



DPG 18-033

February 20, 2018

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attn: Document Control Desk
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Docket No. 50-312
Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station
License No. DPR-54

Docket No. 72-11
Rancho Seco Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation
License No. SNM-2510

ANNUAL RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATING REPORT FOR 2017

Attention: Kriss Kennedy

In accordance with Rancho Seco Quality Manual, Appendix A, Section 1.5.2.3, we are submitting the Rancho Seco 2017 Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report for the period of January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017.

If you or members of your staff have questions requiring additional information or clarification, please contact me at (916) 732-4893 or by email at dan.tallman@smud.org.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Dan A. Tallman', is written over a horizontal line.

Dan A. Tallman
Manager, Rancho Seco Assets

Enclosure (Rancho Seco Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report for 2017)

Cc: NRC, Region IV w/ Enclosure
William C. Allen, NRC (Part 72) w/ Enclosure
Jack Parrott, NRC (Part 50) w/ Enclosure
Zahira Cruz, NRC (Part 50) w/ Enclosure
RIC 1F.099 w/ Enclosure
RIC 1L.320 (Report only)

IE47
NM5526
NM55

ANNUAL RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATING REPORT



JANUARY - DECEMBER 2017

**Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station
Herald, California**

10 CFR Part 50 License Number DPR-54

10 CFR Part 72 License Number SNM-2510

2017 ANNUAL RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATING REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|----|
| LIST OF FIGURES | 3 |
| LIST OF TABLES | 4 |
| I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | 5 |
| II. LAND USE CENSUS | 5 |
| III. RADIOLOGICAL IMPACT EVALUATION..... | 6 |
| IV. PROGRAM ANALYSIS RESULTS SUMMARY..... | 7 |
| IV-A. ATMOSPHERIC MONITORING | 7 |
| IV-B. WATER MONITORING..... | 8 |
| IV-C. DIRECT RADIATION MONITORING | 8 |
| V. REFERENCES..... | 10 |
| VI. APPENDICES | 11 |
| APPENDIX A: LAND USE CENSUS RESULTS..... | 12 |
| APPENDIX B: SAMPLE SITE DESCRIPTIONS AND MAPS..... | 13 |
| APPENDIX C: QUALITY CONTROL SAMPLE ANALYSIS RESULTS | 18 |
| APPENDIX D: SAMPLE COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS METHODS | 20 |
| APPENDIX E: ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM DESIGN | 21 |
| APPENDIX F: 2017 SAMPLE ANALYSIS RAW DATA TABLES | 26 |
| APPENDIX G: 2017 MISSED SAMPLE REPORT | 27 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE | TITLE | PAGE |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| FIGURE B-1 | RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLING LOCATIONS ON AND NEAR THE SITE ----- | 15 |
| FIGURE B-2 | RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL LOCATIONS DISTANT FROM THE SITE----- | 16 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE | TITLE | PAGE |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1 | ENVIRONMENTAL RADIOLOGICAL MONITORING PROGRAM ANNUAL SUMMARY | 9 |
| B-1 | RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING SITES AND MAP LOCATIONS | 17 |
| F-1 | 2017 LUXEL BADGE SUMMARY (DIRECT RADIATION) | 26 |

2017 ANNUAL RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATING REPORT

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report contains results from the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP) for the Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station (RSNGS) compiled for the period January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017. This program is conducted by Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD) staff in accordance with the REMP Manual. This report is compiled and submitted in accordance with the Rancho Seco Quality Manual, Appendix A, Section 1.5.2.3 [RS01].

The results of the 2017 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program showed that operations at the Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station had no significant radiological impact on the environment.

Phase I radiological dismantlement of the nuclear facility was completed in December 2008 and the release of the Rancho Seco property, except for the area associated with the Interim Onsite Storage Building (IOSB), from NRC license DPR-54 was approved on September 25, 2009. Phase II decommissioning activities, including the performance of Final Site Status Surveys, were performed in 2016 and early 2017. SMUD anticipates termination of the Part 50 license in the first quarter of 2018.

