

50-237

## NRC DISTRIBUTION FOR PART 50 DOCKET MATERIAL

FILE NUMBER  
INCIDENT REPORT

TO: Mr. James G. Keppler

FROM: Commonwealth Edison Company  
Morris, Illinois  
B. B. Stephenson

DATE OF DOCUMENT  
3/11/77DATE RECEIVED  
4/12/77

☒ LETTER  
☒ ORIGINAL  
☐ COPY

☐ NOTORIZED  
☒ UNCLASSIFIED

PROP

INPUT FORM

NUMBER OF COPIES RECEIVED

15/6NED

## DESCRIPTION

Ltr. trans the following:

ACKNOWLEDGED

## PLANT NAME:

Dresden Unit No. 2

RJL

DO NOT REMOVE

## ENCLOSURE

Licensee Event Report (RO 50-237/1976-19) on 3/27/76 (Update Rpt) concerning loose restrainer clamp bolt keepers being found on 19 of 20 jet pumps and a total of 30 keepers out of 40 were found to be loose as a result of broken tack welds....

(2-P)

NOTE: IF PERSONNEL EXPOSURE IS INVOLVED  
SEND DIRECTLY TO KREGER/J. COLLINS

## FOR ACTION/INFORMATION

BRANCH CHIEF: Ziemann

W/3 CYS FOR ACTION

LIC. ASST.: Digg

W/ CYS

ACRS 16 CYS HOLDING/SENT As CA + B

## INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

☒ REG FILE

☐ NRC PDR

☐ I & E (2)

☐ MIPC

☐ SCHROEDER/IPPOLITO

☐ HOUSTON

☐ NOVAK/CHECK

☐ GRIMES

☐ CASE

☐ BUTLER

☐ HANAUER

☐ TEDESCO/MACCARY

☐ EISENHUT

☐ BAER

☐ SHAO

☐ VOLLMER/BUNCH

☐ KREGER/J. COLLINS

## EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

LPDR: Morris, IL

TIC:

NSIC:

## CONTROL NUMBER

77/50150

GEORGE W. BROWN

100-100000



Commonwealth Edison  
Dresden Nuclear Power Station  
R.R. #1  
Morris, Illinois 60450  
Telephone 815/942-2920



BBS Ltr. #77-205

March 11, 1977

**REGULATORY DOCKET FILE COPY**

Mr. James G. Keppler, Regional Director  
Directorate of Regulatory Operations - Region III  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
799 Roosevelt Road  
Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Enclosed please find an update report to Reportable Occurrence report number 50-237/1976-19. This report is being submitted to your office in accordance with the Dresden Nuclear Power Station Technical Specifications, Section 6.6.B.

B. B. Stephenson  
Station Superintendent  
Dresden Nuclear Power Station

BBS:jo

Enclosure

cc: Director of Inspection & Enforcement  
Director of Management Information & Program Control  
File/NRC

771050150

MAR 18 1977

14033.44

95.34

14033.44  
95.34

CONTROL BLOCK:

UPDATE REPORT—PREVIOUS REPORT DATE 4/26/76  
(PLEASE PRINT ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

LICENSEE NAME														LICENSE NUMBER														LICENSE TYPE					EVENT TYPE	
01	I	L	D	R	S	2	0	0	—	0	0	0	0	0	—	0	0	4	1	1	1	1	0	3										
7	8	9				14	15											25	26				31	32										
01		CONT		CATEGORY		REPORT TYPE		REPORT SOURCE		DOCKET NUMBER								EVENT DATE				REPORT DATE												
01							L	L		0	5	0	—	0	2	3	7	0	3	2	7	7	6	0	3	1	1	7	7					
7	8					57	58	59	60	61							68	69						74	75				80					

## EVENT DESCRIPTION

02	During jet pump inspection, loose restrainer clamp bolt keepers were found on																																																																															80
03	19 of the 20 jet pumps. Of a total of 40 keepers, 30 were found to be loose																																																																															80
04	as a result of broken tack welds. These keepers are tack-welded to the restrainer																																																																															80
05	assembly to ensure that the gate clamp bolts remain tight. Immediately after																																																																															80
06	this inspection, a tension test was performed on one of the jet pump hold-down																																																																															80

