 16 (Applause.) 17 MR. CAMERON: Okay. Thanks. Thanks, Anthony. 18 And we have Ralph Jennings coming up. And 19 then we're going to go to Kevin Kamps, Karen Hadden, 20 Charlene Hernandez, Dave Rogers and Gail Seydel. 21 MR. JENNINGS: My name is Ralph Jennings. 22 23 I spent 15 years in the Navy, 9 of those in nuclear 24 submarines, so I'm exposed to radiations of various

difference.

One of the things that I saw here was WIPP. I became aware of WIPP back in 1976 when I was a high school student at Thomas Jefferson in El Paso. That's where I'm originally from. The -- I had to do a toxicology report on nuclear radiation as part of my continuing education to college and eventually went and submitted this to the Dallas Symposium, Science Symposium, and got selected. I went into the Navy, did my time, came back out, came back to Carlsbad. I recruited here 1984 through '87.

WIPP was still having a hard time trying to get out of the ground and into the -- putting waste in there after 10,000 that they -- everybody wanted and everybody had ideas of. Well, the time came when they finally put nuclear waste underground. That was one milestone that I saw that was the best thing that had happened for the country. Not for Carlsbad, but for the country. There's no place to put a lot of this trash. We got to select one place in the world. This is probably the most studied area in the whole country for radiation.

I would suggest that we keep an open mind.

This nuclear waste, there's got to be a better place.

I've been to San Onofre, I've been to the Cherokee,

and I've been to Three Mile Island nearby. And same

thing. You're close to water. Look what happened to They're close to water and they got water Fujiyama. -- now radiation almost every part of the ocean. not going to go away, folks, but we can keep our country clear and eliminate it to one location. And that's what I'm going to do. the Gnome Project here, so it's already radioactive. For you guys forgot Gnome Project, that was a nice cavern for fracking. Thank you very much. (Applause.) Okay. Thanks, Ralph. MR. CAMERON: We're going to have Kevin Kamps come up now and then we're going to hear from Karen Hadden, Charlene Hernandez, Dave Rogers and Gail Seydel. MR. KAMPS: Good evening. My name is Kevin Kamps with Beyond Nuclear and I've spoken at the previous meetings, so this will be a supplement to And I wanted to get back to this issue of that. temporary or permanent. regarding the risks of а phrase "institutional control being lost," the risks of loss of institutional control. And what this means is that

this facility instead of being temporary could become

de facto permanent surface storage, or a parking lot

And if abandoned and containers failing and

dump.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

releasing catastrophic amounts of hazardous radioactivity into the environment -- that is a distinct risk with this proposal.

Loss οf institutional control is guaranteed to take place over a long enough period of time. No society can last forever. In fact, the very oldest human institutions are at most a few to several thousand years old such as Roman Catholicism, Christianity, Tibetan Buddhism and Judaism, to name a few examples, and of course Native American cultures, which date much longer than that, actually. But nuclear radioactive irradiated highly fuel is hazardous for million а years. It's forevermore, in other words.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency was forced to acknowledge this million-year hazard by a court order over a decade ago. EPA had wanted to cut off regulations at Yucca Mountain, Nevada, the proposed national burial dump, after just 10,000 years, but a coalition of environmental groups, including years in public citizen, as well as the State of Nevada, challenged the EPA legally. But even a million years of hazard is a huge underestimate.

Artificial iodine-129, a reactor product, an alpha emitter, is present in irradiated nuclear

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

fuel. It's ultra-hazardous and it has a 15.7 million half-life, which means 157 million years or more of hazardous persistence.

The Department of Energy in its February 2002 Yucca Mountain final Environmental Impact Statement warned that irradiated nuclear fuel, abandoned at reactor sites with loss of institutional control, would eventually leak of radioactivity catastrophic amounts into the environment over time as dry casks containing failed as due to corrosion, exposure to the elements, They were saying that in the context of etcetera. trying to sell the Yucca Mountain dump to the rest of the country. You'd better get rid of it quick before the worst happens. But the same of course would be true at an abandoned centralized or consolidated socalled interim storage facility such as here Holtec.

Up to 173,000 metric tons of highlyradioactive waste, more than twice what currently
exists, could leak into the environment from this
shallowly sub-grade storage at this location, and that
would be truly catastrophic. The forever-deadly
radioactive wastes would blow with the wind and flow
with the water harming people and other living things

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

downwind, downstream, up the food chain and down the generations forevermore.

Forevermore happens to the title of a 1986 book by Barlett and Steele, subtitled Nuclear Waste in America. The book contains a compelling chapter about the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant located so close to the targeted Holtec site.

WIPP, as has been mentioned tonight, made claims about start clean, stay clean and the supposed impossibility of leaks over 10,000 years, or even 200,000 years, but it leaked after only 15 years. Holtec has made similar claims about centralized interim storage. Fool me once, shame on you. Fool me twice, shame on me.

And I'd like to conclude with a warning from two whistleblowers, one an industry whistleblower named Oscar Shirani and another, an NRC whistleblower named Dr. Ross Landsman, regarding quality assurance on the Holtec containers, which is non-existent actually. They -- Shirani led a team of Holtec user group utilities that inspected that Pittsburgh factory that Joy Russell mentioned earlier tonight, and in a short three-day period they documented nine categories of quality assurance violation on the fabrication of these containers. The NRC design criteria are

1 inadequate to begin with and these quality assurance 2 violations associated with Holtec that NRC has done nothing about in 18 years after they were documented 3 4 risks a catastrophic radioactivity release. 5 Shirani questioned the structural integrity of these containers sitting still at zero 6 7 miles per hour, let along going 60 miles per hour down 8 the railways. Thank you very much. 9 (Applause.) Thank you, Kevin. 10 MR. CAMERON: Okay. And this is Karen Hadden coming up. 11 then we're going to go to Charlene Hernandez. 12 MS. HADDEN: Good evening. 13 14 Karen Hadden and I'm with the Sustainable Energy and Economic Development Coalition. 15 I'm one of those outside agitators that people have been talking about; 16 17 and proud of it, and I want to tell you why I'm here. First of all, we've been working in 18 19 coalition with folks in Texas and New Mexico. been invited to be part of this work because we all 20 share the love of this region. We have a proposal for 21 a site in Texas as well. They're both a risk to this 22 region. And while there's a border lying in between, 23 24 this is the same area of the country. We share a lot

We love our communities.

in common.

25

We want to

protect them. We want to be safe. We love our families. We share those values, and we need to move forward with that in mind.

I am unhappy about some of the statements made earlier that somehow those who do not favor bringing the nation's radioactive waste to this community are ill-informed or are fear mongering. In fact, the people I know that are working on this issue spend a great deal of time diving into documents, studying, doing the homework, asking the questions. And this is a project of huge magnitude that could impact the future of the entire country and it should not be taken lightly.

We should be studying. We should know. I think that the environmental report should include the SMU study that was just done about this region of the world having problems with the land rising and falling. I mean, that's an issue here in Carlsbad.

The trains that would carry this radioactive waste and their rail cars, they would be very heavy. I have read 196,000 tons and up. The rails are rated for 143,000. This is heavier than the rails are rated for. So you've got a sinkhole here. And I'm sorry, but I think it's an important image. "Radioactive Waste Train Meets Sinkhole." What

happens? I mean I think that should be analyzed in the environmental report, because it's the things that you don't think of. It's the things that we didn't count on, that we didn't plan for. We have to look at those on the front end. It's not good enough to find out afterwards that, oh, we were wrong.

And you know, mistakes happen and I'm not casting aspersions, but the WIPP site had a very serious accident that cost billions of dollars and put people at risk. People had health impacts. So we can't afford to have those kind of accidents. And this is not WIPP waste. This is the fuel rods from inside nuclear reactors that come out of the reactor a million times more radioactive than the fuel that went in.

So we have an inflatable prop that we've been using. It's quite large, about 16 feet long, 8 That's the kind of waste we're talking feet tall. This is not small. It's very heavy, very about. large. Each rail car would carry as much plutonium as was in the bomb dropped on Nagasaki. It is not in No one is saying that. bomb form. But just for perspective we're talking about a lot of radiation. This is not something that can be taken lightly.

The NRC's own studies say that if a person

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

is three feet away from unshielded waste, they would be immediately incapacitated and would die within a week. That's not me. That's the authorities. This waste can cause health impacts, cancers of various kinds. It can cause genetic damage leading to birth defects, permanent changes to our DNA. These things need to be looked at.

Now if this is fear mongering, I want to know why considering the health and safety of the community is fear mongering. I resent that. This is looking out for the health and safety of people and wildlife.

I'll wrap in a minute.

Okav. The other things that need to be included in the environmental report is a clear total number of tons of waste because there is ambiguity in There is also a lot of information this report. The most recent version of the environment missing. report is much smaller than the first one. them are available in the library here. And you can see that it has shrunk. I'm very concerned about what I think what needs to be included is got left out. the Ogallala Aquifer, the depth at which it is, where it is. Under the site, near the site needs to be clarified. There's very little discussion about that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	Also how much water goes into Lake Laguna Gatuna and
2	other playas and how much comes down.
3	I'll wrap up quickly.
4	But with groundwater, subsurface water 35
5	to 50 feet underneath the ground and canisters that
6	come down however deep they're coming down somebody
7	help me out. So in Texas the entire Radioactive Waste
8	Division at the TCEQ, the Texas Commission on
9	Environmental Quality, voted that the agency should
10	not approve the license application for waste control
11	specialists to have even low-level waste because there
12	was only 14 feet of water of distance before they
13	could hit water.
14	MR. CAMERON: And, Karen, I'm sorry, but
15	we're going
16	MS. HADDEN: I am wrapping up. I am
17	wrapping up.
18	MR. CAMERON: Well, you said you were
19	wrapping up and you're not.
20	MS. HADDEN: I am wrapping up.
21	MR. CAMERON: So we're going to bring
22	Charlene on.
23	MS. HADDEN: I'm wrapping up.
24	MR. CAMERON: Okay.
25	MS. HADDEN: And for that reason they
I	I and the second se

1 recommended denying the license. Three employees quit I think we should be looking at how much 2 distance there is to water and whether it could shut 3 4 off the cooling systems which are supposed to be air 5 flow. Thank you. MR. CAMERON: 6 Okay. 7 (Applause.) 8 MR. CAMERON: And this is Charlene 9 Hernandez. 10 MS. HERNANDEZ: Yes, I'll introduce myself. Charlene Hernandez. And for those Spanish 11 speaking people here, yo soy Charlene Hernandez. 12 a semi-retired RN. I was born in Lincoln County. 13 14 moved to California. Then I came back here in 2007 and I was shocked to find that this was the situation 15 in my state of my birth. 16 Okay. I will begin with this information 17 of which I did begin to do my own self-education, and 18 19 these are some of the things that I found. looking for them, but they showed up. And then I did 20 do -- take some action to see if we could change the 21 situation a little bit. 22 The latest estimate for clean-up at LANL 23 24 is 2.9 billion over 19 years. And I'm going to read

this a little bit about clean up at Los Alamos.

LANL is Los Alamos. "The Federal Government now estimates that it will cost 2.9 billion through the year 2035 to clean up the radioactive and hazardous waste left over from decades of nuclear weapons work at Los Alamos National Laboratory. That's on top of 3.2 billion already spent on clean-up work at LANA according to presentation made Wednesday." And this is an old article. Well anyhow, I will end that part right there because this is a paper article that I found.

Another thing, another article. "UT Research Links Most Recent Texas Quakes to Oil Activity." "Dallas. A new study by researchers at the University of Texas at Austin concludes that human activity, particularly oil and gas production, has been a factor in earthquakes throughout the state for The study's conclusions are in a nearly 100 years. paper to be published Wednesday in the journal Seismological Research Letters. The Dallas Morning News reported the study concludes that manmade earthquakes in Texas began in 1925 and that activity associated with oil production and gas almost certainly or probably triggered 59 percent of the earthquake in the state in 1975 and 2015 including recent seismic activity in North Texas. Another 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

percent of the quakes were possibly triggered by oil and gas exploration production and just 13 percent were caused naturally."

Now, this area is not a stable area. Most recently I learned that Fort Stanton has discovered some caves 30 miles long from one end of Fort Stanton all the way to underneath the airport in Ruidoso. Caves. Then I talked to cousins in Lincoln County and they said, Charlene, those caves go all the way to Carlsbad. I said I see.

And then you think about the water -- what you call, the bottomless lakes. Okay. Potential for problems. I don't think it's a safe thing.

I want to go onto another thing real This is another issue I found. quick. "The civil rights complained to EPA about Triassic Park 13 years and no resolution. The 202 complained that allegedly the department discriminated against Chaves County residents on the basis of race, color and national origin and violation of the Title V of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. During the Triassic permitting process CARD alleged that the department did not examine possible disparate impacts on the basis of race, ethnicity and conducted an administrative process in a manner hostile to Spanish-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 speaking residents. Chaves County residents mostly Hispanic New Mexicans and New Mexicans of 2 Mexican origin. A high percentage live in poverty and 3 4 infant mortality rates are high. The complaint also 5 alleged the department obstructed -- well, anyway 6 CARD. 7 All of this is on the computer. This is stuff that I found, okay, trying to educate myself. 8 9 And finally, here's one thing. "Federal 10 Report Slams Safety Lapses at New Mexico Nuclear Facility." All this. HR 994 in the House of 11 Ugh, a bill to amend the Radiation 12 Representatives. Exposure Compensation Act. That's the RECA Act. Look 13 14 that up on the computer. The RECA Act, New Mexico. 15 And finally, we didn't discuss the permit 16 which is the license request. There are 10 parts to 17 the permit. I have not yet heard the name of this project and I don't even know what -- like for 18 19 example, No. 1, general permit conditions, 20.41. NMAC incorporating -- well, that's in regulations anyway. 20 But I will give these to you so that you can review 21 them and look at -- and think about this issues 22 23 because they're a reality. Thank you very much. 24 MR. CAMERON: Thank you. (Applause.) 25

1 MS. HERNANDEZ: And thank you all for being here. 2 3 MR. CAMERON: And Dave Rogers and then 4 Gail Seydel and then Danny Cross. 5 Okay. We're going onto the next speaker. And so the NRC staff has to give their attention. 6 7 NRC staff, we're going to go onto the next 8 speaker, so let's pay attention to that. 9 And, Charlene, if you could give that to 10 them later on so that we can go on with the meeting? Good evening and thank you 11 MR. ROGERS: My name is Dave Rogers. for coming to Carlsbad. 12 have been a resident of this community for 16 years as 13 14 a local pastor and hospice chaplain. My family lives here. I have children here, grandchildren here and my 15 wife and I intend to live here for the rest of our 16 We love Carlsbad. 17 lives. But what I want to share with you is a 18 19 story from my own family. It's about my lategrandfather Richard Caldwell Rogers. Grand-dad fought 20 in World War II. He was a Navy Seabee in the South 21 Pacific during the war. And professionally he was an 22 electrical engineer and worked his entire civilian 23 24 career in Kentucky Utilities. But one of the things

that grand dad always shared with us from his

experience in the war was being in the South Pacific; quite some distance from Japan mind you, but still in the South Pacific, when Hiroshima and Nagasaki were bombed.

