

**NIAGARA  
MOHAWK**

07-447-91

**FILE COPY**

*Generic LB  
83-28 ✓*

NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION/300 ERIE BOULEVARD WEST, SYRACUSE, N.Y. 13202/TELEPHONE (315) 474-1511

April 10, 1986  
(NMP2 0682)

*response req:  
4/15/86*

Mr. H. R. Pepper, Project Manager  
Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station Unit 2  
General Electric Company  
175 Curtner Avenue (M/C 389)  
San Jose, CA 95125


Dear Mr. Pepper:

This letter is in reference to Generic Letter 83-28 concerning "Required Actions Based on Generic Implications of Salem ATWS Events." In response to Section 4.5.3 of the generic letter, the BWR Owners' Group was provided with NEDC-30844 ("BWR Owners' Group Response to NRC Generic Letter 83-28, Item 4.5.3") on a generic basis to participating utilities. As a result of a meeting between NMPC and NRC personnel, Niagara Mohawk has been requested to provide the NRC with additional information:

1. Does NEDC-30844 apply to the NMP2 design?
2. Are there any differences between the design of the NMP2 RPS and the reference plant RPS utilized in this analysis? If so, explain the differences.
3. Is the NMP2 RPS design considered to be solid state or relay?

Niagara Mohawk requests that you provide a response to these questions by April 15, 1986.

Sincerely,

  
A. F. Zallnick, Jr.  
Manager-NMP2 Licensing

AFZ/TRL:ja  
1491G

xc: R. G. Randall  
R. B. Abbott  
S. Nicolas (NMP-1)  
D. L. Pike

9999999999



0 7 7 4 9 . 0 7 7 3 LTY BK 43-28  
**FILE COPY**

**NIAGARA  
MOHAWK**

FROM T. Loomis  
File

DISTRICT Syracuse

December 19, 1985

DATE

FILE CODE

SUBJECT

Telecon Between T. Loomis (NMP2  
Licensing) and R. Seifreid (NMPC  
Training) Concerning Generic Letter  
83-28

On December 18, 1985, I contacted Mr. R. Seifreid concerning Generic Letter 83-28. In our response to Section 1.1.3, we stated that "the qualifications and training for the positions of Station Superintendent, Operations Superintendent and Unit Reactor Analyst Supervisor comply with the requirements of ANSI/ANS 3.1-1978 and 10 CFR 55." Additionally, in our response to Section 1.1.5, we stated that "the individuals responsible for the event analysis are qualified per ANSI/ANS 3.1-1978." This statement refers to Section 1.1.2 which cites the Station Superintendent, Operations Superintendent and Station Shift Supervisor as the personnel that perform the post-trip review analysis.

Mr. Seifreid reviewed the FSAR, 10CFR55 and ANSI/ANS 3.1-1978 and stated to me that the individuals and positions discussed and referenced in Sections 1.1.3 and 1.1.5 comply with ANSI/ANS 3.1-1978 and 10CFR55.

*T. Loomis*

T. Loomis  
Licensing Engineer

TL:ja  
xc: R. Seifreid  
D. LoSurdo  
S. Nicolas  
A. F. Zallnick, Jr.



0 7 7 4 9 0 7 7 4B

INTERNAL CORRESPONDENCE  
1127 R 02-80 55-01-013

Generic CB 83-28

NIAGARA MOHAWK

FROM A. F. Zallnick, Jr. DISTRICT System  
R. Randall DATE October 28, 1985 FILE CODE.  
SUBJECT Response to Generic Letter 83-28

FILE COPY

Attached for your information and use is a letter from W. Butler (NRC) to B. G. Hooten (NMPC) concerning the "Response to Generic Letter 83-28, Required Action Based on Generic Implications of Salem ATWS Events".

Licensing requests that you review the attached letter which provides review criteria for Item 1.2, "Post Trip Review: Data and Information Capabilities". Additionally, please take note that NMPC has been requested to respond by December 17, 1985. (60 days from the date of the letter). Accordingly, Licensing will need a draft of the final letter two weeks prior to this date (December 3, 1985). Mr. T. Loomis, of my staff, will coordinate with you on meeting this date.

  
A. F. Zallnick, Jr.  
Manager - Nuclear Licensing

TL/AFZ:rla  
Attachment  
1046G

xc: Project File (2)

A

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21