The second of the two licensed areas at the site consists of the approximately 14-acre Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) licensed under 10 CFR Part 72 for the storage of used nuclear fuel.

In accordance with the current site status, the REMP Manual reflects that the only normal remaining pathway to impact a member of the public at the Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station is the direct radiation pathway from the ISFSI and IOSB. No repackaging of the stored low-level waste with the potential to release radioactive airborne effluents was performed during the reporting period. Monitoring of the IOSB ventilation exhaust was conducted during the Phase II decommissioning and Final Site Status Survey activities. Monitoring results indicate that no radioactive gaseous effluents were released.

During the reporting period, the environs adjacent to RSNGS were monitored using Luxel monitoring badges. Doses resulting from ambient exposure to terrestrial and atmospheric direct radiation sources were measured through the placement and retrieval of Luxel monitoring badges. Direct radiation measurements attributable to Station operations, based on control and indicator locations and review of historical data, ranged from indistinguishable above background levels to slightly elevated levels (approximately 2x BKGD).

II. LAND USE CENSUS

With the completion of Phase I of Radiological Decommissioning in 2008, the requirement to perform a Land Use Census was removed as a required program from the Rancho Seco Quality Manual (RSQM).

III. RADIOLOGICAL IMPACT EVALUATION

PREDICTED POTENTIAL RADIOLOGICAL IMPACT

Gaseous Effluent Exposure Pathways

No gaseous effluent releases were conducted in 2017; therefore no dose calculations were performed.

Liquid Effluent Exposure Pathways

The onsite liquid effluent pathway and any potential source of liquid effluents were removed during the decommissioning process; therefore there were no liquid effluent releases conducted nor dose calculations performed for 2017.

FUEL CYCLE DOSE EVALUATION

REMP Manual section 8.1.4 requires each Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report (AREOR) to include information related to REMP Manual section 5.0; Fuel Cycle Dose. The Fuel Cycle Dose Specification limits the dose or dose commitment to any real member of the public to 25 mrem to the total body or any organ, except the thyroid which is limited to 75 mrem. This specification implements requirements promulgated by the United States Environmental Protection Agency [CFRa].

Consistent with REMP Manual section 5.0, no fuel cycle dose evaluation was required to be performed during 2017 since no REMP measurement exceeded the established reporting levels. Additionally, with no liquid or gaseous effluent releases, effluent dose predictions did not exceed twice the dose guidelines of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix I [CFRb]. Therefore, Appendix I guidelines for radioactive effluents were not exceeded and determination of an actual dose commitment delivered to a real member of the public was not required.

Analysis of the Luxel measurements taken at the perimeter of the facility (fence boundaries beyond which public access is not normally controlled) indicate that in no case could a real member of the public have received more than 25 mrem during 2017, indicating compliance with 10 CFR 72.104.

OBSERVED POTENTIAL RADIOLOGICAL IMPACT

Gaseous Effluent Exposure Pathways

Routine gaseous effluent pathways have been deleted from the ODCM and REMP Manual to reflect site conditions. No gaseous effluents were released in 2017; therefore, no dose calculations were performed.

Liquid Effluent Exposure Pathways

All liquid effluent pathways have been decommissioned at Rancho Seco. The REMP Manual was revised to delete all liquid exposure pathway sample locations in reflection of current site conditions, therefore, no dose calculations were completed for 2017.

Direct Radiation Exposure Pathway

Based on Luxel control and indicator locations, and a review of historical data, the RSNGS did not contribute a significant component to the recorded direct gamma radiation field. The current year's data shows that there is little measurable direct radiation effect on the environment. Since RSNGS is in a static materials storage condition and there are minimal direct radiation measurements of the surrounding environment, the conclusion remains that the Station has no significant direct radiation effect on the environment. This is the same conclusion that has been made since the fuel was placed into the ISFSI in 2002.

