

Department of Energy

Washington, DC 20585

February 26, 2018

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Deputy Director Mail Stop T8-F5 Washington, DC 20555-0001

Subject: Alternative Proposal to Meet the Requirements of 10 CFR 40 Appendix A Criterion 11C to Obtain Subsurface Rights for the Panna Maria, Ray Point, and Conquista, Texas, UMTRCA Title II Sites

To Whom It May Concern,

This letter responds to previous discussions between U.S Department of Energy (DOE) and the U.S Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) regarding the real property requirements for license termination set forth in 10 CFR 40 as they apply to the Panna Maria, Ray Point, and Conquista, Texas, Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act (UMTRCA) Title II sites. During the DOE pre-transition due diligence reviews for these sites, DOE noted that, because of current growth in oil and gas production in the Karnes and Live Oak Counties, alternative requirements may be needed for DOE to meet its responsibilities to manage and maintain long-term protection of public health, safety, and the environment.

The requirement at Title 10 Code of Federal Regulations Section 40 (10 CFR 40), Appendix A, Criterion 11C states specific licensees must demonstrate a "serious effort" to obtain subsurface rights for land that will be transferred to DOE under Title II of UMTRCA. Because of the high value of subsurface rights for the Texas sites, the possibility exists that the licensees will be unable to acquire these rights. This leaves open the possibility that DOE could become responsible for a site where a third party has a valid right to enter the site, install oil and gas wells and associated production equipment, and conduct completion activities.

The Texas Title II sites are located within the Eagle Ford shale oil and gas play. Multiple producing wells exist near and, in some cases, beneath the sites, as shown on the enclosed maps. If an oil and gas lease owner declines a reasonable offer to purchase the subsurface rights, the specific licensee will have met the requirements of Criterion 11C and license termination can occur. DOE, as the surface owner, might then have to allow surface access and installation of wells within the boundary of the DOE property. Even if the lease operator obtains an NRC license to enter the site, locating oil and gas wells on DOE property could force DOE to manage access, respond to spills or other ecological disruptions, or monitor construction and operation of well pads and production pipelines. DOE submits that oil and gas wells on DOE property may not be protective of surface land use or disposal cell integrity, and could increase DOE costs to manage a site.

As an alternative, DOE has discussed with both NRC and the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) that the specific licensees obtain binding agreements with the lease owners to access their leases from an offsite location. The agreements, or "surface NMSSOI waivers," would prohibit oil and gas operators from entering the site footprint within, for

example, 4,000 feet of the surface (the Eagle Ford pay zones are typically about 10,000 beneath the surface). This would prohibit location of wellheads within the site boundaries. Recently, most oil and gas resources in the area are accessed with directional drilling to place horizontal well bores in the pay zone. Many leases cross the proposed license boundaries; consequently, a surface waiver should not pose a significant hardship on lease owners. Also, consistent with Criterion 11C, we suggest obtaining subsurface rights might not be necessary for these Texas sites to maintain protectiveness.

If this alternative is acceptable, the specific licensee would not be required to assess the value of the leases or take any further action to obtain ownership of the subsurface rights at the subject sites. However, because third-party rights would remain beneath the site, DOE expects the public record would be annotated as required in Criterion 11C.

The Falls City UMTRCA Title I site was transferred to DOE with valid subsurface rights owned by third parties. DOE will consider establishing surface waivers with lease owners beneath the Falls City site, as well.

On January 29, 2018 NRC provided guidance in a letter to DOE, which stated:

"... while transfer of the surface and/or subsurface estates is permitted under certain circumstances at UMTRCA Title II sites, use of the surface or subsurface estates by an entity other than the DOE will generally require that a specific license be issued by the NRC, as required in 10 CFR 40.28 (d). In addition DOE would remain the owner of the land, and would need to meet its requirements under UMTRCA and NRC regulations for its general license."

Because of the upcoming transitions of the Panna Maria and Ray Point sites, DOE requests NRC guidance on this alternative to satisfy Criterion 11C of 10 CFR 40 Appendix A. Specifically, DOE requests clarification from NRC on the following questions with regard to the Texas Title II sites:

- 1. If subsurface rights were not transferred to DOE, would the owner of those rights be subject to NRC licensing to enter DOE property to access those rights?
- 2. Would NRC oversee the actions of an oil and gas operator working on the DOE site under an NRC license?
- 3. Would NRC concur in the application of a binding surface waiver in lieu of the licensee making a serious effort to acquire the subsurface rights?

Because Texas is an agreement state, DOE requests coordination with NRC and TCEQ to resolve DOE concerns related to obtaining subsurface rights for these sites.