When he came back working in the electrical industry for Kentucky Utilities he would always say that the real problem with nuclear power was that it was introduced to the world in such an unconscionable horrific manner and that the use of nuclear weaponry to introduce the world to the potential of nuclear would forever create a fear factor that would be very difficult to overcome and very easy to exploit.

I will not say that nuclear is perfectly safe and wonderful and I certainly recognize that it I don't think anybody in this room is dangerous. would say that nuclear is not dangerous. That's why there are so many very strong safety precautions put in place. But I also remember, just as an example, in the 2014 accident that happened at WIPP when even reputable organizations across the United States were showing images of this toxic death radioactive plume that was going to take out half of the United States, which obviously was fear mongering. Ιt happened.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	Look at the facts. Do the science. Also
2	look at the safety record of the nuclear industry as
3	a whole. Yes, it has its problems, but as a safety
4	record it's probably one of the safest industries on
5	the planet. There are a lot of dangers, but there is
6	also a lot of potential. And as one who raises his
7	family here and plans to stay here, who loves Carlsbad
8	and also who has a great appreciation for the sage
9	wisdom of my late-grandfather, I am in support of
10	this. All I ask is listen to the facts, not the fear.
11	Thank you.
12	(Applause.)
13	MR. CAMERON: Okay. Thank you, Dave.
14	Thank you.
15	And this is Gail.
16	MS. SEYDEL: Hey, folks. Thanks. I think
17	I'm a known quantity to most of you having spoken to
18	many of you in Roswell. And thanks again for coming
19	out. Can you hear me am I
20	MR. CAMERON: That's good.
21	MS. SEYDEL: Okay. There you go.
22	So I guess I am one of those outside
23	agitators. I live south of Albuquerque. I'm proud to
24	be one of those outside agitators. I live south of
25	Albuquerque in a farming community about a little less

than two miles from the railroad tracks. And like many people here, my home is my major investment in my life. And if there to be an accident along those railroad tracks, I would lose probably everything. Do I'm hoping that you will -- in your socio and economic area of inquiry that you will please find ways to assure that Holtec and insurance companies can indemnify us and make us whole if there's an accident. That is a key concern for many of us.

I also have been hearing a lot of folks saying that these casks have been tested extensively and dropped on all sorts of things. My understanding is all those tests were done in the '70s at Sandia Labs. If there are new tests, I would like to know where they are written about and what reports there are.

I would like to have those new tests included in the EIS. We have a very different technological awareness these days. There are all sorts of people who might do us harm. And those tests might have been appropriate in the computer modeling that they did in the '70s at Sandia. Might have been appropriate then, but I don't believe that it's still appropriate now almost 50 years later. And so I would require from both Holtec and Sandia, or whoever wants

to do those testings, that we do a whole other spate of testing given what we are facing in terms of what we saw at 9/11 and so many other things that are going on in the world now that were not going on in 1970.

I also want to just say that I come from a community that was promised that a nuclear facility was going to be safe and clean forever. I am also from a community that experience cancer clusters and had a variety of deaths including my mom who died really young, my aunt who died after fighting three kinds of pretty unusual cancers, and all sort of other health effects throughout the neighborhood that could not be said to be genetic because it's of the same family, but neighbors all around us and all through the community.

And so the promises of Holtec and the promises of so many other people that it's going to be safe and clean forever, I just can't believe it and I'm not buying their bridge. So I hope that you will please really look very carefully. Accidents will happen even when they're not thought that they're going to happen and we just cannot believe those promises. We will experience those releases. We will cause other cancer cluster and health problems in our communities, and that really needs to be taken into

consideration.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thanks so much for coming to hear us. I hope that you will hold hearings in Albuquerque so I don't have to come five hours away from my little farm to speak to you. So thanks a lot.

MR. CAMERON: Okay.

(Applause.)

MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Gail.

And before we go to Danny Cross, let me brink Jack up.

And, Jack, you can introduce yourself.

And then we'll go to Danny Cross, Denise Madrid Boyes, Phil Green, and Lon Burnam.

MR. VOLPATO: My name is Jack Volpato. Thanks for coming to our neck of the woods. lifelong resident of Eddy County, born and raised in Carlsbad. I am also one of the founding members of the ELEA group. We saw an economic opportunity to partner with Lea County and the City of Hobbs to bring We see it as a very valuable asset this to our area. to the community. We've looked at Holtec's system and vetting it from all the other systems, and by far it's You have licensed them in other one of the best. places and we feel they're the safe and most reliable system on the market.

We see the economic benefit to the income to come back to our schools and to our roads which we desperately need in this area due to our extreme growth due to the oil and gas industry boom that we're experiencing.

We have been slowly and deliberately moving forward with this project and we feel that you coming to our community and listening to us is very important. Good or bad and people that are for and against it, I'm sure they appreciate the opportunity to talk to you. I believe this project will benefit our community. I have done the research and I feel completely safe. I'm going to live here for the rest of my life and I don't mind having it my back yard.

Just one point of clarity. I've heard several people say it's over the Ogallala Aquifer. It is not over the Ogallala Aquifer. That is a misconception. There is -- the only aquifer near there is the Rustler Formation, not the Ogallala.

In closing I want to say thank you for coming. And the nuclear industry is one of the safest industries. if you compare it to the oil and gas industry or mortalities, injuries and deaths, the bottom line is that more people get killed in one year in the oil and gas industry than have in the history

1 of the nuclear industry. Relatively risk and safety is very important and I think that this project has a 2 3 very high safety margin and a very low risk margin as 4 well. Thank you very much. 5 MR. CAMERON: Thank you. (Applause.) 6 7 MR. CAMERON: And this is Danny. MR. CROSS: He cut in front of me. That's 8 9 not fair. 10 My name is Danny Cross and I'm a local businessman, long-time resident, my family Carlsbad, 11 and I just want to say that we've been around URENCO 12 They have been great and WIPP for many, many years. 13 14 partners for our community. They've enriched our 15 They've enriched our economy. schools. Like they 16 say, we have more Ph.D. scientists in this area than 17 almost anywhere in the state except for maybe Los Alamos. 18 19 I think this is a great project. looked at the science. I've listened to all the 20 people talk and I just want to say that we welcome 21 nuclear projects in this part of the country. 22 believe that you guys do a great job as well as Holtec 23 24 in keeping us safe and we really need that kind of

infrastructure and tax base and stuff that this stuff

1 brings to our local economy and our businesses. And thank you and we -- I support this project. 2 3 MR. CAMERON: Okay. Thank you. Thank 4 you, Danny. 5 (Applause.) Is Denise Madrid Boyes --6 MR. CAMERON: 7 okay. Denise is coming up. Then we'll go to Phil 8 Green, Lon Burnam, Ford Stone and Wally Taylor. 9 MS. MADRID BOYES: Good evening. 10 you for coming to our community. I greatly appreciate your time and attention this evening. 11 I've lived in Carlsbad for 35 years. 12 Ι moved here to start my career as an attorney. 13 14 private attorney and I've represented many individuals over the last 35 years in many different areas of the 15 I addition, I own a charter bus company that 16 17 provides charter bus transportation to many local businesses including the employees that work at the 18 19 WIPP site, which is located here in our area. 20 My husband just recently retired; he's with me here in the audience today, from the WIPP site 21 after serving with that facility for 30 years. We are 22 -- I have neighbors, I have friends, I have employees 23 all who support the WIPP site, and they're all used to 24

the regulation and the oversight that occurs as a part

of the same regulations that you all are looking at with this particular facility that Holtec is proposing.

I believe that there is a safe and appropriate way that this facility can go into our area. I believe that they will be very good community partners with both Lea County and Eddy County if they perform similar to what the WIPP site has done. And I am in favor of this project and I ask that you strongly consider allowing this application to be approved. Thank you.

(Applause.)

MR. CAMERON: Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Denise.

And Phil, Phil Green. Oh, okay. This is Phil Green and his dog Porter is coming up to help him. And Lon Burnam is also helping.

MR. GREEN: Okay. Hello. My name is Phil Green. I live in Fort Worth, Texas. I'm retired from the government. I worked at the Centers for Disease Control in Atlanta for 19 years. The first nine years of that work I was in contracting. The last 10 years of my work I worked in the Radiation Studies Branch, which is within the National Centers for Environmental Health. My job at the Radiation Studies Branch was to

perform as a designated federal official managing the Public Health Effects Subcommittee at Savannah River site for six years and to be the project manager at Los Alamos for a Document Retrieval and Assessment Program for 10 years. So my perspective entirely comes from public health. I'm going to have to say up front I do not agree that this is a good idea from a public health perspective.

Now I've heard a lot about how safe this community is, how willing you are to take on this enormous project, but I wonder in this slice of heaven whether you really know what you have here. Do you know what rates of diseases, cancer, birth defects and all of these environmental hazards and regular disease hazards may bring to you?

So I'm offering you an assignment. That assignment is to visit the Centers for Disease web site and to go to two different locations. They're both databases in which you can look for various diseases and environmental hazards and their effects. You can use these query tools and print out maps, charts and graphs about disease rates by county and by ZIP code. So you can go in to this area and you can find out what your community is really experiencing at the current time.

And that may be important because you may find that everything is great, you are safe, or you may find that you're not safe, which means you're either going to bring incredible amounts of hazardous waste into your safe community, or, if you find out that your community is not safe, you may not want to bring in more of it and make it even more unsafe.

Now I hate that word "safe" and "unsafe," and I'm amazed that the government is still using it, because I never used it in my work because there is no -- in public health "safe" is not an accurate word to use for public health risk.

I am I guess an outsider, although I own property in San Miguel County. I pay county taxes there. I grew up in New Mexico, met my wife at the University of New Mexico, and I come here two or three times a year. So I guess if I'm an outside, I like being an outsider.

I'm very impressed with this area of New Mexico. I have old college friends that live down here, and they love it. And I'm impressed that your infrastructure, your roads -- your city halls are wonderful. In -- what we just -- what -- oh, I can't remember the name of the town. Well -- yes, well, Roswell, of course. But -- and you have universities

here. It appears to me on the outside from looking at it, in what we call in public health is a windshield tour, that it's a great place.

But I encourage you to look at these sites. And I also encourage the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to include a public health assessment as part of the EIS. Public health assessment is separate from an EIS. The CDC and its sister agency, the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, regularly have done these over the years at all the DOE sites that our radiation studies worked at and at the two sites that I worked at.

I'm reminded that -- this whole process reminds me of a book written in the '60s by John Nichols called *The Milagro Beanfield War*, and it parallels this because there's a lot at risk, a lot at stake. And in that there are classic battles between state, stakeholders, agitators, politicians, health. It's in a microcosm and in a very humorous book, but it's very serious. In *The Milagro Beanfield War* a man plants beans in a place where he's not supposed to, in a place where he's not to get water.

Well, this place you're attempting to bring thousands of what I call radioactive beans and eventually, as beans do, beans blow. Thank you.

(Applause.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CAMERON: Okay. Thank you. So and thank you, Lon. And Lon's going to be up to talk to us as soon as he gets Phil settled.

Okay. There he is.

MR. BURNAM: As you might guess by my friendship with Phil, I'm one of those Texans. And so the first thing I'm going to say to NRC is when are you coming to Fort Worth? I'm a stakeholder. And the 165,000 people that I represented for 18 years 165,000 people from Central City, Fort Worth, that's a larger population than the three Southeastern New Mexico counties combined. The 165,000 people that I represented for 8 years -- 18 years in the Texas legislature knew that I spent a disproportionate amount of my time fighting waste control specialists because they consistently lied, including about the aguifers, because the WCS facility is over the Edwards Aquifer. They moved the maps. Ogallala. I'm sorry. Over the Ogallala. The point, the relevant point is this industry has consistently lied to people over the decades.

I'm here at state expense in that my retirement check is from the State of Texas, but every Texan that's here tonight that has spoken is here

because we are stakeholders and we're here at our own expense.

So first, you guys are invited to come to Albuquerque and Midland, Odessa, and Fort Worth. Fort Worth is known as Tarantula City because we have eight railroad lines coming through Fort Worth. I'm very Pacific and the familiar with Union management I'm very familiar with Tower 55 on problems there. the Southeast edge of downtown Fort Worth where over half of what we comb into the Port of Los Angeles goes through and sits for hours at a time waiting to make it through Tower 55. I'm familiar with a lot of things that make me very concerned about both the WCS facility proposal and this one.

One, Holtec is looking at trying to become a vertical monopoly. I'm one of those old-school people that thinks almost all monopolies are a bad idea. They're usually under and inadequately regulated and are a threat to our health and safety and welfare.

Chip, I blew it during the process questions. I wanted to remind you that there is a considerable question whether or not there is congressional authority to be holding these public meetings at this time, because there's certainly not

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

102 NRC authority to authorize the application. But if you're going to hold three in Southeastern New Mexico than with population less the district represented, you might as well come to Fort Worth. You should recognize that there's a constant threat of artificial segmentation. The transportation lines are important. This week in the three public meetings there have been two derailing of rail cars in Texas on the local racks downtown Fort Worth, seven or eight blocks from our courthouse on North Main just today. This is all about risk management. I'm one of those people that opposed the local nuclear power plant in the first plant because I knew we didn't have the answer to dealing with waste. I know the problems I've been studying it since 1970. with this. I'm here because I think what I'm really hearing from these communities is concrete contracts are more important to some of you than public health.

Let's get our value system in order.

(Applause.)

