

	Comments after validation of exam
6	There are 2 correct answers iaw AOP 2.10.1 step 5, which states determine time to saturation from Fig 1A-B or Att 3 which uses Fig 2A-B. To correct the problem we could provide att. 3, or only provide Fig 2A-C.
7	Recommend replacing distractor B because of 2 correct answers based on (answer B) TS 2.2.2.1 In MODE 1 or 2, restore compliance and be in MODE 3 within 1 hour. Possible replacement could be TS 3.4.13 RCS pressure boundary leakage in Mode 1.
30	Rewrite the question for simplification. Intent or answers did not change.
44	Replace question due to no supporting documentation. Possibly replace with Ginna 2011 Retake Q15 or 1LOT8 Q40.
53	Replace question due to not applicable at 100% power, doesn't follow procedural requirements because the 865s would be locked out due to shunt trip and the accum would have to have N2 vented. Doesn't hit the KA. Possible replacements Surry 2014 Q53, farley 2013 Q54, Farley 2014 Q55.
66	Replace second part of question due to irrelevant to the job. Replaced with fire door operability possibly. Question rewritten.
68	Replace distract 'A' Clearance Holder with WEC SRO. Clearance Authority - A Senior Reactor Operator (SRO) Licensed individual assigned responsibility for authorizing and issuing Clearances and keeping Control Room personnel informed of all plant status changes prior to establishing or removing a Clearance.
81	Both answers in second part of question are correct. ECA-1.1 never states to stop making up to the RWST. Recommend making second choice "Fill Containment sump using Fire Protection system" which is a SEVERE ACCIDENT CONTROL ROOM GUIDELINE INITIAL RESPONSE action. The question stem would also be slightly modified to guide the question to EOPs. question rewritten.

	Comments for Joe after walkthrough week
27	This was a new question. Strengthened the answer justifications.
30	Modified RCS temperature in the stem from 220F to 180F based on validation feedback. The crew stated that we would be less than 200F to be in this condition.
67	Based on validation feedback. Changed SRNIs to Source Range Nis in answer 'B'.
84	Moved the statement "assume that the dose is from inhalation" to event 2, since it was no longer applicable to both events.
87	Added "power" for the 7% power, and removed "decreasing" in the 3rd bullet.
92	Changed the KA system from 016 Non-Nuclear Instrumentation System to 017 In-Core Temperature Monitor System (ITM) based on the updated SRO outline.
98	Changed provided reference to NOP-OP-4010 as stated in the stem of part 1. NOP-OP-1007 was not needed to answer the question. Changed the stem to give a dose rate of 10 Rad/hr for the entire question instead of 20 Rad/hr in just the 2nd part. This was to ensure when using NOP-OP-4010, it would meet the requirements of orange risk on pg. 10. rewrote the answer justifications.

	Comments for Joe after walkthrough week
27	This was a new question. Strengthened the answer justifications.
30	Modified RCS temperature in the stem from 220F to 180F based on validation feedback. The crew stated that we would be less than 200F to be in this condition.
67	Based on validation feedback. Changed SRNIs to Source Range Nis in answer 'B'.
84	Moved the statement "assume that the dose is from inhalation" to event 2, since it was no longer applicable to both events.
87	Added "power" for the 7% power, and removed "decreasing" in the 3rd bullet.
92	Changed the KA system from 016 Non-Nuclear Instrumentation System to 017 In-Core Temperature Monitor System (ITM) based on the updated SRO outline.
98	Changed provided reference to NOP-OP-4010 as stated in the stem of part 1. NOP-OP-1007 was not needed to answer the question. Changed the stem to give a dose rate of 10 Rad/hr for the entire question instead of 20 Rad/hr in just the 2nd part. This was to ensure when using NOP-OP-4010, it would meet the requirements of orange risk on pg. 10. rewrote the answer justifications.