Luxel badge locations around the ISFSI security fence indicated higher readings, attributed to the fuel stored in the ISFSI, and are within design calculations. This monitoring is outside of the REMP and therefore the results of this monitoring are not included in this report.

IV. PROGRAM ANALYSIS RESULTS SUMMARY

This section compiles Program data with corresponding evaluations. Each of the following subsections presents information about each of the principal environmental exposure pathways monitored by the Program:

⇒ Direct Radiation (Section IV-C)

Table 1 is a comprehensive data summary presented in a format considered acceptable by the US Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Information contained in Table 1 was derived from data presented in Appendix F.

IV-A. ATMOSPHERIC MONITORING

DATA EVALUATION

The REMP Manual was revised in January 2010 to delete all sample locations except for the Luxel direct radiation monitoring badges. No data was collected.

IV-B. WATER MONITORING

DATA EVALUATION

The REMP Manual was revised in January 2010 to delete all sample locations except for the Luxel direct radiation monitoring badges. No data was collected.

IV-C. DIRECT RADIATION MONITORING

DATA EVALUATION

The revision to the REMP Manual conducted in 2010 eliminated some locations where dose monitoring was performed to reflect the current status of the licensed facility as discussed above. During 2010, and again in 2011, the locations eliminated from the REMP continued to be monitored. Beginning in 2012, only the locations requiring monitoring by the REMP are being monitored. Comparisons of historical data on a year-to-year basis have been provided in previous reports. Because the environmental impact is so low, such comparisons will no longer be reported.

In addition to the Control Locations utilized for comparison purposes, Quality Control Luxels are included throughout the process to take into account variables like dose received during shipment. Those Quality Control dosimeters were historically stored within the licensed facility inside of a lead-shielded location for the time the REMP dosimeters were deployed in the field. During shipments, and during onsite storage before and after being deployed to monitor environmental doses, the Quality Control dosimeters are kept with the entire batch of dosimeters. In 2012, the building in which the Quality Control dosimeters were kept was abandoned, and the QC dosimeters were stored in a heavy steel safe inside the current office structure which is a minimum of 350 meters further away from the licensed areas than the closest REMP monitoring location. This resulted in the Quality Control dosimeters receiving a slightly higher dose compared to the lead-shielded laboratory storage location. The measured dose from the Quality Control dosimeters is subtracted from the measured doses from both the monitoring location dosimeters and the control location dosimeters to eliminate transportation and storage dose from the evaluation. With the dosimeters stored in the safe, the net dose of the REMP monitoring dosimeters was not distinguishable from the QC dosimeter doses, in almost all instances. That resulted in reported dose impacts below the minimal reporting value of 1 mrem provided by the dosimeter vendor. Upon review of that configuration, in 2014, a shielded storage location was re-instituted for the Quality Control dosimeters. This report continues to reflect this change, resulting in doses reported more comparable to historically reported doses. In any case, the impact on the environment remains negligible.

Luxel data for indicator and control locations during 2017 ranged from 3 to 12 mrem per quarter; with an average of 8.1 mrem. This confirms that there continues to be no significant observable direct radiation component due to Station operations (i.e., storage or utilization of licensed radioactive material within the restricted area).

The summary data for 2017 direct radiation monitoring is presented in Table 1. Comprehensive data tables are given in Appendix F, Table F-1.

TABLE 1

ENVIRONMENTAL RADIOLOGICAL MONITORING PROGRAM ANNUAL SUMMARY

Name of Facility Rancho Seco Nuclear Station Docket No. DPR-54/ SNM-2510Location of Facility Sacramento, California Reporting Period January - December 2017
(County, State)

| Medium or Pathway Sampled (Unit of Measurement) | Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed | Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) | All Indicator Locations Mean (f) ^a Range | Location with Highest Annual Mean | | Control locations Mean (f) ^a Range | Number of Non-routine Reported Measurements |
|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| | | | | Name Distance & Direction | Mean (f) ^a Range | | |
| Direct Radiation (mrem/qtr.) | Luxel 56 | 1 mrem/qtr | 8.6 (48/48) (5-12) | RTL0.5 NP 0.5 miles 281° | 10 (8/8) (9-12) | 5 (8/8) (3-7) | 0 |
| | | | | RTL0.2 PP 0.2 miles 303° | 10 (8/8) (8-11) | | |