MR. BURNAM: Oh, and finally, we've talked a lot about cumulative impacts. I'd like to make certain that this map of New Mexico is entered into So, Chip, I'm going to hand it to you. the record.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	I was born in Artesia. My grandmother died at age 62.
2	Our family will always suspect it was because she
3	worked at the Artesia General Hospital in the X-ray
4	lab before they knew enough to know that she should
5	have been shielded. Carlsbad, we're 70 years into
6	this. You need to know some things.
7	MR. CAMERON: Okay. Thank you. Thank
8	you, Lon.
9	(Applause.)
10	MR. CAMERON: Ford? Ford Stone? Ford
11	Stone, Wally Taylor. Come on up, whoever you are.
12	This is Wally Taylor. Are you Ford Stone?
13	MR. STONE: Yes, I'm Ford Stone.
14	MR. CAMERON: You're Ford Stone. Okay.
15	Good.
16	MR. STONE: I'm Ford Stone. I've lived
17	here for 35 years. I rise in opposition. I have no
18	experience with this whatsoever. I'm sorry.
19	I want to preface this by simply saying
20	the problem is not the casks, the problem is the
21	pools. All right? That will become clear in a
22	minute.
23	The proponents of the Holtec Eddy Lea
24	Alliance proposal advance four main arguments
25	justifying the transfer of the nation's depleted fuel

rods to Eddy Lea County. I have found these arguments unconvincing for the following reasons:

First the moral argument. The depleted fuel rods are stored in two modes depending on how hot, both thermally and radioactively, they are. The fuel rods that are no longer thermally hot enough to boil water in the reactor are removed and replaced with new ones. The removed ones are still plenty thermally hot such that they need to be kept constantly water-cooled in cooling pools lest they be exposed to air, in which case they could get so hot as to cause their zirconium alloy tubes to catch fire.

If such were to happen, the result could be a catastrophe on the order of Chernobyl spewing radiation far and wide and requiring permanent evacuation of everyone for miles around. This is the real liability of spent fuel reactor rods, a matter of real concern and a genuine first class moral problem for the risk that they represent to the population at each and every one of the 100 or so power plants where they are located. It's a big problem, but this serious problem is not addressed at all by the Holtec and Eddy-Lea Alliance proposal.

These hotter fuel rods are mandated by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to remain in their water

1	cooling pools for a minimum of five years. You could
2	bring all of the cooler fuel rods currently in dry
3	cask storage at their present locations here tomorrow
4	and not change this situation one bit. You simply
5	cannot speed up the heat generating nuclear decay
6	process. This is can you hear me?
7	PARTICIPANT: No, there's something wrong
8	with that mic. We can't hear you very well and I
9	don't know what it is.
LO	MR. STONE: Can I just talk?
L1	(Simultaneous speaking.)
L2	MR. CAMERON: I can hear you fine. I can
L3	hear you perfectly well.
L4	MR. STONE: All right.
L5	MR. CAMERON: So go ahead.
L6	MR. STONE: All right. Anyway, you can't
L7	speed up the heat generating nuclear decay process.
L8	It must run its course. What you will do is encourage
L9	the nuclear industry to produce still more of this
20	waste once they see they can put their spent rods out
21	of sight and out of mind.
22	Now, the problem is the casks. I've heard
23	all right. Second, they say the above-ground
24	aspect of the present casks designs places them at
25	risk at aircraft crash. Well, as to their putative

vulnerability to air crash you will note that in 1945 a B-25 two-engine bomber crashed into the Empire State Building in New York City with virtually no damage to the building's structural integrity. To a structure of concrete or steel an airplane is just a thinskinned aluminum balloon full of people and fuel.

The Twin Towers which might come to mind in this context collapsed not due to their steel framework being damaged by the aircraft's impact, which it was not, but by their steel structures being weakened by the prolonged exposure to the subsequent fuel-fed fire. Any structural engineer or architect will tell you that steel loses all its strength in a fire. The Twin Towers' steel insulation was never conceived to be exposed to the amount of prolonged heat to which the fuel-feed fire brought by the planes exposed them.

Now, why did I go and say all that? In total contrast the dry storage fuel rod casks currently where these rods are -- the cooler rods are stored are double-layer welded steel containers with thick steel reinforced concrete cladding. They would be fairly impregnable to this kind of impact.

Third, that the casks in their present locations above ground are vulnerable to terrorist

1	attack
2	MR. CAMERON: Can I get you to just sum
3	up, please, Ford?
4	MR. STONE: Yes.
5	MR. CAMERON: Thank you.
6	MR. STONE: The cooler rods would not
7	catch fire if they were exposed to an attack, however,
8	there would be a problem, but it would not be a
9	Chernobyl-type problem. It would be nasty, but the
10	thing about it is these casks are specifically
11	designed to be capable of withstanding just such an
12	attack. The NRC describes the dry casks used in the
13	U.S. as "designed to resist floods, tornados,
14	projectiles, temperature extremes and other unusual
15	scenarios." I quote. So these present storage
16	containers weigh hundreds of tons. They ain't going
17	nowhere.
18	How much more do I no more time?
19	MR. CAMERON: You're over time.
20	MR. STONE: Oh, okay. I'm so sorry.
21	MR. CAMERON: So I just wanted to give you
22	as much time as
23	(Simultaneous speaking.)
24	MR. STONE: All right. I got it all if
25	you want to go to fordstone.wordpress.com, you can see

1	the rest of the argument.
2	MR. CAMERON: You can and if you want
3	to give that to the NRC staff, you can.
4	MR. STONE: I've made so many marks on it
5	that it's illegible.
6	MR. CAMERON: Okay. All right.
7	PARTICIPANT: Mail it in.
8	MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Ford.
9	MR. STONE: All right.
10	(Applause.)
11	MR. CAMERON: Thank you very much. Thank
12	you.
13	And now we're going to hear from Wally
14	Taylor and then Chad Ingram and Tom Martin.
15	Go ahead.
16	MR. TAYLOR: Thank you for allowing me to
17	comment. I have three comments on the scope of the
18	EIS. Others have touched on these, but I want to
19	expand a little bit.
20	The first is about earthquakes. The
21	environment report submitted by Holtec pretty much
22	dismisses the idea of earthquakes impacting this
23	project, but the ER is based on historic data,
24	historic incidents. There is much more recent data
25	which I will give to you in written comments that the

drilling and fracking for oil and gas in this area has increased dramatically in the last few years. recent study by some geophysicists at Stanford, which was published in February of this year, documents recent faults in the area of the Holtec site and Holtec site weren't around the that there historically. And it's а proven fact; someone mentioned Oklahoma, where fracking for oil and gas does induce earthquakes. And that's been shown in Texas as well. So you need to do a really thorough review for the impacts from earthquakes.

Secondly, the no-action alternative, which means basically leaving the waste at the reactor site. The ER submitted by Holtec admits that the no-action alternative is a reasonable alternative, but it tosses it aside saying, well, it's safer to put it in a consolidated -- in a storage facility. But the NRC's own continuous storage rule determined that it's perfectly safe to leave the waste on site basically forever. You remember you had to do -- because the court said so you had to do an evaluation for indefinite storage on site, and you found that it was safe. The Blue Ribbon Commission, which Holtec cites as the basis for supporting a CIS site, said also that on-site storage was just as safe as a CIS site. So

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 with all of that you need to really take a strong thorough look at the no-action alternative. 2 Thirdly, you must evaluate for indefinite 3 4 storage at the CIS site. That's exactly what the 5 circuit court in D.C. said back in 2012 in New York v. NRDC that NEPA requires an evaluation for all possible 6 7 scenarios including indefinite storage. And if there 8 is never a permanent repository sited, this CIS site 9 will become a de factor permanent repository. need to evaluate that in the EIS. 10 (Applause.) 11 MR. CAMERON: Thanks, Wally. 12 13 And is Chad Ingram --14 MR. INGRAM: Yes, right here. 15 Thank you. MR. CAMERON: Okay. Good. 16 MR. INGRAM: Good evening. We sure do 17 appreciate you all being here in Carlsbad, and what a community we have, huh? You know, I've been standing 18 19 here and I've heard a lot of mν Carlsbadians come up here and I've had to change my 20 speech three times because they keep stealing my 21 lines. 22 Bottom line is that we know nuclear here. 23 24 We have some of the smartest people on the planet in Carlsbad, New Mexico, and people around the world 25

1	backing them up with the science. Holtec has shown in
2	their testing that this can stand up to an airplane
3	strike. The science is there. The science is sound.
4	Carlsbad is a perfect location to have such a
5	facility. We have the WIPP site here already. We are
6	willing to take this on.
7	We've heard a lot tonight as well it could
8	be and it sits above ground and we've got these
9	problems. The science has already been done. And
10	we're here tonight to ask you to approve Holtec.
11	Let's get it here. Let's get this stuff stored. Even
12	if it's on a temporary basis, it's the best
13	alternative for us at this point. Appreciate you all.
14	Thank you.
15	MR. CAMERON: Okay. Thank you, Chad.
16	(Applause.)
17	MR. CAMERON: I'm going to go to Tom. Tom
18	Martin with us?
19	Okay. Richard Doss, John Buchser, Doug
20	Lynn, Bob Forrest. Richard? Richard Doss? And John.
21	John he was here. Okay. Oh, here he is.
22	MR. BUCHSER: Thank you. Thank you to
23	everybody for hanging in there. I am there is a
24	lot of audience that has disappeared from the front.
25	My name is John Buchser. I am here representing the

10,000 members of the Rio Grande Chapter of the Sierra Club. I worked about ten years at Los Alamos, five years at Sandia, half a dozen years in public health. I am a computer geek. I am also a crazy activist and I am from Santa Fe.

I agree with statements that city and county officials stated early on that some storage sites at reactors of cast fuel rods need to be evaluated for risk and are potentially not safe -- as safe as they could be. The goal of waste management should be to minimize risk in management of used fuel If a storage location at a given reactor is rods. determined to be at risk, moving as short a distance as possible is best unless we know where the long-term location is. Current law does not allow a CIS site. I am impressed that the whole tech system, as proposed here, appears to be safe. However, this is only the 20-year period for this case for the permitted storage.

The storage site problems are one, helium leakage should be continuously monitored. As far as I can tell, it's not monitored at all, so you don't know if anything is linking. Murphy rules. No long-term storage management exists to handle leaking casks. Radiation and heat will degrade casks. It

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

actually starts peaking out at about year ten through about year 25 -- it gets pretty darn hot. It actually makes a case for not putting it underground until the heat diminishes, there is so much heat coming off of it. I really like to use my car. I heat my house with gas. Guess where it comes from -- this area around here. Until we get to the point in technology where I can use something else, I don't want to destroy the oil and gas boom here. I need it. I like it. I want to transition, but I -- we're not there.

I like dairy and meat. Same problem. don't want to make it go away. Tourism is safe, mostly. Lots of jobs. Holtec is only providing 50 or 100. That is not many. Transport, to me, is the biggest problem. An anti-tank missile will cause a failure. It's not clear to me how awful that failure will be, but I happened to be at Los Alamos watching the satellite photos of Chernobyl the day after it happened, and I have watched videos of people going in there for half-an-hour just to do some videos of Chernobyl -- nobody lives there, except some of the animals are managing to. The Yucca Mountain analysis It showed that in the transport was extensive. process to Yucca Mountain, which is actually less waste than this is proposing over the 20-year renewals

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that they will be looking at, that there will be a failure in transport of this waste. Same problem with releasing that waste.

There is -- I have three things conclusion. One is, stop the production of this dangerous waste. Two is, don't reprocess perpetuate the problem. The only way this project can actually be profitable to the community in the long run is to reprocess it, and you are just perpetuating the problem, creating bomb-grade material. you know -- I don't nuclear war any more than anybody else does, except for the folks building things for We have a wonderful thermonuclear process a few million miles away. The region is already putting it to great use with wind and solar collectors. Ιt generates a whole heck of a lot more jobs and is a whole heck of a lot safer. Thank you very much for your time, and I appreciate your being here for us.

(Applause.)

MR. CAMERON: Thank you. Thank you, John.

Is Doug Lynn? Here is Doug. And then we will go to

Bob Forrest, Larry Mitchell and then Gene Harbaugh.

And this is Doug.

MR. LYNN: Thank you. I appreciate the opportunity to speak to everyone tonight. It's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 getting a little cold in here. Is everybody kind of 2 3 (Simultaneous speaking.) 4 MR. LYNN: Yes, I was going to hang some 5 meat back there, but --(Laughter.) 6 7 MR. LYNN: I too am kind of a life-long resident of this area. My family homesteaded a ranch 8 9 between Carlsbad and Jal. It's not that far south as 10 the crow flies from the proposed Holtec site. I have a great deal of passion for the desert. I was trained 11 as a range land ecologist. My first 12 years -- most 12 of them are gone now. I was going to have them raise 13 14 their hand. But for the first 12 years of my 15 professional career, I was a school teacher. I taught 16 biology and environmental ecology. Oh, there's one right there. One of my old students. But I -- we had 17 a whole room full of them. I counted about 15 or 20 18 19 in here -- my old kids. And my -- my kids, in fact, received state and national accolades for excellence 20 in environmental education 21 and ecology and contribution -- and their contribution to America's 22 resources -- natural resources. 23 24 We have -- I would like to preface one -one further comment I would like to make is that we --25

we looked up here a while ago about things like
wildlife. And there was a comment made tonight about
the dune sage brush lizard. There were questions
asked of us yesterday I was a tour guide out there
and we were I was asked very politely and very
graciously, questions about the lesser prairie
chicken. Both of these species are species of concern
to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. And they
currently have agreements in place to help manage the
conservation of both species. And I can state
unequivocally, I've been I've been sitting on these
boards since 2002 I have sat on every single
working group that has that was that were the
main contributors to the strategic plan to manage both
the dune sage brush lizard and the lesser prairie
chicken. I can tell you unequivocally, with absolute
surety, that that area out there is not conducive, it
is non-suitable habitat. Neither of those species
reside there, nor will they ever reside there. It is
hardpan muskee (phonetic). Those neither species
does that.

So, now that being said, I will go on -after my -- after my career as a school teacher I went
-- I actually got hired by the WIPP site. I was a
little uncomfortable. I had a little bit of fear

about nuclear. And I got hired at the WIPP site and of their primary went out as one land coordinators. I was their wildlife guy. I was their range specialist. I was their reclamation specialist. I wore a lot of hats. And I also was assigned the principal investigator and the team leader for all of the radiological environmental sampling. And when you do that, they send you to Oak Ridge Associated Universities in Tennessee and they put you through some of the most rigorous and intensive training on radiological environmental sampling that you can go through.

