

USNRC REC
VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY
RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23261

79 JUL 31 P J 2:48
July 25, 1979

Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Director
Office of Inspection and Enforcement
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region II
101 Marietta Street, Suite 3100
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Serial No. 467A
PO/RMT:baw
Docket Nos. 50-281
License Nos. DPR-37

Dear Mr. O'Reilly:

We have reviewed your letter of July 10, 1979, in reference to the inspection conducted at Surry Power Station Unit No. 2 on May 7 - 10, 1979, and reported in IE Inspection Report No. 50-281/79-33. Our supplemental response to the specific infraction is attached. This supplemental response is intended to clarify our initial response dated June 27, 1979, Serial No. 467.

We have determined that no proprietary information is contained in the report. Accordingly, the Virginia Electric and Power Company has no objection to this inspection report being made a matter of public disclosure.

Very truly yours,

C. M. Stallings

C. M. Stallings
Vice President-Power Supply
and Production Operations

Attachment

cc: Mr. Albert Schwencer

7909200157

790445
OFFICIAL COPY

In reference to your request for supplemental information made by telephone conversation between our SGRP Resident QC Engineer and W. P. Kleinsorge of your staff regarding IE Inspection Report No. 50-281/79-33 and our letter of June 27, 1979, the following is provided. For clarity, the initial NRC comment and our response are included.

NRC COMMENT

As required by Criterion XVII of 10 CFR 50, and as implemented by VEPCO Topical Report VEP-1-A, Amendment 3 Paragraph 17.2.17, sufficient records shall be maintained to furnish evidence of activities affecting quality and that these records include results of inspection.

Contrary to the above, on May 8, 1979 five "Receipt Inspection Report" forms had the following boxes not marked: "No Shipping Damage", "Properly Packaged", "Cleanliness Satisfactory", and "Properly Identified" SGR-12188, SGR-12391, and SGR-12609.

RESPONSE

The deficiency is correctly stated.

VEPCO Quality Control Personnel have been instructed by the Q.A. Engineer not to accept Receipt and Inspection forms from warehouse personnel until all appropriate blocks in the "Stores Only" section have been completed. SGR purchase order files numbered SGR-12188, 12391 and 12609 have been re-evaluated and no discrepancies found.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE

Purchase order files previous to this occurrence were not reviewed because the specific omissions noted above were confined to the portion of the document assigned to store's personnel. The inspection for acceptance conducted by quality control personnel was properly documented in the above purchase order files. This inspection is conducted subsequent to the store's personnel

check, but prior to acceptance of material. In that objective evidence of QC inspection and acceptance was present in all the above files; review of additional documents was not considered warranted.