Please contact me at (970) 248-6378 or at <u>Tashina.Jasso@lm.doe.gov</u> if you have any questions. Please address any correspondence to:

U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management 2597 Legacy Way Grand Junction, CO 81503

Sincerely,

Tashina Jasso, Site Manager Office of Legacy Management

Enclosure

cc w/enclosure:

N. Goates, ConocoPhillips

D. Grapski, ExxonMobil

K. Raabe, Rio Grande Resources

T. Gonzalez, TCEQ

C. Grossman, NRC

D. Orlando, NRC

S. Poy, NRC

M. Kautsky, DOE-LM (e)

M. Widdop, Navarro (e)

File: CON\PNM\RYP 0030.10 (records)

Mgrs\Jasso\2-26-18 Alt Proposal re 10 CFR 40 App A (NRC)



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

January 29, 2018

Mr. Carmelo Melendez, Director U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management 1000 Independence Avenue, SW Washington, DC 20585

SUBJECT:

CLARIFICATION OF ALLOWABLE LAND USE AT URANIUM MILL TAILINGS

RADIATION CONTROL ACT (UMTRCA) TITLE I AND TITLE II DISPOSAL

SITES

Dear Mr. Melendez:

I am writing in response to the U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE's) request for clarification of the allowable land uses at Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act of 1978 (UMTRCA) Title I and Title II Disposal Sites, as discussed in the UMTRCA and Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) Section 40.27, General license for custody and long-term care of residual radioactive material disposal sites, and Section 40.28, General license for custody and long-term care of uranium or thorium byproduct materials disposal sites. This request was made during discussions between U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC, the Commission) and DOE staff regarding potential future alternative uses at DOE's UMTRCA Title I and Title II sites and during a recent visit by NRC staff to DOE's Title I sites in Colorado.

UMTRCA Title I, Section 104(h) states, in part, that:

No provision of any agreement under section 103 shall prohibit the Secretary of the Interior, with the concurrence of the Secretary of Energy and the Commission, from disposing of any subsurface mineral rights by sale or lease (in accordance with laws of the United States applicable to the sale, lease, or other disposal of such rights) which are associated with land on which residual radioactive materials are disposed and which are transferred to the United States as required under this section if the Secretary of the Interior takes such action as the Commission deems necessary pursuant to a license issued by the Commission to assure that the residual radioactive materials will not be disturbed by reason of any activity carried on following such disposition.

UMTRCA Title II, Section 201(b)2(B) states, in part, that:

If the Commission determines by order that use of the surface or subsurface estates, or both, of the land transferred to the United States or to a State under subparagraph (A) would not endanger the public health, safety, welfare, or environment, the Commission, pursuant to such regulations as it may prescribe, shall permit the use of the surface or subsurface estates, or both, of such land in a manner consistent with the provisions of this section.

The NRC staff's understanding of the difference between what may be permitted for Title I and Title II sites derives from UMTRCA itself, and what other uses are permitted for each class of site.

These requirements are reflected in 10 CFR 40.27(d), which states, in part, that:

As specified in the Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act of 1978, as amended, the Secretary of the Interior, with the concurrence of the Secretary of Energy and the Commission, may sell or lease any subsurface mineral rights associated with land on which residual radioactive materials are disposed. In such cases, the Commission shall grant a license permitting use of the land if it finds that the use will not disturb the residual radioactive materials or that the residual radioactive materials will be restored to a safe and environmentally sound condition if they are disturbed by the use.

And 40.28(d), which states, in part, that:

Upon application, the Commission may issue a specific license, as specified in the Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act of 1978, as amended, permitting the use of surface and/or subsurface estates transferred to the United States or a State. Although an application may be received from any person, if permission is granted, the person who transferred the land to DOE or the State shall receive the right of first refusal with respect to this use of the land.

As outlined above for Title I sites, only the subsurface mineral rights may be sold or leased, whereas, for Title II sites, use of the surface or subsurface estates, or both, is allowed, consistent with NRC requirements, including the need to license the user, and the applicable provisions of UMTRCA. In either case, DOE would remain the owner of the site and the 11(e)2 byproduct material. As such, any transfer of the surface estate at an UMTRCA Title I site is not permitted, and therefore, could not be approved by the NRC. DOE may otherwise manage the site as it thinks appropriate, so long as it complies with UMTRCA and its obligations under the NRC general license. Specifically barred for Title I sites, however, is the use of the surface estates by another party (e.g., under the lease agreement described for the Durango site, discussed below).

Further, while transfer of the surface and/or subsurface estates is permitted under certain circumstances at UMTRCA Title II sites, use of the surface or subsurface estates by an entity other than the DOE will generally require that a specific license be issued by the NRC, as required in 10 CFR 40.28(d). In addition, DOE would remain the owner of the land, and would need to meet its requirements under UMTRCA and NRC regulations for its general license.