-- as Ι qot smarter radiation, I got less fearful about radiation. learned more about how radioactive materials behave -as I learned more about how radionuclides behave -- I became a lot more comfortable in how I went about doing my business as an environmental sampler. they had us at Oak Ridge -- I told some people yesterday, they had me, in my frame, crawling around through duct work over laboratories with pitot tubes So once I learned about the taking air samples. behavior -- how a radionuclide behaves, I became more comfortable. And I became less fearful. And I -- so conducted that for 15 years at the WIPP site.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I just described. 2 3 Based on my training and my experience in 4 that arena, I can state that there is a reality -- I 5 tell this to my employees all the time. they want to do the right thing sometimes, or they 6 7 want to -- they have a lot of passion or emotion 8 wrapped up in things. I have a lot of passion about 9 the Chihuahuan desert. I love the desert. That's why 10 I choose to live here. And that's why I choose to learn about the desert and was trained in the desert. 11 But there is a reality. The reality here is that we 12 have spent nuclear fuel. The reality is is that fuel 13 14 is not particularly in the best place. And in my 15 opinion, based on my training and experience, the Holtec facility is by far the best option that we have 16 to handle that reality. And so, that being said, I 17 thank you again for your time. 18 19 (Applause.) Thank you very much. 20 MR. CAMERON: And how about Bob? Bob Forrest? 21 (No audible response.) 22 Larry Mitchell? 23 MR. CAMERON: Gene 24 Harbaugh? Oh, here is Larry. Okay, and then we will go to you, Gene. We have Larry right here. Go ahead. 25

That's what I did. All of those different activities

MR. MITCHELL: Good evening, ladies and
gentleman. We welcome you to Carlsbad and my name is
Larry Mitchell. I am speaking on behalf of myself as
a citizen. I've been in Carlsbad for almost well,
it was over 24 years. I was imported here, so I too
am from Texas. Been proud to be here. Again, I am
speaking as a businessman and as a citizen. We I
am pleased that Holtec is showing interest. I am
pleased that you have shown up here as well to hear
what the concerned citizens have to say whether
they be from here or from outside. I mean, we we
welcome everybody. This is this is our backyard
and I heard one can't remember who it was that said
not in my backyard. Well, I followed what he was
saying. I said, we do welcome Holtec to our backyard.
And one of the things that we see the promises that
we've that we've or, the promise I see in this
program is that, you know, this the program we have
right now as far as WIPP, it has been a fantastic
contribution to this community, not just by what it
does for employees and what the employees do for
Carlsbad and for the surrounding areas, but what I
believe it does a service. And it does come at a
cost, but that cost, considering what could be done
with it, is minimal. This is, as many others have

1 said, this is a well-educated community. I have never felt like being misled or, you 2 Ι was I believe the kids in this community 3 misinformed. 4 know more, being that they are raising up in this --5 being raised up in this, that they know more about it than many others. And so this is, I believe, a well-6 7 educated community. One of the things we've seen over the 8 9 years when I -- when I moved out here is potash was 10 beginning to decline. Tourism has been pretty much waning off a little bit. It's -- now you see what oil 11 and gas does. It is extremely volatile. If it's up, 12 it's up. If it's down, then the whole region can be 13 14 down. But one of the things I have noticed here ever since WIPP came on board, it's really kind of leveled 15 16 out the economy. It's been that constant -- and I 17 know that you -- your profession, your job, you want to make everything as safe as possible. 18 And I do 19 believe that Holtec has a solution, be it temporary or whatever it is -- but we certainly would like to 20 welcome Holtec to our community. Thank you. 21 22 (Applause.) Thank you. This is Gene, 23 MR. CAMERON:

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

MR. HARBAUGH: I admire you folks so much.

right?

24

You have sat there so patiently. Wouldn't you like to stand up? I mean, goodness, goodness.

(Laughter.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HARBAUGH: Anyway, I appreciate the opportunity speak to you tonight. My name is Gene Harbaugh. I am a retired Presbyterian pastor, approaching 82 years old. And I have lived Carlsbad 32 years. I live within 300 yards of a switching rail yard, which makes me nervous when I condition of think about the the railroad infrastructure across this country and how much time some of these canisters will spend on a siding. proposal that we have before us is for a temporary, interim storage facility. And even though, obviously, a lot of attention has been given to the safety of these canisters, the fact that this is a temporary storage facility is its fatal flaw. Until a permanent repository is authorized by Congress, it is counterintuitive to move radioactive material around the country.

(Applause.)

MR. HARBAUGH: We have had experience in Carlsbad with private companies. As you know, about our brine well situation. And if there is to be a national repository for nuclear waste, the federal

government, not private company, should be а responsible for the conducting of the entire project and its design. We are talking not just 100 years, we are talking thousands of years when we are talking about finding a repository for this kind of waste. Another point that I would make is that this proposal is not in any way connected to WIPP. WIPP is a project that those of us in this community have come to accept and, in fact, for me personally, if we were putting these canisters 2,000 feet below the surface, that would be a different story. That is not the case, obviously. It is disingenuous to suggest that the proposed storage will be temporary when there is as yet no permanent site for the waste.

So Holtec is obviously motivated by profit in this plan. Nothing wrong with that. However, I wonder how much attention and how much information we have about the impact on farming and ranching, on tourism, on retirement, on the oil and gas industry, on the dairy industry, on the mining industry. I think all of that needs to be looked at. And my hunch is that, as I talk to people, the impact is going to be negative.

And finally, I would just say that we have a situation in this community that is not unique. But

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

due to the fact that we are in a community that has only one newspaper -- one community newspaper -- which has dramatically declined in circulation and is now published in El Paso, Texas, and has no effective network for public communication, this project is virtually unknown in Carlsbad. I will wager that you cannot talk to 20 people that you don't know on the streets of Carlsbad and find one of them that has even heard of this project. This has been under the radar for a long time. I appreciate the opportunity to speak to you, and I am amazed at your stamina.

(Applause.)

MR. CAMERON: Well, you're not doing too badly yourself at 82, you know. Are the Squires here? Yes, Mrs. Squires goes first. If you still want to talk. And then we are going to go to the Hoffmans -- after Mr. Squires. This is Linda Squires.

MS. SQUIRES: Good evening, I -- I spoke at the Roswell meeting. And I just wanted to make a few comments about what occurred at the Roswell meeting. And I am addressing you tonight as a -- a dairy owner, a veterinarian and most of all as a mom. But also as a Baby Boomer. We old farts at the meeting in Roswell were schooled by the nuclear engineering students in the many benefits of having

Mr. Atom in our lives. Reminded, pretty much, of the benefits that x-rays gave us for diagnosis of our cancers and determining which teeth to have pulled -- and to be told we had -- if we had a banana that day, we had just consumed radioactive material.

Basically, what they were ridiculing was the fears of the old people. And as baby boomers, I think we have every right to be -- have some fear of radioactive materials. When I was a kid, we had a shoe store in the little town that I grew up in, and we had a fluoroscope in that shoe store. And I remember standing in front of that thing and wiggling my toes in my new shoes. But the fluoroscope was not shielded. It provided no protection whatsoever. And I often wondered if I had received radiation that caused some physical problems that I had later on in life from that machine. But I never -- I would never know.

Then every person that's -- that was born after World War II, every person alive in the United States during those years in the '50s and '60s was exposed to huge amounts of unprecedented radiation falling from the skies. They did studies in -- in St. Louis on baby teeth that were submitted by moms. And then the followed these teeth throughout the years of

the people's lives. And this was called the baby tooth study. And they tested for strontium 90 levels in those baby teeth. And they found that with increasing strontium 90 there was increased cancer rates and decreased survivability of those baby boomers.

Many of our friends and neighbors are already gone. We have a very close friend right now who is fighting cancer. Nobody knows what causes these things, but the fact remains that fear is a protective mechanism. It allows us to raise children successfully without them dying, and it allows us to do all the things in our lives that we need to do safely, because we have respect for what can happen in the worst-case scenarios. Now, all these years later, baby boomers face devastating debilitating neurological diseases for which nobody knows the cause and there is no prevention and no cure. And I am referring to Alzheimer's, Parkinson's and a horrible disease called Creutzfled-Jakobs disease, which is the human form of mad cow disease. All of these diseases are now linked to prions. And this is the same tiny particle known to be present in cows with BSE, or mad cow disease, and it -- they are also present in deer and elk with chronic wasting disease and in sheep with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

scrapie. But scientists don't know anything about how these particles initiate disease or how they can be so resistant to disinfectants.

I have been studying cluster patterns of all the prion-related diseases for quite a few years now -- just an independent study. And I -- as I mentioned at the other meeting, I came up with four factors -- radionuclides, various combinations of heavy metals such as lead or manganese, and fluoride and aluminum.

And I am turning in a copy of my notes and summary paper to you tonight so that you can be free to take this information and create studies so that you can find out -- work with the Department of Defense, who is now doing research on prion diseases, for some strange reason. Find out if you can do some studies. I have a suggestion for a study for CWD in Find out if -- what is causing these. Colorado. Ιf there is indeed any link to radioactive materials, we need to find out before we create more contaminated places. And I urge you to -- to follow up on this. I am wrong, I will be surprised. But if I am right, you will be glad that you checked this out first. Thank you.

(Applause.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. CAMERON: And Mr. Squires? Then we're going to go to -- to Shareon and Ace Hoffman.

MR. SQUIRES: Thank you for the opportunity to speak to you folks again. I spoke the other night in Roswell for a few minutes. are both Linda and both we graduate veterinarians. We graduated from Ohio State a few years ago. We now own a dairy in Hagerman, which coincidentally, I guess one of the railroads that is going to transfer this nuclear waste goes right through the town of Hagerman. We currently have over And one of the things I would like to 50 employees. talk about tonight is one of the things that we have learned in veterinary medicine -- one of the more important aspects of it, we feel, is preventive This is something not a lot of people think about. They think about what pill can I take to fix this problem? And in preventive medicine, it's what we use when we are working with herds, with animals, just to try to evaluate the situation. We evaluate the risks of the disease. We evaluate the costs of the disease. And then we evaluate the cost of the prevention and what methods we can use to prevent a disease. And after that, we try to make a plan to help prevent disease, whether it is something to do

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

with nutrition, cow comfort, calf comfort, vaccinations, immunology -- all of those things. And we use that to help run our dairy as well as -- when I was consulting for other dairies, we -- we would use that same information in those cases.

A lot of our dairy feeds are transported, as I said the other night, on the same railways that the proposed nuclear fuel rods would use. The rest of the feed is raised locally, and as I said the other night, the risks of contamination of any of our feeds or any of the milk supply, or even the hint of contamination would devastate our industry. I am not a -- I am not an anti-nuclear activist. We believe in a lot of the uses of radiation and things like that. But there's a place and a time for it.

I feel that it's impossible for us to prevent some kind of accident of any kind from happening with a railroad or a truck. Murphy, that's running the loader, when they're -- when they're moving these canisters. Any accident leak or any other problem. And it is nearly impossible to know when it is occurring because you can't see it, smell it or tell it by any of your other senses. So we have to rely on responsible people who are around there to let us know if something happened. And we are

1 dependent upon their honesty and integrity to report these problems. 2 3 I am not really comfortable with that 4 situation. Even though I have been told that it is, 5 I do not believe that it is my moral and patriotic duty to take the high-level nuclear waste from around 6 7 the country. I think it should be stored where it 8 already had a contaminated home. And that's all I've 9 got to say tonight. Thank you. 10 (Applause.) And here is Ace Hoffman. 11 MR. CAMERON: 12 Ace? Good evening. 13 MR. HOFFMAN: 14 stakeholder. I am from Carlsbad. Not Carlsbad, New Mexico; Carlsbad, California, which is about 15 miles 15 as the crow flies, or the plutonium flies, from San 16 17 Onofre. So it was very important to me that we do something about this waste. However, Rocky Flats 18 19 still has a dead zone where people can't They've made it into a nature preserve, it's -- it 20 doesn't preserve anything. Chernobyl has a dead zone. 21 Fukushima has a dead zone. Hanford has a dead zone 22 and no money to build it. 23 I did a mathematical calculation of the 24 thickness of the dry casts. They're not much thicker 25

than an egg shell, proportionately. And if you imagine an egg shell filled with lead -- well, uranium is 1.7 times heavier than lead. So this -- these are not safe canisters. The drop tests, all those other tests, they're not nearly as strict as the tests that they give them in Europe. The tests are really designed so that the dry casts that they can -- that they want to build will pass the tests. They're not real -- they don't have anything to do with the real world and what can really happen.

The -- the -- what we're talking about is probability versus possibility. So by considering only the first 500 casks, that's one-twentieth of the probability of an accident. How bad that accident -well, it's 20-times more likely that it's -- that it's going to happen if you're taking the whole 10,000. If you take all of those. And another thing is, if you built this thing, the nuclear industry is going to say, well, we have a solution to the nuclear waste problem. But what kind of a solution is it? It's a -- it is supposed to last 40 years? That's one number A hundred-and-twenty years is another that I hear. number. Three hundred years is a number we have been tossed at as well. But the truth is, it may be there forever because those casks corrode. And trying to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

move them after 40 years may be an extremely risk thing if you can do it at all.

Now, we spent the day at the Carlsbad And you've got a lot of wind here. Oh, it was windy. And you've got a lot of water seeping through your ground constantly. So if there is a problem it is going to affect everything. It is going to affect your tourism. It is going to affect your livestock. It is going to affect your environment in many different ways. And let's talk about They are not prepared to -- they are not terrorism. going to protect against an airplane strike -- even an accidental airplane strike. The turbine -- the center of the turbine of an airplane is a very solid rod. And that will go through just about anything. And the fuel test -- the fire that would occur if an airplane actually crashed into this enormous place -- would burst these casks. So they're not protected against any kind of terrorism -- not to mention, I mean, we had a -- a drug guy that they dug a 500-foot tunnel in Mexico to get him out. Just this one person. Ιf somebody wants to get into this thing, fence isn't going to -- isn't going to stop anybody. They can go They do that all the time in California. under it.

(Laughter.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	MR. HOFFMAN: Also, the bill that that
2	is being proposed, it they need they need a new
3	bill because legally they can't build this thing yet.
4	And part of the bills it's being rewritten just in
5	the last day or so such that nobody can sue if the
6	DOE doesn't put enough money in to solve the problems
7	that might come up. So, I mean, if from top to
8	bottom, it's a farce. Twenty years ago we were told
9	at San Onofre that the casks they were going to use
10	were going to be two inches thick and a quarter of an
11	inch lead. And they are actually five-eighths of an
12	inch thick. And that's thicker than they used to be.
13	The ones that we were going to get when they were
14	telling us they would be two inches thick were only
15	half-inch thick. So don't expect anyone to be telling
16	you the truth about what is possible or what is going
17	to happen. And I strongly advise even though I
18	would love to get rid of the waste, and I would love
19	to find a sucker that will take it but don't be
20	that sucker. Thank you.
21	(Applause.)
22	MR. CAMERON: This is Sharon Sharon
23	Hoffman. And then we're going to go to Robert Defer
24	and Robert Baldridge. Sharon?