SYSTEM CODE		CAUSE CODE		COMPONENT CODE														PRIME COMPONENT SUPPLIER		COMPONENT MANUFACTURER					VIOLATION		(Continued)		
07	C	B	E	P	U	M	P	X	X	N	G	0	8	0	N														
7	8	9	10	11	12					17	43	44			47	48													

## CAUSE DESCRIPTION

08	In a report entitled "Laboratory Examination of Jet Pump Restrainer Assembly																																																																															80
09	from Dresden 2," General Electric stated that the keeper tack weld failures																																																																															80
10	were probably caused by vibrational fatigue cracking. It was conjectured that,																																																																															80

FACILITY STATUS		% POWER		OTHER STATUS		METHOD OF DISCOVERY		DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION		(Continued)	
11	H	0	0	0	NA	B		NA			
7	8	9	10	11	12	13	44	45	46		
FORM OF ACTIVITY RELEASED		CONTENT OF RELEASE		AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY		LOCATION OF RELEASE					
12	Z	Z		NA		NA					
7	8	9	10	11	12	13	44	45			

## PERSONNEL EXPOSURES

NUMBER		TYPE		DESCRIPTION	
13	0	0	0	Z	NA
7	8	9	11	12	13

## PERSONNEL INJURIES

NUMBER		DESCRIPTION		
14	0	0	0	NA
7	8	9	11	12

## OFFSITE CONSEQUENCES

15	NA																																																																															80
----	----	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	----

## LOSS OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY

TYPE		DESCRIPTION	
16	Z		NA
7	8	9	10

## PUBLICITY

17	NA																																																																															80
----	----	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	----

## ADDITIONAL FACTORS

18	NA																																																																															80
----	----	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	----

19																																																																																80
----	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	----

NAME: Edward L. Seckinger

PHONE: Ext. 265



#### EVENT DESCRIPTION (Continued)

beams to determine whether any further slackening had occurred. The hold-down beam tension was found to be 3850 psi: the minimum acceptable tension is 2800 psi.

The loosened keepers were rewelded to original specifications and were successfully retested. The original keepers on jet pump #5, however, could not be tack-welded without exhausting all accessible rim material, and the restrainer gate assembly and both clamp bolt keepers were replaced. The original restrainer gate assembly and clamp bolt keepers from jet pump #5 were sent to General Electric for analysis.

Each restrainer assembly was found in its proper position, with both clamp bolts fully tightened. The keeper failures had no effect on jet pump operation. Broken tack welds on jet pump restrainer clamp bolt keepers have been found on two previous occasions — in May, 1973, and July, 1975. These events each involved two keeper failures on Unit-3. (50-237/1976-19)

#### CAUSE DESCRIPTION (Continued)

with a single tack weld, the keeper tends to be lifted off the gate surface as the result of weld shrinkage. With the keeper thus supported by the weld, any jet pump assembly vibrations induce the keeper to vibrate, ultimately fatiguing the weld.

As corrective action, General Electric recommended that two tack welds be placed 180° apart on each keeper. By securing the keeper in this manner, what was termed the "point support mode" would be eliminated, according to the report.

As previously reported, the station had already surmised that the keeper tack weld failures were caused by vibrational fatigue. The station's original objective was to reinstall the keepers with two tack welds 180° apart. However, difficulties were encountered in operating the welding equipment, in obtaining a suitable welding arc (ground), and in seating the keeper rims. Furthermore, there did not appear to be sufficient accessible keeper rim material to permit the placement of two diametrically opposed tack welds. Because of these considerations, the loosened keepers were rewelded to original specifications: i.e., one tack weld per keeper.

The station plans to inspect these welds during the next refueling outage, and will reconsider General Electric's recommendation after this inspection.