During evaluation of this request for clarification of allowable land use, the NRC staff identified that by letter dated May 20, 2011,¹ the staff accepted a revision to the Long Term Surveillance Plan (LTSP) for the Durango, Colorado, disposal site. The accepted Durango LTSP revisions would accommodate the following two potential alternative uses:

¹ Agencywide Documents Access and Management System Accession No. ML111290657.

- lease the disposal area to private industry or electric utilities to place solar photovoltaic panels on top of the disposal cell cover or on previously disturbed areas west of the cell to generate electricity; and,
- coordinate with other government agencies in management of site activities, such as coordination with state agencies to enhance site resources to the benefit of the local wildlife population.

The letter further references 10 CFR 40.28, which applies only to Title II sites, even though the Durango site is an UMTRCA Title I site, governed by 10 CFR 40.27. Upon review, we have determined that the NRC staff should not have accepted this change to the LTSP for the reasons discussed above. Based on a discussion with the staff on November 15, 2017, the DOE committed to submit a revised LTSP for the Durango, Colorado, Disposal Site, specifically updating Section 4.0, Beneficial Reuse Project, accordingly.

Based on a review of DOE Annual UMTRCA Site Inspection Reports, the NRC staff is also aware that DOE has previously allowed ranchers to graze their cattle on Title I and Title II sites. The staff has no concerns regarding this activity at this time, but notes that DOE is responsible for ensuring that any damage done to the disposal cell associated with the grazing is addressed in a timely manner and reported to the NRC consistent with the LTSP.

The NRC staff understands the importance of returning land to productive use where practicable, and looks forward to working with DOE in permitting such use where appropriate while ensuring the safe long-term management of these sites.

In accordance with Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) Part 2.390 of the NRC's "Agency Rules of Practice and Procedure," a copy of this letter will be available electronically for public inspection in the NRC Public Document Room or from the Publicly Available Records component of NRC's ADAMS. ADAMS is accessible from the NRC Website at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html.

- 4 -

If you have any questions concerning the content of this letter, please contact me at 301-415-7319 or by email at john.tappert@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

/RA/

John R. Tappert, Director
Division of Decommissioning, Uranium Recovery
and Waste Programs
Office of Nuclear Material Safety
and Safeguards

Docket No.: WM-00048

cc: distribution via listserv

SUBJECT: CLARIFICATION OF ALLOWABLE LAND USE AT URANIUM MILL TAILINGS

RADIATION CONTROL ACT (UMTRCA) TITLE I AND TITLE II DISPOSAL

SITES DATED JANUARY 29, 2018

DISTRIBUTION:

RidsRgn1MailCenter J. Whited, NMSS RidsRgn3MailCenter

N. Orlando, NMSS


RidsRgn4MailCenter

ADAMS Accession No.: ML17216A800

*via e-mail

OFFICE	DUWP/MDB/PM	DUWP/LA	DUWP/MDB/BC	OGC (NLO)	DUWP
NAME	JWhited	CHolston	SKoenick	AGendelman*	JTappert
DATE	08/08/2017	08/08/2017	12/05/2017	12/01/2017	01/29/2018

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY





July 21, 2017

1 inch = 1,505 feet

PREPARED BY:


RAILROAD COMMISSION of TEXAS

P.O. BOX 12967 AUSTIN,TX 78711-2967

1,250 2,500 3,750 5,000

NOTICE/DISCLAIMER: Mappling data sets are provided for informational purposes only. These data sets are continuously being updated and refined. Users are responsible for checking the accuracy, completeness, currency, and/or suitability of these data sets themselves. This is not a survey grade product and should not be used to define orestablish survey boundaries.

Source: RRC Public GIS Viewer



GIS Identify Results - Well Location Attributes

Number of identify results: 1


Result #1						
API	29732604					
GIS WELL NUMBER	1					
GIS SYMBOL DESCRIPTIO	N Dry Hole					
GIS LOCATION SOURCE	Commission's hardcopy ma					
GIS LAT (NAD27)	28.520568					
GIS LONG (NAD27)	-98.096077					
GIS LAT (NAD83)	28.520838					
GIS LONG (NAD83)	-98.096360					

OPERATOR/WELLBORE						
WELLBORE STATUS	DRY					
LAST PERMIT ISSUED	099987					
LAST PERMIT OPERATOR NUMBER	174000					
LAST PERMIT OPERATOR	CONVEST ENERGY CORPORATION					
LAST PERMIT LEASE NAME	GENTRY, HOYTE ET UX					
TOTAL DEPTH						
SURFACE LOCATION	Land					
ABSTRACT	Tang in Track of the second of					
SURVEY .						
BLOCK .						
SECTION	10-124 (C-07)					
DISTANCE 1						
DIRECTION 1						
DISTANCE 2						
DIRECTION 2	Committee of the commit					


No Well records were found.