MS. HOFFMAN: Thank you, Chip. And thank

you to the -- to everybody for staying this long and listening. And thank you to Carlsbad for welcoming us As my husband said, we are from Carlsbad, California and we -- we recognize the -- the sentiment that says we can take this problem. But this is everybody's problem. And it cannot be moved to any one place. So I want to ask the NRC if you are going to consider this -- if you are going to do an environmental impact, then do an environmental impact of what is really going to happen. This is going to become a de facto permanent repository. We are still going to have waste at every nuclear power plant in the country that is open. We are very happy that San Onofre is closed. It is a really bad place for the But that doesn't mean that we solve the waste. problem by moving it to a different place. We have to look at the transportation. we are talking about moving the most dangerous stuff on the planet all over the country. And if we moved it all today, we would have more tomorrow.

So if we are going to do an environmental impact, let's do an environmental impact of what is really going to happen. So the real question here is, when are we going to shut down all these plants and stop making more waste? That's really the problem.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(Applause.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HOFFMAN: The other thing that I think is very important to consider is nobody has ever opened a cask. There has been a lot of discussion at San Onofre about the casks and a lot of questions And we had some folks come in from the about that. nuclear industry group and say, well, we are starting some studies about what might happen if we ever had to open a cask. But nobody has ever opened a real cask. So nobody knows what would happen. This is a beautiful place, as so many of you said -- as we saw. And it might be contaminated forever. This is not something that you want to take on for the rest of the country. Yes, you can help the rest of the country. You can say, stop making this, and then let's figure out together the best thing to do with what is left. Thank you.

(Applause.)

MR. CAMERON: Okay, and I think this is Mr. Defer. I am not sure if I am pronouncing that correctly, but I just wanted to report on -- they tried to -- to shut the cold air off here, okay? And apparently it's computer controlled and it's still going to be cold. So we apologize for that. And we have a -- we have a number of people left. And I am

going to go to the people who we haven't heard from this week. And -- and I am sure there's one right back there waving her hand at me. And I am -- I am going to go to them and I would ask, when I call the people who have already talked to us, just try to make your main point. Be crisp. So before this poor woman freezes up here. But anyway, I am sorry. Go ahead, Mr. Defer.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DEFER: Good evening. Thank you very, very much for coming. I thank you for allowing me to come and share some thoughts with you. Your task that you've got was very daunting and very, very serious. And it affects our whole -- not just our community, it affects our whole United States. And let me say that I am for and in support of moving and bringing it here I do live here. I am a resident of Carlsbad. And I plan on being here for quite some It is very, very serious, not just for us but for the whole world. And not just the whole world -for the United States, for what you're doing. you've got a hard task.

But I think that this is a beginning, or a start, for a solution to be able to house the nuclear waste. And we've got to make those decisions, even as hard as they are, and as daunting as they are

and whether we like them or not, but we've got to make those decisions to look to the future to get to the results that we need. Thank you again so much for coming. I am in support. Thank you so much for what you're doing, and for your decisions that you have to make.

MR. CAMERON: Thank you very much. Is Mr. Baldridge -- here is Mr. Baldridge, and then we are going to go to Marie Johnson.

MR. BALDRIDGE: Good evening. When you sit back and you think about an EIS process, I think it's an incredible thing. And so, part of the goal -- and having gone through it and coming out of the mining industry -- the key -- the thing that I think is so important is that all of the pieces are heard. Every concern needs to be considered inside of the process, and that's why we're here. So whether you're for it or not, getting the pieces and parts the facts out so that those can be evaluated is critical.

I am a long-time Carlsbad resident -Native New Mexican. I have raised my family -- my
wife and I have two kids and we've raised our family
here in Carlsbad. I have been involved in the
extraction industry through potash mining for over 30
years. And so that's a little bit about what I am

going to talk about today is because there has been some -- some concern raised in and around potash mining and what the impacts and the considerations in and around that and oil and gas. I am very fortunate participate and sit on the joint technical committee with oil and gas development and look at the risk factors between those two operations and how the interface with each other. And so, when we have underground employees and we have oil and drilling, and the safety and hydro-carbons involved and those factors come to play, understanding those and understanding the science behind that becomes And as the general manager for Intrepid's operations here in New Mexico, I take that very seriously -- the safety of our employees and -- as we go through that process.

And of the things that SO one we determined after taking quite some time to look at that was -- is that we saw that it was -- we didn't see a credible risk factor in drilling, in fracking, underneath our operations. And let me explain why And so, when fracking takes place, one of that is. the things is is you're applying pressure. And that pressure, the release of that pressure is driven plains horizontally along the bedding from а

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

geological feature that exists. And so, that's the natural tendency is for it to release that. It doesn't mean that it doesn't ever go vertically. But what it has to do to reach the surface, or even reach the -- the salt formation that we mine potash in, is that it has to go through numerous bedding plains. And so every time that occurs, there is a place for the release of that energy that exists. And so, it is -- we are extremely confident. And the fact is is that we can frack and mine potash safely and coexist with each other in the same basin.

And so, when you think about that, now taking a look at that surface expression and it does -- fracking or oil and gas development or potash mining have an impact inside of this operation, and you have to sit back and think, look, not only do you have the bedding plains that exist between where the oil and gas development is, you have over 150 bedding plains that exist inside of the salt formation to the surface for addition. As well, one of the unique things that -- in and around salt, is -- is that it And so, whether that is from any absorbs energy. potential seismic or fracking or anything that is, what a unique place in geologic feature to place this facility over the top of is our very salt bed?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

an extremely unique, safe location to be able to do that.

So, because of these things as well, I had the opportunity when Holtec came in, they were looking for a facility, and who ended up having the mining leases where they were looking at happened to be our facilities. And so took a great deal of time to take a look at their project — the technology that they were utilizing and the licensing behind it. So we felt comfortable because we are going to be neighbors with that project before we agreed and signed contractually with them to release our mining leases to support this project.

So, not just from a -- a personal standpoint, or from a business standpoint, and a community standpoint, the organization that I work for, Intrepid Potash, was willing to release their mining leases for this to exist because we think that this economic development will help and support the quality of life for our employees here in Carlsbad. So, thank you for your time.

MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Mr. Baldridge. We are going to Marie. And then we are going to go to Roxanne Lara, Jay Jenkins, Norbert Rempe. This is Marie.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

know?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay, it works pretty good. My name is Marie Johnson and I want to thank my husband there because, like, when we came in I said, baby, we are only going to stay for a few minutes. We are not going to stay that And when I started hearing these people talk. And then I -- it got to me. Our lives begin to end the day we become silent about the things that matter. And this matters to me. I am from Carlsbad. mother. I am a grandmother. I have got a son that was on a nuclear submarine. They called him a nuke. So I am not anti-nuclear. But what I have heard people talk about -- talk about the animals, talked about how safe it was -- right? Talked about, oh, it is only going to be temporary. So, like, permanent?

MS. JOHNSON: Let's see how this works.

(Laughter.)

ain't really permanent?

MS. JOHNSON: That's what I hear you talking about. So I want to take a line from Hamilton, and it says, hear ye, hear ye, Nuclear Regulatory Commission. The elected officials, the city councilman, the businessmen, do not speak for me. I am Carlsbad.

When you've got to get them every six weeks?

Because you've got to get a touch-up, but it

1	(Applause.)
2	MS. JOHNSON: Let me tell you about the
3	people that aren't here that look like me and who
4	don't look like me. This is a sparse population. We
5	have transportation problems. We have things coming
6	up. People can't get around if you don't have a car.
7	We don't have mass transit here, okay? So the people
8	that look like me, they aren't here. So guess what,
9	who is going to speak for those people?
10	PARTICIPANT: You are.
11	MS. JOHNSON: Yes. I thought I was
12	through doing this kind of stuff.
13	(Applause.)
14	MS. JOHNSON: But I guess I am not.
15	Because let me tell you something, just because we
16	have a sparse population, did we matter less than
17	somebody who has 160,000 people? Or has 2 million
18	people? Do we matter less?
19	(Simultaneous speaking.)
20	MS. JOHNSON: So, when you're doing that
21	impact statement, I want you to look at the people and
22	think about what happened to the people in New Orleans
23	when the levy broke. Oh, yes, the PhDs got out. The
24	people with the Mercedes got out. But guess what
25	happened, we we couldn't get out. So what happens?

1 Think about that, okay? And I know I am getting a little excited, so let me just take a breath. 2 3 (Laughter.) 4 MS. JOHNSON: Namaste, whatever. All I 5 want to say to you is that -- think about the people. And just because we are not a lot of people -- this is 6 7 only 35 miles away from a population center. We live 8 in the desert where -- it's not like a place where 9 you've got lots of rain and lots of water and if you 10 mess up you go, oh, that's all right, baby, we'll clean that up. And there's going to be some rain and 11 it's going to grow again. Once you mess us up, we're 12 stuck like Chuck. Thank you very much. 13 14 (Applause.) 15 MR. CAMERON: Thank you. Thank you, 16 And this -- Rocky? 17 MS. LARA: Now, if you hear me shiver, it's not because I am nervous. I have been shaking 18 19 the last few minutes here. Good evening, my name is Rocky Lara. And I am a former county commissioner, a 20 former member of the Eddy-Lea Energy Alliance -- a 21 officer with 22 former the Energy Communities

Association, former member of the Mayor's Task Force

and all of those things. But more importantly, I am

a citizen and resident and business owner in this

23

24

community. I was born and raised in Carlsbad. My parents were born and raised in Carlsbad. My husband was born and raised in Carlsbad. All of our family remains here. We built our business here and we never look to live anywhere else.

And that's more important than all of those jobs I've had because I would never support anything that I thought was not safe for our community and not safe for our family. And I support this project because here's the thing, experience is what counts. I come from a background of law and politics, and the one thing that carried across the board was likelihood the often of success depended experience. And this community has unique considerations. This community has experience experience in knowing its Cinderella geology. Experience in knowing the processes and just right. Experience in dealing with nuclear the procedures. waste for a number of years.

So what that brings is the fact that we know what questions to ask. We know when something doesn't sound right. We know when we should be looking out for our families and our community. And those questions have been asked. And that's why so many leaders and so many residents of this community

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

support this project. So you will hear a lot of opinions and a lot of opinions that are built on whatifs and built on fears and built on not taking the time to really become educated about this particular project and the things that are involved in this particular and very specific process. And opinions are great for discussion. But decisions are made of And the facts, coupled with our experience, acts. support the licensing of this project. I worked on this project many years ago for several years. supported it then. I support it now, and my family stands with me. Thank you.

MR. CAMERON: Thank you. And here is a former, student, right? Okay, it's Jay -- Jay Jenkins. And then we're going to go to Norbert Rempe and we're going to go to Sister Marlene.

MR. JENKINS: Thank you. Good evening, thank you, my name is Jay Jenkins and I am a local businessman here in Carlsbad. I grew up, attended Carlsbad schools, moved away to go to college. Ended up getting a job, but had an opportunity to relocate back to Carlsbad in 1998 and I have been here ever since. My family lives here and I plan on being here the rest of my life. I have had the opportunity to be involved in the community in several different aspects

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and love Carlsbad. I am a resident now of Eddy County. I live south of Loving and with that I have had the opportunity also to serve -- and currently serve on the Mayor's Nuclear Task Force. I chose to do that to educate myself with the nuclear industry.

As such, I had the opportunity with a separate group about two to three years ago to travel to Minnesota and tour the Xcel nuclear facility known as the Monticello Plant. I got to witness firsthand as a lay person what that whole facility was about. I also got to witness and visualize the spent nuclear fuel at that facility. I also got to see and listen to the challenges that they face with the facility that they're out of room with storing that particular product. And I come today to speak in favor of this project. I speak in favor of Holtec for all the reasons that have been voiced before.

With that, it was said earlier, just like with WIPP, it's great that we are providing solutions for the country. Here is another opportunity that we have chosen to be a part of and provide another solution for the country. In some of the involvement I've been -- I have had the opportunity to go to Washington, D.C. on several occasions, and there is no more pride that someone as a local person can have to

go to the Department of Energy facility and you see that big map when you walk in, and there's a little dot -- there's dots for the Department of Energy facilities around the country, and there's one dot right there that shows Carlsbad, New Mexico. It will be great to show another dot representing this facility. So thank you for hearing our comments this evening and thank you for the opportunity.

MR. CAMERON: Thank you very much, Jay.

Is Norbert here? Okay. And then we will go to Sister

Perrotte.

Good evening. MR. REMPE: I am Norbert Rempe, a resident of Carlsbad. I am going to speak from a perspective as a U.S. taxpayer and electric rate payer because they will eventually pay for this. There are currently three options that are apparently reasonably viable -- and that's Yucca Mountain, Holtec, and WCS. I count Yucca Mountain among them because the regulations say Yucca Mountain has to be retrievable for up to 300 years if it ever starts And the blue ribbon commission was operating. mentioned several times. It was basically political cover for the attempted assassination of the Yucca Mountain project by the previous administration in collusion between the Senate majority leader at the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

time and the president.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Without the Yucca Mountain politics, I don't believe we would even be looking at either the Holtec or the WCS proposal. This project is therefore premised on the ultimate death of Yucca Mountain. I have been to Yucca Mountain twice. I have read a lot of literature about it. I can't think of a real show stopper of why it wouldn't work. So that up front.

Now about trust in the NRC. I think the trust in the NRC has been compromised over the last few years, and I will give you two examples why. First, the NRC under its previous chair -- two chairs back -- collaborated in the attempted assassination of the Yucca Mountain project for political reasons. And it has now for over three years already virtually ignored a former petition to challenge the discredited linear north-end theory and the ALARA concept. both of those have recently been discredit for this -for decades and lead to enormous cost for taxpayer. Many decisions are not driven by science, not even by evidence. And I can quote a bunch of examples from the DOE National Labs and I just did from the NRC.

So the NRC really must reestablish the reputation it once had. And it can do that only if it

look not only at nuclear safety -- and say nuclear safety is number one. It needs to look at the whole safety. My guess is Holtec is probably safe from a nuclear perspective. But we have one recent bad example here in Carlsbad. For example, tonight, when there was the talk about the 2014 incidents at WIPP, everyone was talking about the radiological incident. The fire was the one that was the one that was most threatening to the workers at WIPP at the time. The radiological consequences were insignificant compared to the potential hazard from the fire.

