



U.S. NRC
UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
Protecting People and the Environment

Region I Office
Division of Nuclear Materials Safety
2100 Renaissance Boulevard, Suite 100
King of Prussia, PA 19406-2713
(610)337-5000

Telephone Conversation Record

Date: January 30, 2018

License No. 41-08615-18

Docket No.(no hyphens): 03035695

Mail Control/Report No. N/A

Licensee Name: Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA)

Participant(s) Name/Title: Lee Miller/Corporate RSO; Ben Hammargren/Corporate Licensing;
Gregory Scheur/Corporate Licensing; William Lee Cain/Radiation Safety Officer (RSO)

Work Telephone No. 423-751-3197; 423-751-8796; 423-751-3850; 256-386-2304

Business Cellphone No. None Provided

NRC Representative Name/Title: Steven R. Courtemanche/Health Physicist

Subject: Need for the Submission of a DFP

Discussion: (1/29/18 – 1/31/18) Multiple attempts to contact Mr. Miller were unsuccessful resulting in a busy signal. I attempted to contact Mr. Hammargren, the previous contact, and got his voice-mail. The voice-mail provided a contact for licensing by name of Gregory Scheur. I was able to talk with Mr. Scheur about the licensing issues and why I contacted him. Mr. Scheur stated that Mr. Miller had retired early last year and Mr. Hammargren had accepted a new position within the company outside the licensing group. Mr. Scheur recommended that I speak with William Cain, the present RSO of the license in question. (14:50) Mr. Cain informed that he was unaware of the requirement for a DFP. He would have to speak with people in Corporate Licensing for guidance. (15:30) Mr. Scheur called me to informing that Mr. Cain had not been told about the duties regarding Financial Assurance when Mr. Miller retired. A contractor would be hired to do the DFP. I informed that a complete DFP would not need to be done. The types and amounts of materials, changes in facilities, amount of waste on hand, and the costs of labor and the disposal of radioactive materials could be examined for differences from the last submission. These changes or declarations of no change should be documented in the DFP. Mr. Scheur stated that he would back in touch when he had a better idea when the DFP would be completed.

Action Required: Document for the purpose of tracking the licensee's response.

SUNSI REVIEW

Document Availability: ☒ Public or ☐ Non-Public

Document Sensitivity:

(select "1" value to the right)

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------------|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Non-Sensitive | <input type="checkbox"/> | MD 3.4 Non-Public B.1 (Non-Sensitive) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Non-Sensitive Copyright | <input type="checkbox"/> | MD 3.4 Non-Public A.3 (Sensitive Security Related) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Sensitive – Proprietary | <input type="checkbox"/> | MD 3.4 Non-Public A.4 (Sensitive Proprietary) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Sensitive – Privacy Act (includes Personally Identifiable Information (PII)) | <input type="checkbox"/> | MD 3.4 Non-Public A.5 (Sensitive-Privacy Act) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Sensitive – Internal, Periodic Review required (All Other Sensitive Internal Info.) | <input type="checkbox"/> | MD 3.4 Non-Public A.6 (Sensitive-Federal, State, etc) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Sensitive – Security-Related-Periodic Review Required | <input type="checkbox"/> | MD 3.4 Non-Public A.7 (Internal) |

SUNSI Review Completed by: Steven R. Courtemanche 02/01/2018