^a Mean and Range based upon detectable measurements only. Fraction of detectable measurements at specified locations is indicated in parentheses. (f)

V. REFERENCES

| | |
|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| CFRa | Code of Federal Regulations, "Environmental Radiation Protection Standards for Nuclear Power Operations," Title 40, Part 190. |
| CFRb | Code of Federal Regulations, "Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities," Title 10, Part 50. |
| NRC79a | United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 1979, "An Acceptable Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program," Branch Technical Position, Revision 1. |
| NRC79b | United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 1979, "Quality Assurance for Radiological Monitoring Programs (Normal Operations) - Effluent Streams and the Environment," Regulatory Guide 4.15, Revision 1. |
| NUREG79 | United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 1979, "Radiological Effluent Technical Specifications for PWRs," NUREG-0472, Revision 2. |
| NUREG80a | United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 1980, "Methods for Demonstrating LWR Compliance with the EPA Uranium Fuel Cycle Standard (40 CFR Part 190)," NUREG-0543. |
| RS01 | RSLBD-010, Rancho Seco Quality Control Manual, Appendix A |

VI. APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: LAND USE CENSUS RESULTS

With the revision of the REMP Manual in January 2010, the requirement to complete a Land Use Census has been deleted.

APPENDIX B: SAMPLE SITE DESCRIPTIONS AND MAPS

This appendix provides descriptive information about the sampling locations and maps of all the locations for the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program sites.

Table B-1 provides information on sample type, identification codes, and map location references. The sample identification code is an alphanumeric string beginning with the prefix "R" (for Rancho Seco Nuclear Station) followed by two letters to identify the sample media:

TL Direct Gamma Radiation (Luxel)

The numeric designations, which follow the letter designations, indicate the straight-line distance (in miles) from the center of the Reactor Building to the monitoring site.

The next letter designates the sector in which the monitoring location is located. The letters A through R are used for sector designators. The letters I and O are not used to prevent confusion with the numbers one and zero in the ID codes.

| Sector Letter | Degrees Azimuth | Compass Point |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| A | 348.75 to 11.25 | N |
| B | 11.25 to 33.75 | NNE |
| C | 33.75 to 56.25 | NE |
| D | 56.25 to 78.75 | ENE |
| E | 78.75 to 101.25 | E |
| F | 101.25 to 123.75 | ESE |
| G | 123.75 to 146.25 | SE |
| H | 146.25 to 168.75 | SSE |
| J | 168.75 to 191.25 | S |
| K | 191.25 to 213.75 | SSW |
| L | 213.75 to 236.25 | SW |
| M | 236.25 to 258.75 | WSW |
| N | 258.75 to 281.25 | W |
| P | 281.25 to 303.75 | WNW |
| Q | 303.75 to 326.25 | NW |
| R | 326.25 to 348.75 | NNW |

The final letter designation indicates if the location is part of the operational REMP program ("O") or post-operational REMP program ("P").

Table B-1 Lists each location referencing the sample type and the location ID code to the map site number on one of the four Radiological Environmental Monitoring Site Maps included in this Appendix.

Figure B-1 **Site Location Map:** Shows the locations of the sample locations near the Site.

Figure B-2 **Distant Locations Map:** Control locations away from the site are shown on this map.