So we need to ask ourselves, do radiological risks really outweigh the simple risk of industrial and transportation accidents? For example, if we have interim storage instead of taking this stuff to a final repository, we need to double handle it. We need to transport it twice instead of once. And that would, of course, not be needed if proceeded with the Yucca Mountain project instead. I am very doubtful that the Holtec proposal, or the alternative CWCS proposal would be a good and prudent I do remain open to use of taxpayer funds. convinced otherwise, but that has not yet happened. If I may be allowed to make one other brief comment and that is, it was mentioned by a previous speaker

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

about the death zones in Hanford, Rocky Flats, Fukushima, et cetera. Chernobyl, That's nonsense. I personally have been to Chernobyl three years ago and I got five to six times more radiation exposure in flying over there than I got in the same time that I spent in the exclusion zone. So, we need to really talk about facts rather than emotional claptrap.

MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank you. Thank you, Mr. Rempe. Sister Perrotte? And then we are going to go to Dayton McCullough and Mark Schinnerer. I'm -- I know I mispronounced that. But, Sister?

SISTER MARLENE PERROTTE: Good evening. I am sister Marlene and I am a Sister of Mercy. I am here just to bring up a few considerations. One of the consideration that I think happens is that we're looking at the interim depository. However, I think before we look at that, there's a false assumption. And I think the false assumption is that communities that already have spent rods want them moved. I have signatures of over 100 Sisters of Mercy that live in different parts of Connecticut; Hampshire; Vermont; Maine; New York; Omaha, Nebraska; and California. And the conversation was ethical consideration. Because there is no endpoint.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Endpoint in no more nuclear spent rods -- no more nuclear power plants and no permanent repository. Therefore, what this permit is is just in the middle. It doesn't consider the beginning, getting the rods to the place. All it is is the middle. So I think this is a real ethical dilemma because what we're talking about is the cumulative issues. And we're also talking about the precautionary principle. And I would just want to say, there are accidents. several people brought up the accident at WIPP, which was not supposed to happen, and it happened in 15 But what is not said is how many other years. canisters have the same material? And could it happen again?

So, precautionary principle -- there is no way that we can see within those canisters whether there's cracks, how we can remedy them, et cetera. on behalf of over 100 sisters, I would say we do not consent to New Mexico becoming a national radioactive waste dumping ground for all the high-level nuclear waste from commercial power plants nationwide. We do not consent to transporting up to 10,000 canisters of radioactive through highly waste thousands of communities nationwide and subject them to possibilities of accidents. While we do support the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	alternative of hardened onsite storage at the DEER
2	(phonetic) reactor sites. And I believe Don Hancock
3	said we should be looking at comparative values.
4	Thank you.
5	MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank you Sister.
6	Thank you. And is Dayton here? Come on up Dayton and
7	then we'll see if Mark is here. This is Dayton.
8	MR. MCCULLOUGH: It's actually Denton.
9	MR. CAMERON: Oh, Denton. Okay, sorry.
10	This is Denton.
11	MR. MCCULLOUGH: It's a common mistake.
12	My name is Denton McCullough, I am a resident at
13	Carlsbad and I'm here to protest this project.
14	Carlsbad is a very unique place. We have
15	a river runs through it, we have two national parks,
16	we have the forest, Sacramento Mountains, Guadalupe
17	Mountains. It's a very wonderful recreation area.
18	We have a lot of tourism and a lot of
19	retirees. I think if we have a nuclear facility like
20	this it's going to impact our city. As a city, it's
21	going to change and it won't be recognizable if this
22	project goes through.
23	I've heard people say that this is a
24	remote area. Actually, it's not so remote anymore.
25	We've had a population of 26,000 people

1 here for the last 50 years. And I've heard in the last, last year, now we have a population of 70,000, 2 3 with the oil and gas industry, Caroline is supposed to 4 be talking to you on, 70,000 people in Carlsbad. 5 So, with the local, total population, I 6 think with Eddie Lee and Chaves County, is probably 7 over 200,000 by now. So it is not a remote sparsely 8 populated area anymore. 9 So I don't think it's fair this many people to take the risk of having this nuclear waste 10 here. Let's see if I had something else to say here. 11 Basically, I think it would actually 12 destroy the character of Carlsbad. I live here and I 13 14 like this place, it's a great place. It's a good 15 We have great weather, we have a place to live. 16 river, we have lots of recreation, lots of things to 17 do. So I think it's a matter of perception. 18 19 If people are aware of that this nuclear waste is going to be sent to Carlsbad, I don't think that many 20 people want to come here. They're not going to want 21 And I'm pretty sure it will affect 22 to retire here. our tourist industry as well. 23 24 So, I do not consent, I don't support this 25 program. Thank you.

	MR. CAMERON: Okay, Chank you. Thank you,
2	Mr. McCullough. Is Mark, no, okay.
3	Sister Joan, do you want to come up?
4	Thanks. Thanks, Jose. This is Sister Joan Brown.
5	SISTER JOAN: Good evening and thank you
6	for being here again, and I'm here again. I was in
7	Roswell and back to Albuquerque and back here again.
8	And I am because this is a very important
9	issue. And I want to speak to the environment justice
10	concerns again.
11	In our religious traditions, we really
12	believe that we need to be prudent in making decisions
13	and care for generations. And we have not been in the
14	past.
15	And I don't think that by moving this
16	nuclear waste from one place to another without a
17	permanent repository is being prudent. Because we
18	haven't dealt with the waste, moving it to another
19	spot is not solving the issue.
20	And the environmental justice concerns are
21	huge. And so the map that Lon put forth earlier, I
22	have an original copy of that.
23	We did this in collaboration with
24	community organizations throughout the state to help
25	in education so that they saw that their accumulative

1 effects of the choices that have been in this state in regard to the nuclear fuel chain. 2 3 People have talked about health concerns. 4 Maybe we need to put money into cleaning up the uranium mines and the contamination that's causing 5 cancer and polluted water in our state. 6 7 Maybe we need to be addressing nuclear problem that we have below Los Alamos National 8 Lab with the San Ildefonso Santa Clara and the Acequia 9 Those are some of the issues. 10 Its compounded upon compounded here in the 11 So if we're looking at environmental justice 12 state. it's for this region, but it's actually the entire 13 14 state. Which is, if this industry were so good, 15 we would not be the poorest in the nation, the 50th in 16 education, 50th in health, 50th in poverty, 50th in 17 children's poverty. Those continue to be realities 18 19 for the entire state. And the great deal of that is 20 here. No matter what people say about, this is 21 a very wealthy area, it belies that if you look at the 22 So I invite you to look at those 23 statistics. statistics for the entire state. 24

One last thing that I would like to just

mention is, we, the faith leaders that, and I appreciate your reading the letter that we had with 70 faith leaders signing that, and I am reporting back to them some more information, but there is a concern for things like the seismic activity.

Bloomberg put out, several weeks ago, a study from Stanford stating that in Oklahoma, and the headline stated, Mexico and Texas you need to look at this, but in Oklahoma, within a five year period, there was seismic activity for 6,000 years. And so we are looking at a boom here in this area, in the Permian basin, that will be happening into the future, that I am sure the research that you have, or that has been presented, is old and it is no longer accurate.

Added to that is one other piece of science so folks here are so into science, which I am so grateful for, is climate science. And I would like to have you look at the future and what would be the challenges in terms of heat here and also unprecedented flood, torrential flood.

Which this region has had. Which is predicted for our region to have with climate change. So I think those are things that also need to be considered. So thank you very much.

MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Sister. Rose

1	Gardner. And then Noel.
2	MS. GARDENER: I'm so glad you called.
3	MR. CAMERON: Does it make you feel
4	warmer?
5	MS. GARDENER: A little bit. A little
6	bit. Hi everybody, I'm Rose Gardner from Eunice, New
7	Mexico, also a member of the Alliance for
8	Environmental Strategies.
9	I wanted to ask if at all possible if we
LO	could have some of these applications available to
11	some of the other communities? For instance, Eunice,
L2	Jal, Lovington, Tatum and other communities in Eddy
L3	County which are too numerous to name.
L4	I just feel like a lot of times we don't
L5	have the access to computers, the internet is slow,
L6	the ADAMS system doesn't always work like it needs to.
L7	Anyway, we need to have more information, if at all
L8	possible, please.
L9	I would also ask for an extended comment
20	period. It's way too short, the deadline is coming up
21	and there is a lot of community work being done right
22	now.
23	I would ask that you extend meetings to
24	many, many more communities that are in the transport
25	routes. Some are small, some are big but there are

1 major hubs especially that I feel need to have the knowledge about what's fixing to happen if this thing 2 3 gets approved. 4 I'm also concerned, and feel like the 5 communities need to be informed about H.R.3053. has not been passed, it has not been changed therefore 6 7 it makes this NRC scoping hearing not legal. 8 Ι would also ask that additional 9 characterization of the site, it has been several years since it was done for the GNEP project. Things 10 change, the land changes. 11 We know that there are studies already 12 showing that things are happening. 13 I would request 14 that additional studies be made. We've already been made aware of the two 15 16 train derailments on May 1st in Barstow, Texas and 17 Odessa, Texas. The head-on train wrecks in Monahans, Texas, which is another hub where trains are very 18 19 numerous. Numerous political leaders today made 20 reference to the WIPP facility, how they feel it's a 21 It's a \$2 billion failure. 22 success. My information is that also, these testing 23 24 that were done on some casks, not necessarily the

Holtec casks, are not really legitimate and valuable

1 in this situation since they were not Holtec casks. Were those casks loaded with radioactive materials, 2 3 probably not. Because that would be very dangerous to 4 do tests on materials like that, wouldn't it? 5 We've been told that they've been having drop tests and missiles, but not with loaded casks. 6 7 So how can those be postulated and made more real. 8 Again, those tests need to be redone using the casks 9 that are being considered. My community of Eunice is becoming very 10 concerned about what's going on. A lot of the Eunice 11 folks that have never turned out to these hearings 12 showed up in Hobbs. 13 14 They came home calling me up and asking 15 me, what are we going to do, what can we do to stop 16 They are asking me to give them information 17 that you people need to give. That --(Off microphone comment) 18 19 MS. GARDENER: Yes. I believe that there is a lot of anxiety and angst that questions have been 20 And the NRC needs to deal with it not just raised. 21 the local community. 22 I want to also add that I vehemently 23 24 oppose the transportation of the high-level nuclear waste on our rails and roads in New Mexico and Texas, 25

1	for the purpose of consolidated interim storage. It's
2	not that I'm unpatriotic, I'm just not stupid.
3	(Laughter)
4	MS. GARDENER: We are considered the land
5	of enchantment with a wonderful forest and mountains
6	and these rivers that are so precious. We are not
7	going to be considered the land of high-level nuclear
8	waste. I will continue to fight against this
9	facility. Thank you.
10	MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank you.
11	(Applause)
12	MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Rose. And Noel.
13	And since it is so cold in here I would just ask,
14	those of you who have talked before to speak to Chris.
15	(Off microphone comment)
16	MR. CAMERON: They've tried everything,
17	you know. Go ahead, Noel.
18	MR. MARQUEZ: Noel Marquez, Artesia, New
19	Mexico.
20	(Speaking foreign language)
21	MR. MARQUEZ: If you feel left out of this
22	conversation, think about what we feel.
23	(Applause)
24	MR. MARQUEZ: As a Chicano and Mexican
25	community, we are being targeted by not only the DOE

1 as a sacrifice zone, but also by other government agencies which are aiming to deport us and put us in 2 detention centers. 3 4 It is no wonder our communities hesitate 5 to come to these badly designed meetings over two hours long and limited to a very short statement on 6 7 such an advertent and extremely important issue. 8 our lives are at stake. 9 If we still live in a democracy, this 10 eminent domain attack should be put up for a vote for the citizens of New Mexico to decide whether they want 11 this Holtec high-level radioactive storage business 12 that will be subsidized without tax dollars in the 13 14 long run. These NRC meetings should also be in Gala, 15 Las Cruces, Albuquerque, Alamogordo and Santa Fe. 16 17 This should start at no later than 4 o'clock for citizens to speak and exercise our responsibilities. 18 19 We are the protectors of our freedoms and our environment better than the flawed, the business 20 of flawed science. We have been overwhelming, we have 21 been the overwhelming opposition, a number of voices 22 of repeating in Hobbs, Roswell and Artesia where we 23 24 were not invited.

Will the NRC listen and take our voices

161 1 seriously. MR. CAMERON: And, Noel, could you sum up 2 3 for us please? 4 MR. MARQUEZ: The racism of this area has 5 a long dark history of deportations and segregation. My mother was a student in the loving schools which in 6 7 the mid-1940's put Black, Indian and Chicano students out in the small shack apart from the White students 8 9 in the new school building. U.S. Senator Denis Chavez came to Carlsbad and Loving and threatened to end 10 federal funding if this racist practice was not ended. 11 An attack on the money made to school 12 system complied to let in all students, stay in the 13 14 same building together. But that did not end the 15 racism as we are seeing today. I honored these brave and hard-working 16 17 ancestors, grandparents and parents in my mural in front of the Carlsbad Library. 18 19 During that segregated period, my aunt told me they were constantly told they were not meant 20 to have an education, they were born to work the 21 fields like burros. They worked very hard so we could 22 go to universities and get our education so we could

defend our communities, and ourselves, and tell their

stories.

23

24

1	I appeal to the good people of Carlsbad,
2	which are the majority. Don't let John Heaton and his
3	group of compromised politicians have their way.
4	Thirty state representatives already came out in favor
5	to slow this process down
6	MR. CAMERON: Okay, Noel, thank you.
7	MR. MARQUEZ: in order to allow them
8	MR. CAMERON: I'm going to have to ask you
9	to stop.
10	MR. MARQUEZ: and the people to have an
11	
12	MR. CAMERON: Noel?
13	MR. MARQUEZ: opportunity
14	MR. CAMERON: Noel?
15	MR. MARQUEZ: to make
16	MR. CAMERON: Noel, come on, we got a lot
17	of people.
18	MR. MARQUEZ: an informed decision.
19	MR. CAMERON: Thank you. Okay, goodnight.
20	MR. MARQUEZ: It's fine, you allow us to
21	speak.
22	MR. CAMERON: Goodnight.
23	(Applause)
24	MR. CAMERON: Okay.
25	(Off microphone comments)

	163
1	MR. CAMERON: At four minutes, hey, look
2	
3	(Off microphone comments)
4	MR. CAMERON: No. Some people, okay.
5	(Off microphone comments)
6	MR. CAMERON: Mary Beth Brangan. We're
7	going to go on. Is she here?
8	(Off microphone comment)
9	MR. CAMERON: I said that. I said that.
10	(Off microphone comments)
11	MR. CAMERON: Okay.
12	(Off microphone comments)
13	MR. CAMERON: Phillip Valdez. Phillip
14	Valdez?
15	(Off microphone comments)
16	(Applause)
17	MR. CAMERON: We're not switching minutes,
18	sorry. Okay?
19	(Off microphone comments)
20	MR. CAMERON: Oh, good. Good. Glad
21	you're there. Thank you. Are you going to talk?
22	MR. VALDEZ: I'm going to talk briefly
23	MR. CAMERON: Good. Okay.
24	MR. VALDEZ: but I'm asking that you
25	give Noel his proper time.

