

50-335

NRC DISTRIBUTION FOR PART 50 DOCKET MATERIAL

FILE NUMBER

TO: Mr Stello

FROM: Florida Pwr & Light Co
Miami, Fla
R E UhrigDATE OF DOCUMENT
10-29-76

DATE RECEIVED 11-4-76

☒ LETTER
☒ ORIGINAL
☐ COPY☐ NOTORIZED
☒ UNCLASSIFIED

PROP

INPUT FORM

NUMBER OF COPIES RECEIVED
1cc

DESCRIPTION

Ltr trans the following:

PLANT NAME: St Lucie #1

ENCLOSURE

Request for Amdt to OL/Chagge to Tech Specs:
Consisting of revisions with regard to the
feedwater isolation function.....

(40 cys encl rec'd)

DO NOT REMOVE
ACKNOWLEDGED

SAFETY

FOR ACTION/INFORMATION

ENVIRO 11-4-76 ehf

ASSIGNED AD:		ASSIGNED AD:
BRANCH CHIEF:	Ziemann (5)	BRANCH CHIEF:
PROJECT MANAGER:	Silver	PROJECT MANAGER:
LIC. ASST.:	Diggs	LIC. ASST.:

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> REG FILE	SYSTEMS SAFETY	PLANT SYSTEMS	SITE SAFETY &
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NRC PDR	HEINEMAN	TEDESCO	ENVIRO ANALYSIS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I & E (2)	SCHROEDER	BENAROYA	DENTON & MULLER
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OELD		LAINAS	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GOSSICK & STAFF	ENGINEERING	IPPOLITO	ENVIRO TECH.
MIPC	MACCARRY	KIRKWOOD	ERNST
CASE	KNIGHT		BALLARD
HANAUER	SIHWEIL	OPERATING REACTORS	SPANGLER
HARLESS	PAWLICKI	STELLO	
			SITE TECH.
PROJECT MANAGEMENT	REACTOR SAFETY	OPERATING TECH.	GAMMILL
BOYD	ROSS	EISENHUT	STEEP
P. COLLINS	NOVAK	SHAO	HULMAN
HOUSTON	ROSZTOCZY	BAER	
PETERSON	CHECK	BUTLER	SITE ANALYSIS
MELTZ		GRIMES	VOLLMER
HELTEMES	AT & I		BUNCH
SKOVHOLT	SALTZMAN		J. COLLINS
	RUTBERG		KREGER

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

CONTROL NUMBER

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LPDR:	NAT LAB:	BROOKHAVEN NAT LAB	11185
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TIC:	REG. VIE	ULRIKSON(ORNL)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NSIC:	LA PDR		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ASLB:	CONSULTANTS		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ACRS/6 CYS HOLDING/SENT	TO ACKS AS 'CAT B'	11-4-76	

100-100000



100-100000

100-100000

100-100000

100-100000

100-100000

100-100000

100-100000

100-100000

100-100000

100-100000

100-100000

100-100000

100-100000

100-100000

100-100000

100-100000

100-100000

100-100000

100-100000

100-100000

100-100000


100-100000

100-100000

100-100000

100-100000

100-100000




REGULATORY DOCKET FILE COPY

October 29, 1976
L-76-377

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Attention: Mr. Victor Stello, Director
Division of Operating Reactors
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Dear Mr. Stello:

Re: St. Lucie Unit 1
Docket No. 50-335
Proposed Amendment to
Facility Operating License DPR-67



In accordance with 10 CFR 50.30, Florida Power & Light Company submits herewith three (3) signed originals and forty (40) copies of a request to amend Appendix A of Facility Operating License DPR-67.

The proposed amendment is described below and is shown on the attached Technical Specification pages bearing the date of this letter in the lower right hand corner.

Pages 3/4 3-16 and 3-17

Table 3.3-5 (Engineered Safety Features Response Times), items 1.e and 6 are revised to include the feedwater isolation function. Also, item 8 is deleted.

The proposed amendment has been reviewed by the St. Lucie Plant Facility Review Group and the Florida Power & Light Company Nuclear Review Board. They have concluded that the proposal does not involve a significant hazards consideration and, therefore, does not require prenoticing pursuant to 10 CFR 2.105. A written safety evaluation is attached.