Map Key

- 94 Location that requires monitoring per REMP




Figure B-1 Radiological Environmental Sampling Locations on and near the Site



Figure B-2 Radiological Environmental Control Locations

Table B-1
Radiological Environmental Monitoring Sites and Map Locations

| Sample Type | ID Code | Class | Map Location No. | Collection Frequency | Description. of Location | Miles | Sector |
|-------------|----------|-------|------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------|--------|
| LUXEL | RTL1.7FO | CON. | 43 | Quarterly | Well pump fence @ reservoir | 1.7 | G |
| LUXEL | RTL1.8FO | CON. | 19 | Quarterly | Rancho Seco Lake Maintenance Building | 1.8 | F |
| LUXEL | RTL0.3PO | IND. | 68 | Quarterly | West Perimeter Fence, West of IOSB | 0.3 | P |
| LUXEL | RTL0.3NP | IND. | 88 | Quarterly | South ISFSI 100m Fence | 0.3 | N |
| LUXEL | RTL0.4NP | IND. | 89 | Quarterly | Southwest Corner ISFSI 100 m Fence | 0.4 | N |
| LUXEL | RTL0.5NP | IND. | 90 | Quarterly | Northwest ISFSI 100 m Fence | 0.5 | P |
| LUXEL | RTL0.3QP | IND. | 91 | Quarterly | North Perimeter Fence | 0.3 | Q |
| LUXEL | RTL0.4PP | IND. | 94 | Quarterly | North ISFSI 100 m Fence | 0.4 | P |
| LUXEL | RTL0.2PP | IND. | 95 | Quarterly | Well East of IOSB | 0.2 | P |
| LUXEL | RTL0.2NP | IND. | 96 | Quarterly | IOSB Electrical Transformer, SE corner | 0.2 | P |
| LUXEL | RTL0.3NP | IND. | 97 | Quarterly | IOSB west roof access ladder | 0.3 | P |
| LUXEL | RTL0.2NP | IND. | 98 | Quarterly | Switchyard Fence north side | 0.2 | N |
| LUXEL | RTL0.3NP | IND. | 99 | Quarterly | ISFSI 100 meter fence, vehicle access gate | 0.3 | N |
| LUXEL | RTL0.3NP | IND. | 100 | Quarterly | West Perimeter Fence, NW of IOSB | 0.3 | N |

Note: Prior to the 2010 Report, the "Map Location No." used here was different than the "Location Number" used in Table F-1. To prevent confusion, the same numbering system is now used throughout the report.

APPENDIX C: QUALITY CONTROL SAMPLE ANALYSIS RESULTS

QUALITY ASSURANCE AND CONTROL

Implementation of the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP) consists of a number of discrete steps including:

- ⇒ Sample collection,
- ⇒ Packaging,
- ⇒ Shipment and receipt,
- ⇒ Measurements of radioactivity,
- ⇒ Data evaluation, and
- ⇒ Reporting.

These program elements are performed according to approved, written procedures to assure the validity of REMP results.

Because REMP measurement validity is important for evaluating protection of the health and safety of the public, RSNRS has established an Environmental Quality Assurance Program (EQAP) for radiological environmental measurements. The Environmental QA Program implements the guidance provided in Regulatory Guide 4.15, [NRC79b].

INTERLABORATORY COMPARISON PROGRAM

With the revision of the REMP Manual to delete all sample locations except for Direct Radiation monitoring locations, no discussion of Interlaboratory Comparison or analysis of laboratory Quality Assurance program is necessary.

RANCHO SECO AUDIT AND SURVEILLANCE RESULTS

The Rancho Seco Quality Program requires periodic audits of REMP activities. Contract laboratory performance is evaluated by an independent Quality Assurance audit company and an "Approved Vendor List" is created from these audits. Audits are either conducted by independent Quality Assurance audit company or by reviewing audits conducted by other facilities (NUPIC audit review). Landauer is currently on the approved suppliers list.

DIRECT RADIATION (Luxel) COMPARISON PROGRAM

Landauer maintains NVLAP certification with NIST. A review of Landauer's NVLAP certification results indicates that Landauer has satisfactorily completed all of the required tests for the types of environmental radiation monitored at RSNGS and is certified through December 31, 2018. NVLAP certification is considered to satisfy the interlaboratory comparison requirements for the direct radiation measurement devices.

