

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: 1/8/18 4:23 PM
 Received: January 02, 2018
 Status: Pending_Post
 Tracking No. 1k2-90pd-wij4
 Comments Due: January 02, 2018
 Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2017-0211

Standard Review Plan for Spent Fuel Dry Storage Systems and Facilities

Comment On: NRC-2017-0211-0001

Standard Review Plan for Spent Fuel Dry Storage Systems and Facilities; Request for Comment on Draft NUREG

Document: NRC-2017-0211-DRAFT-0021

Comment on FR Doc # 2017-24734

(20)
 82 FR 52944
 11/15/2017

Submitter Information

Name: Jim Wells

Address:

18 W. Stewart Ave
 Medford, OR, 97501

Email: homegrown53@hotmail.com

SUNSI Review Complete
 Template = ADM - 013
 E-RIDS= ADM-03

Add= Jerney Smith (Jass)

General Comment

It would AMAZE me that the NRC would even need to ask the question of whether "thin" cannisters or "thick" should be used for nuclear waste storage -- EXCEPT I must sadly say that in my 46 years as an adult, I have seen a consistent deference exercised by the NRC to the bottom lines of the nuclear industry, instead of prioritizing public safety.

"Thin" cannisters, ESPECIALLY the ones that Edison is requesting permission to use, are a STUPID idea.

And it is extremely irresponsible to even consider it.

So what would that make APPROVING it be?

It would be confirming that the NRC is a danger to humanity.