

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: 1/8/18 4:34 PM
 Received: January 02, 2018
 Status: Pending_Post
 Tracking No. 1k2-90pd-wu46
 Comments Due: January 02, 2018
 Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2017-0211

Standard Review Plan for Spent Fuel Dry Storage Systems and Facilities

Comment On: NRC-2017-0211-0001

Standard Review Plan for Spent Fuel Dry Storage Systems and Facilities; Request for Comment on Draft NUREG

Document: NRC-2017-0211-DRAFT-0039

Comment on FR Doc # 2017-24734

Submitter Information

Name: M Anderson

(38)
 82 FR 52944
 11/15/2017

General Comment

Comments to NRC Docket ID NRC-2017-0211, NUREG-2215

NRC Standard Review Plan for Spent Fuel Dry Storage Systems and Facilities Draft, November 2017 <https://www.nrc.gov/docs/ML1731/ML17310A693.pdf>

SUNSI Review Complete
 Template = ADM - 013
 E-RIDS= ADM-03

Add= Jeremy Smith (jgs5)

I have just learned about the NUREG-2215 document today and see that today is the last day to comment on this lengthy 615 page report.

I am glad to see the NRC is developing 'New Regulations for Spent Fuel Dry Storage Systems and Facilities' and truly hope these new regulations have incorporated many concerns.

I feel a sense of URGENCY regarding my concerns as one of 8.5 million citizens living in the area (50 mile plume zone) of the impending Dry Cask Storage at the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station in Southern California.

I respectfully ask for consideration to IMMEDIATELY OVERRULE the California Coastal

Commissions permit to bury this highly toxic waste and deny the license of Edison's Holtec UMAX ISFSI facility.

- 108 feet from the Ocean in an earthquake tsunami-inundation prone zone
- In a highly trafficked freeway and railway zone.
- In thin walled Canisters only guaranteed for 25 years from the manufacturer when this radioactive waste is lethal for over 200,000 years.
- In Canisters that cannot be monitored for leaks.
- In a storage system designed to be built "below ground". However, due to the water table there, the plan is to bury the canisters only partially below ground.

I support SanOnofreSafety.org's comments submitted by Donna Gilmore.

I am of the understanding that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's job is Protecting the People and the Environment, as your logo states and I implore you to make a conscious decision to stop Southern California Edison from placing the people of San Juan Capistrano, the surrounding communities and the environment from a potential disaster.

Thank you for your time and immediate consideration,

M. Anderson
Orange County, CA