This comparison program satisfies the requirement of the REMP Manual section 6.0.

APPENDIX D: SAMPLE COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS METHODS

For each of the sample media collected, the method of collection is documented in Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station procedures. A brief description of these collection and analysis methods is included in this Appendix.

Sample Media

Collection/Analysis Method

DIRECT RADIATION

Monitoring badges, (Luxels), are located within a two (2) mile radius of the site. The badges within a one (1) mile radius are considered indicator badges. Two (2) badges are placed at each monitoring location to assure adequate data recovery and to improve measurement statistics. The badge field exposure cycle is approximately ninety (90) days. At the end of the field exposure cycle, the badges are exchanged and returned to the contract laboratory for processing.

APPENDIX E: ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM DESIGN

PROGRAM BASIS

The Sacramento Municipal Utility District conducts a continuous Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP) at the Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station to assess the impact of Station operation on the surrounding environment. The current Post-Operational REMP has been revised to reflect the requirements of the ISFSI Technical Specifications for direct radiation monitoring and direct radiation monitoring of the IOS building for the 10 CFR Part 50 License.

During 2017, the program was directed and executed by the Manager, Rancho Seco Assets, who has primary accountability.

The Program is designed consistent with Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 50, Appendix I - Section IV, B.2, B.3 and C, and Appendix A, "General Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants," Criterion 64. The program also complies with Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 20, "Standards for Protection Against Radiation," Section 1302. These federal requirements are cited in the Rancho Seco Quality Manual, Appendix A, and the REMP Manual. REMP requirements are implemented through the review, approval and routine use of several documents, namely the REMP Manual, Offsite Dose Calculation Manual, Surveillance Procedures and Health Physics Implementing Procedures.

The programmatic elements of the REMP are based on regulatory requirements and associated guidelines. The objectives of the Program are to:

1. Provide the technological basis and the instruction for monitoring the environs for radioactivity sources. The radioactive sources, which contribute to detectable radioactivity in the local environs, are comprised of:
 - ⇒ Naturally occurring background,
 - ⇒ Direct radiation from materials storage,
 - ⇒ World-wide weapons testing, and
 - ⇒ Major global nuclear accidents
2. Provide the means to verify the effectiveness of the Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station Radiological Effluents Control Program.
3. Provide quantitative measurements in the direct radiation exposure pathway.
4. Provide indications of the largest potential radiation exposure for individuals as a result of radionuclides in the principal exposure pathways.

The Program is developed and conducted using recognized standards and practices NRC79a, NRC79b, NUREG79, and NUREG80a.

REMP CHANGES

The REMP Manual and sampling program was revised during 2010 to delete all sample locations except for the direct radiation pathway. The requirement for a Land Use Census and conducting an ICP for the radioactivity analysis laboratory was also deleted.

No revisions to the REMP Manual have occurred since that revision.

EXPOSURE PATHWAYS

The fundamental parameters, which have been defined prior to monitoring the environs, are:

1. Identification of the effluent release pathways
2. Identification of the human exposure pathways

Each of these parameters is discussed below.

Effluent Release Pathways

The principal pathways which may result in human exposure to radiation and radioactive material originating from Station operation are direct radiation from onsite sources. Gaseous and liquid effluents are not currently being discharged or monitored.

Direct Radiation

In the direct radiation pathway, potential radiation exposure may occur from radioactive material storage areas, which are contained within the facility perimeters.

MONITORING LOCATION SELECTION

The required monitoring sites are listed in the REMP Manual, Table 3. This program is supplemented with additional samples to compensate for changes in the radiological environment surrounding Rancho Seco. Indicator sites are placed in areas, which would be most sensitive to the effects of Station operations. If radioactive material is detected above background at any of these indicator sites, observed potential exposure and dose to humans can be estimated to verify the effectiveness of the REMP in monitoring potential exposures or doses.