	164
1	MR. CAMERON: I did give Noel his proper
2	time.
3	MR. VALDEZ: People are timing.
4	MR. CAMERON: Four minutes.
5	(Off microphone comments)
6	MR. VALDEZ: People are timing.
7	MR. CAMERON: That's right, and I said it
8	was a four minute thing at the beginning.
9	(Off microphone comment)
10	MR. CAMERON: Okay.
11	MR. VALDEZ: So you're not cutting him
12	off?
13	MR. CAMERON: I asked him to sum up, I
14	wasn't badgering him.
15	MR. VALDEZ: He is from here
16	MR. CAMERON: Okay? Do you want to talk?
17	MR. VALDEZ: I do want to talk
18	MR. CAMERON: Then go ahead and we'll
19	talk.
20	MR. VALDEZ: I want to address what
21	just happened.
22	MR. CAMERON: Go ahead. Nothing happened.
23	Okay, Noel, we're going to give you one
24	more minute and, Kevin, Kevin?
25	MR. KAMPS: Yes.

1	MR. CAMERON: Will you time the minute and
2	when it's up
3	MR. KAMPS: Hey, Chip, I'll time you any
4	time you want.
5	MR. CAMERON: Go ahead. Go ahead. Go
6	ahead, you got a minute, Noel. Go ahead.
7	MR. KAMPS: because I don't trust you.
8	MR. MARQUEZ: As I was saying, if we live
9	in a democracy, the same eminent domain and time
10	should be put up for a vote for the citizens of New
11	Mexico to decide whether they want Holtec high-level
12	radioactive storage business that will be subsidized
13	with their tax dollars.
14	These NRC meetings should also be in
15	Gallup, like I said, Los Cruces and Albuquerque and
16	Alamogordo and Santa Fe.
17	We are the protectors of our freedoms and
18	our environment better than the business of flawed
19	science. Okay, so, I appeal to the good people of
20	Carlsbad, which are the majority, not to let John
21	Heaton continue.
22	John continues to repeat the same target
23	mantra over and over, that the community is extremely
24	informed on nuclear issues and everybody in Eddy
25	County is in favor of nuclear waste. Not true money

1	is their religion. A very few bad jobs are not
2	anywhere close to our livelihood and health of future
3	generations of the southwest region of the USA.
4	(Foreign language spoken)
5	MR. MARQUEZ: The ecology is all
6	connected, as my daughter has told you in Roswell.
7	(Native and foreign languages spoken)
8	MR. MARQUEZ: Power to all the people.
9	(Applause)
10	MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank you, Noel. And
11	is this Shaughnessy?
12	MR. SHAUGHNESSY: My name is Brendan, yes.
13	MR. CAMERON: Yes, Brendan Shaughnessy.
14	Go ahead.
15	MR. SHAUGHNESSY: You incorrectly assumed
16	that we were married? Yes, thank you. I don't trust
17	you either.
18	MR. CAMERON: I don't care.
19	MR. SHAUGHNESSY: This should be fair.
20	Every person should get the same amount of time,
21	that's basic.
22	MR. CAMERON: Why don't you use your time
23	now.
24	MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Yes, I will.
25	I'm using it. This is my voice and guess
I	I

1 what, I'm using it. That's your job, at the very minimum. 2 I'd like to thank all of us stakeholders 3 4 gathered here in this cold garage that care about our 5 one and only planet earth that we all live on and call home, that came out to these hearings to speak truth 6 7 to our educated concerns. You don't need to be a 8 local PhD to share concerns for this project that has 9 a far greater impact than is easily comprehensible. 10 I do not consent to bringing the highest level of radioactive nuclear waste from the nation, 11 none of which New Mexicans benefitted from, to New 12 Mexico. I ask that the scoping period be extended and 13 14 that additional hearings be added in communities on 15 and around proposed transport routes. Because this isn't just about Carlsbad, 16 Hobbs or Roswell, this stuff is coming from all over 17 the United States, to here. And even if you want it 18 19 here, what about all those people, those children, those schools, those hospitals that are near 20 highways, the truck drivers, 21 those the conductors, all of those people that don't have a 22 voice tonight. 23 24 (Applause) Thank you. 25 MR. SHAUGHNESSY: So I ask

1 that the scoping period be extended and I also ask for, sorry, I'm trying to find it, additional hearings 2 3 be added in those communities. 4 Some may shortsightedly think that this 5 project is okay in the short-term, but what about the voiceless and their fragile future. 6 The born and 7 unborn children whose fragile futures we are talking about, plants, aquifers, wildlife and livestock who 8 9 to our sustain survival, who aren't are vital 10 represented at these hearings. And one final thought, 11 just the stakeholders aren't just Carlsbad residents but the 12 entire nation and ecosystem. 13 Thank you. 14 (Applause) MR. CAMERON: 15 Mary Beth. I realized I should have 16 MS. BRANGAN: 17 spoken as a Texan as well as from California, because I am from San Antonio and I'm very concerned about my 18 19 Texas family as well as all of the other people in the 20 country. I wanted to add to the comments that I 21 made the other night, about the huge number of train 22 accidents that are occurring every year. Even though 23 24 it's not a good idea to leave the canisters on the beach in San Onofre, we're concerned that even moving 25

1 them minimally may be disastrous, because we don't know what's inside. 2 3 I hope you can add to the scope the 4 examination of the contents of the canisters should 5 happen. And they can't be, right now with the method used by Holtec, which is to weld them shut. 6 7 And currently the use of high burn up fuel is effecting all of this as well. And that should be 8 9 investigated and added to the scope. 10 The high burn up fuel increases buildup of hydrides, which cause a buildup of gases 11 that are explosive. We don't know whether the train 12 vibrations will be enough to cause those hydrides that 13 14 are building up because of the high burn up fuel. High burned up fuel is more than twice as 15 radioactive as the old kind of fuel and more than 16 17 twice as thermally hot. This really stresses the zirconium cladding on the fuel rods and causes 18 19 potential, incredible, explosions. So, this all needs to be added to the 20 We need to make sure that, we would like to 21 move it, but minimally, minimal movement to the 22 closest appropriate place. Not to New Mexico. 23 24 So, as it stands now, Holtec's canisters can't be inspected, they can't be repaired, you can't 25

1 open them to see what's going on. And currently, that's an NRC requirement, if I'm not mistaken. 2 3 that should be looked into as well. 4 There is no guarantee that, and these are, 5 again, containing the equivalent, roughly, amount of cesium in each canister as was released in Chernobyl. 6 7 Wе have, one of our researchers 8 California calls them Chernobyl cans. So we need to 9 look into all of those things in order to even do the 10 minimal transport. Not to mention putting them on the rails, 11 which as I said the other day, we are having an 12 average of 12,000 major train accidents a year just 13 14 for the oil trains. An average of 8,000 to 9,000 15 injuries and an average of 800 fatalities per year. 16 Thank you. 17 (Applause) (Off microphone comments) 18 19 MR. VALDEZ: Hello, everybody. like to thank you guys again for taking the time, 20 everybody here, to listen to the 21 comments I know it's cold and late, I just want to 22 concerns. say I appreciate it. 23 24 Some of the comments that I have for tonight, the proposal says that it's 32 miles away 25

1 from population. It's been spoken about tonight, multiple times, but that's just not so. 2 3 Traveling along the roads, the highways, 4 the lease roads around this proposed site are 1,000's 5 of members of this community. It happens 24/7. It's 6 part of our economy. 7 It kind of like be saying that the area 8 between Dallas and Fort Worth is a safe place to store 9 highly radioactive nuclear waste. I mean, there's literally that much traffic and population around this 10 proposed site, all day, all the time. 11 So, for it to be said that it's 32 miles 12 away from population is just simply not true. 13 14 Also, I know it was stated earlier that it wasn't effecting the Ogallala aquifer, the maps that 15 I've looked at shows that it is. And 32 miles is a 16 17 lot greater distance than 50 feet. Fifty feet to the water table that effects 18 19 millions of people in many different states in this country. So, that was a concern that I had, that I 20 wanted to bring to you all's attention. 21 Also, this future site that they're, I'm 22 sorry, one second, let me find, I just wanted to add 23 that, and this is taken from my friend Lorraine 24

actually, that they're calling the state a future site

1 as if it's already been approved. That's not the case, this is just a proposal and its consent based. 2 3 So it sounds like to me that the members of this 4 community do not consent to this. 5 So, I wanted to also make a point, I wanted to speak for those who cannot speak, that don't 6 7 have a voice, in the wildlife. 8 In 2008 the Bureau of Land Management 9 approved a consent plan for two rare species in Southeastern New Mexico. And I know this has been 10 covered, it's the prairie chicken and the sand dune 11 lizard. 12 actions taken in this 13 One of the 14 protection of one of these species, the prairie 15 chicken, is that they designate times where there can 16 be no activity in these areas during the mating season. And I've worked in the oil field for 16 years 17 and I've been witnessed to, jobs don't go out until 18 19 because the hours prior that a.m. to designated for these prairie chickens. 20 I would just ask, how can it be said, as 21 it was earlier, that they do no inhabit the area when 22 the conversation plan covered 465 square miles that 23 include this proposed site? 24

A gentleman earlier said he had never seen

1	a prairie chicken, I have never seen a prairie chicken
2	or these lizards. One individual's opinion that they
3	aren't in this site is not factual. He's not out
4	there all the time. Not to mention the other forms of
5	wildlife that are in the area.
6	So, those are points that I wanted to
7	bring up for the animals that cannot speak. And so I
8	just ask that you will consider that.
9	And I just wanted to say one more thing.
10	To the gentleman earlier that said that we need to get
11	our facts, the fact is that we don't want this here,
12	that's a fact.
13	(Applause)
14	MR. VALDEZ: So, thank you.
15	MR. CAMERON: Lorraine?
16	MS. VILLEGAS: Hello again. Hello,
17	everybody. My name is Lorraine. I am not getting
18	paid to be here. I work in the oil and gas industry
19	so I am actually losing money for being here.
20	This is absolutely a greater cause. So I
21	am not from the outside, I am actually from the inside
22	and I have been inside for a long time. I interact
23	with my community on a daily basis on a personal
24	level.
25	I'd just like to repeat something that

1	seven senators wrote to you in a letter that talks
2	about the efforts that have been made to fully educate
3	the general public about the project and to address
4	concerns that have been raised.
5	And we are constantly reminded by ELEA and
6	Holtec how concerned they are about our safety and
7	about our questions and about transparency, of course,
8	transparency, of course.
9	If we are transparent then why weren't we
10	allowed to participate in the tour of yesterday's
11	facility?
12	FEMALE PARTICIPANT: Right.
13	MS. VILLEGAS: I am expected to roll out
14	this red carpet and accept this waste into my
15	community but we can't even participate in the grand
16	tour of where this indestructible fantastic facility
17	is going to be located.
18	(Applause)
19	MS. VILLEGAS: So I am confused as to
20	where the transparency is. Today you met in Lea
21	County, my hood, and I wasn't invited. That meeting
22	was not open to the public.
23	So you are encouraging public
24	participation, you've had two chances in two days to
25	include us, but you did not include us. So I need a

1 scientist to answer the question as to why we are not included. 2 I know there is a lot in here who can 3 4 answer that, so if anybody is in here who can answer 5 that question I will be willing to ask it again later. Thank you, guys. 6 7 This waste is not welcome, it's 8 welcome here. Thank you. 9 (Applause) 10 MR. CAMERON: Susan? Susan Schuurman? MS. SCHUURMAN: Thank you so much. It is 11 really cold in here. My name is Susan Schuurman. 12 am a New Mexico resident. I have lived in New Mexico 13 14 for 25 years. I am a cancer survivor and I do not 15 consent to Holtec International brining high-level 16 radioactive waste to our State. 17 On Tuesday I spoke at the meeting in Hobbs and shared my concerns that New Mexico has suffered 18 19 enough from the hands of the nuclear industry. Yesterday I traveled with other concerned Americans to 20 the proposed site where Holtec wants to bring a 21 100,000 metric tons of high-level radioactive waste 22 for 120 years, but probably indefinitely. 23 24 We had been informed that there was going

to be a tour at the site and we wanted to learn more

1 about the project that this New Jersey based corporation wants the NRC to approve. 2 To my surprise when we arrived to the site 3 4 and tried to join the group of people getting the tour 5 we were prevented from walking up to the tour by local law enforcement, armed local law enforcement. 6 7 The sheriff's deputies very politely 8 informed us that they were instructed by the tour 9 organizers, which include the NRC, ELEA, Holtec 10 International, and a very mysterious non-profit called the Center of Excellence for Hazardous Materials 11 Management. 12 It took a lot of work for me to figure out 13 14 what that acronym stood for. It was very unclear. 15 They call themselves the Center for Excellence, but 16 they are actually the Center of Excellence for 17 Hazardous Materials Management, and it is chaired by none other than John Heaton. 18 19 the sheriff's deputies Anyway, were instructed to keep the public away from the tour 20 My question, if this project is as safe as 21 leader. the booster's claim why prevent the public from going 22 on the tour? What do they have to hide? 23 24 And since you are spending taxpayer money

on this project why are you leaving taxpayers out of

1 the process? I also would like to respond to Carlsbad City Councilor Eddie Rodriguez who spoke tonight. 2 brought up environmental justice. 3 4 He read the first part of EPA's definition 5 of environmental justice, he didn't read the second part, and I quote, the second part says environmental 6 7 justice "will be achieved when everyone enjoys the 8 same degree of protection from environmental and health hazards and equal access to the decision-making 9 10 process to have a healthy environment in which to live, learn, and work." I and many others --11 12 (Applause) It's kind of obvious, 13 MS. SCHUURMAN: 14 right, what got left out. I and many others argue 15 that New Mexico, a majority minority State, has not 16 enjoyed the degree of protection same 17 environmental and health hazards already from the contamination from the nuclear industry since the mid-18 19 1940s. You've heard of the term piling on in 20 football, when more players than necessary jump on the 21 quarterback after he's already been sacked. Approving 22 -- You know where I'm going with this? 23 24 (Multiple yeses) Approving CIS in New 25 MS. SCHUURMAN:

1	Mexico would be just that, piling on to a community
2	that has already been tackled with an immense health
3	burden from uranium mining, spills, bombs, leaks,
4	nuclear stockpiles, and cancers. Don't pile on New
5	Mexico.
6	As for our funding, we are all unpaid
7	volunteers who are passionate about protecting New
8	Mexico from all things nuclear. Hold off, Chip. And,
9	finally, I just want to share
10	MR. CAMERON: That's four minutes, go
11	ahead.
12	MS. SCHUURMAN: that any attempts, I
13	just want to share this, any attempts at intimidation
14	by project leaders will only strengthen our resolve.
15	And, finally, this is finally
16	MR. CAMERON: I got it.
17	MS. SCHUURMAN: if we can't operate the
18	air conditioning how can we handle spent fuel rods?
19	(Applause)
20	MR. CAMERON: Leona?
21	MS. MORGAN: Okay. Good evening. To all
22	of those who were not at the previous scoping meeting
23	I am just going to introduce myself in my language
24	which is our traditional way that we, Dine, identify
25	ourselves, it's a form of kinship, a system that

existed long before the United States, and so I would like to remind everybody that we are on stolen land of the Mescalero Apache and the Comanche peoples, whom of none I see here.

