

# REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8303220177 DOC. DATE: 83/03/16 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #  
 FACIL: 50-387 Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, Unit 1, Pennsylvania 05000387  
 50-388 Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, Unit 2, Pennsylvania 05000388  
 AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION  
 CURTIS, N.W. Pennsylvania Power & Light Co.  
 RECIP. NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION  
 NOVAK, T. Assistant Director for Licensing

SUBJECT: Discusses final results of addl test of adequacy of design process. Audit to verify test results confirms design adequacy.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: B001S COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 2  
 TITLE: Licensing Submittal: PSAR/FSAR Amdts & Related Correspondence

NOTES: 1cy NMSS/FCAF/PM. 05000387  
 1cy NMSS/FCAF/PM. 05000388

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL		RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL
	NRR/DL/ADL	1 0		NRR LB2 BC	1 0
	NRR LB2 LA	1 0		PERCH, R. 01	1 1
INTERNAL:	ELD/HDS4	1 0		IE FILE	1 1
	IE/DEP EPDS 35	1 1		IE/DEP/EPLB 36	3 3
	NRR/DE/AEAB	1 0		NRR/DE/CEB 11	1 1
	NRR/DE/EOB 13	2 2		NRR/DE/GB 28	2 2
	NRR/DE/HGEB 30	1 1		NRR/DE/MEB 18	1 1
	NRR/DE/MTEB 17	1 1		NRR/DE/QAB 21	1 1
	NRR/DE/SAB 24	1 1		NRR/DE/SEB 25	1 1
	NRR/DHFS/HFEB40	1 1		NRR/DHFS/LQB 32	1 1
	NRR/DL/SSPB	1 0		NRR/DSI/AEB 26	1 1
	NRR/DSI/ASB	1 1		NRR/DSI/CPB 10	1 1
	NRR/DSI/CSB 09	1 1		NRR/DSI/ICSB 16	1 1
	NRR/DSI/METB 12	1 1		NRR/DSI/PSB 19	1 1
	NRR/DSI/RAB 22	1 1		NRR/DSI/RSB 23	1 1
	<u>REG FILE</u> 04	1 1		RGN1	3 3
	RM/DDAMI/MIB	1 0			
EXTERNAL:	ACRS 41	6 6		BNL (AMOTS ONLY)	1 1
	DMB/DSS (AMOTS)	1 1		FEMA-REP DIV 39	1 1
	LPDR 03	2 2		NRC PDR 02	1 1
	NSIC 05	1 1		NTIS	1 1
NOTES:		1 1			

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 54 ENCL 47



# Pennsylvania Power & Light Company

Two North Ninth Street • Allentown, PA 18101 • 215 / 770-5151

Norman W. Curtis  
Vice President-Engineering & Construction-Nuclear  
215/770-7501

MAR 16 1983

Mr. Thomas Novak  
Assistant Director for Licensing  
Division of Licensing  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

SUSQUEHANNA STEAM ELECTRIC STATION  
UNIT 2 DESIGN REVIEWS  
ER 100450 FILE 841-2  
PLA-1557

Docket Nos. 50-387  
50-388

Dear Mr. Novak:

Prior to Licensing of Susquehanna Unit 1, NRC asked PP&L to consider an additional test of the adequacy of the design process used on the Susquehanna Plant. The Independent Design Review Performed by Teledyne was the product of this request. The final result of this effort was very favorable and confirmed our confidence in the design process. Since we are nearing completion of Unit 2, we are anticipating similar concerns from NRC.

Certain aspects of Unit 2 support our confidence in the basic design process. The designs of both units are essentially identical. The design process applied to Unit 2 is the same as that applied on Unit 1 incorporating improvements made as a result of lessons learned on Unit 1. Since completion of Unit 2 follows Unit 1 so closely, we have been able to maintain continuity of engineering personnel and do not expect to have any substantive differences in the design process. This has been verified by audits common to both units. For the above reasons, we believe the conclusions reached on the adequacy of the Unit 1 design process apply to Unit 2.

In addition, several other recent audits and reviews of Unit 2 activities provide confirmation of Unit 2 design adequacy. The first of these is a Self-Initiated Evaluation of Unit 2 performed by PP&L utilizing the INPO Performance Objectives and Criteria for Project Evaluations (September, 1982). In the area of design control, this evaluation concluded that the program had no significant deficiencies and met the requirements of 10CFR50, Appendix B.

Unit 2 is nearly complete and is one of the NTOL plants. Since INPO is excluding NTOL plants from their proposed construction audit program, we expect no additional audits of this type at Susquehanna.

Boo!

8303220177 830316  
PDR ADOCK 05000387  
PDR

MAR 16 1983

Page 2

SSES PLA-1557  
ER 100450 File 841-2  
Mr. Thomas Novak

In January, 1983, a Technical Audit of the SSES Unit II Small Pipe Hanger Program was conducted by the PP&L Nuclear Plant Engineering Mechanical Group in cooperation with PP&L Nuclear Quality Assurance. The findings and observations were documented in an NQA audit report. The purpose of the audit was to evaluate the effectiveness of the Bechtel Field Engineering Program in designing small pipe supports. The audit team found no indications of substantive deficiencies in the program. In addition, they concluded that the field design activities are reasonably effective in assuring that pipe support design requirements are satisfied.

In addition, the NPE Mechanical Group conducted a site review of large pipe supports for SSES Unit II in January, 1983. The review was intended to identify significant changes made in the hanger program by Field Engineering and to determine if these changes and the lessons learned from the Independent Design Review are in place and being followed. The reviewers concluded that the programmatic improvements had been implemented in the field.

These audits and reviews are consistent with and reinforce the results of the previous technical reviews and audits performed on Susquehanna over the years. As a result we believe the Unit 2 design process is adequate, and the systems installed in Unit 2 meet all design requirements. Consequently, we plan no additional independent design reviews on Unit 2.

If you have any additional questions, please call.

Very truly yours,



N. W. Curtis

Vice President-Engineering & Construction-Nuclear

cc: R. L. Perch - USNRC