Control locations provide data that should not be influenced by the operations of Rancho Seco. These locations are selected based upon distance from the Station. Samples obtained from control locations should, upon analysis, reveal information about the presence and distribution of naturally occurring and man-made radioactive materials. Data from these locations are used to aid in the discrimination between the effects of Rancho Seco direct radiation sources and other natural phenomena or accidental releases, which may result in human exposure.

The direct radiation pathway is monitored through a network of monitoring badges at sites distributed in sectors centered on the Station. The badges are located primarily at the site around the ISFSI and IOSB. This design provides the capability to easily detect Station-induced direct radiation contributions to the observed terrestrial and cosmic direct radiation background.

Some badges have been sited in locations to record direct radiation to provide 10 CFR Part 72 license required data for the Interim Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI), and provide additional monitoring data for the IOSB.

Appendix B contains a detailed description and illustration of the REMP sample and monitoring locations.

SAMPLE MEDIA

Samples are collected from predetermined monitoring sites at a specified frequency. The sample media chosen is a function of the type of monitoring desired and coincides with one of the following exposure pathways:

- o Direct radiation

Direct radiation monitoring is achieved by placing monitoring badges at aboveground sites. The monitoring badges respond to, and record the amount of, gamma radiation exposure. The source of this gamma radiation exposure is varied and includes potential Station effluents, naturally occurring terrestrial, and cosmogenic radionuclides. The monitoring badges are also influenced by seasonal and global (fallout) radiation sources.

There are 14 sites that require monitoring per the REMP, which are within a 2-mile radius of the RSNGS. The monitoring badges are placed at the Station Industrial Area Boundary, at the ISFSI and IOSB, and at control locations located beyond one mile of the Station.

SAMPLE ANALYSIS & DATA HANDLING

Data comparisons are made between individual control and indicator sample sites to isolate potential Station influences on the measurement results. Quality Control dosimeters stored in a shielded storage location during the measurement period, but kept with the dosimeters during shipping and storage measure non-environmental doses and this amount is subtracted from the total measurements at the monitoring and control locations.

The summarized results of the 2017 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program are presented in Table 1.

Individual (raw data) results are presented in Appendix F, Table F-1.

REGULATORY REPORTING LEVELS

Sample analysis data is reviewed and evaluated by the Staff as the results are received. All sample analysis results are reviewed for correct sensitivity and anomalies.

The activity concentration values listed in Table E-1 are the environmental Fuel Cycle Dose quantities that, if exceeded, require a Special Report to be submitted to the USNRC. In accordance with the REMP Manual (Section 5, Fuel Cycle Dose), the Special Report must include an evaluation of any release conditions, environmental factors or other aspects, which caused the reporting limits to be exceeded.

In addition to the Fuel Cycle Dose reporting requirements, a Special Report is required to be submitted to the USNRC when more than one of the radionuclides in Table E-1 are detected in the sampling medium and the summed ratio of detected activity concentration to the respective Reporting Level concentration is greater than, or equal to, unity (1). When radionuclides other than those listed in Table E-1 are detected which are a result of Station effluents, a Special Report is required to be submitted if the potential annual dose commitment exceeds the 10 CFR 50, Appendix I guidelines.

No reports of the types described above were required to be submitted during 2017.

SENSITIVITY OF THE REMP MEASUREMENT PROCESS

All Program measurements must be performed at a sensitivity, which meets USNRC requirements. This sensitivity is determined "before the fact" (*a priori*) for each radionuclide of interest and sample analysis type. Typical controllable sensitivity parameters include:

- ⇒ Sample volume or mass
- ⇒ Sampling efficiency
- ⇒ Time from sample collection to measurement
- ⇒ Instrument detection efficiency for the nuclides (energies) of interest
- ⇒ Background radiation levels
- ⇒ Chemical recovery factors

By adjusting and controlling each of these parameters to maximize measurement process efficiency, a maximum sensitivity level (activity concentration) can be specified for each nuclide of interest and analysis type while maintaining an economic measurement process. The maximum sensitivities in the REMP are specified by the USNRC in the REMP Manual approved for Rancho Seco. These sensitivities are referred to as "LLD's", an acronym for "Lower Limit of Detection". LLD's are specified on an "*a priori*" basis and apply to routine measurement process capabilities when no other interfering radioactivity is present. The word "routine" is emphasized since occasional circumstances, such as limited sample mass, elevated levels of background radiation and interfering nuclides can contribute to sensitivity degradation.