	s Data C	SYSTE												
	nu Help	A Park to												
orm W	-1			an Ale	4 180	north at	ez i g	nijo.		No. of Street				Rel
	#: 099987 - 297-32604			CONVEST ENERG		DRATION	-		No. of Contract of	HOYTE ET UX	w	ellbe	re Prof	New D
				N COMMENT: 250		2500 FEI					[Ve	rtica	THE R.	
Status:	Approved							(11/20)				=	=	
	on Inform	ation	307 FEB.			FIE 100	- NIS	1000	LV Ter		iche -	000	THE	3,77
Well St	tus Code		Spud I	Pata	Torum	ng Comple	sted		1900	Surface Cas	Ing Date			TENNE
1	D - Dry	hole		01/03/1981			1/20/19	181				***		
eneral	/ Location	Information				AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON		Mala Ca	a lega		No. of Street, or other Designation of the least of the l		etti ine	
	formation:											٠		-
Filing P	urpose V	/ellbore Pro	files Lease	Name .	Wel	# SWR	Total	Depth	Horizon	tal Wellbore	Stacke	ed Li	teral 5	tatus #
New Dril	V	ertical	GENT	RY, HOYTE ET UX	1		6722							
urface	Location In	formations												
API#	an is near	Distance f	rom Nearest	Town .	Irection	from Ne	rest To	own	Nea	rest Town	Surface	e Lo	cation 7	ура
297-326	04	10.0 miles	19		E .	Link	337	THREE RIVERS			Land			
urvey/	Legal Local	ion Informa	tions											
Section		Block	Survey	Company of the last	2111	100	-	Abstract # Co				Cot	ounty	
			The state of the s	MES SURVEY A-4	27		1000	Abstract P				LIVE OAK		
Townsh	er iju		eague	Labor	Mar (Co.)	Porc	-	ST. 10.	Shar	17 Mile (1900)	Tract		11.10	ot
						Porc	ion .	19421 pt	Sna		tract		-	Dt .
-	The second second	ice location	from two ne	arest designate	d lines:									
Perpend				Distance		Direction		Distance Direct			ction -	-01		
	erpendicula		-	0.0 feet	-	SEE COMP	IENTS	-		0.0 feet			-	
	estrictions	1				1028								
Code	A.C.	1000	Description	and the constitution of				210		-		1100	100	pik.
30	·	-	WILDCAT A	SOVE 6700					G5 Y			-		
District	Field Name	Field #	Completion Depth	Lease Name	Well	Well	Acres	Distance to		Distance to nearest Lease		WR Pooled/Unit		/Uniti
02	WILDCAT	00004001	6722	GENTRY,	1	Type Oil or	409.0	0.0 fee	To Charles	Line	- 4	-	N	
	Primary Fleid			HOYTE ET UX		Gas Well							-	
	Perpendi	culars	Distance		Direction	on			Distance		Dire	ction	Sept Se	
Surface I		ease Lines	ase Lines		0.0 feet SEE COI			DMMENTS .		0.0 feet				
ommen	to		4 - 4 / 1 - DE	MORTHUM AT	-	-	-	1 2 4	40				*	
Remark	No. of		** ***	MOCKET COME		77	T	Date En	tered		100	Er	tered I	y.
710000000000000000000000000000000000000	-	T: 2500 FSL	2500 FEL of	survey			_	11/20/1980 12:00:00 AM SYSTEM						
NEAREST WELL COMMENT: N/A							11/20/1980 12:00:00 AM				SYSTEM			
HENDES								11/20/1980 12:00:00 AM SYSTEM						

Disclaimer | RBC Home | Contac



Discipliner | BRC Home | Contac



Dischiner | RRC Herne | Contact


GIS Identify Results - Well Location Attributes

Number of identify results: 1

Result #1					
API	29733766				
GIS WELL NUMBER	1				
GIS SYMBOL DESCRIPTION	N Permitted Location				
GIS LOCATION SOURCE	Commission's hardcopy ma				
GIS LAT (NAD27)	28.514567				
GIS LONG (NAD27)	-98.093755				
GIS LAT (NAD83)	28.514837				
GIS LONG (NAD83)	-98.094038				

OPERATOR/WELLBORE	
WELLBORE STATUS	LOCATION
LAST PERMIT ISSUED	331899
LAST PERMIT OPERATOR NUMBER	R 927680
LAST PERMIT OPERATOR	WILLRICH OIL & GAS CORPORATION
LAST PERMIT LEASE NAME	GENTRY, HOYTE
TOTAL DEPTH	
SURFACE LOCATION	Land
ABSTRACT	42 7
SURVEY	JAMES SOMES
BLOCK	
SECTION	
DISTANCE 1	5100
DIRECTION 1	1700
DISTANCE 2	
DIRECTION 2	

No Well records were found.



Disclaimer | RRC Home | Contact

1 of 1 7/21/17, 10:10 AM