And so my people are from the northwestern part of New Mexico and earlier I heard a lot of talk and just these warm sentiments about how this part of the State knows about nuclear science and how much you all welcome it.

Well in our corner of the State we know about uranium mining, we know about the cancers, we know about the birth defects, we know about contamination to our lands, our water, our air, our plants, our people, and we know that this will impact us for generations to come.

Uranium mining is no joke. The contamination that was left by the United States Government is an atrocity, it's a sin. It's a violation against our human rights and it's a form of genocide, and that's exactly what this project is.

New Mexico should not be proud of its nuclear legacy. New Mexico is the birthplace of nuclear colonialism and you all today have a lot of power in your position to stop this from continuing and you all have a huge responsibility to our future

generations.

And I want to remind you that all of us in this room are here because we care about this issue and are passionate about it. Some people might be passionate in support of it, but a lot of those folks already left because they were lucky enough to be called first, they were lucky enough to be at the head of the line, all of whom are proponents of this project, representatives of ELEA.

And it's really not a surprise to me that the first brown man to speak against this project was cut off before his time. This is a joke. This is something that should not happen at a public meeting, the same thing that happened yesterday when we were all excluded from your site visit.

And so the other people I would like to acknowledge here who are not here are the multitudes of communities along the transportation routes who are not here today, many of which do not even know about this project.

So today I am going to read several statements from folks who cannot be here today. And so today our organization, the Nuclear Issues Study Group, has a box of letters that we have collected from New Mexicans and residents and community folks

1	who are very concerned about this project.
2	And I see you looking at your watch and I
3	am not going to stand here and take less time than you
4	gave other people earlier today. So
5	MR. CAMERON: Let me get one thing clear
6	is that I gave all the people
7	MS. MORGAN: You're taking some of my time
8	right now.
9	MR. CAMERON: Well I gave all the people
LO	more time, okay.
11	(Off microphone comments)
L2	PARTICIPANT: Please let her finish.
L3	MR. CAMERON: Yes, great, I'd love to. Go
L4	ahead.
L5	MS. MORGAN: Okay. So right here in my
L6	hand I have a box of more than 1300 signed letters
L7	from people across the State who do not consent to
L8	this project
L9	(Applause)
20	MS. MORGAN: most of whom don't even
21	know that this project exists. There is a lack of
22	transparency, there is a lack of inclusion, and it's
23	clear that you have all violated your own public
24	participatory process by excluding us from your
25	meeting yesterday.

1 And so many of these people also feel They are not standing here in this cold 2 excluded. 3 room shivering waiting to get their five minutes, or 4 four minutes in some cases. 5 So I am going to read a couple statements from some of these folks who deserve to be heard 6 7 today. "There is nothing safe about transporting or 8 storing nuclear waste. Los Alamos is proof enough of 9 this fallacy. Recent accidents at Los Alamos National 10 Lab in WIPP indicate the process lacks rigor. The New 11 public Mexico demands detailed safety 12 and environmental review of all federal activities." 13 14 And this one was from a young resident who 15 was accompanied by their mother. "Hi. I am 14 years old and I have two brothers, one sister. I don't want 16 17 to see my siblings get cancer. Don't put nuclear waste in my State." 18 19 And this one comes from an Albuquerque "We can no longer afford to be the 20 resident. sacrifice State. We can no longer afford to be at the 21 bottom in all categories. This effort connects 22 directly to our democracy, economy, 23 health, 24 education."

And then this one is also from another

Albuquerque resident. "As a family medicine physician 1 and public health practitioner in New Mexico I insist 2 3 that the full potential impact of this site might have 4 on my patients and our community be analyzed and 5 published prior to any further consideration of the project." 6 7 And this, I'm going to skip a couple 8 because I know we are short on time and it is really "New Mexico is worth more than to be a 9 late. 10 wasteland. The United States should be a leader in environmental solutions, not destroying it more. 11 Thank you." 12 And this one is from someone from Sandia 13 Park, New Mexico. "The choice to once again non-14 15 consensually expose residents to these dangerous materials reflects poorly on you and yours. You work 16 17 for the citizens, yet your choices imply you believe Rectify this." otherwise. 18 19 And the last comment I want to save is from someone who worked at a nuclear facility that 20 caused a lot of contamination and was not properly 21 It says "used to work at Fernald in Ohio 22 cleaned up. as a union concrete finisher. This should be stopped. 23 24 Very dangerous."

So with that I will hand these over to you

1 all to add to your comments and I assure you we will be sending plenty more before the deadline and I 2 3 formally request that you extend this public comment 4 period to have more meetings and to also give time to 5 the Tribes who will be later in getting themselves involved in this process, because there is not just 6 7 community folks left out, there is also several 8 indigenous nations that I mentioned at the previous 9 meetings. Thank you. 10 (Applause) MR. CAMERON: Okay, Janet. Janet, do you 11 want to -- Go ahead. 12 MS. GREENWALD: So I am Janet Greenwald 13 14 and I am a co-coordinator of Citizens for Alternatives 15 to Radioactive Dumping. I am from Albuquerque and I raised my children in Dixon, New Mexico, where some of 16 my children and grandchildren still live. 17 Dixon is а bedroom community and 18 downwind community from Los Alamos National Lab and it 19 has been for 50 years. So from my perspective all the 20 projects, other nuclear projects except uranium 21 mining, are pretty youthful compared to Los Alamos. 22 And I wanted to say that when people get 23 24 up here and say that nuclear is good and nuclear isn't

dangerous I'd like to invite them to come with me to

a meeting of a contaminated Los Alamos workers support group on Saturday mornings in my library, or to come with me to go through what a lot of pregnant couples go through up there, and that is having to consider whether their babies are going to be born deformed, or come with me to talk with farmers who don't know whether they are going to be able to sell their crops because the headwaters of the river has been contaminated with cesium, you know.

Our organization has monitored WIPP forever and what we saw was that as time went by that safety slipped, safety standards slipped, until finally Susana Martinez decided not to fund the training for workers from the New Mexico Environment Department to be in the room with the people that were packing the barrels to come to WIPP.

I think about this a lot. What if those people had been there? What if they had been in the room when those barrels were mispacked with the wrong kind of kitty litter would they have caught it? Would the workers at WIPP be safer now?

That's human nature. It's like I understand from living in a bedroom community how you need to sometimes balance safety risks, your own safety, your own health, with support for your family.

1	Well it isn't just individuals that have
2	to make those decisions. Governments, corporations,
3	everybody makes decisions. They put safety against
4	money and as a project grows older and nothing has
5	happened yet then safety starts slipping and cuts
6	start being made.
7	And in this case with high-level waste if
8	that happens it could be the end of everything that we
9	know and love. This whole project needs to be re-
10	examined and the people that are doing it because of
11	money I don't know what to say about them.
12	I can only pray that somehow they change
13	their minds. It's a crazy project. It's so crazy.
14	It would endanger so many people. Thank you.
15	(Applause)
16	MR. CAMERON: And, Cody? Cody? And then
17	we are going to go to Eileen and then that's the end
18	of the speakers for tonight. This is Cody.
19	MR. SLAMA: Hello, everyone. So my name
20	is Cody Slama and today I am turning my back on the
21	NRC because yesterday they turned their back on me.
22	(Applause)
23	MR. SLAMA: It was a very disappointing
24	experience yesterday to go out and try to see that
25	site and learn a little bit, you know, but we didn't.

1 We went out there and we were intimidated by some of these elected officials and, yes, we were 2 3 intimidated a little bit, but that didn't scare us off 4 though. 5 We continued on because it was a county road and you are allowed to go down that county road 6 7 and to see the site. But what we didn't do was we 8 didn't listen to the NRC because they didn't let us. 9 We didn't hear what the Eddy-Lea Energy 10 Alliance had to say because they kept us on the county road while they walked a distance where we couldn't 11 hear them. 12 So that's a little bit about what happened 13 14 yesterday and I just wanted to share that with you 15 all. And there was ten of us out there, ten concerned public citizens, and you know what, they just ignored 16 17 us, they did. At one point one of them walked a few feet 18 19 in front of me and I said are you just going to ignore us and not talk to us at all. Just shook their head 20 no, not going to talk with you guys today. 21 So, yes, that's how we are being treated, 22 you know, and here I am 11:00 -- What time is it 23 24 anyways, 11:30 at night, probably almost 12:00, I

don't know, but I am from Albuquerque and the reason

I am here is because they are not having meetings in Albuquerque.

That's why these meetings are going so late. I had to put that out there. I mean, yes, I'm an activist just really concerned about everyone who could be affected by this waste, particularly this community the most because this is where all the waste is going to end up and if an accident happens, a barrel explodes, you all will be the most impacted, so I am really concerned.

And, yes, I want to actually take a moment

-- Is Kevin still in here? No, Kevin walked out. I

don't know, I don't trust Chip with the time. Can

someone -- Kevin, one minute.

I need one minute, I just want a moment of silence because as an activist -- It's actually really hard to be anti-nuke activist because you go out into the community, right, you collect 1300 letters and all the time you have these people walk up to you and then they tell you some horror story, right, like how someone in their family worked in some uranium mines and got cancer and they lost like their family, or you hear about people at the labs who got sick because they worked there, you know, and there wasn't proper shielding and what not.

1 So, yes, I just want to give one minute of my time and if Kevin you could just signal whenever 2 it's up. 3 4 (Moment of silence) All right, so the minute is 5 MR. SLAMA: Yes, let's keep those people in our hearts that 6 7 we lose to these issues and let's not let it happen 8 any more than it has to. 9 (Applause) 10 MR. CAMERON: Okay. Eileen? MS. SHAUGHNESSY: All right. A round of 11 applause for all of us who are hanging in here with 12 these freezing garage. 13 14 (Applause) MS. SHAUGHNESSY: Well, I spoke at a few 15 of the previous meetings and shared my thoughts as an 16 educator and as a concerned citizen and now I would 17 like to share my thoughts as a musician. 18 19 I believe art can be a powerful medium for conveying information and I have written a song that 20 is particularly raising about the 21 awareness transportation issues with this proposed project, and 22 we have heard all night about the risks, we have heard 23 about how the railroad tracks are, they are not built 24

to hold this waste, and we have heard about how there

1 are hospitals and elementary schools along the way and there are risks to the workers as well. 2 3 I also want to remind us that the U.S. 4 Department of Transportation says that on average 5 annually there is about almost 6000 train accidents and there was a really horrific one in Roswell four 6 7 years ago that I want to remind people about. 8 And thank you, Leona, for reminding us 9 that we are on stolen land. Thank you, Cody, for reminding us about all of the lives that have already 10 been harmed by nuclearism, and for the voiceless. 11 So this song, I just want to remind us 12 that we are all human beings with hearts pumping blood 13 14 through our bodies right now, that we are all 15 breathing the same air that, you know, is refueling our bodies, and hopefully not hurting us. 16 Obviously when we 17 are talking about radiation it does hurt us. So I just want to remind 18 19 us that we are talking about future generations, future life on this planet. 20 So this song is called "That Train Ain't 21 Going Nowhere." George, you worked on your railroad 22 lines, he loved taking pictures of the sky. 23 24 didn't know working there on the tracks was that

cancer was growing inside of him fast.

1 See, he got a dose of radiation each time he worked there at the station and his whole life he 2 3 never saw his mother cry. She never did till the day 4 he died too young. 5 That train ain't going nowhere. Baby, that train ain't going nowhere. 6 Baby, that train 7 ain't going nowhere. Baby, that train ain't going 8 nowhere. I'm seeing tears in the children's eyes, I'm 9 seeing broken hearts all down the line. Wherever these trains will go they'll 10 leave a trail of pain and sorrow. Baby, this train 11 ain't going nowhere. Baby, that train ain't going 12 nowhere. Baby, that train ain't going nowhere. Baby, 13 14 that train going nowhere. Antonia loved her first grade class. 15 school sat next to a railroad track and she loved to 16 17 watch those trains go by, and she'd count the cars till it got too high. 18 19 Well she never learned about nuclear waste or the spent fuel rods passing by her face. 20 family couldn't understand why she got ill, but now we 21 know radiation kills. 22 Do you hear it? Do you see it? 23 24 feel it and believe it? We are the people, our hearts

We don't want this waste and we have

are broken.

1	spoken.
2	Baby, that train ain't going nowhere.
3	Baby, that train ain't going nowhere. Baby, that
4	train ain't going nowhere. Let me hear you. Baby,
5	that train ain't going nowhere.
6	It's not going anywhere. Baby, that train
7	ain't going nowhere. Baby, that train ain't going
8	nowhere.
9	(Applause)
10	MS. SHAUGHNESSY: From my heart to yours,
11	NRC. Don't let this happen.
12	(Applause)
13	MR. CAMERON: Okay. We're giving it over
14	to Brian Smith to close the meeting out for us.
15	MR. SMITH: All right. Well, thank you
16	again, everyone, for coming out tonight. We really
17	appreciate all the comments we received. We will take
18	them into consideration as we complete our review and
19	draft our environmental impact statement.
20	We will be back out again probably next
21	summer after issuance of the draft EIS seeking further
22	public comment on that, so thank you again and have a
23	good evening.
24	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went
25	off the record at 11:50 p.m.)