Such occurrences are normally noted and reported during the conduct of REMP activities.

Meeting the LLD requirements is a quality control function shared by both REMP and the analytical laboratory personnel. Once the laboratory establishes values for the controllable parameters for each analysis type, sample chain of custody controls ensure that these parameters are upheld. If all parameters are upheld, then compliance with the LLD requirements has been demonstrated. No specific LLD values for Program measurements are included in this report as no applicable monitoring was performed.

Since most of the samples analyzed result in the detection decision "activity not identified", a Minimum Detectable Activity (MDA) concentration value is calculated and reported. This value can be thought of as the LLD-at-the-time-of-counting since it is calculated using an equation which is similar to the one used to establish LLD parameters. The biggest difference is that actual (not "a priori") parameters are used, including interference from natural radioactive material in the sample. It is important to note that MDA's are reported only for those measurements where the "activity not identified" decision has already been made.

MDA values are used primarily to identify changes in the measurement process and to convey more information about the measurement itself. Without the use of the MDA concept, most Program measurements would be reported simply as "<LLD". With MDA used, Program measurements are reported as "< xxx " where "xxx" is the calculated MDA concentration.

With the revision of the REMP Manual to delete all exposure pathways except for direct radiation, these sensitivities are no longer applicable to the current monitoring program.

APPENDIX F: 2017 SAMPLE ANALYSIS RAW DATA TABLES

TABLE F-1

2017 Luxel Badge Summary (Direct Radiation)
Quarterly
(mrem)

| Location Number | ID | Description | Type | 2017-1 | 2017-2 | 2017-3 | 2017-4 |
|-----------------|----------|------------------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 19 | RTL1.8FO | RS Lake Maintenance Building | C | 5 | 6 | 4 | 5 |
| 43 | RTL1.7FO | RS Lake Well Enclosure | C | 5 | 7 | 6 | 6 |
| 68 | RTL0.3PO | Site fence west by IOSB | I | 6 | 8 | 9 | 9 |
| 88 | RTL0.3NP | ISFSI south fence | I | 6 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 89 | RTL0.4NP | ISFSI south fence | I | 7 | 7 | 7 | 9 |
| 90 | RTL0.5NP | ISFSI west fence | I | 9 | 10 | 11 | 11 |
| 91 | RTL0.3QP | Site fence NW corner | I | 6 | 9 | 9 | 8 |
| 94 | RTL0.4PP | ISFSI fence north | I | 8 | 10 | 11 | 11 |
| 95 | RTL0.2PP | IOSB Well | I | 9 | 10 | 11 | 11 |
| 96 | RTL0.2NP | IOSB Electrical Transformer | I | 8 | 9 | 9 | 11 |
| 97 | RTL0.3NP | IOSB Roof Access Ladder | I | 9 | 10 | 9 | 8 |
| 98 | RTL0.2NP | Switchyard Fence | I | 7 | 8 | 9 | 9 |
| 99 | RTL0.3NP | 100 Meter Fence | I | 8 | 9 | 11 | 11 |
| 100 | RTL0.3NP | Access Road NW of IOSB | I | 6 | 9 | 8 | 9 |

Minimal Reporting Dose is 1 mrem.

I = Indicator Location / C = Control Location

M = Missing Data, see Appendix G

APPENDIX G: 2017 MISSED SAMPLE REPORT

In accordance with the requirements REMP Manual section 3.1, the following samples are being reported as not being collected for the reasons indicated. Corrective action as required by the REMP Manual is as indicated.

Direct Monitoring Pathway (Luxel Badge)

None