Very truly yours,


E. J. Adomat

for Robert E. Uhrig
Vice President

REU/MAS/cpc

Attachment

cc: Mr. Norman C. Moseley
Robert Lowenstein, Esquire



11185



m 4

1 2 3

11/11/11

11/11/11

11/11/11

TABLE 3.3-5

ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES RESPONSE TIMESINITIATING SIGNAL AND FUNCTIONRESPONSE TIME IN SECONDS

1. <u>Manual</u>	
a. SIAS	
Safety Injection (ECCS)	Not Applicable
Containment Fan Coolers	Not Applicable
Feedwater Isolation	Not Applicable
b. CSAS	
Containment Spray	Not Applicable
c. CIS	
Containment Isolation	Not Applicable
Shield Building Ventilation System	Not Applicable
d. RAS	
Containment Sump Recirculation	Not Applicable
e. MSIS	
Main Steam Isolation	Not Applicable
Feedwater Isolation	Not Applicable
2. <u>Pressurizer Pressure-Low</u>	
a. Safety Injection (ECCS)	$\leq 30.0^*/19.5^{**}$
b. Containment Fan Coolers	$\leq 30.0^*/17.0^{**}$
c. Feedwater Isolation	≤ 60.0
3. <u>Containment Pressure-High</u>	
a. Safety Injection (ECCS)	$\leq 30.0^*/19.5^{**}$
b. Containment Isolation	$\leq 30.5^*/20.5^{**}$
c. Shield Building Ventilation System	$\leq 30.0^*/14.0^{**}$
d. Containment Fan Coolers	$\leq 30.0^*/17.0^{**}$
e. Feedwater Isolation	≤ 60.0

TABLE 3.3-5 (Continued)

ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES RESPONSE TIMES

<u>INITIATING SIGNAL AND FUNCTION</u>	<u>RESPONSE TIME IN SECONDS</u>
4. <u>Containment Pressure--High-High</u>	
a. Containment Spray	$\leq 30.0^*/18.5^{**}$
5. <u>Containment Radiation-High</u>	
a. Containment Isolation	$\leq 30.5^*/20.5^{**}$
b. Shield Building Ventilation System	$\leq 30.0^*/14.0^{**}$
6. <u>Steam Generator Pressure-Low</u>	
a. Main Steam Isolation	≤ 6.9
b. Feedwater Isolation	≤ 60.0
7. <u>Refueling Water Storage Tank-Low</u>	
a. Containment Sump Recirculation	≤ 91.5

TABLE NOTATION

* Diesel generator starting and sequence loading delays included.

** Diesel generator starting and sequence loading delays not included.
Offsite power available.

SAFETY EVALUATION

Introduction

This safety evaluation supports a proposed change to the St. Lucie Unit 1 Technical Specification Table 3.3-5 (Engineered Safety Features Response Times) such that items 1.e and 6 may be revised to include the feedwater isolation function, and item 8 may be deleted.

Discussion

As stated in Revision 57 (2/27/76) to the St. Lucie Unit 1 FSAR, normal feedwater flow to the steam generators is terminated in the event of a steam line break accident by having the Main Steam Isolation System (MSIS) logic provide for feedwater isolation on low steam generator pressure. It is therefore appropriate to add the feedwater isolation function to items 1.e and 6 of Table 3.3-5.

Since feedwater flow reduction to 5% has been replaced by feedwater isolation as a required safety feature, feedwater flow reduction is no longer necessary for any accident analysis and should not be included in the Technical Specifications. Also, feedwater flow reduction is generated by a non-safety related system (Feedwater Regulating System). Because of the above, item 8 of Table 3.3-5 should be deleted.

Conclusions

Based on these considerations, (1) the proposed change does not increase the probability or consequences of accidents or malfunctions of equipment important to safety and does not reduce the margin of safety as defined in the basis for any technical specification, therefore, the change does not involve a significant hazards consideration, (2) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (3) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

STATE OF FLORIDA)
)
COUNTY OF DADE) ss.

E. A. Adomat, being first duly sworn, deposes and says:

That he is Executive Vice President of Florida Power & Light Company, the Licensee herein;

That he has executed the foregoing document; that the statements made in this said document are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information, and belief, and that he is authorized to execute the document on behalf of said Licensee.



E. A. Adomat

Subscribed and sworn to before me this

29th day of October, 1976



NOTARY PUBLIC, in and for the County of Dade,
State of Florida

NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF FLORIDA AT LARGE
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES NOV. 30 1979
BONDED THRU GENERAL INS. UNDERWRITERS

My commission expires: _____

